Introduction

In the current ecommerce landscape it is necessary to provide engaging user experiences for better customer retention. Most companies like Amazon have a section dedicated to product recommendations that showcase products that closely match the current product the user is viewing. There are more chances that the customer will likely purchase through the business website if they find a product closely resembling what they are searching for or already looking at. With this project, my goal was to make a sentiment-aware product recommendation tool or system through PySpark. There are 2 main models used in this project-

- 1. A sentiment classification model which predicts if the customer reviews for a product are positive or negative using logistic regression.
- 2. A recommendation model that accounts for product ratings, matching product categories and the textual similarity from customer reviews.

The dataset is related to sentiment based product recommendations and reviews and it was obtained from Kaggle. It contains over 30,000 product reviews across over 200 categories and over 20,000 users. Here is the link to the dataset page

https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/marusagar/sentiment-based-product-recommendation-and-reviews/data.

The dataset combines numerical user ratings with user feedback about products and the overall user sentiment for a particular product. It also appropriately includes the category of the products purchased. This format of the data allows us to create a hybrid recommendation model.

Methodology

Step 1: Data Preparation

The filtered raw dataset contained columns with information about product names, user review texts, user numeric ratings, labelled sentiment and categories.

Data cleaning involved the following steps:

- Removing missing or null entries, and replacing missing user sentiment values using customer ratings.
- Formatting product categories through splitting and normalizing the comma-separated strings.
- Cleaning and lowercasing the user reviews text to remove punctuation and special symbols.

After data preprocessing, model setup to do sentiment classification and provide recommendations was possible

Step 2: Sentiment Classification

Using a TF-IDF pipeline to train a logistic regression classifier, each review was given a positive or negative prediction label. The model was evaluated using the confusion matrix analysis to get accuracy, precision, recall and F1 score based on the correctness of predicting the positive reviews. Thus, the model was able to classify the given customer review as positive or negative with a label of 0 for negative and 1 for positive.

Step 3: Positive Reviews filtering

Only the products with positive sentiment prediction based on the sentiment classification and those with a review rating higher or equal to 4 were considered going forward. For each of these selected products, the reviews were aggregated and their average rating and representative categories were also computed.

Step 4: TF-IDF Feature Representation

Each product's aggregated review was converted into a TF-IDF vector, which showed the importance of each word in relation to all products in the updated dataset. Similar products had similar high dimensional vector representations which were used to determine the cosine similarity between them.

Step 5: Hybrid Recommendation Model

The final recommendation engine took into account the cosine similarity between all the products' TF-IDF vectors and the average product rating. Then a hybrid final score was computed using the weighted sum of the cosine similarity and normalized rating, with more weight assigned to the similarity.

Another filter was added to consider only products with 2 matching categories with the target product to provide some more context to the recommendations. The recommendation system finally ranked all the candidate products by this hybrid scoring and gave the top 5 recommendations for each product.

Results

Sentiment classification evaluation results->

```
Logistic regression confusion matrix [[ 444. 210.] [ 334. 4996.]]
Corrected metrics
Accuracy: 0.90909090909091
Precision (label 1): 0.9596619285439877
Recall (label 1): 0.9373358348968105
F1 Score (label 1): 0.9483675018982536
```

Test AUC: 0.8953475796225845

Product recommendations and evaluations for 5 sample products->

product Lundberg Organic Cinnamon Toast Rice Cakes mean_similarity 0.24640013370537642 mean_rating 4.818403779422217

ame	categories				
		average_rating	similarity	final_score	
				+	
tacy's Garden Veggie Medley Pita Chips	Food,Packaged Foods,Snacks,Chips & Pretzels,Snacks, Cookies & Chips,Chips,Grocery & Gourmet Food,Sn			sonal, Grocery 18.7815771818523645	
hex Muddy Buddies Brownie Supreme Snack Mix	Food,Packaged Foods,Snacks,Snacks, Cookies & Chips,Chips,Home & Garden,Food & Beverages,Snack Foods	Other Snack Foods	, grocery, Toys, ARCHIV		
	Food, Packaged Foods, Snacks, Cereal Bars and Granola Bars, Food & Beverage, Cookies, Chips & Snacks, Gran				
	& Garden, Food & Beverages, Snack Bars, Featured Brands, Grocery, General Mills, Granola & Nutrition Bars				
Food, Snack Foods, Chips & Crisps, Tortilla		4.882352941176471	0.08690570191097743	0.3537751678082725	
hester's Cheese Flavored Puffcorn Snacks in,#236 in,#26 in	Food,Packaged Foods,Snacks,Chips & Pretzels,Food & Beverage,Cookies, Chips & Snacks,Chips,Snacks, C			Shop, Tailgating Esse [0.35319750675836603	

product Batherapy Natural Mineral Bath Sport Liquid, 16 oz mean_similarity 0.05476897165252413 mean_rating 4.894511920827711

Iname	categories	average_rating	similarity	final_score	T.
Yes To Carrots Nourishing Body Wash J.R. Watkins Hand Cream, Lemon Cream Mill Creek Aloe Vera & Paba Lotion	Personal Care, Skin Care, Moisturizer, Bath & Body, Hand Creams & Lotions, Body Lotions, Beauty	4.9298245614035086 5.0 4.8888888888888888	0.06915002053267504 0.053806616897322586 0.0418808303521501 0.04574697243869287 0.06326041804178086	0.333454105512336 0.329316581246505 0.325356214040418	634 51 837

product Iman Luxury Moisturizing Lipstick, Black Brandy 006
mean_similarity 0.23799439473196732
mean_rating 4.828540229885058

name	categories	average_rating	similarity	final_score
L'oreal Paris Colour Caresse Wet Shine Stain, Pink Resistance Burt's Bees Lip Shimmer, Watermelon Weleda Everon Lip Balm	Personal Care, Makeup, Lipstick, Lip Gloss, & Lip Balls, Lip Gloss, Beutry, Lips, Beutry & Personal Care, Skin Care, Lip Care, Lip Balms & Treatments [Personal Care, Makeup, Lipstick, Lip Gloss, Balm, Lip Gloss, Beutry, Lips [Personal Care, Makeup, Lipstick, Lip Gloss, a Lip Balls, Lip Balls, Deauty, Lips, Lip Glosses, Cosmetics [Personal Care, Makeup, Lipstick, Lip Gloss, a Lip Balls, Lip Balls, Deauty, Lips, Lip Glosses, Cosmetics [Personal Care, Makeup, Lipstick, Lip Glosses, Balls, Lip Balls, Lip Balls, Salms & Moisturizers, Seauty, Stin Care, Lip Care, Lips, Balms	4.666666666666667 4.625 5.0	0.31774895696132555 0.23015430559856023 0.18882657586134532	8.5147243086749071 8.5924242698729279 8.43860801391899223 8.43217860310294176 8.39360726275763425

product 2017-2018 Brownline174 Duraflex 14-Month Planner 8 1/2 X 11 Black mean_similarity 0.02059912562983027 mean_rating 4.865999775205125

+	+			
Iname	categories average_rating	 similarity	final_score	
Avery174 Ready Index Contemporary Table Of Contents Divider, 1-8, Multi, Letter		pplies,Office Organiza 0.04034732510529101		ssories,All Binders,School & Office Supplies,Filing,File Dividers,Dividers
[Pendaflex174 Divide It Up File Folder, Multi Section, Letter, Assorted, 12/pack	School & Office :	Supplies,Filing,Files,	File Folders, Office, C	ffice Supplies,Office Organization,Filing and Folders,All Folders and Fili
ng, Project Folders, Office Products, Filing Products, Folders, electronics, Filing & Storage, File Boxes	4.410138248847926	0.05793551609825512	0.3051631561996542	
Smead174 Recycled Letter Size Manila File Backs W/prong Fasteners, 2 Capacity, 100/box	School & Office :	Supplies, Filing, Files,	File Folders, Office, S	mall Business Center,Small Business Bulk Buys,All Bulk Buys,Office Supplie
s,Office Organization,Home	5.0	0.0035807648676036623	0.3025065354073226	
Avery174 11-1/4 X 9-1/4 Index Maker Extra Wide Label Dividers With 5 Tab - Clear (5 Sets Per Pack	School & Office	Supplies, Filing, File D	ividers, Labels & Labe	1 Makers, Sticker Labels, Office, Office Supplies, Office Organization, Binders
		8.591348449624736E-4		
Smead174 2 1/4 Inch Accordion Expansion Wallet, Poly, Letter, Translucent Green	School & Office :	Supplies,Filing,Files,	File Folders, Seasonal	,Back to School Top Items,Office,Office Supplies,Office Organization
The state of the s	5.0	2.7288723303908546E-4	0.3001910210631274	
+	-+			

product RC Cola, 12oz mean_similarity 0.025707217850187935 mean_rating 4.948185292027259

name	categories	+	+	+	+
		average_rating	similarity	final_score	
			+		
Lundberg Wehani Rice, 251b	Food,Packaged Foods,Packaged Grains,Rice,Brown Rice,Meal Solutions, Grains & Pas		rocery & Gourmet Food, 3 0.0455864532565054		
	Food,Packaged Foods,Snacks,Chips & Pretzels,Snacks, Cookies & Chips,Chips,Popcor en,Food & Beverages,Snack Foods,Puffed Snacks,Food & Grocery,Other Chips & Snacks				
Chester's Cheese Flavored Puffcorn Snacks ,#1,079 in,#236 in,#26 in	[Food, Packaged Foods, Snacks, Chips & Pretzels, Food & Beverage, Cookies, Chips & Sna		Cookies & Chips, Food &		
Ben & Jerry's Coffee, Coffee Buzzbuzzbuzz! Ice Cream, Pint	Food,Packaged Foods,Dairy & Dairy Substitutes,Desserts,Ice Cream & Frozen Yogurt		rozen Foods, Ice Cream, 0.013923070620936572		
	t Food,Packaged Foods,Snacks,Cereal Bars and Granola Bars,Ways To Shop,Back To Sch Diets,Snacks, Cookies & Chips,Granola Bars & Snack Bars,Whole Grain,Target Restoc		reals & Granolas,Ener 0.009055531517954338		s,Food & Beverage,Cookies, Chi

Discussion

On running the recommendation system through 5 random products, the average cosine similarity ranged between 0.02 and 0.25, and the mean rating ranged from 4.8 to 4.9.So although the products being recommended are of high quality as we expected them to be through our filtration logic, the similarity between review texts is very low. The low cosine similarity values show that the user reviews may not share matching vocabulary across products even within similar categories. So, even though review similarity might be limited, the system was able to find highly rated products of matching categories, proving that the hybrid approach is still practical.

Conclusion

The project was successful in creating a sentiment-driven hybrid recommendation system through the combination of user ratings, user reviews, product categories and given plus predicted sentiment. We see high scores in all evaluation metrics for the logistic regression used to determine the sentiment label in the first modeling phase.

However, in the second phase of the project, due to the lack of any ground truth such as correct real recommendations to compare the obtained results to, an alternative evaluation approach was used. Using the mean cosine similarity and mean rating made the most sense to check the performance.

Also, due to the dataset only consisting of limited products and some reviews being too short or less descriptive or possibly too unique, it was hard to achieve high cosine similarities between products.

While the model was able to recommend most similar, highly rated products that belonged to matching categories, there can be further improvements made to it. Using context word embeddings like Word2Vec or BERT would be a great next step and having a larger, more diverse review set would help with better textual similarity.