

Correctness (“Hoare”) Triples, pt. 1

CS 536: Science of Programming, Fall 2021

For all the questions below, you can assume (unless otherwise said) that $\sigma \in \Sigma$, not Σ_\perp . (I.e., we’re not trying to start run a program after an infinite loop or runtime failure.)

1. For a loop-free program without runtime errors, is there any difference between partial and total correctness?
2. Say we’re given $\sigma \models \{x > 0\} S \{y > x\}$ for all σ and we’re given a state τ where $\tau(x) = -$. Do we know what S will do if we run in τ ? Must it terminate? (With or without a runtime error?) Diverge? Must $y > x$ afterwards? How about $y \leq x$?
3. For which σ does $\sigma \models \{x > 1\} y := x * x \{y > x\}$ hold? Is this triple valid?
4. For which σ does $\sigma \models \{x > 0\} y := x * x \{y > x\}$ hold? Is this triple valid?
5. Under partial correctness, does $\sigma \models \{F\} S \{q\}$ hold for all σ , q , and S ? What about $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{T\}$? Do these triples say anything interesting about S ?
6. Repeat the previous question under total correctness: Does $\sigma \models [F] S [q]$ always hold? Does $\sigma \models [p] S [T]$? Do these triples say anything interesting about S ?

For Problems 7 – 14, say for each statement whether it’s true or false and give a brief explanation. (Just a sentence or two is fine.) Assume $\sigma \in \Sigma$. (Remember, if $\sigma \models$ any predicate or triple, then $\sigma \neq \perp$.)

7. If $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{q\}$, then $\sigma \models p$.
8. If $\sigma \not\models \{p\} S \{q\}$, then $\sigma \not\models p$.
9. If $M(S, \sigma) = \perp$, then $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{q\}$.
10. If $\sigma \models p$ and $M(S, \sigma) \cap \{\perp\} \neq \emptyset$, then $\sigma \not\models [p] S [q]$.
11. If $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{q\}$ and $\sigma \models p$, then every state in $M(S, \sigma)$ either is \perp or satisfies q .
12. If $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{q\}$ and $\sigma \not\models p$, then every state in $M(S, \sigma)$ is either \perp or satisfies $\neg q$.
15. Let $S \equiv x := x * x; y := y * y$ and let $\sigma(x) = \alpha$ and $\sigma(\xi) = \beta$. Verify that $\sigma \models \{x > y > 0\} S \{x > y > 0\}$. I.e., assume σ satisfies the precondition, calculate $M(S, \sigma)$, and verify that $M(S, \sigma) - \perp$ satisfies the postcondition.

Solution to Practice 8 (Hoare Triples, pt 1)

1. No: For a loop-free, failure-free program, there's no difference between partial and total correctness.
2. No to all the questions: The triple only tells us what will happen if the precondition is satisfied. Since $\tau \not\models x > 0$, the triple doesn't say anything about what will happen when you run S ; it might cause an error or terminate in a state, and that state might satisfy $y > x$, but it might not.
3. All states satisfy the triple, so the triple is valid.
4. States in which $x = 1$ do not satisfy the triple; states in which $x > 1$ set y appropriately and do satisfy the triple. States in which $x < 1$ satisfy the triple trivially.
5. Under partial correctness, for all S , $\{F\} S \{q\}$ and $\{p\} S \{T\}$ are valid (satisfied in all states), but neither triple says anything useful about the program S .
6. Under total correctness, $\{F\} S \{q\}$ is again valid and doesn't say anything useful about S . Under total correctness, however, $\sigma \models [p] S [T]$ if and only if S always terminates when run in σ . (I.e., it never goes into an infinite loop or fails at runtime.)
7. False; $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{q\}$ does not imply $\sigma \models p$. (It doesn't imply $\sigma \not\models p$ either.)
8. False; if $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\sigma \not\models \{p\} S \{q\}$, then $\sigma \models p$ (and $M(S, \sigma) \cap \Sigma \models \neg q$).
9. True; under partial correctness, if S always causes an error when run in a σ that satisfies p , then $\sigma \models \{p\} S \{q\}$.
10. True: If $\sigma \models p$, then for $\sigma \models [p] S [q]$ to hold, we need $M(S, \sigma) \models q$. If $M(S, \sigma) \cap \{\perp_d, \perp_e\} \neq \emptyset$, then $M(S, \sigma) \not\models q$, so $\sigma \not\models [p] S [q]$.
11. True; if $\{p\} S \{q\}$ is partially correct and we run S in a state satisfying p , then either S causes an error or terminates in a state satisfying q .
12. False; if a triple is satisfied in σ but σ doesn't satisfy the precondition, then all possibilities can happen: S might diverge, it might cause a runtime error, and even if it terminates, the final state might satisfy q but it doesn't have to.
15. We're given $S \equiv x := x * x; y := y * y$ and $\sigma(x) = \alpha$ and $\sigma(y) = \beta$. For arbitrary σ ,
$$\begin{aligned} M(S, \sigma) &= M(x := x * x; y := y * y, \sigma) \\ &= M(y := y * y, M(x := x * x, \sigma)) \\ &= M(y := y * y, \sigma[x \mapsto \alpha^2]) \\ &= \{ \sigma[x \mapsto \alpha^2][y \mapsto \beta^2] \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sigma(x) = \alpha$ and $\sigma(y) = \beta$, so $\sigma \models x > y > 0$ implies $\alpha > \beta > 0$, which implies $\alpha^2 > \beta^2 > 0$, which implies $\sigma[x \mapsto \alpha^2][y \mapsto \beta^2] \models x > y > 0$. Thus $\sigma \models \{x > y > 0\} S \{x > y > 0\}$; i.e., if $\sigma \models x > y > 0$ then $M(S, \sigma) - \perp \models x > y > 0$.

So if $\sigma \models x > y > 0$, then $M(S, \sigma) - \perp \neq \emptyset$ and $\models x > y > 0$. Therefore, $\sigma \models \{x > y > 0\} S \{x > y > 0\}$.