

# Weakest Preconditions

## Part 1: Definitions and Basic Properties

*CS 536: Science of Programming, Spring 2022*

1. Let  $w \Leftrightarrow wp(S, q)$ , let  $S$  be deterministic, and let  $\{\tau\} = M(S, \sigma)$  where  $\tau \in \Sigma \cup \{\perp\}$ .
  - a. For which  $\sigma \models w$  do we have  $\sigma \models [w] S [q]$ ?
  - b. For which  $\sigma \models \neg w$  do we have  $\sigma \models [\neg w] S [q]$ ? How about  $\sigma \models \{\neg w\} S \{q\}$ ?
  - c. For which  $\sigma \models w$  do we have  $\sigma \models [w] S [\neg q]$ ?
  - d. For which  $\sigma \models \neg w$  do we have  $\sigma \models \{\neg w\} S \{\neg q\}$ ?
2. If  $\sigma \models w$  and  $\sigma \models \{w\} S \{q\}$  and  $\sigma \not\models [w] S [q]$ ,
  - a. What can we conclude about  $M(S, \sigma)$ ?
5. Briefly explain why each of the following statements about  $wp$  and  $wlp$  are correct. (Answers like “That's how  $X$  is defined” are allowed.)
  - a. For all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ,  $\sigma \models wp(S, q)$  iff  $M(S, \sigma) \models q$
  - b. For all  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ ,  $\sigma \models wlp(S, q)$  iff  $M(S, \sigma) \dashv \perp \models q$
  - c.  $\models [wp(S, q)] S [q]$
  - d.  $\models \{wlp(S, q)\} S \{q\}$
  - e.  $\models [p] S [q]$  iff  $\models p \rightarrow wp(S, q)$
  - f.  $\models \{p\} S \{q\}$  iff  $\models p \rightarrow wlp(S, q)$
  - g.  $\models \{\neg wp(S, q)\} S \{\neg q\}$ , if  $S$  is deterministic
  - h.  $\models [\neg wlp(S, q)] S [\neg q]$ , if  $S$  is deterministic
  - i.  $\not\models p \rightarrow wp(S, q)$  iff  $\not\models [p] S [q]$
  - j.  $\not\models p \rightarrow wlp(S, q)$  iff  $\not\models \{p\} S \{q\}$
6. Which of the following statements about relationships between  $wp$  and  $wlp$  are possible (i.e., satisfied in a state) and which are impossible (i.e. contradictions)? Briefly explain.
  - a.  $wlp(S, q) \wedge wlp(S, \neg q)$

- b.  $\neg wp(S, q) \wedge \neg wp(S, \neg q)$
- c.  $wp(S, q) \wedge \neg wlp(S, q)$
- d.  $wlp(S, q) \wedge \neg wp(S, \neg q)$
- e.  $wp(S, q) \wedge \neg wlp(S, \neg q)$

*Solution to Practice 10 (Weakest Preconditions, pt. 1)*

1. (Properties of weakest preconditions)
  - a. For all  $\sigma \models w$ , we have  $\sigma \models [w] S [q]$ , since  $w$  is a precondition for  $\models [...] S [q]$ .
  - b. For no  $\sigma \models \neg w$  do we have  $\sigma \models [\neg w] S [q]$  because for  $w$  to be the weakest precondition for  $S$  and  $q$ , it cannot be that  $M(S, \sigma) \models q$ . For partial correctness, however, if  $M(S, \sigma) = \{\perp\}$ , then  $\sigma$  satisfies  $\{\neg w\} S \{q\}$ .
  - c. For no  $\sigma \models w$  do we have  $\sigma \models [w] S [\neg q]$  because  $w$  is a precondition for  $\models [...] S [q]$ .
  - d. For all  $\sigma \models \neg w$ , we have  $\sigma \models \{\neg w\} S \{\neg q\}$  because for  $w$  to be the weakest precondition for  $S$  and  $q$ ,  $\sigma \models \neg w$  implies  $M(S, \sigma) \not\models q$ . Since  $S$  is deterministic, either  $M(S, \sigma) = \{\perp\}$  or  $M(S, \sigma) \models \neg q$ . Either way,  $\sigma \models \{\neg w\} S \{\neg q\}$ .
  
2. (Partial but not total correctness when the  $wp$  is satisfied)
  - a. If  $\sigma \models w$  and  $\sigma \models \{w\} S \{q\}$  then  $M(S, \sigma) - \{\perp\} \models q$ . If  $\sigma \not\models [w] S [q]$  then  $M(S, \sigma) \not\models q$ . This can only happen if  $\perp = M(S, \sigma)$  or  $M(S, \sigma) = \{\}$ . (I.e.,  $S$  can diverge under  $\sigma$ .)
  
5. (Properties of  $wp$  and  $wlp$ )
  - (a) and (b) are the basic definitions of  $wp$  and  $wlp$
  - (c) and (d) say that  $wp$  and  $wlp$  are preconditions
  - (e) and (f) say that  $wp$  and  $wlp$  are weakest preconditions
  - (g) and (h) also say that  $wp$  and  $wlp$  are weakest
  - (i) and (j) are the contrapositives of (e) and (f).
  
6. (Situations involving  $wp$  and  $wlp$ )
  - a.  $M(S, \sigma) = \{\perp\}$  implies  $wlp(S, q) \wedge wlp(S, \neg q)$
  - b.  $M(S, \sigma) = \{\perp\}$  implies  $\sigma \models \neg wp(S, q) \wedge \neg wp(S, \neg q)$ .
  - c.  $wp(S, q)$  implies  $\neg wlp(S, q)$ , so  $wp(S, q) \wedge \neg wlp(S, q)$  is impossible.
  - d. Since  $wlp(S, q)$  implies  $\neg wp(S, \neg q)$ , we must have  $wlp(S, q) \wedge \neg wp(S, \neg q)$  whenever  $wlp(S, q)$ .
  - e.  $wp(S, q) \Rightarrow \neg wlp(S, \neg q)$  is the contrapositive of the implication for (d) [if you swap  $q$  and  $\neg q$ ], so  $wp(S, q) \wedge \neg wlp(S, \neg q)$  must happen if  $wp(S, q)$ .