APPENDIX

MATHEMATICAL DEFINITIONS

The trees we use are members of a normed vector space: we can add them, find out how far apart they are and interpolate between them. In principle, we can run clustering algorithms to find configurations that are similar, and identify when a model has left one cluster and entered another.

DEFINITION 1. Let S be a set of labels, and let \mathbb{F} be a field like the real or complex numbers. Then we define a node n as a triplet of the form (s, v, \mathbf{E}) , with $v \in \mathbb{F}$, $s \subset S$, and the set \mathbf{E} is a (possibly empty) set of nodes of the same form with the restriction that no two nodes in \mathbf{E} may have the same label in their first ordinate. We also define the triple $\mathbf{O} = (\emptyset, 0, \emptyset)$, which we will call the null tree, and define \mathbf{T} to be the union of the set of all trees composed of a finite number of these nodes.

The ordinates of $\boldsymbol{u}=(\boldsymbol{u}_s,\boldsymbol{u}_v,\boldsymbol{u}_E)$ in \boldsymbol{T} correspond to its label, value, and extension set. An element of \boldsymbol{u}_E will be called an extension.

In our discussion, it will help to have a few more descriptive terms.

A node with an empty extension set is called a *simple* node, if this node happens to be a root node, then it is a simple tree.

Two trees, $u, v \in T$ are called *compatible* if either $u_s = v_s$ or at least one of u and v is 0.

We define the *depth* of a tree with:

$$\operatorname{depth}(\boldsymbol{u}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{u} = (\emptyset, 0, \emptyset) = \mathbf{O} \\ 1 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{u} \text{ is a simple node} \\ 1 + \max(\{\operatorname{depth}(\boldsymbol{v}) : \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{E}}\}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We will also define for $u \in T$,

$$\text{trim}(\boldsymbol{u}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{0} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{u} = \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{u} \text{ is simple} \\ (\boldsymbol{u}_{\varsigma}, \boldsymbol{u}_{v}, \{\text{trim}(\boldsymbol{e}) : \\ \forall \boldsymbol{e} \in \boldsymbol{u}_{E}\} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The cardinality of a tree is the number of nodes it contains. Specifically,

$$\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{\mathtt{T}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{u} = \mathbf{0} \\ 1 + \sum_{\boldsymbol{e} \in \boldsymbol{u}_E} \|\boldsymbol{e}\|_{\mathtt{T}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Simple nodes are the only nodes that have a cardinality of one, and **O** is the only node or tree with a cardinality of zero.

The support of a tree is the number of nodes which have a non-zero value.

$$\operatorname{supp}(\boldsymbol{u}) = \begin{cases} 0 + \sum_{\boldsymbol{e} \in \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{E}}} \operatorname{supp}(\boldsymbol{e}) & \text{if } \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{v}} = 0 \\ 1 + \sum_{\boldsymbol{e} \in \boldsymbol{u}_{\boldsymbol{E}}} \operatorname{supp}(\boldsymbol{e}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Related is the fundamental support of a tree, which only counts nodes with no zero valued nodes in their connection to the root node

$$\text{fund}(\boldsymbol{u}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{u}_v = 0 \\ 1 + \sum_{\boldsymbol{e} \in \boldsymbol{u}_E} \text{fund}(\boldsymbol{e}) & \text{otherwise} \enspace . \end{cases}$$

Clearly the support of a tree, supp u, must lie in the domain $[0, ||u||_T]$ and fund $u \leq \text{supp}(u)$.

The overlap between two trees is defined

$$\text{overlap}(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{v}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{0} \text{ or } \boldsymbol{v} = \boldsymbol{0} \text{ or } \boldsymbol{u}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathcal{S}} \neq \boldsymbol{v}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathcal{S}} \\ 1 + \sum_{\boldsymbol{e} \in \boldsymbol{u}_E} \text{overlap}(\boldsymbol{e},\boldsymbol{f}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Clearly two trees, \boldsymbol{u} and \boldsymbol{v} , are compatible if and only if $\operatorname{overlap}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) \neq 0$; they will be said to completely overlap if $\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{\mathsf{T}} = \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{\mathsf{T}} = \operatorname{overlap}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})$.

We can now define scalar multiplication, and tree addition.

Definition 2. Take $a \in \mathbb{F}$ and $u \in T$, then

$$au = \begin{cases} \mathbf{0} & \text{if } u = \mathbf{0} \\ (u_s, au_v, \{af : f \in u_E\} \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (1)

DEFINITION 3. Let u and v be compatible elements of T. Then taking the symbol + to be addition in the field \mathbb{F} , we extend it to addition in T so that for nodes u and v,

$$\boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{v} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{0} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{v} = \mathbf{0} \\ \boldsymbol{u} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{u} \neq \mathbf{0} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{v} = \mathbf{0} \\ \boldsymbol{v} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{u} = \mathbf{0} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{v} \neq \mathbf{0} \\ (\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathcal{S}}, \boldsymbol{u}_{v} + \boldsymbol{v}_{v}, \emptyset) & \text{if } \boldsymbol{u}_{E}, \boldsymbol{v}_{E} = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{u}_{\mathcal{S}}, \boldsymbol{u}_{v} + \boldsymbol{v}_{v}, \left(\{ \boldsymbol{f} + \boldsymbol{g} : \boldsymbol{f} \in \boldsymbol{u}_{E} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{g} \in \boldsymbol{v}_{E} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{f}_{\mathcal{S}} = \boldsymbol{g}_{\mathcal{S}} \right)$$

$$(\boldsymbol{f} : \boldsymbol{f} \in \boldsymbol{u}_{E} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{f}_{\mathcal{S}} \neq \boldsymbol{g}_{\mathcal{S}} \forall \boldsymbol{g} \in \boldsymbol{v} \}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{g} : \boldsymbol{g} \in \boldsymbol{v}_{E} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{g}_{\mathcal{S}} \neq \boldsymbol{f}_{\mathcal{S}} \forall \boldsymbol{f} \in \boldsymbol{u} \} \right) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$$
 otherwise.

Definition 4. Let u and v be compatible elements of T. Then we define inner-multiplication between the two nodes

$$u \cdot v = (u_s, u_v v_v, \{f \cdot g : f \in u_E, g \in v_E \text{ and } f_s = g_s\} \setminus \{0\})$$
 (3)

It can be shown that T with scalar multiplication and tree addition forms a vector space. This isn't quite enough to give us distances between trees, however, so we define a semi-norm

Definition 5. Let u be an element of T. Then we can define a semi-norm over T

$$\langle \boldsymbol{u} \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \boldsymbol{u} = \boldsymbol{0} \\ |\boldsymbol{u}_v| & \text{if } \boldsymbol{u}_E = \emptyset \\ |\boldsymbol{u}_v| + \sum_{\boldsymbol{e} \in \boldsymbol{u}_E} \langle \boldsymbol{e} \rangle & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\langle \boldsymbol{u} \rangle$ will always be non-negative, and the only shortcoming is that we can have a node \boldsymbol{u} with $\langle \boldsymbol{u} \rangle = 0$, but $\boldsymbol{u} \neq \boldsymbol{0}$. In order to turn this into a normed vector space we take the set $\mathfrak{O} = \{\boldsymbol{u} : \boldsymbol{u} \in \boldsymbol{T} \text{ and } \langle \boldsymbol{u} \rangle = 0\}$ and we construct an equivalence relation on \boldsymbol{T} by the rule $[\boldsymbol{u}] \equiv [\boldsymbol{v}]$ if and only if there exist $\boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{u}}, \boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{v}} \in \mathfrak{O}$ such that $\boldsymbol{u} + \boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{u}} = \boldsymbol{v} + \boldsymbol{z}_{\boldsymbol{v}}$. It can be shown that scalar multiplication, tree addition, and the semi-norm behave appropriately with respect to the equivalence classes. This means that if we identify elements of \boldsymbol{T} with their equivalence class, then we can take $\langle \boldsymbol{u} \rangle$ to be a norm and that it induces a distance function

$$d(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = \langle \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v} \rangle$$

Definition 6. We define the functions mask and mask that set the values associated with particular nodes in a tree to $v \in \mathbb{F}$. Specifically, if $L \subset T$, then

$$\max(\boldsymbol{u}, \mathcal{L}, v) = \begin{cases} (\boldsymbol{u}_{\varsigma}, v, \{ \max(\boldsymbol{f}, \mathcal{L}, v) : \boldsymbol{f} \in \boldsymbol{u}_{E} \}) & \text{if } \boldsymbol{u}_{\varsigma} \in \mathcal{L} \\ (\boldsymbol{u}_{\varsigma}, \boldsymbol{u}_{v}, \{ \max(\boldsymbol{f}, \mathcal{L}, v) : \boldsymbol{f} \in \boldsymbol{u}_{E} \}) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(4)

and

$$\overline{\text{mask}}(\boldsymbol{u}, \mathcal{L}, v) = \begin{cases} (\boldsymbol{u}_{s}, v, \{\overline{\text{mask}}(\boldsymbol{f}, \mathcal{L}, v) : \boldsymbol{f} \in \boldsymbol{u}_{E}\}) & \text{if } \boldsymbol{u}_{s} \notin \mathcal{L} \\ (\boldsymbol{u}_{s}, \boldsymbol{u}_{v}, \{\overline{\text{mask}}(\boldsymbol{f}, \mathcal{L}, v) : \boldsymbol{f} \in \boldsymbol{u}_{E}\}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(5)

mask(u, L, 0) would return a tree similar to u, but all its nodes that have labels in L would have values of zero.

We also define several functions which prune or select a child from a tree's extension set. This function returns only the part of u which overlaps p,

$$\mathrm{excise}(\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{p}) = \begin{cases} (\boldsymbol{u}_{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathcal{S}}},\boldsymbol{u}_{\scriptscriptstyle{v}}, \{\mathrm{excise}(\boldsymbol{f},\boldsymbol{g}): \boldsymbol{f} \in \boldsymbol{u}_{\scriptscriptstyle{E}} \ \text{and} \ \boldsymbol{g} \in \boldsymbol{p} \ \text{and} \ \boldsymbol{f}_{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathcal{S}}} = \boldsymbol{g}_{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathcal{S}}}\} \setminus \{\boldsymbol{0}\}) & \textit{if } \boldsymbol{u}_{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathcal{S}}} = \mathcal{L} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \textit{if } \boldsymbol{u}_{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathcal{S}}} \neq \boldsymbol{p}_{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathcal{S}}} \\ (\boldsymbol{u}_{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathcal{S}}},\boldsymbol{u}_{\scriptscriptstyle{v}}, \emptyset) & \textit{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and this one either returns an appropriately labelled child from the extension set (a branch), or O.

$$\operatorname{child}(\boldsymbol{u}, \ell) = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{f} & \text{if } \boldsymbol{f}_{s} = \ell \text{ and } \boldsymbol{f} \in \boldsymbol{u}_{E} \\ \boldsymbol{O} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
 (7)

We now finish with a definition of a function, $\Delta(,)$, that gives us a measure of the degree of divergence between two trees.

Definition 7. The degree of deviation between two trees, u and v is given by the expression

$$\Delta(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) = \begin{cases} \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{\mathsf{T}} + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{\mathsf{T}} - 2\operatorname{overlap}(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}) + \langle \boldsymbol{u} - \boldsymbol{v} \rangle & \text{if } \boldsymbol{u} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{v} \text{ are compatible} \\ \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{\mathsf{T}} + \|\boldsymbol{v}\|_{\mathsf{T}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(8)

The rationale behind this definition is that if trees u and v are identical, then $\Delta(u, v)$ will be zero. We also want nodes that aren't common to both trees to count as differences.