

CASE STUDY1: JOB DATA ANALYSIS

Project Description:

The project stresses on analysis of job data for insights into the job review patterns, throughput, and language share analysis.

Approach:

1. Created the table job_data with columns job_id, actor_id, event, language, time_spent, org, and ds using the database case_study1.
2. Entries are added randomly utilizing the SOL functions.
3. Executed SQL queries for each task.
4. Reviewed obtained outputs to identify patterns.
5. Drew insights and interpretations from the results.

Tech-Stack Used:

1. MySQL Workbench: For executing the SQL queries.
2. SQL: For data analysis.

Queries:

```
-- job data analysis
show databases;
create database case_study1;
use case_study1;

> CREATE TABLE job_data (
    job_id INT PRIMARY KEY,
    actor_id INT,
    event VARCHAR(20),
    language VARCHAR(20),
    time_spent INT,
    org VARCHAR(50),
    ds DATE
- );
```

```
INSERT INTO job_data (job_id, actor_id, event, language, time_spent, org, ds)
SELECT
```

 τ_j

```
36 • select * from job_data limit 0,10000;
```

```
38      -- jobs reviewed over time
```

```
39      -- throughput analysis
```

— 2 —

[illegible]

```

39  -- throughput analysis
40  -- language share analysis
41  -- duplicate rows detection
42
43  -- jobs reviewed/day for nov 2020
44  ● SELECT
45      ds,
46      COUNT(job_id) AS jobs_reviewed
47  FROM job_data
48  WHERE ds BETWEEN '2020-11-01' AND '2020-11-30'
49  GROUP BY ds
50  ORDER BY ds;
51
52  -- 7-day rolling avg of throughput or daily metric




```

result Grid		Filter Rows:	Export:	Wrap Cell Content:
ds	jobs_reviewed			
2020-11-01	331			
2020-11-02	347			
2020-11-03	358			
2020-11-04	339			
2020-11-05	343			
2020-11-06	332			
2020-11-07	354			
2020-11-08	336			
2020-11-09	304			
2020-11-10	371			

```

52  -- 7-day rolling avg of throughput or daily metric
53  WITH daily_events AS (
54      SELECT
55          ds,
56          COUNT(*) / 86400 AS events_per_second
57      FROM job_data
58      GROUP BY ds
59  )
60  SELECT
61      ds,
62      AVG(events_per_second) OVER (
63          ORDER BY ds ROWS BETWEEN 6 PRECEDING AND CURRENT ROW
64      ) AS rolling_avg_throughput
65  FROM daily_events;

```

Result Grid  Filter Rows: Export:  Wrap Cell Content: 

	ds	rolling_avg_throughput
▶	2020-11-01	0.00380000
	2020-11-02	0.00390000
	2020-11-03	0.00396667
	2020-11-04	0.00395000
	2020-11-05	0.00396000
	2020-11-06	0.00393333
	2020-11-07	0.00395714
	2020-11-08	0.00397143
	2020-11-09	0.00390000
	2020-11-10	0.00392857
	2020-11-11	0.00391429
	2020-11-12	0.00391333

Result 26 ×

```

7      -- percentage share/language over last 30 days
8      WITH last_30_days AS (
9          SELECT language, COUNT(*) AS language_count
10         FROM job_data
11        WHERE ds >= DATE_SUB('2020-11-30', INTERVAL 30 DAY)
12        GROUP BY language
13      )
14      SELECT
15          language,
16          language_count,
17          (language_count * 100.0) / SUM(language_count) OVER () AS 1
18      FROM last_30_days
19      ORDER BY language_share_percentage DESC;
20

```

language	language_count	language_share_percentage
French	2036	20.33966
Chinese	2020	20.17982
German	1993	19.91009
English	1992	19.90010
Spanish	1969	19.67033

```

81 -- display duplicate rows
82 • SELECT
83     job_id, actor_id, event, language, time_spent, org, ds,
84     COUNT(*) AS duplicate_count
85 FROM job_data
86 GROUP BY job_id, actor_id, event, language, time_spent, org, ds
87 HAVING COUNT(*) > 1;
88 -- no duplicates in the dataset
89 -- adding the duplicates
90 -- Insert 10 duplicate rows into job_data
91 -- Insert EXACT duplicates 10 times
92 • INSERT INTO job_data (job_id, actor_id, event, language, time_spent, org, ds)
93 VALUES
94     (11001, 201, 'decision', 'English', 120, 'OrgA', '2020-11-10'),
95     (11002, 202, 'skip', 'Spanish', 80, 'OrgB', '2020-11-11'),
96     ...

```

Result Grid

Filter Rows:

Export:

Wrap Cell Content:

job_id	actor_id	event	language	time_spent	org	ds	duplicate_count
--------	----------	-------	----------	------------	-----	----	-----------------

```

99      (11001, 201, 'decision', 'English', 120, 'OrgA', '2020-11-10'),
100      (11002, 202, 'skip', 'Spanish', 80, 'OrgB', '2020-11-11'),
101      (11003, 203, 'transfer', 'French', 150, 'OrgC', '2020-11-12'),
102      (11004, 204, 'decision', 'German', 200, 'OrgD', '2020-11-13'),
103      (11005, 205, 'skip', 'Chinese', 90, 'OrgE', '2020-11-14');
104
105      -- checking for duplicates again
106 • SELECT
107         actor_id, event, language, org, ds,
108         COUNT(*) AS duplicate_count
109     FROM job_data
110     GROUP BY actor_id, event, language, org, ds
111     HAVING duplicate_count > 1;
112

```

Result Grid						
Filter Rows:						
Export:						
Wrap Cell Content:						
	actor_id	event	language	org	ds	duplicate_count
▶	61	decision	Spanish	OrgE	2020-11-08	2
	958	transfer	German	OrgE	2020-11-12	2
	784	transfer	Spanish	OrgE	2020-11-09	2
	902	decision	French	OrgC	2020-11-01	2
	987	transfer	French	OrgE	2020-11-23	2
	761	skip	English	OrgC	2020-11-11	2
	451	decision	Chinese	OrgC	2020-11-14	2
	98	transfer	Spanish	OrgC	2020-11-23	2
	686	transfer	French	OrgE	2020-11-06	2
	286	skip	Chinese	OrgA	2020-11-08	2
	498	transfer	Spanish	OrgE	2020-11-20	2
	758	decision	English	OrgC	2020-11-09	2
	532	skip	Spanish	OrgC	2020-11-20	2
	701	skip	Chinese	OrgA	2020-11-06	2
	314	decision	French	OrgA	2020-11-18	2

Insights:

1. Jobs reviewed/day: the daily review count shows job activity patterns. Spikes indicate high-priority days/ system anomalies while dips highlight holidays/outages.
2. Throughput analysis: the rolling average overcome daily fluctuations, hence providing long-term patterns. It is preferred over daily metrics for identifying consistent patterns whereas in case of spotting sudden spikes or dips, daily metric should be preferred.
3. Language share analysis: highest for French, least for Spanish.

4. Duplicate rows detection: for data inconsistency identification. There were no duplicate rows initially, so after inserting exact duplicates, checking whether the query works correctly. It did.

Results:

1. Developed and executed SQL queries for all tasks.
 2. Provided insights into job review patterns, throughput trends, and language shares.
 3. Validated duplicate detection with sample data.
-

CASE STUDY2: INVESTIGATING METRIC SPIKE

Project description:

This project focuses on analysing user engagement, growth, retention, and email activity to identify and investigate metric spikes. The goal is to uncover meaningful insights that can guide business decisions and product improvements. SQL queries were used to extract and analyse data from three key datasets: users, events, and email_events.

Approach:

1. Data Exploration: Reviewed the structure of the three datasets:
 - users: Contains user information, including signup dates.
 - events: Logs user actions, including timestamps and device information.
 - email_events: Tracks email interactions with columns for user actions and timestamps.
2. SQL Query Development: Constructed queries to address key metrics such as user engagement, growth, and email interaction.
3. Results Analysis: Evaluated outputs for trends, spikes, and anomalies.

4. Report Compilation: Documented queries, outputs, and insights.

Tech-stack used:

1. MySQL Workbench: For writing and executing SQL queries.
2. SQL: Structured Query Language for data analysis

Queries:




```
123 -- weekly user engagement
124 • SELECT
125     YEAR(e.occured_at) AS year,
126     WEEK(e.occured_at) AS week,
127     COUNT(DISTINCT e.user_id) AS active_users
128 FROM events e
129 GROUP BY year, week
130 ORDER BY year, week;
```

Result Grid				Filter Rows:	Export:	Wrap Cell Content:
	year	week	active_users			
▶	2014	17	663			
	2014	18	1068			
	2014	19	1113			
	2014	20	1154			
	2014	21	1121			
	2014	22	1186			
	2014	23	1232			
	2014	24	1275			
	2014	25	1264			
	2014	26	1302			
	2014	27	1372			
	2014	28	1365			
	2014	29	1376			
	2014	30	1467			
	2014	31	1299			
	2014	32	1225			
	2014	33	1225			
	2014	34	1204			
	2014	35	104			

```

132 -- user growth analysis
133 • SELECT
134     YEAR(created_at) AS year,
135     MONTH(created_at) AS month,
136     COUNT(user_id) AS new_users
137 FROM users
138 GROUP BY year, month
139 ORDER BY year, month;

```

Result Grid |   Filter Rows: | Export:  | W

	year	month	new_users
▶	2013	1	160
	2013	2	160
	2013	3	150
	2013	4	181
	2013	5	214
	2013	6	213
	2013	7	284
	2013	8	316
	2013	9	330
	2013	10	390
	2013	11	399
	2013	12	486
	2014	1	552
	2014	2	525
	2014	3	615
	2014	4	726
	2014	5	779
	2014	6	873
	2014	7	997
	2014	8	1031

Result 2 ×

```
-- weekly retention analysis
WITH signup_cohort AS (
  SELECT
    user_id,
    DATE(created_at) AS signup_date
  FROM users
),
weekly_engagement AS (
  SELECT
    u.user_id,
    s.signup_date,
    YEAR(e.occured_at) AS year,
    WEEK(e.occured_at) AS week
  FROM events e
  JOIN users u ON e.user_id = u.user_id
  JOIN signup_cohort s ON u.user_id = s.user_id
)
SELECT
  signup_date,
  year,
  week,
  COUNT(DISTINCT user_id) AS retained_users
FROM weekly_engagement
GROUP BY signup_date, year, week
ORDER BY signup_date, year, week;
```

	signup_date	year	week	retained_users
▶	2013-01-01	2014	17	1
	2013-01-01	2014	18	1
	2013-01-01	2014	19	2
	2013-01-01	2014	20	2
	2013-01-01	2014	21	1
	2013-01-01	2014	22	1
	2013-01-01	2014	23	1
	2013-01-01	2014	24	2
	2013-01-01	2014	25	2
	2013-01-01	2014	26	1
	2013-01-01	2014	27	1
	2013-01-01	2014	30	2
	2013-01-01	2014	31	1
	2013-01-02	2014	17	1
	2013-01-02	2014	18	2
	2013-01-02	2014	19	1
	2013-01-02	2014	20	1
	2013-01-02	2014	21	1
	2013-01-02	2014	22	2
	2013-01-02	2014	23	2

```

167 -- weekly engagement per device
168 • SELECT
169     YEAR(e.occured_at) AS year,
170     WEEK(e.occured_at) AS week,
171     e.device,
172     COUNT(DISTINCT e.user_id) AS active_users
173 FROM events e
174 GROUP BY year, week, e.device
175 ORDER BY year, week, active_users DESC;

```

Result Grid					Filter Rows:	Export:	Wrap Cell Content:
	year	week	device	active_users			
▶	2014	17	macbook pro	143			
	2014	17	lenovo thinkpad	86			
	2014	17	iphone 5	65			
	2014	17	macbook air	54			
	2014	17	samsung galaxy s4	52			
	2014	17	dell inspiron notebook	46			
	2014	17	iphone 5s	42			
	2014	17	nexus 5	40			
	2014	17	ipad air	27			
	2014	17	asus chromebook	21			
	2014	17	iphone 4s	21			
	2014	17	acer aspire notebook	20			
	2014	17	ipad mini	19			
	2014	17	dell inspiron desktop	18			
	2014	17	nexus 7	18			
	2014	17	nokia lumia 635	17			
	2014	17	htc one	16			
	2014	17	nexus 10	16			

```

176
177 -- email engagement analysis
178 • SELECT DISTINCT action
179 FROM email_events;
180
181 • SELECT
182     DATE(occured_at) AS email_date,
183     COUNT(*) AS total_emails_sent,
184     SUM(CASE WHEN action = 'email_open' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END) AS emails_opened,
185     SUM(CASE WHEN action = 'email_clickthrough' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END) AS emails_clicked,
186     ROUND((SUM(CASE WHEN action = 'email_open' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END) * 100.0) / COUNT(*), 2) AS open_rate,
187     ROUND((SUM(CASE WHEN action = 'email_clickthrough' THEN 1 ELSE 0 END) * 100.0) / COUNT(*), 2) AS click_rate
188 FROM email_events

```

email_date	total_emails_sent	emails_opened	emails_clicked	open_rate	click_rate
2014-05-01	680	145	61	21.32	8.97
2014-05-02	704	142	82	20.17	11.65
2014-05-03	73	23	23	31.51	31.51
2014-05-04	68	22	21	32.35	30.88
2014-05-05	1164	255	115	21.91	9.88
2014-05-06	757	168	82	22.19	10.83
2014-05-07	647	141	63	21.79	9.74
2014-05-08	709	156	65	22.00	9.17
2014-05-09	687	148	64	21.54	9.32
2014-05-10	69	22	20	31.88	28.99
2014-05-11	86	29	25	33.72	29.07
2014-05-12	1174	258	117	21.98	9.97
2014-05-13	807	193	92	23.92	11.40
2014-05-14	667	151	62	22.64	9.30

Insights:

1. Weekly user engagement trends highlight active user patterns. Spikes may indicate successful feature launches or marketing campaigns.
2. Growth trends show the pace at which users join the platform. Sharp increases may point to effective onboarding strategies or viral events.
3. Retention metrics help identify how well the platform retains users after sign-up. Strong weekly retention suggests user satisfaction and engagement.
4. Device-level engagement provides insights into user preferences, helping prioritize mobile or desktop experiences.
5. Tracking email action rates evaluates the effectiveness of email campaigns. Low engagement may signal the need for improved content or targeting.

Results:

1. Successfully executed SQL queries to investigate user engagement, growth, retention, and email activity.

2. Identified potential metric spikes linked to user actions and email interactions.
3. Delivered actionable insights for product and marketing teams.