

Inference Rules for Hoare Triples

2/14

Last week: talked about when $\{P\} \rightarrow \{Q\}$ is true
 $(\{P\} \vdash \{Q\})$

Now: when is it provable?

How do we even prove that $\{P\} \rightarrow \{Q\}$ is true?

We need a proof system: a set of axioms and rules
for deriving facts

Not everything true is provable (Gödel's incompleteness Thm)
Also, proving things about loops gives us trouble
We'll have to approximate

Remember inference rules:

Axiom: $\frac{}{\text{axiom}}$ Rule: $\frac{\text{premise}_1 \quad \text{premise}_2}{\text{Conclusion}}$

$\vdash \{P\} \rightarrow \{Q\}$ - can prove $\{P\} \rightarrow \{Q\}$

As w/ semantics, need an axiom/rule for each type of stmt
 $s ::= \text{skip} | s_1; s_2 | x := e | a[e] := e | \text{if } e \text{ then } \{S\} \text{ else } \{S\} | \text{while } e \{S\}$

skip $\vdash \{P\} \text{ skip } \{P\}$ Anything true before is true after

$\vdash \{P\} \vdash \{Q_1\} \quad \vdash \{Q_1\} \vdash \{Q_2\}$
sequence $\vdash \{P\} \vdash \{Q_1\}; \{Q_2\}$

We need to make sure the precnd. of Q_2 is true
after running S_1 .

$$\text{If } \frac{\vdash \{P_1\} s_1 \{q_1\}}{\vdash \{P_2\} s_2 \{q_2\}}$$

$$\vdash \{P\} \text{ if } e \text{ then } \{q_1\} \text{ else } \{q_2\} \quad \{q_1 \vee q_2\}$$

If we execute s_1 : we know P , know $\alpha(e) = T$
 " " : " " " " " " " "
 F

Know we executed one, so one post cond. is true.

While: Wait until after spring break

Assignment (Idea)

$$\vdash \{x\} x := c \{q\}$$

But everything we want to know about x
 $x \geq 0$ after we need to know about c

$$\begin{aligned} & \{x-1 \geq 0\} x := x-1 \{x \geq 0\} \\ & \{(\sqrt{y})^2 \leq y \wedge y < (\sqrt{y}+1)^2\} x := \sqrt{y} \{x^2 \leq y \wedge y < (x+1)^2\} \end{aligned}$$

Need a more formal way of saying " q , but with x replaced by e "

$[e/x]q$ - "substitution"

Defined recursively on q

$$[e/x]x = e \quad \swarrow \bar{I}, T, F$$

$$[e/x]y = y \quad x \neq y \quad [e/x]c = c$$

$$[e/x](p \wedge q) = [e/x]p \wedge [e/x]q$$

$$[e/x]P(e_1, \dots, e_n) = P([e/x]e_1, \dots, [e/x]e_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ex. } [y-1/x](x * S_{22}) &= [y-1/x](x * S) \cdot 22 \\ &= [y-1/x]x * [y-1/x]S \cdot 22 = [y-1/x]2 \\ &= (x-1) * S \cdot 22 \end{aligned}$$

For mostly, substituting into expressions

$$[e/x](e_1 \text{ op } e_2) = [e/x]e_1 \text{ op } [e/x]e_2$$

$$[e/x](e_1 ? e_2 : e_3) = [e/x]e_1 ? [e/x]e_2 : [e/x]e_3.$$

$$[e/x](a[e_1]) = a[e/x]e_1]$$

$$[e/x](\text{size}(a)) \Rightarrow \text{size}(a)$$

In general, if x doesn't appear in p (e_1),

$$[e/x]p = p \quad [e/x]e_1 = e_1$$

Predicates w/ quantifiers

$$(e/x)(\forall y. p) = \forall y. [e/x]p \quad y \neq x$$

$$(\exists)(e/x)\forall x. p = \forall x. p \neq \forall e. \cancel{[e/x]p}$$

Quantifying an exp
doesn't make sense

Subbing for wrong x

$$[e/x]\forall x. x > y$$

not the x we're replacing

$$\underline{(\forall 0 \leq x \leq 1. a(x) > 0)} \quad x := s \quad \underline{(\forall 0 \leq x < 1. a(x) > 0)}$$

Replace all free occurrences of x with e .

$$(e/x)((\forall y. y > x \rightarrow (\exists x. y > x)) \wedge x \neq 0)$$

$$= (\forall y. y > e \rightarrow (\exists x. y > x)) \wedge e \neq 0$$

Assign $\overline{\{[e/x]q\}}. x := e \quad \overline{\{q\}}$