The Journal of Open Source Software

SSN2: The next generation of spatial stream network modeling in R

Michael Dumelle [1], **Erin E. Peterson** [2], **Jay M. Ver Hoef** [3], **Alan Pearse** [4], **and Daniel J. Isaak**[5]

1 Pacific Ecological Systems Division, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Corvallis, OR, USA **2** EP Consulting and Centre for Data Science, Queensland University of Technology, Brisbane, QLD, Australia **3** NMFS Alaska Fisheries Science Center, United States National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Seattle, WA, USA **4** NIASRA, School of Mathematics and Applied Statistics, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, NSW, Australia **5** Rocky Mountain Research Station, United States Forest Service, Boise, ID, USA

DOI: 10.21105/joss.06389

Software

- Review
- Repository
- Archive

Editor: Michael Mahoney

Reviewers:

- @fernandomayer
- @k-doering-NOAA
- @fawda123

Submitted: 18 January 2024
Published: 26 July 2024

License
Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

Summary

The `SSN2` **R** package provides tools for spatial statistical modeling, parameter estimation, and prediction on stream (river) networks. `SSN2` is the successor to the `SSN` **R** package (Ver Hoef, Peterson, Clifford, & Shah, 2014), which was archived alongside broader changes in the **R**-spatial ecosystem (Nowosad, 2023) that included 1) the retirement of `rgdal` (Bivand, Keitt, & Rowlingson, 2021), `rgeos` (Bivand & Rundel, 2020), and `maptools` (Bivand & Lewin-Koh, 2021) and 2) the lack of active development of `sp` (Bivand, Pebesma, & Gómez-Rubio, 2013). `SSN2` maintains compatibility with the input data file structures used by the `SSN` **R** package but leverages modern **R**-spatial tools like `sf` (Pebesma, 2018). `SSN2` also provides many useful features that were not available in the `SSN` **R** package, including new modeling and helper functions, enhanced fitting algorithms, and simplified syntax consistent with other **R** generic functions.

Statement of Need

Streams provide vital aquatic services that sustain wildlife, provide drinking and irrigation water, and support recreational and cultural activities. Data are often collected at various locations on a stream network and used to characterize spatial patterns in stream phenomena. For example, a manager may need to know how the amount of a hazardous chemical changes throughout a stream network to inform mitigation efforts. Comprehensive formulations of spatial stream network (SSN) models are provided by Ver Hoef & Peterson (2010), Peterson & Ver Hoef (2010), and Ver Hoef et al. (2014). The `SSN2` **R** package is designed to help users fit SSN models to their stream network data.

SSN models use a spatial statistical modeling framework (e.g., Cressie, 1993) to describe unique and complex dependencies on a stream network resulting from a branching network structure, directional water flow, and differences in flow volume. These SSN models relate a continuous or discrete response variable to one or more explanatory variables, a spatially independent random error term, and up to three spatially dependent random error terms: tail-up random errors, tail-down random errors, and Euclidean random errors. Tail-up random errors restrict spatial dependence to flow-connected sites (i.e., water flows from an upstream to a downstream site) and incorporate spatial weights through an additive function to describe the branching network between sites. Tail-down random errors describe spatial dependence between both flow-connected and flow-unconnected sites (i.e., sites that share a common downstream junction but not flow), but spatial weights are not required. Euclidean random errors describe

spatial dependence between sites based on straight-line distance and are governed by factors not confined to the stream network, such as regional geology. The variances and the length-scales of spatial dependence in the tail-up, tail-down, and Euclidean random errors are controlled by separate variance (i.e., partial sill) and range parameters, respectively, while the spatially independent variance (i.e., nugget) is controlled by another separate variance parameter. In this paper, we show how to use the `SSN2` **R** package to fit SSN models, inspect SSN models, and use SSN models to make predictions at unobserved locations on a stream network.

Package Overview

The streams, observation, and prediction datasets must be pre-processed prior to fitting SSN models and making predictions at unobserved locations using `SSN2`. Previously, the STARS toolset for ArcGIS Desktop versions 9.3x - 10.8x (Peterson & Ver Hoef, 2014) or the `openSTARS` **R** package (Kattwinkel, Szöcs, Peterson, & Schäfer, 2020) were used to generate spatial information required for model fitting and prediction. However, both software packages have recently been retired and are replaced by the `SSNbler` **R** package (Peterson, Dumelle, Pearse, Teleki, & Ver Hoef, 2024), which is a new, **R**-based version of the STARS tools. `SSNbler` is currently available on GitHub, will soon be available on CRAN, and contains several useful resources that guide users through these pre-processing steps. Pre-processing using either `SSNbler`, STARS, or `openSTARS` ends with the creation of a `.ssn` folder, which is non-proprietary. Files residing in the `.ssn` folder are read into R using `ssn_import()` from `SSN2` and placed into a list structure called an SSN object, which contains all the spatial, topological, and attribute information needed to leverage the modeling tools in `SSN2`.

`SSN2` is first installed from CRAN:

```
install.packages("SSN2")
```

Then, `SSN2` is loaded into an **R** session:

```
library(SSN2)
```

The `SSN2` package comes with an example `.ssn` folder called `MiddleFork04.ssn` that represents water temperatures recorded from a stream network in the Middle Fork of the Salmon River in Idaho, USA during 2004.

Several functions in `SSN2` for reading and writing data directly manipulate the `.ssn` folder. To avoid directly manipulating the `MiddleFork04.ssn` data installed alongside `SSN2`, `MiddleFork04.ssn` is instead copied into a temporary directory and the relevant path to this directory stored:

```
copy_lsn_to_temp()
path <- file.path(tempdir(), "MiddleFork04.ssn")
```

The `copy_lsn_to_temp()` function is only used when working with `MiddleFork04.ssn` and generally, `path` should indicate a permanent directory on your computer that points towards your `.ssn` object. After specifying `path`, the stream reaches, observed sites, and prediction sites (`pred1km`) are imported and then visualized (Figure 1):

```
mf04p <- ssn_import(path, predpts = "pred1km")

library(ggplot2)
ggplot() +
  geom_sf(data = mf04p$edges) +
  geom_sf(data = mf04p$preds$pred1km, pch = 17, color = "blue") +
  geom_sf(data = mf04p$obs, color = "brown", size = 2) +
  theme_bw()
```



Figure 1: Middle Fork 2004 stream networks. Observed sites are represented by brown, closed circles at various locations throughout the stream network. Prediction sites are represented by blue, closed triangles and are spaced one kilometer apart.

Prior to statistical modeling, hydrologic distance matrices are created (Ver Hoef & Peterson, 2010):

```
ssn_create_distmat(mf04p, predpts = "pred1km", overwrite = TRUE)
```

Of particular interest here is summer mean stream temperature (`Summer_mn`) in degrees Celsius, which will be modeled as a function of elevation (`ELEV_DEM`) and watershed-averaged precipitation (`AREAWTMAP`) with exponential, spherical, and Gaussian structures for the tail-up, tail-down, and Euclidean errors, respectively, and a nugget effect (by default). Using `ssn_lm()`, the model is fit:

```
ssn_mod <- ssn_lm(
  formula = Summer_mn ~ ELEV_DEM + AREAWTMAP,
  ssn.object = mf04p,
  tailup_type = "exponential",
  taildown_type = "spherical",
  euclid_type = "gaussian",
  additive = "afvArea"
)
```

The `additive` argument represents an "additive function value (AFV)" variable that captures branching in the stream network and is required when modeling the tail-up covariance. Cumulative watershed area is commonly used to derive the additive function value (here, `afvArea` represents cumulative watershed area), but other variables like flow can be used (if every line feature in the edges dataset contains a non-null value). Ver Hoef & Peterson (2010) provide further details regarding additive function values.

The `ssn_lm()` function is designed to be similar in syntax and structure to the `lm()` function in base **R** for fitting nonspatial linear models. Additionally, `SSN2` accommodates various S3 methods for commonly-used **R** generic functions that operate on model objects. For example, the generic function `summary()` is used to summarize the fitted model:

```
summary(ssn_mod)
```

```
##
## Call:
## ssn_lm(formula = Summer_mn ~ ELEV_DEM + AREAWTMAP, ssn.object = mf04p,
##     tailup_type = "exponential", taildown_type = "spherical",
```

```
##     euclid_type = "gaussian", additive = "afvArea")
##
## Residuals:
##     Min      1Q  Median      3Q     Max
## -3.6393 -2.0646 -0.5952  0.2143  0.7497
##
## Coefficients (fixed):
##             Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) 76.195041   7.871574   9.680  < 2e-16 ***
## ELEV_DEM    -0.026905   0.003646  -7.379  1.6e-13 ***
## AREAWTMAP   -0.009099   0.004461  -2.040   0.0414 *
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Pseudo R-squared: 0.6124
##
## Coefficients (covariance):
##              Effect     Parameter   Estimate
##   tailup exponential  de (parsill)  3.800e+00
##   tailup exponential         range  4.194e+06
##   taildown spherical  de (parsill)  4.480e-01
##   taildown spherical         range  1.647e+05
##      euclid gaussian  de (parsill)  1.509e-02
##      euclid gaussian         range  4.496e+03
##               nugget        nugget  2.087e-02
```

SSN2 methods for the `tidy()`, `glance()`, and `augment()` generic functions from the `broom` **R** package (Robinson, Hayes, & Couch, 2021) are used to inspect the fitted model and provide diagnostics:

```
tidy(ssn_mod, conf.int = TRUE)
```

```
## # A tibble: 3 x 7
##   term        estimate std.error statistic  p.value conf.low conf.high
##   <chr>          <dbl>     <dbl>     <dbl>    <dbl>    <dbl>     <dbl>
## 1 (Intercept) 76.2       7.87         9.68 0         60.8    91.6
## 2 AREAWTMAP   -0.00910   0.00446     -2.04 4.14e- 2  -0.0178 -0.000356
## 3 ELEV_DEM    -0.0269    0.00365     -7.38 1.60e-13  -0.0341 -0.0198
```

```
glance(ssn_mod)
```

```
## # A tibble: 1 x 9
##       n     p  npar value   AIC  AICc logLik deviance pseudo.r.squared
##   <int> <dbl> <int> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>  <dbl>    <dbl>            <dbl>
## 1    45     3     7  59.3  73.3  76.3  -29.6     41.9            0.612
```

```
aug_mod <- augment(ssn_mod)
subset(aug_mod, select = c(Summer_mn, .fitted, .resid, .hat, .cooksd))
```

```
## Simple feature collection with 45 features and 5 fields
## Geometry type: POINT
## Dimension:     XY
## Bounding box:  xmin: -1530805 ymin: 2527111 xmax: -1503079 ymax: 2537823
## Projected CRS: USA_Contiguous_Albers_Equal_Area_Conic_USGS_version
## # A tibble: 45 x 6
##    Summer_mn .fitted .resid   .hat  .cooksd           geometry
##        <dbl>   <dbl>  <dbl>  <dbl>    <dbl>        <POINT [m]>
##  1     11.4     14.4  -3.07 0.0915 0.0962   (-1512690 2531883)
```

```
##  2     10.7     12.9  -2.20 0.114  0.00471  (-1512852 2531295)
##  3     10.4     12.7  -2.25 0.0372 0.00724  (-1513400 2530706)
##  4     10.1     12.3  -2.18 0.0251 0.00153  (-1514027 2530147)
##  5     10.1     12.3  -2.13 0.0374 0.000583 (-1514309 2529902)
##  6      9.81    12.0  -2.16 0.0602 0.0150   (-1515032 2529461)
##  7      9.76    11.6  -1.85 0.0736 0.00739  (-1515513 2528810)
##  8      9.77    11.6  -1.84 0.0648 0.00687  (-1515588 2528592)
##  9      9.53    11.4  -1.87 0.112  0.00152  (-1516440 2527899)
## 10     12.6     14.9  -2.28 0.0498 0.00964  (-1512464 2531195)
## # i 35 more rows
```

Specific generic helper functions (e.g., `coef()`, `AIC()`, `residuals()`) can be used to obtain the same quantities returned by `tidy()`, `glance()`, and `augment()`:

```
coef(ssn_mod)
```

```
## (Intercept)    ELEV_DEM   AREAWTMAP
## 76.19504087 -0.02690478 -0.00909941
```

```
AIC(ssn_mod)
```

```
## [1] 73.2623
```

```
head(residuals(ssn_mod))
```

```
##         1         2         3         4         5         6
## -3.066413 -2.204147 -2.252004 -2.175337 -2.131527 -2.162417
```

Spatial prediction (i.e., Kriging) at the unobserved sites is performed using the generic functions `predict()` or `augment()`:

```
aug_pred <- augment(ssn_mod, newdata = "pred1km", interval = "prediction")
subset(aug_pred, select = c(.fitted, .lower, .upper))
```

```
## Simple feature collection with 175 features and 3 fields
## Geometry type: POINT
## Dimension:     XY
## Bounding box:  xmin: -1530631 ymin: 2521707 xmax: -1500020 ymax: 2540253
## Projected CRS: USA_Contiguous_Albers_Equal_Area_Conic_USGS_version
## # A tibble: 175 x 4
##    .fitted .lower .upper           geometry
##      <dbl>  <dbl>  <dbl>        <POINT [m]>
##  1    14.6   14.3   15.0 (-1520657 2536657)
##  2    15.0   14.7   15.4 (-1519866 2536812)
##  3    14.8   14.3   15.3 (-1521823 2536911)
##  4    15.0   14.5   15.5 (-1523183 2537256)
##  5    15.2   14.7   15.6 (-1523860 2537452)
##  6    15.1   14.8   15.5 (-1525443 2537698)
##  7    15.1   14.7   15.5 (-1526397 2537254)
##  8    15.0   14.6   15.4 (-1527436 2536803)
##  9    14.9   14.6   15.3 (-1529043 2536449)
## 10    14.9   14.5   15.3 (-1529689 2537313)
## # i 165 more rows
```

Here, `.fitted` are the predictions, `.lower` are the lower bounds of 95% prediction intervals, and `.upper` are the upper bounds of 95% prediction intervals. Utilizing `augment()` makes the prediction output straightforward to visualize:

```
ggplot() +
    geom_sf(data = mf04p$edges) +
    geom_sf(data = aug_pred, aes(color = .fitted), size = 2) +
```

```
scale_color_viridis_c(name = "Pred.", option = "H") +
theme_bw()
```



Figure 2: Predicted Middle Fork 2004 mean summer temperatures (Celsius) spaced one kilometer apart. As expected, temperature is predicted to be lower in areas of higher elevation.

Spatial generalized linear models for binary, count, proportion, and skewed data (Ver Hoef et al., 2024) are applied to stream networks via the `ssn_glm()` function. `ssn_lm()` and `ssn_glm()` also accommodate several advanced features, which include nonspatial random effects as in `lme4` (Bates, Mächler, Bolker, & Walker, 2015) and `nlme` (Pinheiro & Bates, 2006) Euclidean anisotropy (Zimmerman & Ver Hoef, 2024), and more. In addition to modeling, simulating data on a stream network is performed via `ssn_simulate()`.

Discussion

SSN models are valuable tools for statistical analysis of data collected on stream networks and help improve inference about vital stream ecosystems. These models have been employed to better understand and manage water quality (McManus et al., 2020; Scown, McManus, Carson Jr, & Nietch, 2017), ecosystem metabolism (Rodríguez-Castillo, Estévez, González-Ferreras, & Barquín, 2019), and climate change impacts on freshwater ecosystems (Isaak, Wenger, et al., 2017; Ruesch et al., 2012), as well as generate aquatic population estimates (Isaak, Ver Hoef, Peterson, Horan, & Nagel, 2017), inform conservation planning (Rodríguez-González et al., 2019; Sharma, Dubey, Johnson, Rawal, & Sivakumar, 2021), and assess restoration activities (Fuller, Leinenbach, Detenbeck, Labiosa, & Isaak, 2022), among other applications. The breadth and applicability of SSN models are further enhanced by data aggregation tools like the National Hydrography Dataset (McKay et al., 2012), National Stream Internet Project (Nagel, Peterson, Isaak, Ver Hoef, & Horan, 2015), and StreamCat (Hill, Weber, Leibowitz, Olsen, & Thornbrugh, 2016).

There are several spatial modeling packages in **R**, including `geoR` (Ribeiro Jr et al., 2022), `gstat` (Pebesma, 2004), `FRK` (Sainsbury-Dale, Zammit-Mangion, & Cressie, 2024), `fields` (Nychka, Furrer, Paige, & Sain, 2021), `R-INLA` (Lindgren & Rue, 2015), and `spmodel` (Dumelle, Higham, & Ver Hoef, 2023), among others. However, these aforementioned spatial modeling packages do not account for the unique spatial relationships found in data collected on stream networks. The `rtop` (Skoien et al., 2014), `VAST` (Charsley et al., 2023), and `SSN2` **R** packages can be used to describe spatial stream network data in **R**, but `SSN2` is unique. It not only provides representations of stream network data in **R** but also provides an extensive suite of functions for model fitting, diagnostics, and spatial prediction that integrate with the popular "tidy" framework (Kuhn & Silge, 2022; Wickham et al., 2019). To learn more about `SSN2`, visit the CRAN webpage at https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=SSN2.

Acknowledgements

Figures were created using `ggplot2` (Wickham, 2016) and the `viridis` color palettes (Garnier et al., 2024).

We would like to sincerely thank the editor and reviewers for all of their helpful feedback which greatly improved both the software and the manuscript.

The views expressed in this manuscript are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views or policies of USEPA, NOAA, or USFS. Any mention of trade names, products, or services does not imply an endorsement by the U.S. government, USEPA, NOAA, or USFS. USEPA, NOAA, or USFS do not endorse any commercial products, services or enterprises.

References

Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *67*(1), 1–48. doi:10.18637/jss.v067.i01

Bivand, R., Keitt, T., & Rowlingson, B. (2021). *rgdal: Bindings for the 'geospatial' data abstraction library*. Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rgdal

Bivand, R., & Lewin-Koh, N. (2021). *maptools: Tools for handling spatial objects*. Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=maptools

Bivand, R., Pebesma, E., & Gómez-Rubio, V. (2013). *Applied spatial data analysis with R*. Springer, NY. doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-7618-4

Bivand, R., & Rundel, C. (2020). *rgeos: Interface to geometry engine - open source ('GEOS')*. Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=rgeos

Charsley, A. R., Grüss, A., Thorson, J. T., Rudd, M. B., Crow, S. K., David, B., Williams, E. K., et al. (2023). Catchment-scale stream network spatio-temporal models, applied to the freshwater stages of a diadromous fish species, longfin eel (*Anguilla dieffenbachii*). *Fisheries Research*, *259*, 106583. doi:10.1016/j.fishres.2022.106583

Cressie, N. (1993). *Statistics for spatial data (revised edition)*. Wiley: Hoboken, NJ. doi:10.1002/9781119115151

Dumelle, M., Higham, M., & Ver Hoef, J. M. (2023). spmodel: Spatial statistical modeling and prediction in R. *PLOS ONE*, *18*(3), 1–32. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0282524

Fuller, M. R., Leinenbach, P., Detenbeck, N. E., Labiosa, R., & Isaak, D. J. (2022). Riparian vegetation shade restoration and loss effects on recent and future stream temperatures. *Restoration Ecology*, *30*(7), e13626. doi:10.1111/rec.13626

Garnier, Simon, Ross, Noam, Rudis, Robert, Camargo, et al. (2024). *viridis(Lite) - colorblind-friendly color maps for R*. doi:10.5281/zenodo.4679423

Hill, R. A., Weber, M. H., Leibowitz, S. G., Olsen, A. R., & Thornbrugh, D. J. (2016). The stream-catchment (StreamCat) dataset: A database of watershed metrics for the conterminous United States. *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, *52*(1), 120–128. doi:10.1111/1752-1688.12372

Isaak, D. J., Ver Hoef, J. M., Peterson, E. E., Horan, D. L., & Nagel, D. E. (2017). Scalable population estimates using spatial-stream-network (SSN) models, fish density surveys, and national geospatial database frameworks for streams. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences*, *74*(2), 147–156. doi:10.1139/cjfas-2016-0247

Isaak, D. J., Wenger, S. J., Peterson, E. E., Ver Hoef, J. M., Nagel, D. E., Luce, C. H., Hostetler, S. W., et al. (2017). The NorWeST summer stream temperature model and scenarios for the western US: A crowd-sourced database and new geospatial tools foster a

user community and predict broad climate warming of rivers and streams. *Water Resources Research*, *53*(11), 9181–9205. doi:10.1002/2017WR020969

Kattwinkel, M., Szöcs, E., Peterson, E. E., & Schäfer, R. B. (2020). Preparing GIS data for analysis of stream monitoring data: The R package openSTARS. *PLOS ONE*, *15*(9), e0239237. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0239237

Kuhn, M., & Silge, J. (2022). *Tidy modeling with R*. O'Reilly Media, Inc.

Lindgren, F., & Rue, H. (2015). Bayesian spatial modelling with R-INLA. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *63*(19). doi:10.18637/jss.v063.i19

McKay, L., Bondelid, T., Dewald, T., Johnston, J., Moore, R., & Reah, A. (2012). *NHDPlus version 2: User guide*. Retrieved from http://www.horizon-systems.com/NHDPlus/NHDPlusV2_home.php

McManus, M. G., D'Amico, E., Smith, E. M., Polinsky, R., Ackerman, J., & Tyler, K. (2020). Variation in stream network relationships and geospatial predictions of watershed conductivity. *Freshwater Science*, *39*(4), 704–721. doi:10.1086/710340

Nagel, D., Peterson, E. E., Isaak, D. J., Ver Hoef, J. M., & Horan, D. (2015). National stream internet protocol and user guide. *US Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station Air, Water, and Aquatic Environments Program*. Retrieved from https://research.fs.usda.gov/sites/default/files/2023-03/rmrs-nationalstreaminternetprotocolanduserguide.pdf

Nowosad, J. (2023, June 4). Upcoming Changes to Popular R Packages for Spatial Data: What You Need to Do. Retrieved from https://geocompx.org//post/2023/rgdal-retirement

Nychka, D., Furrer, R., Paige, J., & Sain, S. (2021). fields: Tools for spatial data. Boulder, CO, USA: University Corporation for Atmospheric Research. doi:10.32614/CRAN.package.fields

Pebesma, E. (2004). Multivariable geostatistics in S: The gstat package. *Computers & Geosciences*, *30*, 683–691. doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2004.03.012

Pebesma, E. (2018). Simple Features for R: Standardized Support for Spatial Vector Data. *The R Journal*, *10*(1), 439–446. doi:10.32614/RJ-2018-009

Peterson, E. E., Dumelle, M., Pearse, A., Teleki, D., & Ver Hoef, J. M. (2024). *SSNbler: Assemble SSN objects in R*. Retrieved from https://github.com/pet221/SSNbler

Peterson, E. E., & Ver Hoef, J. M. (2010). A mixed-model moving-average approach to geostatistical modeling in stream networks. *Ecology*, *91*(3), 644–651. doi:10.1890/08-1668.1

Peterson, E. E., & Ver Hoef, J. M. (2014). STARS: An ArcGIS toolset used to calculate the spatial information needed to fit spatial statistical models to stream network data. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *56*, 1–17. doi:10.18637/jss.v056.i02

Pinheiro, J., & Bates, D. (2006). *Mixed-effects models in S and S-PLUS*. Springer Science & Business Media: New York, NY.

Ribeiro Jr, P. J., Diggle, P., Christensen, O., Schlather, M., Bivand, R., & Ripley, B. (2022). *geoR: Analysis of geostatistical data*. doi:10.32614/CRAN.package.geoR

Robinson, D., Hayes, A., & Couch, S. (2021). *broom: Convert statistical objects into tidy tibbles*. doi:10.32614/CRAN.package.broom

Rodríguez-Castillo, T., Estévez, E., González-Ferreras, A. M., & Barquín, J. (2019). Estimating ecosystem metabolism to entire river networks. *Ecosystems*, *22*, 892–911. doi:10.1007/s10021-018-0311-8

Rodríguez-González, P. M., García, C., Albuquerque, A., Monteiro-Henriques, T., Faria, C., Guimarães, J. B., Mendonça, D., et al. (2019). A spatial stream-network approach assists in managing the remnant genetic diversity of riparian forests. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1),

6741. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-43132-7

Ruesch, A. S., Torgersen, C. E., Lawler, J. J., Olden, J. D., Peterson, E. E., Volk, C. J., & Lawrence, D. J. (2012). Projected climate-induced habitat loss for salmonids in the John Day River Network, Oregon, USA. *Conservation Biology*, *26*(5), 873–882. doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2012.01897.x

Sainsbury-Dale, M., Zammit-Mangion, A., & Cressie, N. (2024). Modeling big, heterogeneous, non-gaussian spatial and spatio-temporal data using FRK. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *108*, 1–39. doi:10.18637/jss.v108.i10

Scown, M. W., McManus, M. G., Carson Jr, J. H., & Nietch, C. T. (2017). Improving predictive models of in-stream phosphorus concentration based on nationally-available spatial data coverages. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, *53*(4), 944–960. doi:10.1111/1752-1688.12543

Sharma, A., Dubey, V. K., Johnson, J. A., Rawal, Y. K., & Sivakumar, K. (2021). Dendritic prioritization through spatial stream network modeling informs targeted management of Himalayan riverscapes under brown trout invasion. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, *58*(11), 2415–2426. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.13997

Skoien, J. O., G. Bloschl, G. Laaha, E. Pebesma, J. Parajka, & A. Viglione. (2014). rtop: An R package for interpolation of data with a variable spatial support, with an example from river networks. *Computers & Geosciences*. doi:10.1016/j.cageo.2014.02.009

Ver Hoef, J. M., Blagg, E., Dumelle, M., Dixon, P. M., Zimmerman, D. L., & Conn, P. B. (2024). Marginal inference for hierarchical generalized linear mixed models with patterned covariance matrices using the laplace approximation. *Environmetrics*. doi:10.1002/env.2872

Ver Hoef, J. M., & Peterson, E. E. (2010). A moving average approach for spatial statistical models of stream networks. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *105*(489), 6–18. doi:10.1198/jasa.2009.ap08248

Ver Hoef, J. M., Peterson, E. E., Clifford, D., & Shah, R. (2014). SSN: An R package for spatial statistical modeling on stream networks. *Journal of Statistical Software*, *56*, 1–45. doi:10.18637/jss.v056.i03

Wickham, H. (2016). *ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York. doi:10.1007/978-0-387-98141-3

Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L. D., François, R., Grolemund, G., et al. (2019). Welcome to the Tidyverse. *Journal of Open Source Software*, *4*(43), 1686. doi:10.21105/joss.01686

Zimmerman, D. L., & Ver Hoef, J. M. (2024). *Spatial linear models for environmental data*. CRC Press.