

# The Shape of Agreement:

## Topological Models for Cooperative Logistics

Shawn Nevalainen, PhD  
*Black River Systems*  
nevalainen.shawn@gmail.com

December 2025

### Abstract

Modern logistics architectures are predominantly modeled as Directed Acyclic Graphs (DAGs). These structures optimize for linearity and solvability. However, this topological rigidity fails to account for local consensus and feedback loops. It struggles with distributed state management in high-entropy environments. In this paper, we propose a novel framework for "Cooperative Logistics" based on Simplicial Homology and Sheaf Theory. We argue that a supply chain can be modeled as a structure analogous to a Simplicial Complex. In this model, data consistency is governed by Sheaf Restriction Maps. We further introduce a theoretical metric for systemic inefficiency defined by the First Cohomology Group ( $H^1$ ). We propose that "waste" is a topological obstruction to global consensus. Finally, we establish a theoretical basis for identifying flow dynamics using the Combinatorial Hodge Decomposition.

**Reference Implementation and Documentation:** <https://black-river-systems.gitbook.io/the-shape-of-agreement>

## 1 Introduction

The fragility of global supply chains reveals a fundamental flaw in industrial architecture. We rely too heavily on the Line. The Directed Acyclic Graph (DAG) enforces a one-way flow of information from Source to Sink. This explicitly prohibits feedback loops or cycles. While efficient for critical path analysis, DAGs lack the topological features necessary for homeostasis and distributed repair.

We propose a shift from graph-theoretic models to higher-dimensional topological models. We treat the logistics network as a **Simplicial Complex**. This allows us to model "community" and "trust" as geometric structures known as 2-simplices. We equip this complex with a **Cellular Sheaf**. This allows us to formally model the challenges of data integration and operational friction.

## 2 The Structural Model: Simplicial Connectivity

### 2.1 The Simplex as the Atomic Unit

We define the fundamental unit of a cooperative network not as the edge (transaction). We define it as the simplex (consensus).

**Definition 1** (The Simplex). *Let  $V$  be a set of agents. A  $k$ -simplex  $\sigma = [v_0, \dots, v_k]$  is an unordered subset of  $k + 1$  agents that possess pairwise connectivity and a shared operational context.*

In our framework:

- A 0-simplex is an Agent (Node).
- A 1-simplex is a Communication Link (Edge).
- A 2-simplex is a Consensus Region (Face).

## 2.2 The Nerve Theorem and Coverage

We invoke the Nerve Theorem to map physical logistics onto this geometry. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$  be the set of "operational ranges" or knowledge domains of a fleet of agents. The Nerve  $N(\mathcal{U})$  is the simplicial complex where a  $k$ -simplex exists if and only if  $\cap_{j=0}^k U_{i_j} \neq \emptyset$ . This correspondence allows us to calculate topological invariants such as Betti numbers ( $\beta_k$ ). This theoretically detects coverage gaps ( $\beta_1 > 0$ ) in the supply chain layout without requiring centralized geospatial mapping.

## 3 The Data Model: Sheaf Theory

Geometry provides the structure. Logistics requires the movement of data. We model this using Cellular Sheaves.

**Definition 2** (The Sheaf of Local Truth). *A Sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on a complex  $K$  assigns a vector space  $\mathcal{F}(\sigma)$  to each simplex (representing the local database) and a linear map  $\rho_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau} : \mathcal{F}(\sigma) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\tau)$  to each face relation.*

**Remark 1** (The Linearization Hypothesis). *Standard Sheaf Theory assumes  $\mathcal{F}(\sigma)$  is a vector space over a field. Real-world logistics data is discrete and often non-numeric. Examples include invoices, categorical IDs, and strings. For this model to hold, we assume the existence of a **linearization functor** or embedding. This maps categorical state to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The engineering of this embedding is a distinct challenge addressed in the Reference Implementation.*

### 3.1 Restriction Maps as API Contracts

In an engineering context, we identify the Restriction Map  $\rho$  with the **API Contract**. It transforms the internal data representation of an agent (e.g., SQL) into the shared interface format (e.g., JSON vector embedding). The condition for a global section (valid system-wide state) is given by the glueing axiom:

$$\rho_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau}(x_\sigma) = \rho_{\eta \rightarrow \tau}(x_\eta) \quad (1)$$

Failure to satisfy this equation represents *Sheaf Torsion*. This is a data mismatch that prevents integration.

## 4 The Metric: Cohomology as Waste

We introduce a rigorous metric for "Systemic Friction" using Cohomology. We construct the cochain complex:

$$0 \rightarrow C^0(K; \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\delta^0} C^1(K; \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} C^2(K; \mathcal{F}) \dots$$

**Definition 3** (Topological Waste). *The First Cohomology Group  $H^1(K; \mathcal{F})$  is defined as:*

$$H^1(K; \mathcal{F}) = \frac{\ker(\delta^1)}{\text{im}(\delta^0)}$$

Operational Interpretation:

- $H^0$ : The subspace of Global Consensus (Signal).
- $H^1$ : The subspace of Local Consistency that fails Global Consistency (Waste).

If  $\dim(H^1) > 0$ , the system contains "Phantom Inventory" or circular inefficiencies. These cannot be resolved locally. We propose replacing random auditing with the computational determination of  $H^1$ .

## 5 Dynamics: Hodge Decomposition

Finally, we analyze the flow of resources using the Combinatorial Hodge Decomposition theorem:

$$C^1 \cong \text{im}(\delta_0) \oplus \ker(\Delta_1) \oplus \text{im}(\delta_1^*) \quad (2)$$

This allows us to decompose any logistics flow into three orthogonal components:

1. **Gradient Flow:** Intent-driven movement (Supply  $\rightarrow$  Demand).
2. **Harmonic Flow:** Sustainable circulation (Trade Cycles).
3. **Curl Flow:** Rotational inefficiency (Bureaucracy/Corruption).

## 6 Conclusion

We have outlined a formal topological framework for logistics. It replaces the brittle linearity of DAGs with the resilient geometry of Simplicial Complexes. We theoretically operationalize Sheaf Theory to provide a method for measuring systemic waste ( $H^1$ ). This aims to ensure data consistency across heterogeneous agents. Future work will focus on the algorithmic implementation of these concepts. We are specifically investigating the use of Large Language Models as probabilistic approximations of dynamic restriction maps.

## References

- [1] R. Ghrist, *Elementary Applied Topology*, Createspace, 2014.
- [2] J. Hansen and R. Ghrist, "Toward a Spectral Theory of Cellular Sheaves," *Journal of Applied and Computational Topology*, vol. 3, pp. 315-358, 2019.
- [3] Y. Sheffi, *The Resilient Enterprise: Overcoming Vulnerability for Competitive Advantage*, MIT Press, 2005.