

#### TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

### Report

## **Black Box Testing Report**

Alexis Engelke, Johannes Fischer, Ralph Schaumann, Saurabh Nawalgaria





#### TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

### Report

## **Black Box Testing Report**

Author: Alexis Engelke, Johannes Fischer, Ralph Schaumann, Saurabh Nawalgaria

Team: 9

Lecture: Secure Coding, Phase 2

Submission Date: November 24, 2015



# **Executive Summary**

## **Contents**

Executive Summary				
1	Tim	e Tracl	king	1
2	Vulnerabiliteis Overview			
	2.1	Onlin	e Banking	2
		2.1.1	Stored XSS in Registration and Transaction Description	2
		2.1.2	Missing check for amount in transactions from batch file	2
		2.1.3	SQL injection in transaction batch file	2
		2.1.4	Some critical vulnerability	3
	2.2	2.2 SecureBank		
3	Too	ls		4
4	Detailed Report			
	4.1	Confi	guration and Deploy Management Testing	6
		4.1.1	Test File Extensions Handling for Sensitive Information	6
A	ronv	ms		8

# 1 Time Tracking

Table 1.1: Time Tracking Table

Name	Task	Time
Alexis Engelke	Setting up LaTeX template	1
Foo	Fixing all issues	10

### 2 Vulnerabiliteis Overview

Through our testing, we identified the following vulnerabilities as the most critical for the Online Banking application and the SecureBank:

### 2.1 Online Banking

#### 2.1.1 Stored XSS in Registration and Transaction Description

• Likelihood: high

• Implication: high

• Risk: high

With stored cross site scripting attacks it is possible to inject JavaScript code, which is run whenever an employee logs in and opens the list of unapproved accounts or transactions. It is also possible to inject script from other sites.

#### 2.1.2 Missing check for amount in transactions from batch file

• Likelihood: medium

• Implication: high

• Risk: high

It is possible to get money from another client of the bank by filling in a negative number in the amount field of a transaction batch file. Therefore, one client can generate an infinite amount of money, while reducing the amount of money of other clients.

#### 2.1.3 SQL injection in transaction batch file

• Likelihood: medium

• Implication: high

• Risk: high

The application is vulnerable to SQL injections in the transaction batch files. Therefore, it is possible to perform transactions while using any unused TAN in the system, which is not known to the attacker and might come from another client.

### 2.1.4 Some critical vulnerability

• Likelihood: high

• Implication: high

• Risk: high

The web application is vulnerable.

#### 2.2 SecureBank

# 3 Tools

### 4 Detailed Report

### 4.1 Configuration and Deploy Management Testing

#### 4.1.1 Test File Extensions Handling for Sensitive Information

#### **Online Banking**

**Observation** We found various files which are served as plain text but are

PHP source files. One of these files contains the credentials of the mail server. We were also able to download the compiled executable as well as the source code of the batch

file parser.

**Discovery** Using the OWASP ZAP tool, we used the forced browse

functionality on /InternetBanking/. We received a list of

files which were found using this tool, see below.

**Likelihood** This can be tested by anyone who enters specific strings

into the address bar of a browser. However, the likelihood of this vulnerability is much higher if the attacker uses

specific tools which test specific paths systematically.

**Impact** The attacker can get sensitive information, e.g. credentials

to the mail server or the database. He can analyze the

source of the parser and find vulnerabilies there.

Access Vector Network

**Access Complexity** Low

Privileges Required | None

User Interaction None

Scope Unchanged

**Confidentiality** High

**Intigrity** No Impact

**Availability** No Impact

TODO: Forced browsing results.
TODO: SecureBank and comparison

# Acronyms

**TUM** Technische Universität München.