

iGrad - Year 3 Annual Review

Gray, Randall Carey (097982)
S9D, Doctor of Philosophy, Science



Candidate Report

Section 1 - Report on Progress

Please advise the progress that you have made since commencement and list all research activity undertaken (e.g. completion of milestones, data collection, chapter writing, submitted and/or published works, conference presentations). Please also outline any problems that have affected your progress (e.g. technical, personal, supervisory, lack of equipment or access to resources, etc.) You must also advise what steps have been taken in response to these problems AND any future actions that may be required.

Note: It is essential that any problems are discussed at supervisory meetings. Failure to implement strategies to address delays may affect future applications for extensions of candidature and / or scholarship.

First paper published (Environmental Modelling and Software). I am currently exporing notations and methods for a more general treatment of the topic, with a second paper using the structure to be written during the next year.

Since commencement have you identified any actions / issues in regards to:

Research Timeline	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
Infrastructure	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
Occupational Health & Safety	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
Regulatory Approvals (e.g. Ethics)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
Intellectual Property	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No
If you have answered yes to any of the above, have you updated your Research Plan?				
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No

Research Plan

You can attach an electronic copy of your research plan.

No files attached

Section 2 - Supervisory Meetings

How satisfied were you with your supervisory meetings?

☒ Satisfied ☐ Neutral ☐ Unsatisfied

Please provide details:

Section 3 - Other Comments/Concerns/Issues

Examples include:

- Specific topics you wish to discuss in the meeting
- Any information/assistance that may be required (e.g. assistance with time management, training to use equipment, English language assistance, etc.)
- Impressions about the candidature so far and/or the direction of the HDR
- Graduate Certificate in Research elective options (where applicable)
- Future opportunities (e.g. conference attendance, career goals etc)
- Suggestions to improve HDR candidature within the School or within the University.

If you have any other comments, concerns or issues please provide details below:

Section 4 - Additional Person (optional)

You may request permission to have an additional person, who is not an immediate family member or a legal representative, in attendance at your meeting. This person is an observer only and is not permitted to take an active part in the meeting. Your request must be received by your Graduate Research Co-ordinator at least 7 days before the meeting. If the request is not approved by your Graduate Research Co-ordinator you may appeal this decision to the Dean of Graduate Research.

Name of Requested Additional Person:

Relationship to you:

iGrad - Year 3 Annual Review

Gray, Randall Carey (097982)
S9D, Doctor of Philosophy, Science



Supervisor Report

Section 1 - Research Plan

Is the candidate's research plan well-developed with realistic milestones to ensure completion within the maximum permitted candidature time?

☒ Yes ☐ No

If 'No', please provide details:

No text entered.

Section 2 - Supervisory Meetings

2a. How satisfied was the supervisory team with the supervisory meetings?

☒ Satisfied ☐ Neutral ☐ Unsatisfied

Please provide details:

Randall is only part-time and must juggle study and work, and our meeting schedule is somewhat erratic.

2b. Were any members of the supervisory team absent for an extended period since the candidate commenced their HDR AND did this absence affect the candidate's progress?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If 'Yes', please provide details:

No text entered.

2c. Will any members of the supervisory team be absent for an extended period during the next 12 months?

☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, alternative supervisory arrangements should be discussed and, if necessary, the candidate's research plan updated accordingly.

Section 3 - Other Comments/Concerns/Issues

Examples include:

- Specific topics you wish to discuss in the meeting
- Any information/assistance that may be required (e.g. assistance with time management, training to use equipment, English language assistance, etc.)
- Impressions about the candidature so far and/or the direction of the HDR
- Graduate Certificate in Research elective options (where applicable)
- Future opportunities (e.g. conference attendance, career goals etc)
- Suggestions to improve HDR candidature within the School or within the University.

If you have any other comments, concerns or issues please provide details below:

No text entered.

iGrad - Year 3 Annual Review

Gray, Randall Carey (097982)
S9D, Doctor of Philosophy, Science



Year 3 Annual Review Report

Section 1 - Thesis Submission

1.1 Maximum Candidature Expiry Date 31/01/2016

1.2 Expected Thesis Submission Date 31 Jan 2016



NOTE: If the expected thesis submission date is after the maximum candidature expiry date the candidate MUST receive a 'C' or 'D' rating for their Annual Review.

Section 2 - Review Meeting Attendance

Committee members who were in attendance:

	Name	In Attendance
Graduate Research Coordinator	Doctor Gardner, Barry James	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Supervisor	Doctor Wotherspoon, Simon John	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Research Supervisor	Dr Lyne, Vincent David	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Research Supervisor	Doctor Fulton, Elizabeth Ann	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Co-Supervisor	Professor Forbes, Lawrence Kennedy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Other attendees

Name Position / Reason for inclusion

No other attendee details recorded.

Section 3 - Outcome

Please advise the outcome of the Review below:

- ☐ **A** The candidate is making good progress and no significant problems have arisen. The candidate is expected to complete within the maximum permitted candidature time. Candidature to continue.
- ☒ **B** The candidate is making satisfactory progress, however, issues have been identified that may delay submission of the thesis. The candidate and supervisory team have discussed these issues and strategies are in place to enable completion within the maximum permitted candidature time. Details of the strategies are provided below. Candidature to continue.
- ☐ **C** The candidate's progress has been unsatisfactory as detailed below.
OR
The candidate's expected thesis submission date exceeds the maximum permitted candidate expiry date. A Candidature Management Plan (CMP) has been implemented. Candidature to continue subject to the candidate meeting milestones outlined in the CMP.
NOTE: The Graduate Research Office MUST be notified if milestones are not being met.
- Candidature Management Plan**
If the candidate is on a Candidature Management plan (CMP) you can attach a copy of it to this form.
No files attached
- ☐ **D** The performance and/or conduct of the candidate have been unsatisfactory as detailed below. Termination of candidature under Rule 4 - Rules of Graduate Research (13.1 a & b) is recommended.

Committee Notes

Required if the selected outcome is 'B', 'C' or 'D'.

The candidate had a small delay through time spent editing an accepted paper.

Supporting Documentation (optional)

Please attach any evidence the committee used in coming to its decision.

No files attached

iGrad - Year 3 Annual Review

Gray, Randall Carey (097982)
S9D, Doctor of Philosophy, Science



Section 4 - Review of Progress (optional)

The Supervisor(s), Graduate Research Coordinator or Head of School recommend that the candidate's progress be reviewed (within the School / Institute) at a nominated date to ensure milestones are being met.

☒ Review not Required ☐ Review Recommended

Date of Review:



Please ensure that the candidate has been advised of the requirements and timeframes for this review.