

# Loop Invariants and Proof Outlines Practice

Stefan Muller, based partially on material by Jim Sasaki

CS 536: Science of Programming, Spring 2022  
Lecture 14–16

## 1 Problems

1. What are the constants in the postcondition  $x = \max(b[0], b[1], \dots, b[n-1])$ ? Using the technique “replace a constant by a variable,” list the possible invariants for this postcondition.
2. Repeat, on the postcondition  $x = n!$ , where  $n!$  is short for  $\text{product}(1, n)$ .
3. Repeat, on the postcondition  $\forall i. 0 \leq i < n \rightarrow b[i] = 3$ .
4. Repeat, on the postcondition  $\forall i. \forall j. 0 \leq i < K \wedge K \leq j < n \rightarrow b[i] < b[j]$  (that is, every value in  $b[0 \dots K-1]$  is less than every value in  $b[K \dots n-1]$ ).
5. Write a loop invariant for the factorial function below, then extend it into a full proof outline.

$$\begin{array}{l} \{n > 0\} \\ m := \overline{1} \\ i := \overline{0} \\ \text{while } (i < n) \{ \\ \quad i := i + \overline{1}; \\ \quad m := m * i \\ \} \quad \{m = n!\} \end{array}$$

6. Write a loop invariant for the sum function we saw in class that starts from  $n$  and decreases  $i$ :

```
s := 0;
var i := n;
while (i > 0)
{
    i := i - 1;
    s := s + i;
}
```

7. The following program (in Dafny syntax) finds the minimum value of an array/sequence. Write an appropriate postcondition (or **ensures** clause) that ensures  $m$  is the minimum value and write an appropriate loop invariant.

```
method findMin (a: seq<int>) returns (m: int)
requires |a| > 0
{
    m := a[0];
    var k := 1;
    while (k < |a|)
    {
```

```

        if (a[k] < m) {
            m := a[k];
        }
        k := k + 1;
    }
}

```

8. The following program (in Dafny syntax) returns true if and only if the array/sequence  $a$  has at least two different elements (the postcondition ensures this by saying that there exist two distinct values  $m$  and  $n$  which are both in the array). Write an appropriate loop invariant for the loop.

```

method hasTwoVals (a: seq<int>) returns (e: bool)
requires (forall i :: (i >= 0 && i < |a|) ==> a[i] >= 0)
ensures e ==> (exists m, n : int :: m != n
    && exists i, j :: i >= 0 && i < |a| && j >= 0 && j < |a|
    && (a[i] == m) && (a[j] == n))
{
    var i := 0;
    var m := -1;
    var n := -2;
    while (i < |a|)
    {
        if (m == -1) { m := a[i]; }
        if (n == -1 && a[i] != m) { n := a[i]; }
        i := i + 1;
    }
    e := m > -1 && n > -1;
}

```

For problems 9–11, you are given a minimal proof outline and should expand it to a full proof outline. Don't give the formal proof of partial correctness. Do list any predicate logic obligations.

9.  $\{n > 1\} \ k := \bar{1}; s := \bar{0} \ \{0 \leq k < n \wedge s = \text{sum}(0, k - 1)\}$
- Use wp on both assignments.
  - Use sp on both assignments.
  - Use sp on the left assignment and wp on the right assignment.
10.  $\{T\} \text{ if } x \geq 0 \text{ then } \{y := x\} \text{ else } \{y := -x\} \ \{y = |x|\}$
- Use sp on both branches and on the if as a whole.
  - Use  $(P \wedge B)$  and  $(P \wedge \neg B)$  (from the conditional rule) as overall preconditions for the two branches, and use wp on both branches.
11. Since the invariant of the loop below is a predicate function call, substitutions using it are easy.

```

{ n ≥ 0 }
x := 0;
y := 1;
{inv P(a, b, x, y)};
while x < n {
    x := f(x, y)
    y := f(y, x)
} { a + x < b - y }

```

- (a) Use wp as much as you can.
  - (b) Use sp as much as you can.
12. Expand the minimal proof outline below. The program has a bug; in the full proof outline, in what line(s) and in what form does the bug appear? Also, give two ways to fix the bug.

```
{inv  $0 \leq k \leq n + 1 \wedge s = \text{sum}(0, k - 1)$ };  
while  $k \leq n$  {;  
     $k := k + 1$ ;  
     $s := s + k$   
}  
{ $s = \text{sum}(0, n)$ }
```

## 2 Solutions

1. The best candidates are 0, which gives us  $x = \max(b[j], b[1], \dots, b[n-1]) \wedge 0 \leq j \leq n-1$ , and  $n-1$ , which gives us  $x = \max(b[0], b[1], \dots, b[j]) \wedge 0 \leq j \leq n-1$ .

You could also just consider  $n$  and 1 constants by themselves and get  $x = \max(b[0], b[1], \dots, b[j-1]) \wedge 0 \leq j \leq n$  and  $x = \max(b[0], b[1], \dots, b[n-j]) \wedge 0 \leq j \leq n$ , respectively. These invariants will probably be less useful.

2. Expanding using the product function gives two constants, 1 and  $n$ .

Using 1 gives  $x = \text{product}(i, n) \wedge 1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Using  $n$  gives  $x = \text{product}(1, i) \wedge 1 \leq i \leq n$ .

3. Using 0 gives  $0 \leq j < n \wedge \forall i. j \leq i < n \rightarrow b[i] = 3$ .

Using  $n$  gives  $0 \leq j < n \wedge \forall i. 0 \leq i < j \rightarrow b[i] = 3$ .

We can also use 3, which gives  $\forall i. 0 \leq i < n \rightarrow b[i] = k$  (this is probably less useful).

4. We have 0,  $n$  and two occurrences of  $K$ .

Using 0 gives  $0 \leq k < K \wedge \forall i. \forall j. k \leq i < K \wedge K \leq j < n \rightarrow b[i] < b[j]$ .

Using  $n$  gives  $K \leq k < n \wedge \forall i. \forall j. 0 \leq i < K \wedge K \leq j < k \rightarrow b[i] < b[j]$ .

Using the first  $K$  gives  $0 \leq k \leq K \wedge \forall i. \forall j. 0 \leq i < k \wedge K \leq j < n \rightarrow b[i] < b[j]$ .

Using the second  $K$  gives  $K \leq k < n \wedge \forall i. \forall j. 0 \leq i < K \wedge l \leq j < n \rightarrow b[i] < b[j]$ .

- 5.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 m := \bar{1} & \{n > 0\} \\
 i := \bar{0} & \{n > 0 \wedge m = 1\} \\
 \{\text{inv } i \leq n \wedge m = i!\} & \{n > 0 \wedge m = 1 \wedge i = 0\} \\
 \text{while } (i < n) \{ & \{i \leq n \wedge m = i! \wedge i < n\} \Rightarrow \{i < n \wedge m = (i-1+1)!\} \\
 \quad i := i + \bar{1}; & \{i \leq n \wedge m = (i-1)!\} \Rightarrow \{i \leq n \wedge m * i = i!\} \\
 \quad m := m * i & \{i \leq n \wedge m = i!\} \\
 \} & \{i \leq n \wedge m = i! \wedge i \geq n\} \Rightarrow \{m = n!\}
 \end{array}$$

6.  $i \geq 0 \wedge s = \text{sum}(i, n)$

7. Postcondition:  $\exists m. (\exists i. i \geq 0 \wedge i < |a| \wedge a[i] = m) \wedge (\forall i \in [0, |a| - 1]. a[i] \geq m)$ .

Invariant:  $k \leq |a| \wedge (\exists i. i \geq 0 \wedge i < |a| \wedge a[i] = m) \wedge (\forall i \in [0, k - 1]. a[i] \geq m)$

8.  $(m > -1 \rightarrow \exists i. a[i] = m) \wedge (n > -1 \rightarrow \exists i. a[i] = n) \wedge m \neq n$

9. (a)

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 & \{n > 1\} \Rightarrow \{0 \leq 1 < n \wedge 0 = \text{sum}(0, k-1)\} \\
 k := \bar{1}; & \{0 \leq k < n \wedge 0 = \text{sum}(0, k-1)\} \\
 s := \bar{0} & \{0 \leq k < n \wedge s = \text{sum}(0, k-1)\}
 \end{array}$$

Predicate logic obligation:  $n > 1 \Rightarrow 0 \leq 1 < n \wedge 0 = \text{sum}(0, k-1)$

- (b)

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 & \{n > 1\} \\
 k := \bar{1}; & \{n > 1 \wedge k = 1\} \\
 s := \bar{0} & \{n > 1 \wedge k = 1 \wedge s = 0\} \Rightarrow \{0 \leq k < n \wedge s = \text{sum}(0, k-1)\}
 \end{array}$$

Predicate logic obligation:  $n > 1 \wedge k = 1 \wedge s = 0 \Rightarrow 0 \leq k < n \wedge s = \text{sum}(0, k-1)$

- (c)

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 & \{n > 1\} \\
 k := \bar{1}; & \{n > 1 \wedge k = 1\} \Rightarrow \{0 \leq k < n \wedge 0 = \text{sum}(0, k-1)\} \\
 s := \bar{0} & \{0 \leq k < n \wedge s = \text{sum}(0, k-1)\}
 \end{array}$$

Predicate logic obligation:  $n > 1 \wedge k = 1 \Rightarrow 0 \leq k < n \wedge 0 = \text{sum}(0, k-1)$

10. (a)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{if } x \geq 0 \text{ then } \{ & \{T\} \\ & \{x \geq 0\} \\ y := x & \{x \geq 0 \wedge y = x\} \\ \} \text{ else } \{ & \{x < 0\} \\ y := -x & \{x < 0 \wedge y = -x\} \\ \} & \{(x \geq 0 \wedge y = x) \vee (x < 0 \wedge y = -x)\} \Rightarrow \{y = |x|\} \end{array}$$

Obligation:  $(x \geq 0 \wedge y = x) \vee (x < 0 \wedge y = -x) \Rightarrow y = |x|$

(b)

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{if } x \geq 0 \text{ then } \{ & \{T\} \\ & \{x \geq 0\} \Rightarrow \{x = |x|\} \\ y := x & \{y = |x|\} \\ \} \text{ else } \{ & \{x < 0\} \Rightarrow \{-x = |x|\} \\ y := -x & \{y = |x|\} \\ \} & \{y = |x|\} \end{array}$$

Obligations:  $x \geq 0 \Rightarrow x = |x|$  and  $x < 0 \Rightarrow -x = |x|$ .

11. (a)

$$\begin{array}{ll} x := \bar{0}; & \{n \geq 0\} \Rightarrow \{P(a, b, 0, 1)\} \\ y := \bar{1}; & \{P(a, b, x, 1)\} \\ \{\mathbf{inv} \ P(a, b, x, y)\}; & \{P(a, b, x, y)\} \\ \text{while } x < n \ \{; \{x < n \wedge P(a, b, x, y)\} \Rightarrow \{P(a, b, f(x, y), f(y, x))\} \\ \quad x := f(x, y) & \{P(a, b, x, f(y, x))\} \\ \quad y := f(y, x) & \{P(a, b, x, y)\} \\ \} & \{x \geq n \wedge P(a, b, x, y)\} \Rightarrow \{a + x < b - y\} \end{array}$$

Obligations: 1)  $n \geq 0 \Rightarrow P(a, b, 0, 1)$ , 2)  $x < n \wedge P(a, b, x, y) \Rightarrow P(a, b, f(x, y), f(y, x))$  and 3)  $x \geq n \wedge P(a, b, x, y) \Rightarrow a + x < b - y$

(b)

$$\begin{array}{ll} x := \bar{0}; & \{n \geq 0\} \\ y := \bar{1}; & \{n \geq 0 \wedge x = 0\} \\ \{\mathbf{inv} \ P(a, b, x, y)\}; & \{n \geq 0 \wedge x = 0 \wedge y = 1\} \\ \text{while } x < n \ \{; \{x < n \wedge P(a, b, x, y)\} \\ \quad x := f(x, y) & \{x_0 < n \wedge P(a, b, x_0, y) \wedge x = f(x_0, y)\} \\ \quad y := f(y, x) & \{x_0 < n \wedge P(a, b, x_0, y_0) \wedge x = f(x_0, y_0) \wedge y = f(y_0, x)\} \\ \} & \{x \geq n \wedge P(a, b, x, y)\} \Rightarrow \{a + x < b - y\} \end{array}$$

Obligations: 1)  $n \geq 0 \wedge x = 0 \wedge y = 1 \Rightarrow P(a, b, 0, 1)$ , 2)  $x_0 < n \wedge P(a, b, x_0, y_0) \wedge x = f(x_0, y_0) \wedge y = f(y_0, x) \Rightarrow P(a, b, x, y)$  3)  $x \geq n \wedge P(a, b, x, y) \Rightarrow a + x < b - y$

12.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \{\mathbf{inv} \ 0 \leq k \leq n + 1 \wedge s = \text{sum}(0, k - 1)\} & \{0 \leq k \leq n + 1 \wedge s = \text{sum}(0, k - 1)\} \\ \text{while } k \leq n \ \{; & \Rightarrow \{0 \leq k + 1 \leq n + 1 \wedge s + k + 1 = \text{sum}(0, k)\} \\ k := k + \bar{1}; & \{0 \leq k \leq n + 1 \wedge s + k = \text{sum}(0, k - 1)\} \\ s := s + k & \{0 \leq k \leq n + 1 \wedge s = \text{sum}(0, k - 1)\} \\ \} & \{k \geq n \wedge 0 \leq k \leq n + 1 \wedge s = \text{sum}(0, k - 1)\} \Rightarrow \{s = \text{sum}(0, n)\} \end{array}$$

The bug is in this proof obligation:  $0 \leq k \leq n + 1 \wedge s = \text{sum}(0, k - 1) \Rightarrow 0 \leq k + 1 \leq n + 1 \wedge s + k + 1 = \text{sum}(0, k)$

This isn't true: the value of  $s$  is off by one.