

Forward Assignment; Strongest Postconditions

CS 536: Science of Programming, Spring 2022

For Questions 2 - 7, syntactically calculate the following, including intermediate sp calculation steps. Omit uses of " $T \wedge$ " in the calculations but don't otherwise simplify the result unless asked to.

2. $sp(y \geq 0, \text{skip})$
3. $sp(i > 0, i := i+1)$ [Hint: add an $i = i_0$ conjunct to $i > 0$]
4. $sp(k \leq n \wedge s = f(k, n), k := k+1)$
5. $sp(T, i := 0; k := i)$
6. $sp(i \leq j \wedge j-i < n, i := i+j; j := i+j)$.
7. $sp(0 \leq i < n \wedge s = \text{sum}(0, i), s := s+i+1; i := i+1)$
8. Let $S \equiv \text{if } x < 0 \text{ then } \{x := -x\} \text{ else } \{\text{skip}\}$
 - a. Calculate $sp(x = x_0, S)$.
 - b. Logically simplify your result from part (a). Feel free to use the function $\text{abs}(\dots)$ or $|\dots|$.
 - c. Suppose we had calculated $sp(T, \text{if } x < 0 \text{ then } \{x := -x\} \text{ else } \{\text{skip}\})$ introducing x_0 in the true branch only. What would we get for the sp and what is the problem with it?

Solution to Practice 13 (Forward Assignment; Strongest Postconditions)

2. $y \geq 0$ (For the *skip* rule, the precondition and postcondition are the same.)
3. Let's implicitly add $i = i_0$ to the precondition, to name the starting value of i . Then
- $$\begin{aligned} sp(i > 0, i := i+1) \\ \equiv (i > 0)[i_0/i] \wedge i = (i+1)[i_0/i] \\ \equiv i_0 > 0 \wedge i = i_0 + 1 \end{aligned}$$
4. As in the previous problem, let's introduce a variable k_0 to name the starting value of k . Then
- $$\begin{aligned} sp(k \leq n \wedge s = f(k, n), k := k+1) \\ \equiv (k \leq n \wedge s = f(k, n))[k_0/k] \wedge k = (k+1)[k_0/k] \\ \equiv k_0 \leq n \wedge s = f(k_0, n) \wedge k = k_0 + 1 \end{aligned}$$
5. We don't need to introduce names for the old values of i and k (they're irrelevant).
- $$\begin{aligned} sp(T, i := 0; k := i) \\ \equiv sp(sp(T, i := 0), k := i) \\ \Leftrightarrow sp(i = 0, k := i) \quad // \text{We've dropped the "T } \wedge \text{" part of } T \wedge i = 0) \\ \equiv i = 0 \wedge k = i \end{aligned}$$
6. Let's introduce i_0 and j_0 as we need them, then
- $$\begin{aligned} sp(i \leq j \wedge j - i < n, i := i+j; j := i+j) \\ \equiv sp(sp(i \leq j \wedge j - i < n, i := i+j), j := i+j) \\ \equiv sp(i_0 \leq j \wedge j - i_0 < n \wedge i = i_0 + j, j := i+j) \\ \equiv i_0 \leq j_0 \wedge j_0 - i_0 < n \wedge i = i_0 + j_0 \wedge j = i + j_0 \end{aligned}$$

7. $sp(0 \leq i < n \wedge s = \text{sum}(0, i), s := s+i+1; i := i+1)$
 $\equiv sp(sp(0 \leq i < n \wedge s = \text{sum}(0, i), s := s+i+1), i := i+1)$

For the inner sp ,

$$\begin{aligned} sp(0 \leq i < n \wedge s = \text{sum}(0, i), s := s+i+1) \\ \equiv 0 \leq i < n \wedge s_0 = \text{sum}(0, i) \wedge s = s_0 + i + 1 \end{aligned} \quad \text{Using } s_0 \text{ to name the old value of } s$$

Returning to the outer sp ,

$$\begin{aligned} sp(sp(0 \leq i < n \wedge s = \text{sum}(0, i), s := s+i+1), i := i+1) \\ \equiv sp(0 \leq i < n \wedge s_0 = \text{sum}(0, i) \wedge s = s_0 + i + 1, i := i+1) \\ \equiv 0 \leq i' < n \wedge s_0 = \text{sum}(0, i') \wedge s = s_0 + i' + 1 \wedge i = i' + 1 \end{aligned} \quad \text{Using } i' \text{ to name the old value of } i$$

(There's no particular reason I used \tilde{i} here except that; any other name like i_0 or j or w works fine as long as it's not already being used in the predicate.)

8. (Old value before an *if-else*)

a.
$$\begin{aligned} sp(x = x_0, \text{if } x < 0 \text{ then } \{x := -x\} \text{ else } \{\text{skip}\}) \\ \equiv sp(x = x_0 \wedge x < 0, x := -x) \vee sp(x = x_0 \wedge x \geq 0, \text{skip}) \\ \equiv (x_0 < 0 \wedge x = -x_0) \vee (x \geq 0 \wedge x = x_0) \end{aligned}$$

b. We can simplify $(x_0 < 0 \wedge x = -x_0) \vee (x \geq 0 \wedge x = x_0) \Leftrightarrow x = |x_0|$.

c. If we had calculated

$$\begin{aligned} sp(T, \text{if } x < 0 \text{ then } \{x := -x\} \text{ else } \{\text{skip}\}) \\ \equiv sp(T \wedge x < 0, x := -x) \vee sp(T \wedge x \geq 0, \text{skip}) \\ \equiv (x_0 < 0 \wedge x = -x_0) \vee x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then we would have lost the information about the *else* clause not changing x , so we wouldn't have been able to conclude $x = |x_0|$.