

Computer Exercise 3 Solutions

Exercise 1 1. If spread is zero, there is no favorite, and the probability that the team we (arbitrarily) label the favorite should have a 50% chance of winning.

2. If spread is zero, we have $\Phi(\beta_0 + \beta_1 \text{spread}) = \Phi(\beta_0)$. If there is no favorite, $P(\text{favwin} = 1 | \text{spread} = 0) = 0.5 = \Phi(\beta_0)$. Hence, we should expect $\beta_0 = 0$.

3. The linear probability model estimated by OLS gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{favwin} &= \underset{(.028)}{.577} + \underset{(.0023)}{.0194} \text{spread}, \\ &\quad \underset{[.032]}{\quad} \quad \underset{[.0019]}{\quad} \\ n &= 553, \quad R^2 = .111, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the usual standard errors are in (\cdot) and the heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors are in $[\cdot]$. Using the usual standard error, the t statistic for $H_0 : \beta_0 = .5$ is $\frac{.577 - .5}{.028} \approx 2.73$, which leads to rejecting H_0 against a two-sided alternative at the 1% level (critical value ≈ 2.58). Using the robust standard error reduces the significance but nevertheless leads to strong rejection of H_0 at the 2% level against a two-sided alternative: $t = \frac{.577 - .5}{.032} \approx 2.43$ (critical value ≈ 2.33).

4. As we expect, spread is very statistically significant using either usual or robust standard error. If spread is 10, the estimated probability that the favored team wins is $.577 + .0194 \times 10 = .771$.

5. Note that this is the analog of testing whether the intercept is .5 in the LPM when there is no favored team and the spread captures all the information about the game. The z statistic for testing $H_0 : \beta_0 = 0$ is only about $-.101$ with p -value around 92%, so we do not reject H_0 .

6. When spread = 10 the predicted response probability from the estimated probit model is $\Phi(-.0106 + .0925 \times 10) = \Phi(.9144) \approx .820$. This is somewhat above the estimate for the LPM.

7. The McFadden R -square for the unrestricted model is .132 while .129 for the restricted model. There is a slight improvement,

8. When *favhome*, *fav25*, and *und25* are added to the probit model, the value of the log likelihood becomes -262.64 . Therefore, the likelihood ratio statistic is $2[-262.64 - (-263.56)] = 2(263.56 - 262.64) = 1.84$. The p -value from the $\chi^2(3)$ distribution is about .61, so *favhome*, *fav25*, and *und25* are jointly very insignificant. The Wald test statistic and the p -value are similar to those of the LR test. Once spread is controlled for, these other factors have no additional power for predicting the outcome.