

## Untyped $\lambda$ Calculus

"Terms"  $M ::= x \mid \lambda x. M \mid M M$

↑  
one arg. function      ↗  
application

### Semantics

Usually defined in terms of equivalence

$M \equiv M$

$$\frac{}{M \equiv M} \text{ (Reflexivity)}$$

$$\frac{M = M'}{M \equiv M} \text{ (Symmetry)}$$

$$\frac{M_1 \equiv M_2 \quad M_2 \equiv M_3}{M_1 \equiv M_3} \text{ (Trans.)}$$

$$\frac{M \equiv M'}{\lambda x. M \equiv \lambda x. M'} \text{ (Cong  $\lambda$ )}$$

$$\frac{M_1 \equiv M_1' \quad M_2 \equiv M_2'}{M_1 M_2 \equiv M_1' M_2'} \text{ (Cong APP)}$$

$$\frac{Y \notin FV(M)}{\lambda x. M \equiv \lambda y. (y/x) M} \text{ (\alpha)}$$

$$\frac{}{(\lambda x. M) N \equiv (N/x) M} \text{ (\beta)}$$

$$\frac{x \notin FV(M)}{\lambda x. M_x \equiv M} \text{ (\eta)}$$

Can we define it as reduction  $M \mapsto M'$ ? Yes. Many diff. ways

"Full  $\beta$  reduction" -  $\beta$  reduce (apply  $(\lambda x. M) N \mapsto [N/x] M$ ) anywhere until you can't.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\lambda x. x) ((\lambda x. x) (\lambda z. (\lambda x. x) z)) & \xrightarrow{\text{Convention: body of } \lambda \text{ extends}} & \lambda x. x = \text{id} \text{ (Identity func.)} \\
 \text{id} (\text{id} (\lambda z. \text{id} z)) & \xrightarrow{\text{until a close-paren.}} & \\
 \xrightarrow{\text{H}} \text{id} (\lambda z. \text{id} z) & & \text{id} (\text{id} (\lambda z. z)) \\
 \xrightarrow{\text{H}} (\lambda z. \text{id} z) & & \text{id} (\lambda z. z) \\
 \xrightarrow{\text{H}} \lambda z. z & & \lambda z. z
 \end{array}$$

$\beta$  normal form - No more  $\beta$  reductions possible!

Can also define call-by-value, call-by-name semantics  
 (or impose orderings on full  $\beta$  reduction)

$CBV$  - Evaluate arg. before substituting

$CBN$  - Evaluate function and then substitute

$$id(id(\lambda z. id z))$$

$$id(id(\lambda z. id z))$$

$$\rightarrow id(\lambda z. id z)$$

$$\rightarrow id(\lambda z. id z)$$

$$\rightarrow \lambda z. id z$$

$$\rightarrow \lambda z. id z$$

$CBV, CBN$  may not reach full ( $\beta$ -)normal form!

Normal form may not exist

$$(\lambda x. xx)(\lambda x. xx)$$

$$\rightarrow (\lambda x. xx)(\lambda x. xx)$$

$$\rightarrow \dots$$

$CBN$  can reach a normal form when  $CBV$  doesn't.

$$\begin{array}{c} (\lambda x. (\lambda z. z)) ((\lambda x. xx)(\lambda x. xx)) \\ \xrightarrow{CBN} (\lambda x. (\lambda z. z)) ((\lambda x. xx)(\lambda x. xx)) \\ \xrightarrow{CBN} \dots \end{array}$$

"Parallel reduction" - allows the most choice  $M \Rightarrow M'$

$$\frac{}{M \Rightarrow M} \text{(Refl)}$$

$$\frac{M \Rightarrow M' \quad N \Rightarrow N'}{MN \Rightarrow M'N'} \text{(App)}$$

$$\frac{M \Rightarrow M' \quad N \Rightarrow N'}{(\lambda x. M)N \Rightarrow [N/x]M'} \text{(App}\beta\text{)}$$

$$\frac{M \Rightarrow M'}{\lambda x. M \Rightarrow \lambda x. M'} \text{(Abs)}$$

Lemma (Diamond Property) If  $M \Rightarrow N_1$  and  $M \Rightarrow N_2$ , then  
 $\exists M' \text{ s.t. } N_1 \Rightarrow M' \text{ and } N_2 \Rightarrow M'$

$$\begin{array}{c} M \Rightarrow N_1 \Rightarrow M' \\ \Downarrow N_2 \Uparrow \\ M \end{array}$$

Pf. By induction on the derivation of  $M \Rightarrow N_1$  and  $M \Rightarrow N_2$ .

Thm (Church-Rosser) (Confluence)

If  $M \Rightarrow^* N_1$  and  $M \Rightarrow^* N_2$ , then  $\exists M' \text{ s.t. } N_1 \Rightarrow^* M' \text{ and } N_2 \Rightarrow^* M'$

Pf Sketch.

$$\begin{array}{c} M \Rightarrow N \Rightarrow N_2 \Rightarrow N_3 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow N \\ \Downarrow N_1 \Rightarrow N'_1 \Rightarrow N'_2 \Rightarrow N'_3 \Rightarrow \dots \Rightarrow N' \\ M' \end{array}$$

A language has the "Church-Rosser Property" if different evaluation strategies/orders lead to the same result (normal form)

