

Parallelism and Concurrency

Parallelism: Evaluate on multiple processors to speed up computation

Concurrency: Use multiple threads sharing resources (may/may not be parallel)

$$e ::= \dots | e_1 \| e_2$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 \| e_2 : \tau_1 \times \tau_2}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \mapsto e'_1}{e_1 \| e_2 \mapsto e'_1 \| e_2}$$

$$\frac{e_2 \mapsto e'_2}{e_1 \| e_2 \mapsto e_1 \| e'_2}$$

"inter-leaving"

$$\frac{e_1 \text{ val} \quad e_2 \text{ val}}{e_1 \| e_2 \mapsto (e_1, e_2)}$$

$$\text{Why not } \frac{e_1 \mapsto e'_1 \quad e_2 \mapsto e'_2}{e_1 \| e_2 \mapsto e'_1 \| e'_2}?$$

$$\begin{array}{l} 1+2 \| 3+4 \mapsto 3 \| 3+4 \mapsto 3 \| 7 \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad \mapsto 1+2 \| 7 \mapsto 3 \| 7 \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \text{Same answer!} \\ (\text{always true for STLC}) \end{array}$$

$$\frac{e_1 \| v_1 \quad e_2 \| v_2}{e_1 \| e_2 \Downarrow (v_1, v_2)} \quad \text{- can't capture diff. interleavings but that's OK.}$$

"Nested" parallelism

fix $\text{fib} = \lambda n. \text{ if } n \leq 1 \text{ then } n$

else

let $p = \text{fib}(n-1) \| \text{fib}(n-2)$

in
 $(\text{fst } p) + (\text{snd } p)$.

What about IMP?

$s ::= x := e / \text{if } e \text{ then } s_1 \text{ else } s_2 / \text{while } e \text{ do } s \text{ od}$
 $| s; s | \text{skip} | s // s$

$$\frac{\langle s_1, \sigma \rangle \mapsto \langle s'_1, \sigma' \rangle}{\langle s_1 // s_2, \sigma \rangle \mapsto \langle s'_1 // s_2, \sigma' \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\langle s_2, \sigma \rangle \mapsto \langle s'_2, \sigma' \rangle}{\langle s_1 // s_2, \sigma \rangle \mapsto \langle s_1 // s'_2, \sigma' \rangle}$$

$$\overline{\langle \text{skip} // \text{skip}, \sigma \rangle \mapsto \langle \text{skip}, \sigma \rangle}$$

$$\langle x := x+1 // x := x*2, \{x=1\} \mapsto \langle \text{skip} // x := x*2, \{x=2\} \mapsto^* \langle \text{skip}, \{x=4\} \rangle \rangle !$$

~~$\mapsto^* \langle x := x+1 // \text{skip}, \{x=2\} \mapsto^* \langle \text{skip}, \{x=3\} \rangle$~~

Except there are also more!

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle x := x + \bar{1} // x := \bar{x*2}, \{x=1\} \rangle \\ &\mapsto \langle x := \bar{1} + \bar{1} // x := \bar{x*2}, \{x=1\} \rangle \\ &\mapsto \langle x := \bar{1} + \bar{1} // x := \bar{1} + \bar{2}, \{x=1\} \rangle \\ &\mapsto \langle x := \bar{2} // x := \bar{2}, \{x=1\} \rangle \\ &\mapsto^* \langle \text{skip}, \{x=2\} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle (\text{while } x \text{ do skip od}) // x := 1, \{x=0\} \rangle$$

$\mapsto^* \dots$ or $\mapsto^* \dots$ (forever)

$$\mapsto^* \langle \text{while } x \text{ do skip od} // \text{skip}, \{x=1\} \rangle$$

$$\mapsto^* \langle \text{skip}, \{x=1\} \rangle$$

A more realistic model

$s := \dots | \stackrel{x:=}{\text{spawn}} s | \text{wait}_n(a)$
 $e := \dots | a \quad \text{thread "name"}$

a fresh ↗ not used before

$$\langle \text{X}^{\text{S}} \text{pawn } s, o \rangle \mapsto \langle \cancel{\text{skip}}, o[\alpha \mapsto s] \rangle$$

$$\sigma(a) = \text{skip}$$

(*) ↵ If $\sigma(a) \neq \text{skip}$, $\text{wait}(a)$ is stuck

$$\frac{\langle s_t, o \rangle \mapsto \langle s'_t, o' \rangle}{\langle s, o[a \mapsto s_t] \rangle \mapsto \langle s, o'[a \mapsto s'_t] \rangle}$$

Why is the main thread special?

Instead of $\langle \varsigma, o \rangle$, start with $o[\text{main} \mapsto \varsigma]$

$$\frac{\langle s, o \rangle \mapsto \langle s', o' \rangle}{o(a \mapsto s) \mapsto o'[a \mapsto s']} \quad - \text{choose any thread}$$

"Actual" parallelism - run n threads at once

$$\frac{\forall i \in [1, n]. \langle s_i, o \rangle \mapsto \langle s'_i, o'_i \rangle \quad \text{NSP} \quad \# \text{of processors} \quad o' = \text{merge}(o'_1, \dots, o'_n) \quad \text{resolve data races}}{o[a_1 \mapsto s_1] \dots [a_n \mapsto s_n] \mapsto o'[a_1 \mapsto s'_1] \dots [a_n \mapsto s'_n]}$$

This model subsumes nested parallelism

$s_1 || s_2 \stackrel{x}{=} \text{spawn } s_1; s_2; \text{wait}(x)$

And more:

```
while 1
do
  client := listen();
  _ := spawn(handle_conn client)
od
```

(*) Progress needs to change!

Local progress: If $P \vdash o$ and $P \vdash s \text{ ok}$ then $s = \text{skip}$ or $\langle s, o \rangle \rightarrow \langle s', o' \rangle$
or $s = \text{wait}(a)$ and $o(a) \neq \text{skip}$

So then do we know that $\langle o(a), o \rangle \rightarrow \langle s_a', o' \rangle$? Maybe not!

$X := \text{spawn skip}$ * Dummy thread to bind X

$y := \text{spawn}(\text{wait}(x))$

$z := \text{spawn}(\text{wait}(y))$ Could deadlock!