

Loop Convergence & Total Correctness

CS 536: Science of Programming, Fall 2021

A. Questions

- Consider the triple $\{inv\ p\} \{dec\ e\} \text{ while } k < n \{ \dots k := k+1 \} \{p \wedge k \geq n\}$. Assume $p \rightarrow n \geq k$. To show that this loop terminates, we need a bound function t such that
 - $p \rightarrow n - k \geq 0$ (which holds by assumption) and
 - $\{p \wedge k < n \wedge t = t_0\} k := k+1 \{t < t_0\}$. (Assume loop code before $k := k+1$ doesn't affect k .)
 - Can we use $t \equiv n - k$ as a bound expression?
 - Can we use $t \equiv n - k + 1$ as a bound expression?
 - Can we use $t \equiv 2n - k$ as a bound expression?
- Use the same program as in Question 1 but assume $p \rightarrow n \geq k - 3$, not $n \geq k$.
 - Why does $n - k$ now fail as a bound expression?
 - Give an example of a bound expression that does work.
- Consider the loop below. (Assume n is a constant and the omitted code does not change k .)
 - Why does using just k as the bound function fail?
 - Find an expression that involves k and prove that it's a loop bound. (You'll need to augment p .)

```

      {n ≥ -1}
      k := n;
      {inv p ∧ _____} {dec _____}
      while k ≥ -1
      { ... k := k-1 ... }
    
```
- What is the minimum expression (i.e., closest to zero) that can be used as a loop bound for


```

      {inv n ≤ x+y} {dec ...} while x+y > n { ... y := y-1 } ?
    
```

 (Assume x and n are constant.)

5. Let's look at the general problem of convergence of $\{inv\ p\} \{dec\ t\} \text{ while } e \{s\} \{q\}$. For each property below, briefly discuss whether it is (1) required, (2) allowable but not required, or (3) incompatible with the requirements.
- a. $p \rightarrow t \geq 0$
 - b. $t < 0 \rightarrow \neg p$
 - c. $\{p \wedge e \wedge t = t_0\} S \{t = t_0 - 1\}$
 - d. $(p \wedge t \geq 0) \rightarrow e$
 - e. $\neg e \rightarrow t = 0$
 - f. $\{p \wedge B \wedge t = t_0\} S \{t < t_0\}$
6. Argue briefly that if s and t are loop bounds for W then so is $s+t$. (Hint: What property or properties does $s+t$ need?)

Solution to Practice 18 (Loop Termination)

1. (Termination of $\{inv\ p\} \{dec\ n-k\} \text{ while } k < n \{ \dots k := k+1 \}$)
 - a. Yes: $\{p \wedge k < n \wedge n-k = t_0\} \dots \{n-(k+1) < t_0\} k := k+1 \{n-k < t_0\}$ requires $n-(k+1) < n-k$, which is true.
 - b. Yes: Decrementing k certainly decreases $n-k+1$, and $n-k+1 > n-k \geq 0$, which is the other requirement.
 - c. Yes, but only if $n \geq 0$: We know $n-k \geq 0$, so $2n-k \geq n$, which is ≥ 0 if $n \geq 0$. (If $n < 0$ then $2n-k$ might be negative.)
2. If $n \geq k-3$, then we only know $n-k \geq -3$. (Note $n-k+3$ works as a bound, however.)
3. (Decreasing loop variable)
 - a. We can't just k as the bound expression because we don't know $k \geq 0$. In fact, the loop terminates with $k = -2$.
 - b. Since k is initialized to n , we can add $-2 \leq k \leq n$ to the invariant and use $k+2$ as the bound expression.
 - c. We need to know that the invariant implies $k+2 \geq 0$ and that the loop body decreases $k+2$.
4. The smallest loop bound is $x+y-n$. We know it's ≥ 0 because $n \leq x+y$, and we know it decreases by 1 each iteration, so at loop termination, $x+y-n = 0$, which implies that nothing less than $x+y-n$ can work as a bound.
5. (Loop convergence) Required are (a) $p \rightarrow t \geq 0$, (b) $t < 0 \rightarrow \neg p$ [i.e., the contrapositive of (a)], and (f) $\{p \wedge e \wedge t = t_0\} s \{t < t_0\}$. Property (c) $\{p \wedge e \wedge t = t_0\} s \{t = t_0-1\}$ is allowable but not required: It implies (f) but is stronger than we need. Property (e) $\neg e \rightarrow t = 0$ is allowable but not required. Property (d) $p \wedge t \geq 0 \rightarrow e$ is incompatible with the requirements (it would cause an infinite loop).
6. Sum of two loop bounds. Say $s = s_0$ and $t = t_0$ at the beginning of the loop body and that $s_0-\Delta s$ and $t_0-\Delta t$ are the values of s and t at the end of the loop body. If s and t are loop bounds, then $s > \Delta s > 0$ and $t > \Delta t > 0$. For $s+t$ to be a loop bound, we need $0 \leq (s_0-\Delta s) + (t_0-\Delta t) < s_0+t_0$.
 Expanding, $(s_0-\Delta s) + (t_0-\Delta t) = s_0+t_0 - \Delta s + \Delta t < s_0+t_0$ because Δs and Δt are positive, and $(s_0-\Delta s) + (t_0-\Delta t) \geq 0$ because $\Delta s < s_0$ and $\Delta t < t_0$. So $s+t$ is a bound function.

An interesting question you might think about: is $s*t$ a bound function?