

"Programming" in λ -calculus

Last time: $\lambda x. x$ Identity (returns its argument)

e.g. $(\lambda x. x) (\lambda y. y) \mapsto \lambda y. y$

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda x. x) ((\lambda y. y) (\lambda z. z z)) &\stackrel{\text{C/W}}{\mapsto} (\lambda y. y) (\lambda z. z z) \\ &\stackrel{\text{C/W}}{\mapsto} \lambda z. z z \\ &\stackrel{\text{C/W}}{\mapsto} (\lambda x. x) (\lambda z. z z) \\ &\mapsto \lambda z. z z \end{aligned}$$

Multiple Arguments

Functions in λ -calc can only take one argument

$\lambda x. \lambda y. x$ & Function that takes an arg x and returns a function that takes an arg y and returns x .

$\lambda x. \lambda y. y$ returns 2nd arg

$\lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda z. y$ returns 2nd of 3 args

$$\begin{aligned} &((\lambda x. \lambda y. x) (\lambda z. z)) (\lambda w. w) \rightarrow \text{func. arg associates left to make it look more like a 2 arg. func.} \\ &\mapsto ((\lambda z. z / x) (\lambda y. x)) (\lambda w. w) \\ &= (\lambda y. \lambda z. z) (\lambda w. w) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mapsto (\lambda w. w / y) \lambda z. z \\ &= \lambda z. z \end{aligned}$$

Constant func.
will return $\lambda z. z$ no matter what

$$\begin{aligned} &(\lambda x. \lambda y. x) (\lambda z. z) \\ &\mapsto \lambda y. \lambda z. z \end{aligned}$$

Can just pass one arg!
"partial application"
Still waiting to take 2nd arg

Booleans ("Church Booleans" after Alonzo Church)

Need: true, false, if

if true then e_1 else e_2 $\equiv e_1$

if false then e_1 else e_2 $\equiv e_2$

Only have functions and application

Try: if e then e_1 else $e_2 \stackrel{\text{define as}}{=} e\ e_1\ e_2$

What are true and false?

true $\equiv \lambda t. \lambda f. t$

false $\equiv \lambda t. \lambda f. f$

$$(\lambda t. \lambda f. t) (\lambda x. x) (\lambda y. y) \\ \equiv \lambda x. x$$

Recursion

Got a hint last time: $(\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x) \Rightarrow (\lambda x. x x) (\lambda x. x x) \Rightarrow \dots$

"Self-application"

Pairs:

$$(-, -) \equiv \lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda s. s \times y$$

↑
"selector"

$$(x, y) \equiv \lambda s. s \times y$$

$$\text{fst} \equiv \lambda p. p (\lambda f. \lambda s. f)$$

$$\text{snd} \equiv \lambda p. p (\lambda f. \lambda s. s)$$

λ encodings cont'd

Church Numerals

(based somewhat on "A Tutorial Introduction to the Lambda Calculus" by Raul Rojas)

What do we do with a number?

\downarrow \downarrow
0 \rightarrow n+1
"successor"

$\lambda s. \lambda z. \underline{\hspace{2cm}}$

what to do w/ succ

what to do with zero

Apply s n times

$0 \equiv \lambda s. \lambda z. z$ $1 \equiv \lambda s. \lambda z. s z$ $2 \equiv \lambda s. \lambda z. s (s z)$...

Basically like "for $i = 0$ to n ..."

Addition

$\text{succ} \equiv \lambda n. \lambda s. \lambda z. s (n s z)$

$\text{succ } n \equiv \lambda s. \lambda z. s (n s z) \rightarrow n+1$

$n+m =$ do s n times, then m more times

$\text{plus} \equiv \lambda m. \lambda n. n \text{ succ } m$

\uparrow \uparrow
1st arg 2nd arg

apply successor function n times to m

Predecessor

$\text{pred } 0 \equiv 0$ $\text{pred } (n+1) \equiv n$

Idea: apply n times a function that computes the pair $(\text{pred } n, n)$

$\text{predpair} \equiv \lambda n. n (\lambda m. \text{snd } m, \text{succ } (\text{snd } m))$

\uparrow
get passed the pair from the prev. call

$s(n, n+1) = (n+1, n+2)$

$\text{pair} \equiv \lambda n. \text{predp. fst } (\text{predpair } n)$

Recursion, Part 2

Let's say we have numbers (yeah, these can be programmed in λ too)

$\text{fact} \equiv \lambda n. \text{if } n=0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n * \text{fact } (n-1)$

oops, not defined

No "let rec" in λ -calculus

Let's take another fact function as an argument

$\text{fact}' \equiv \lambda f \lambda n. \text{if } n=0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n * f (n-1)$

$\text{fact} \equiv \text{fact}' \text{ fact}$

oops, same problem

Fixed point of a function f = value x such that $fx = x$

Fixed point combinator: A function "fix"

such that $\text{fix } f \equiv f (\text{fix } f)$

Let's say we have a "fix"

$\text{fact} \equiv \text{fix } \text{fact}'$

$\equiv \text{fact}' (\text{fix } \text{fact}')$ ($\equiv \text{fact}' \text{ fact}$)

Is this good enough?

$\text{fact}' (\text{fix } \text{fact}')$

$\equiv \lambda n. \text{if } n=0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } n * \frac{\text{fact}' (\text{fix } \text{fact}') (n-1)}{\equiv \text{fix } \text{fact}'}$
 $\equiv \text{fact}$

Looks good

$Y \equiv \lambda f. (\lambda x. f(x x)) (\lambda x. f(x x))$ - most famous fixed pt. comb.

$Y f \equiv (\lambda x. f(x x)) (\lambda x. f(x x))$

$\equiv f ((\lambda x. f(x x)) (\lambda x. f(x x)))$

$\equiv f (Y f) \checkmark$