# The Shape of Agreement:
## Topological Models for Economic Alignment

**Shawn Nevalainen, PhD**
*Black River Systems*
nevalainen.shawn@gmail.com

December 2025

**Abstract**

Modern logistics and economic architectures predominantly rely on Directed Acyclic Graphs (DAGs). These structures enforce rigid and adversarial hierarchies. They are prone to information asymmetry and strategic defection. In this paper, we propose a transition toward a "Topological Economy" modeled on Simplicial Homology and Cellular Sheaf Theory. We argue that while legacy DAG-based systems incentivize the Prisoner's Dilemma, a Sheaf-theoretic framework creates a structural incentive for cooperation. By defining systemic waste as the First Cohomology Group ($H^1$) and utilizing the Dirichlet Energy as a cost function for friction, we propose a model where cooperative alignment minimizes systemic cost. We suggest that in a Cooperative Sheaf, the most efficient state is mathematically analogous to the global harmonic state. This effectively discourages zero-sum adversarial strategies through the geometry of the network itself.

**Reference Implementation and Documentation:** https://black-river-systems.gitbook.io/the-shape-of-agreement

# 1 Introduction

Current economic models and global supply chains are architecturally restricted by the Line. The Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) architecture dominates industrial design. It simplifies causality into a one-way flow from Source to Sink. However, the DAG is inherently adversarial. It models the supply chain as a sequence of zero-sum transactions. In this model, each node's profit is often captured through information asymmetry. This lack of higher-dimensional feedback loops creates an environment where strategic deceit is a rational local optimization.

We propose a shift from Graph-theoretic competition to **Topological Cooperation**. We model the economy as a structure analogous to a **Simplicial Complex** equipped with a **Cellular Sheaf**. This allows us to move beyond binary edges to Consensus Regions represented by 2-simplices. In this model, Agreement is defined as a global section of the sheaf. We argue that systemic resilience is found in the minimization of Sheaf Torsion. This serves as a mathematical signature of operational misalignment.

# 2 The Structural Model: Simplicial Connectivity

## 2.1 The Simplex as the Atomic Unit of Trust

We define the fundamental unit of a cooperative network as the consensus rather than the transaction.

**Definition 1** (The Simplex)**.** *Let $V$ be a set of economic agents. A $k$-simplex $\sigma = [v_0, \ldots, v_k]$ is a subset of $k+1$ agents that possess pairwise connectivity and a shared operational context.*

In a 2-simplex $[A, B, C]$, a disagreement between $A$ and $B$ cannot be hidden if they both must maintain consistent handshakes with $C$. The geometry itself forces transparency.

### 2.2 The Nerve Theorem and Structural Integrity

To map physical logistics onto this geometry, we invoke the Nerve Theorem. The topology allows us to calculate Betti numbers ($\beta_k$) to detect systemic voids or breaks in the consensus chain.

## 3 The Data Model: Sheaf Theory

Geometry provides the structure. Economic value is carried in the data. We model the local databases of agents as vector spaces $\mathcal{F}(\sigma)$ assigned to each simplex. These are known as Stalks.

### 3.1 Restriction Maps as API Contracts

A Restriction Map $\rho_{\sigma\to\tau}$ is the linear transformation required to reconcile data between different scopes. The condition for a Global Section, which is a state of total systemic agreement, is expressed as:

$$\rho_{\sigma\to\tau}(x_\sigma) = \rho_{\eta\to\tau}(x_\eta) \tag{1}$$

Failure to satisfy this represents Sheaf Torsion. This is the topological obstruction to integration.

## 4 The Metric: Cohomology as Waste

We define systemic friction using the First Cohomology Group $H^1(K; \mathcal{F}) = \ker(\delta^1)/\mathrm{im}(\delta^0)$.

- $H^0$: The subspace of Global Consensus which facilitates Efficient Flow.
- $H^1$: The subspace of Local Consistency that fails Global Consistency. This represents Systemic Waste.

## 5 Economic Phase Shift: The End of Zero-Sum Games

### 5.1 The DAG as a Prisoner's Dilemma

In traditional DAG-based logistics, information asymmetry is a strategic asset. A node $v$ can capture margin by misrepresenting state to its successor. This occurs because there is no higher-order simplicial structure to verify the report. This results in a Defect-Defect equilibrium.

### 5.2 The Sheaf as a Cooperative Incentive Structure

In a Sheaf-theoretic model, defection is topologically expensive. Because an agent is part of multiple simplicial handshakes, any local lie induces $H^1$ torsion across the complex.

**Proposition 1** (Topological Consensus)**.** *A system in a state of global section represents a theoretical equilibrium where no agent can improve their local state through deceit without increasing the global Dirichlet Energy. This subsequently raises the operational cost for all nodes including the defector.*

## 6 Thermodynamic Analogy: Dirichlet Energy

We define global efficiency through the **Dirichlet Energy** $E(\mathbf{x})$:

$$E(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{x}, L\mathbf{x} \rangle = \sum_{e=\{u,v\}} \|\rho_{u \to e}(x_u) - \rho_{v \to e}(x_v)\|^2 \tag{2}$$

In this equation, $L$ is the Sheaf Laplacian.

### 6.1 Misalignment as High Energy

In this framework, fraud and waste are high-energy states. To maintain a state of misalignment, a node must continuously expend resources (energy) to combat the natural gradient flow of the network.

### 6.2 The Energy Minimization Hypothesis

If the system evolves via a gradient descent process analogous to the Heat Equation $\frac{\partial \mathbf{x}}{\partial t} = -L\mathbf{x}$, it flows toward a state where $E(\mathbf{x})$ is minimized. In this Harmonic State, the network is optimized for truth. Selfishness becomes a burden that the topology naturally seeks to prune.

## 7 Dynamics: Hodge Decomposition

The Hodge Decomposition $C^1 \cong \text{im}(\delta_0) \oplus \ker(\Delta_1) \oplus \text{im}(\delta_1^*)$ allows us to isolate three distinct flows:

1. **Gradient Flow:** Intent-driven resource movement.
2. **Harmonic Flow:** Sustainable economic trade cycles.
3. **Curl Flow:** Rotational waste and information asymmetry.

## 8 Conclusion

We have outlined a formal topological framework for a cooperative economy. By replacing the brittle and adversarial linearity of the DAG with the resilient geometry of the Simplicial Sheaf, we provide a model suggesting that cooperation is the most efficient state for a global system. The Dirichlet Energy serves as a real-time metric for trust. This moves industry from a model driven by litigation to a model driven by verifiable metrics.

## References


[1] R. Ghrist, *Elementary Applied Topology*, 2014.

[2] J. Hansen and R. Ghrist, "Toward a Spectral Theory of Cellular Sheaves," 2019.

[3] J. Nash, "Non-Cooperative Games," *Annals of Mathematics*, 1951.

[4] Y. Sheffi, *The Resilient Enterprise*, MIT Press, 2005.