Functionals of p(x, y) invariant under marginal bijections and which satisfy the data-processing inequality

Charles Zheng and Yuval Benjamini

August 12, 2017

These are preliminary notes.

1 Motivation

The mutual information

$$I(X;Y) = I[p(x,y)] = \int_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} \log\left(\frac{p(x,y)}{p_x(x)p_y(y)}\right) p(x)p(y)dxdy$$

and the k-class average Bayes accuracy

$$ABA_k(X;Y) = ABA_k[p(x,y)] = \int_{(x,y)\in\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{Y}} \max_{i=1}^k p_{x|y}(x|y_i) p_x(x) dx \prod_{i=1}^k p_y(y_i) dy_i$$

are two examples of functionals used to measure the strength of dependence between continuous random variables X and Y based on their joint density p(x,y). Both have been used in neuroscience applications as tools for model selection. Their usefulness in this regard is due to the fact that each satisfies the data-processing inequality. A functional F[p(x,y)] satisfies the dataprocessing inequality if, given a marginal transition kernel Q_x or Q_y , we have

$$F[p] \ge F[Q_x p]$$

and

$$F[p] \ge F[Q_y p]$$

for all joint densities p(x,y) and marginal transition kernels Q_x and Q_y . A marginal transition kernel is a probability transition kernel which operates only on x or y but not both. Marginal kernels Q_x which operate on x are defined as collections of probability distributions Q_x on \mathcal{X} for each $z \in \mathcal{X}$. The kernel Q_x acts on p(x,y) to transform it into the joint density $\tilde{p}(x,y)$ on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$

$$\tilde{p}(x,y) = Q_x p(x,y) = \int_{\mathcal{X}} p(z,y) dQ_x(z)$$

Kernels Q_y which operate on y are defined analogously, such that Q_y is a distribution on \mathcal{Y} for each $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\tilde{p}(x,y) = Q_y p(x,y) = \int_{\mathcal{Y}} p(x,z) dQ_y(z).$$

The question we wish to address in these notes is: what other functionals of continuous joint densities p(x,y) satisfy the data-processing inequality? We call such functionals *information coefficients*.

2 Information coefficients from divergences

Generalizing the mutual information readily gives more examples. The mutual information is the KL-divergence between the join density p(x, y) and the product distribution of the marginals, $p_x(x)p_y(y)$:

$$I[p(x,y)] = D_{KL}(p(x,y)||p_x(x)p_y(y))$$

where

$$D_{KL}(p(x)||q(x)) = \int \log\left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right)p(x)dx.$$

However, the KL-divergence is closely related to the family of Renyi α -divergences

$$D_{\alpha}(p(x)||q(x)) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \int \left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right)^{\alpha} q(x)dx$$

for $\alpha \geq 0$ with $\alpha \neq 1$. In fact, $D_{KL} = \lim_{\alpha \to 1} D_{\alpha}$. Therefore, define the symmetric α -information as

$$I_{\alpha}[p(x,y)] = D_{\alpha}(p(x,y)||p_x(x)p_y(y)) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \int \left(\frac{p(x,y)}{p_x(x)p_y(y)}\right)^{\alpha} p_x(x)p_y(y)dx.$$

As we will see, I_{α} also satisfies the data-processing inequality. In fact, we can generalize even beyond α -divergences. Let D(p||q) be any divergence between probability distributions which satisfies the *contraction inequality*

$$D(p||q) \ge D(Qp||Qq)$$

for all densities p, q and transition kernels Q. Then define the functional I_D as the divergence between the joint density and the product density,

$$I_D[p(x,y)] = D(p(x,y)||p_x(x)p_y(y)).$$

It can be easily seen that the data-processing inequality for I_D follows as a special case of the contraction inequality for D. But which divergences satisfy the contraction inequality?

A first step is to note that the contraction inequality implies invariance under bijections. This is due to the fact that any deterministic bijection is equivalent to some transition kernel: if ϕ is a bijection which acts on densities p(x) by

$$\tilde{p}(x) = \phi p = \frac{p(\phi^{-1}(x))}{|\det J\phi|}$$

where $J\phi$ is the determinant of the Jacobian of ϕ , then the transition kernel Q, defined by

$$Q_x = \delta_{\phi(x)}$$

satisfies

$$\phi p = Q_x p$$

for all densities p. From bijection invariance, it follows that any divergence which satisfies the contraction inequality must be an f-divergence, that is,

$$D_f(p||q) = \int f\left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right) q(x)dx. \tag{1}$$

However, it remains to determine which functions f result in divergences which satisfy the contraction inequality.

3 The first-order contraction inequality

It will be useful to consider very *small* transition kernels, where by "small" we mean a transition kernel very close to the identity operator. For any given

transition kernel Q, we can define a family of δ -shrunken kernels defined by

$$Q^{\delta} = (1 - \delta)I + \delta Q,$$

where I is the identity operator. That is,

$$Q^{\delta}p = (1 - \delta)p + \delta Qp. \tag{2}$$

The first-order contraction inequality is obtained by requiring $D(Q^{\delta}p||Q^{\delta}q) \leq D(p||q)$ for small δ . In the limit of small δ , the contraction inequality therefore becomes:

Definition (First-order contraction inequality): We say that the divergence D satisfies the first-order contraction inequality if and only if

$$\frac{d}{d\delta}D(Q^{\delta}p||Q^{\delta}q)|_{\delta=0} \le 0$$

for all densities p and all transition kernels Q.

It is easy to see that the contraction inequality implies the first-order contraction inequality, since the contraction inequality holds for all transition kernels, including ones arbitrarily close to identity. However, we will also show that the first-order contraction inequality implies the contraction inequality given certain regularity conditions on D: for this class of divergences D, they are equivalent.

Define an infinitely-divisible transition kernel Q as a kernel which satisfies

$$Q = \lim_{n \to \infty} \prod_{i=1}^{n} Q^{\delta(n)}$$

for some sequence $\delta(n)$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} \delta(n) = 0$. It is clear that the first-order contraction inequality implies that the contraction inequality holds for all infinitely-divisible kernels Q. To extend to all transition kernels in general, we have to show that any transition kernel Q can be arbitrarily well-approximated by finite products of infinitely-divisible kernels—and that this approximation carries through to the divergence D(Qp||Qq) (under regularity conditions on f).

To elaborate, we propose the following strategy to establish the equivalence of first-order contraction inequality and the original contraction inequality:

- 1. Begin by the case where \mathcal{X} is compact, and extend to general Euclidean \mathcal{X} by a limiting argument.
- 2. Establish regularity conditions on f so that for any sequence of transition kernels $Q^{[i]}$ with

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} Q^{[i]} = Q,$$

we have

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} D_f(Q^{[i]}p||Q^{[i]}q) = D_f(Qp||Qq)$$

for all densities p and q.

- 3. Extend any divergence D defined for densities p, q on \mathcal{X} to a divergence D defined for densities p, q on the space $\mathcal{X} \times \{0, 1\} = \mathcal{X}^0 \cup \mathcal{X}^1$. This is a technical step.
- 4. Show that any transition kernel Q can be arbitrarily well-approximated by discretized transition kernels. A discretized kernel Q has an associated finite partition of \mathcal{X} , $\mathcal{X} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^m A_i$. The discretized kernel Q which can be written as a density $q(x,z): \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ of the form

$$q(x,z) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} q_{ij} I_{A_i}(x) I_{A_j}(z)$$

where q_{ij} are non-negative real numbers.

5. Any discretized transition kernel Q with associated partition $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^m$ and coefficients q_{ij} can be extended to a transition kernel \tilde{Q} on $\mathcal{X} \times \{0,1\}$ such that the restriction of \tilde{Q} on $\mathcal{X} \times \{0\}$ is isomorphic to Q. \tilde{Q} has associated partitions $\{A_i^0\}_{i=1}^m \cup \{A_i^1\}_{i=1}^m$ where $A_i^j = A_i \times \{j\}$ for $j = \{0,1\}$. The extended kernel \tilde{Q} on $\mathcal{X} \times \{0,1\}$ can be written as the product of m+1 infinitely-divible kernels,

$$\tilde{Q} = \pi V^{[m]} \cdots V^{[1]}.$$

where the π kernel is a projection from $\mathcal{X} \times \{1\}$ into $\mathcal{X} \times \{0\}$,

$$\pi_{(x,j)} = \delta_{(x,0)},$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$ and $j \in \{0,1\}$, and $V^{[i]}$ is the transition kernel with

$$V_{(x,j)}^{[i]} = \begin{cases} \delta_{(x,j)} & \text{for } x \notin A_i \text{ or } j = 1\\ \text{given by density } \sum_{k=1}^m q_{ij} I_{A_i^1} & \text{for } (x,j) \in A_i^0 \end{cases}$$

That is, $V^{[i]}$ acts the same way on $(x,0) \in A_m^0$ as Q does on $x \in A_m$, except that it sends those points from \mathcal{X}^0 (the original space) to \mathcal{X}^1 . This means that the product $\prod_{i=1}^m V^{[i]}$ maps a density p restricted to \mathcal{X}^0 to the density Qp on \mathcal{X}^1 . We can see that the splitting of \mathcal{X} into the two clones \mathcal{X}^0 and \mathcal{X}^1 is done so that the individual transition kernels $V^{[i]}$, $V^{[k]}$ do not interfere with each other. Finally, π merely moves Qp back into the correct space.

6. Argue that by chaining the above approximations, any transition kernel Q can be arbitrarily well-approximated by finite products of infinitely divisible transition kernels. Since the first-order DPI implies DPI for the infinitely divisible kernels, the approximation implies DPI for Q as well.

Let us expand the first-order contraction inequality plugging in the definitions (1) and (2). The left-hand side of the first-order DPI then simplifies to

$$\frac{d}{d\delta}D_{f}(Q^{\delta}p||Q^{\delta}q) = \frac{d}{d\delta}\int f\left(\frac{Q^{\delta}p(x)}{Q^{\delta}q(x)}\right)Q^{\delta}q(x)dx$$

$$= \frac{d}{d\delta}\int f\left(\frac{p(x) + \delta(Qp(x) - p(x))}{q(x) + \delta(Qq(x) - q(x))}\right)(q(x) + \delta(Qq(x) - q(x))dx$$

$$= \frac{d}{d\delta}\int \left[f\left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right) + \delta f'\left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right)\frac{q(x)(Qp(x) - p(x)) - p(x)(Qq(x) - q(x))}{q(x)^{2}}\right]$$

$$\times (q(x) + \delta(Qq(x) - q(x))dx$$

$$= \int f\left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right)(Qq(x) - q(x))dx$$

$$+ \int f'\left(\frac{p(x)}{q(x)}\right)\left(Qp(x) - p(x) - \frac{p(x)(Qq(x) - q(x))}{q(x)}\right)dx$$