

# Correctness (“Hoare”) Triples, pt. 1

## CS 536: Science of Programming, Fall 2021

For all the questions below, you can assume (unless otherwise said) that  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ , not  $\Sigma_{\perp}$ . (I.e., we’re not trying to start run a program after an infinite loop or runtime failure.)

1. For a loop-free program without runtime errors, is there any difference between partial and total correctness?
2. Say we're given  $\sigma \models \{x > 0\} S \{y > x\}$  for all  $\sigma$  and we're given a state  $\tau$  where  $\tau(x) = -$
3. Do we know what  $S$  will do if we run in  $\tau$ ? Must it terminate? (With or without a runtime error?) Diverge? Must  $y > x$  afterwards? How about  $y \leq x$ ?
3. For which  $\sigma$  does  $\sigma \models \{x > 1\} y := x * x \{y > x\}$  hold? Is this triple valid?
4. For which  $\sigma$  does  $\sigma \models \{x > 0\} y := x * x \{y > x\}$  hold? Is this triple valid?
5. Under partial correctness, does  $\sigma \models \{F\} S \{q\}$  hold for all  $\sigma, q$ , and  $S$ ? What about  $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{T\}$ ? Do these triples say anything interesting about  $S$ ?
6. Repeat the previous question under total correctness: Does  $\sigma \models [F] S [q]$  always hold? Does  $\sigma \models [p] S [T]$ ? Do these triples say anything interesting about  $S$ ?

For Problems 7 – 14, say for each statement whether it's true or false and give a brief explanation. (Just a sentence or two is fine.) Assume  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ . (Remember, if  $\sigma \models$  any predicate or triple, then  $\sigma \neq \perp$ .)

7. If  $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{q\}$ , then  $\sigma \models p$ .
8. If  $\sigma \not\models \{p\} S \{q\}$ , then  $\sigma \not\models p$ .
9. If  $M(S, \sigma) \subseteq \{\perp_d, \perp_e\}$ , then  $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{q\}$ .
10. If  $\sigma \models p$  and  $M(S, \sigma) \cap \{\perp_d, \perp_e\} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\sigma \not\models [p] S [q]$ .
11. If  $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{q\}$  and  $\sigma \models p$ , then every state in  $M(S, \sigma)$  either  $\in \{\perp_d, \perp_e\}$  or satisfies  $q$ .
12. If  $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{q\}$  and  $\sigma \not\models p$ , then every state in  $M(S, \sigma)$  is either  $\in \{\perp_d, \perp_e\}$  or satisfies  $\neg q$ .
15. Let  $S \equiv x := x * x; y := y * y$  and let  $\sigma(x) = \alpha$  and  $\sigma(y) = \beta$ . Verify that  $\sigma \models \{x > y > 0\} S \{x > y > 0\}$ . I.e., assume  $\sigma$  satisfies the precondition, calculate  $M(S, \sigma)$ , and verify that  $M(S, \sigma) - \perp$  satisfies the postcondition.

*Solution to Practice 8 (Hoare Triples, pt 1)*

1. No: For a loop-free, failure-free program, there's no difference between partial and total correctness.
2. No to all the questions: The triple only tells us what will happen if the precondition is satisfied. Since  $\tau \models x > 0$ , the triple doesn't say anything about what will happen when you run  $S$ ; it might cause an error or terminate in a state, and that state might satisfy  $y > x$ , but it might not.
3. All states satisfy the triple, so the triple is valid.
4. States in which  $x = 1$  do not satisfy the triple; states in which  $x > 1$  set  $y$  appropriately and do satisfy the triple. States in which  $x < 1$  satisfy the triple trivially.
5. Under partial correctness, for all  $S$ ,  $\{F\} S \{q\}$  and  $\{p\} S \{T\}$  are valid (satisfied in all states), but neither triple says anything useful about the program  $S$ .
6. Under total correctness,  $\{F\} S \{q\}$  is again valid and doesn't say anything useful about  $S$ . Under total correctness, however,  $\sigma_{\text{tot}} \models \{p\} S \{T\}$  if and only if  $S$  always terminates when run in  $\sigma$ . (I.e., it never goes into an infinite loop or fails at runtime.)
7. False;  $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{q\}$  does not imply  $\sigma \models p$ . (It doesn't imply  $\sigma \models \neg p$  either.)
8. False; if  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  and  $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{q\}$ , then  $\sigma \models p$  (and  $M(S, \sigma) \cap \Sigma \models \neg q$ ).
9. True; under partial correctness, if  $S$  always causes an error when run in a  $\sigma$  that satisfies  $p$ , then  $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{q\}$ .
10. True: If  $\sigma \models p$ , then for  $\sigma \models [p] S [q]$  to hold, we need  $M(S, \sigma) \models q$ . If  $M(S, \sigma) \cap \{\perp_d, \perp_e\} \neq \emptyset$ , then  $M(S, \sigma) \not\models q$ , so  $\sigma \not\models [p] S [q]$ .
11. True; if  $\{p\} S \{q\}$  is partially correct and we run  $S$  in a state satisfying  $p$ , then either  $S$  causes an error or terminates in a state satisfying  $q$ .
12. False; if a triple is satisfied in  $\sigma$  but  $\sigma$  doesn't satisfy the precondition, then all possibilities can happen:  $S$  might diverge, it might cause a runtime error, and even if it terminates, the final state might satisfy  $q$  but it doesn't have to.
15. We're given  $S \equiv x := x * x; y := y * y$  and  $\sigma(x) = \alpha$  and  $\sigma(y) = \beta$ . For arbitrary  $\sigma$ ,
 
$$\begin{aligned}
 M(S, \sigma) &= M(x := x * x; y := y * y, \sigma) \\
 &= M(y := y * y, M(x := x * x, \sigma)) \\
 &= M(y := y * y, \sigma[x \mapsto \alpha^2]) \\
 &= \{ \sigma[x \mapsto \alpha^2][y \mapsto \beta^2] \}.
 \end{aligned}$$
 Since  $\sigma(x) = \alpha$  and  $\sigma(y) = \beta$ , so  $\sigma \models x > y > 0$  implies  $\alpha > \beta > 0$ , which implies  $\alpha^2 > \beta^2 > 0$ , which implies  $\sigma[x \mapsto \alpha^2][y \mapsto \beta^2] \models x > y > 0$ . Thus  $\sigma \models \{x > y > 0\} S \{x > y > 0\}$ ; i.e., if  $\sigma \models x > y > 0$  then  $M(S, \sigma) - \perp \models x > y > 0$ .

So if  $\sigma \models x > y > 0$ , then  $M(S, \sigma) \neq \perp \neq \emptyset$  and  $\models x > y > 0$ . Therefore,  $\sigma \models \{x > y > 0\} \subseteq S \{x > y > 0\}$ .