



Jonny Saunders <jlsaunders987@gmail.com>

Prelims Proposal!!!

Santiago Jaramillo <sjara@uoregon.edu>

Tue, Apr 27, 2021 at 2:17 PM

To: Jonny Saunders <jsaunder@uoregon.edu>

Cc: Michael Wehr <wehr@uoregon.edu>, Matt Smear <smear@uoregon.edu>

Hi Jonny,

In case you need additional confirmation: I approve your reading list, and I'll be at the NCB meeting where you will present this work.

Note that the NCB meeting will take place on Tuesday May 4th (not May 5th).

Best,

--

Santiago Jaramillo, PhD | Associate Professor
Institute of Neuroscience | Dept. of Biology
University of Oregon | Eugene, OR 97403
<http://jalarab.uoregon.edu> | Twitter: [@JaramilloLab](https://twitter.com/JaramilloLab)

On Tue, Apr 20, 2021 at 5:39 PM Jonny Saunders <jsaunder@uoregon.edu> wrote:

Ah! Sorry about that! Not sure why it didn't send.

For context this is part of a larger shift to make my dissertation half neuroscience and half engineering/infrastructure development to better reflect my work.

The only thing that needs your attention now is the (attached) proposal, which is just a generic abstract for what i'll be presenting. It asks you to make a general approval of a reading list (final three pages of .pdf). You don't need to read it yet, but for reference the current version of the paper can be found in the prelims repo (and also has backlinks so you can see how the cites are used if needed).

I pulled out the full requirements here, but to summarize:

- One form of the prelims can be an "**integrative review paper**" that will "critically review a focused area..."
 - The paper can go through rounds of review before "passing"
- Also need to do a **presentation** about the paper at a departmental brownbag (eg. NCB)/lab meeting.
 - **Announcement** of the presentation must be made no fewer than **two weeks in advance**
 - \geq Two committee members must attend
 - "the student is expected to defend their paper and demonstrate their competence within a relatively broad area of psychology"
- Prelims are graded both on the paper and the presentation as unanimous "pass with distinction," "pass," or "fail."

If you want more time to consider, just want to reiterate that's no problem! don't want to make you feel rushed.

-Jonny

On Tue, Apr 20, 2021 at 2:42 PM Santiago Jaramillo <sjara@uoregon.edu> wrote:

[Quoted text hidden]