• Original Heuristic:

Instead of simply calculating *Manhattan distance (MD)*, which is the sum of the absolute differences between the two vectors, we can find a closer cost added to MD.

$$H'(n) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} MD & , if \ MD <= 1 \\ MD + 3 & , o. \ w. \end{array} \right.$$

Relax the problem by simplifying the 1*2 pieces to two 1*1 pieces, there are only one 2*2 pieces, and all rest pieces are 1*1 (labeled 'x').

- Say MD=0, we are at goal state, actual cost is 0.
- Say MD = 1, the best case, we can move to goal state at cost of 1.

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \bullet & \text{Ex. } & \text{xxxx} & & \text{xxxx} \\ & & \text{xxxx} & & \text{xxxx} \\ & & & \text{x11x} & ----\text{down-} \rightarrow & \text{x00x} \\ & & & & \text{x11x} & \text{cost:1} & \text{x11x} \\ & & & & & \text{x00x} & & \text{x11x} \\ \end{array}$$

- Say MD=2, the best case, we can move to goal state in 5 moves

- In best case for MD>= 2, 2*2 piece need at least cost of 3 to swap the empty pieces with 1*1 pieces and prepare the empty pieces for the next move.
- Why admissible?

H'(n) is admissible as it never overestimates the cheapest cost from state n to a goal state.

In the relaxed rules, for MD>=2, the 2*2 piece needs to move at least **MD** moves, and between (**MD-1**) pairs of consecutive moves we need to move the empty pieces, with a **min cost of 3** each.

- Why does it dominate *Manhattan distance heuristic*?
 - \circ For all possible number of MD numbers, H'(n) >= H(n); and for MD>=2, H'(n) >H(n).
- <u>Implementation</u>:
 - o get_original_heuristic(board)
 - o A* with original heuristic for the classic HRD config still runs at costs of 116.