Assignment 5

ID: 120037910002 Name: Xingguo Jia Email: jiaxg1998@sjtu.edu.cn

Note: in this assignment, we use m to denote the object function value achieved by the **approximation algorithm** and m^* to denote OPT.

1. Use layering to get a factor f approximation algorithm for set cover, where f is the frequency of the most frequent element. Provide a tight example for this algorithm.

Algorithm: Suppose $I = S_1, S_2, \dots, S_n$ are the subsets of $E, C \leftarrow \phi, i \leftarrow 0, E_0 \leftarrow E$

- 1. Move all empty sets from I_i to D_i
- 2. Let $c = min\{w(S)/|S|\}$ for all $S \in I_i$
- 3. $t_i(S) = c * |S|, w(S) \leftarrow w(S) t_i(S)$ for all $S \in I_i$
- 4. $W_i \leftarrow \{S \in I_i \mid w(S) = 0\}, C \leftarrow C \cup W_i$
- 5. $I_{i+1} \leftarrow I_i (D_i \cup W_i), E_{i+1} \leftarrow E_i (D_i \cup W_i)$
- 6. $i \leftarrow i + 1$, repeat step 2
- We prove that if w(S)/|S|=c for all $S\in I_i$, then $w(I)\leq f*OPT$. We have

$$|E| \le \sum_{S_i \in U} |S_i| \Rightarrow c|E| \le c \sum_{S_i \in U} |S_i| = OPT$$

and

$$\sum_{S_i \in I} |S_i| \le f * |E| \Rightarrow c * \sum_{S_i \in I} |S_i| \le c * f * |E| \le f * OPT$$

 \bullet Then we prove this is an f approximation algorithm for set cover. We have

$$w(C) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} t_i(C \cap I_i) \le f * \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} t_i(U \cap I_i) \le f * w(U) = f * OPT$$

• Tight example:

$$E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}, i = \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_f\}$$

• where

$$S_i = E, w(S_i) = 1, i = 1, \dots, f$$

• The algorithm would choose all $S_i \in I$, which has weight m = f. Optimal solution is $m^* = 1$, Thus

$$\frac{m}{m^*} = f$$

2. Let G = (V, E) be an undirected graph with nonnegative edge costs. S, the senders and R, the receivers, are disjoint subsets of V. The problem is to find a minimum cost subgraph of G such that for every receiver r in R, there is at least one sender s in S such that there is a path connecting r to s in the subgraph. Give a factor 2 approximation algorithm that runs in polynomial time.

Solution:

- Add a vertex v and edges (v, s) for all $s \in S$ with cost 0, and we get graph G'.
- Run MST-based algorithm on G' where $\{v\} \cup R$ is the required set of vertices, and the result is tree T'. We remove vertex v from T' and get T. For any $v \in R$, since there is a path connecting v and v in v and this path must go through some $v \in S$, there is a path connecting v and some $v \in S$.
- Thus, T is a subgraph of G such that for every receiver r in R, there is at least one sender s in S such that there is a path connecting r to s in the T, which is a factor 2 approximation.

3. (**Bin Packing**) Consider a more restricted algorithm than First-Fit, called Next-Fit, which tries to pack the next item only in the most recently started bin. If it does not fit, it is packed in a new bin. Show that this algorithm also achieves factor 2. Give a factor 2 tight example.

Solution:

- Suppose we use N bins by the Next-Fit algorithm, and each bin has $0 \le A_i \le 1$ (i = 1, ..., N) amount of item.
- Consider two adjacent bins j and j + 1 $(1 \le j \le N 1)$, we have

$$A_j + A_{j+1} > 1$$

• Thus we have

$$2 * OPT \ge 2 * \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} A_i = A_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} (A_j + A_{j+1}) + A_N > \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} (A_j + A_{j+1}) > N - 1$$

- Which implies $2 * OPT \ge N$.
- Tight Example: let $0 < \epsilon \le \frac{1}{n}$, we have 2 * n items

$$A_{2i-1} = 2\epsilon, A_{2i} = 1 - \epsilon, i = 1, \dots, n$$

• Run the Next-Fit algorithm, we need m=2n bins, but actually, we put $A_{2i-1}, i=1,\ldots,n$ all in one bin, and each A_{2i} in one bin, we get $m^*=n+1$ bins. Let $n\to +\infty$, we have

$$\frac{m}{m^*} = 2$$

.

4. (Hamilton cycle) Given an undirected complete graph, each edge is assigned with a nonnegative cost by the function c (eg. the cost for edge (u, v) is c(u, v)). Find a Hamilton cycle with the largest cost by the greedy approach, and prove the guarantee factor is 2.

Solution:

Algorithm 1 Greedy-Algorithm for MAX Hamilton cycle

```
1: Choose a random vertex v \in V, let 2: C \leftarrow \{v\}
3: while |C| < |V| do 4: C \leftarrow C \cup v_m, s.t. c(v, v_m) \ge c(v, v_i), \forall v_i \in V
5: v \leftarrow v_m
6: end while 7: return C
```

• We prove Algorithm 1 has a guarantee factor of 2. Let

$$C = v_1 v_2 \dots v_{|V|}$$

• and the optimal solution is

$$O = v_{t_1} v_{t_2} \dots v_{t_{|V|}}$$

• For $1 \le i \le |V| - 1$, we have

$$c(v_{t_i}, v_{t_{i+1}}) \le \max\{c(v_{t_i}, v_{t_{i+1}}), c(v_{t_{i+1}}, v_{t_{i+1}+1})\} \le c(v_{t_i}, v_{t_{i+1}}) + c(v_{t_{i+1}}, v_{t_{i+1}+1})$$

• Thus

$$m = \sum c(v_i, v_{i+1}) = \sum c(v_{t_i}, v_{t_{i+1}}) = \frac{1}{2} * \sum (c(v_{t_i}, v_{t_{i+1}}) + c(v_{t_{i+1}}, v_{t_{i+1}+1})) \ge \frac{1}{2} * \sum c(v_{t_i}, v_{t_{i+1}}) = \frac{1}{2} * m^* = \frac{1}{2} * OPT$$

- **5.** Given a directed graph G=(V,E), we need to pick a maximum cardinality set of edges from E so that the resulting subgraph is acyclic. Find a factor $\frac{1}{2}$ approximate algorithm for this problem. **Solution:**
 - Assign a unique integer $f(v_i)$ for each vertex $v_i \in V$. For each edge $(v_i, v_j) \in E$, it is in either of the two sets:

$$A = \{(v_i, v_i) \mid (v_i, v_i) \in E, f(v_i) > f(v_i)\}, B = \{(v_i, v_i) \mid (v_i, v_i) \in E, f(v_i) < f(v_i)\}$$

• There is no cycle in A or B. WLOG, $|A| \ge |B|$. Let A be the result, then we have

$$m = |A| = \frac{|A|}{2} + \frac{|A|}{2} \ge \frac{|A|}{2} + \frac{|B|}{2} = \frac{|E|}{2} = \frac{m^*}{2}$$

- **6.** (Knapsack) Given a set $S = \{a_1, ..., a_n\}$ of objects, with specified non-negative weights and profits, w_i, p_i respectively, and a "knapsack capacity" $B(w_i \leq B)$, find a subset of objects whose total weight is bounded by B and total profit is maximized.
- 1. Consider two types of greedy algorithms for the knapsack problem. Sort the objects by decreasing **ratio of profit to weight** or only by **profit**, and then greedily pick objects in this order. Show that these two algorithms can be made to perform arbitrarily badly.
- 2. Combining these two greedy algorithm, pick the more profitable solution in these two algorithms' results. Show that this algorithm achieves an approximation factor of 2.

Solution:

- Let ϵ be an arbitrarily small number, and n be an arbitrarily large number.
- (ratio of profit to weight)

$$(w_1, p_1) = (2\epsilon, 3\epsilon), (w_2, p_2) = (B - \epsilon, B - \epsilon)$$

• The algorithm would choose the first item but cannot choose the second item, which has an approximation factor of

$$\frac{m}{m^*} = \frac{3\epsilon}{B - \epsilon} \to 0$$

• (profit)

$$(w_1, p_1) = (\epsilon, B - \epsilon), (w_2, p_2) = (\epsilon, B - \epsilon), \dots, (w_n, p_n) = (\epsilon, B - \epsilon), (w_{n+1}, p_{n+1}) = (B, B)$$

• Then the algorithm would choose the last item, which has an approximation factor of

$$\frac{m}{m^*} = \frac{B}{n*(B-\epsilon)} = \frac{1}{n*(1-\frac{\epsilon}{B})} \to 0$$

• By combining the two algorithms, we mean running the both two algorithms on the same data, and get the more profitable result. Sort the items by **ratio of profit to weight** and we have k where

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i \le B, \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} w_i > B$$

• Since the first k+1 items has the highest ratio of profit to weight and its weight sum is greater than B, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} p_i > OPT$$

• Then we have

$$m_{combined} = max\{m_{ratio}, m_{profit}\} \ge \frac{m_{ratio} + m_{profit}}{2}$$
$$= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i + m_{profit}}{2} \ge \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} p_i + p_{k+1}}{2} > \frac{OPT}{2}$$

7. (Maximum Cut) Given an undirected graph G = (V, E), the cardinality maximum cut problem asks for a partition of V into sets S and \bar{S} so that the number of edges running between these sets is maximized. Find a factor 2 approximation algorithm for this problem.

Solution:

Algorithm 2 Greedy-Algorithm for Maximum Cut

```
1: Define d(S,T) = |\{(u,v) \mid u \in S, v \in T\}|

2: n = |V|

3: S_0 \leftarrow \phi, T_0 \leftarrow \phi

4: for v_i \in V, i = 1, \dots, n do

5: S_i \leftarrow S_{i-1}

6: T_i \leftarrow T_{i-1}

7: if d(\{v_i\}, S_i) > d(\{v_i\}, T_i) then

8: T_i \leftarrow T_i \cup \{v_i\}

9: else

10: S_i \leftarrow S_i \cup \{v_i\}

11: end if

12: end for

13: return cut S_n, T_n
```

• We prove Algorithm 2 has approximation factor 2. Since every time we add a new vertex to S_i or T_i , we add

$$max\{d(\{v_i\}, S_i), d(\{v_i\}, T_i)\}$$

• number of edges running between S_n and T_n . Thus we have

$$2m = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \max\{d(\{v_i\}, S_i), d(\{v_i\}, T_i)\} \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} (d(\{v_i\}, S_i) + d(\{v_i\}, T_i)) = |E| \ge OPT = m^*$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{m^*}{m} \le 2$$

8. Consider the following problem: Given an undirected graph and compute the number of matchings (not the cardinality of a single matching, but the number of different ways of matching) in the graph. Show that if we have an α -approximation algorithm for it for some constant α , then we also have a PTAS.

Solution:

• Based on the α -approximation algorithm f, we design the following algorithm. We have

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} * OPT(G) \le f(G) \le \alpha * OPT(G)$$

• First, transform G to G' = kG, where G' has k graph Gs with no edges between them. Then run algorithm f on G', we have

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} * OPT(kG) \le f(kG) \le \alpha * OPT(kG), \alpha > 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha} * OPT(G)^k \le f(kG) \le \alpha * OPT(G)^k$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt[k]{\alpha}} * OPT(G) \le \sqrt[k]{f(kG)} \le \sqrt[k]{\alpha} * OPT(G)$$

• Let $k \to +\infty$, then

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt[k]{\alpha}} \to 1, \sqrt[k]{\alpha} \to 1$$

• and we get a PTAS algorithm $f_1(G) = \sqrt[k]{f(kG)}$.

9. Let G be a complete undirected graph in which all edge lengths are either 1 or 2. Note, that edge lengths satisfy the triangle inequality. Give a factor 4/3 approximation algorithm for the TSP in this special class of graphs.

Hint: A 2-matching in a graph G is a subset of edges M, such that every vertex is incident to exactly 2 edges of M. A minimum cost 2-matching can be computed in polynomial time.

Solution:

- We have the following algorithm: run minimum cost 2-matching algorithm on G and get minimum cost 2-matching M. Suppose M consists of n cycles. We remove one edge from each cycle and connect them to be one cycle M' and return M'. This runs in polynomial time.
- \bullet First, since the minimum size of each cycle in M is 3, we have

$$n \leq \lfloor |V|/3 \rfloor$$

• Then

$$m = C(M') \leq C(M) + n \leq OPT + \lfloor |V|/3 \rfloor \leq OPT + OPT/3 = \frac{4}{3} * OPT$$