

	There is no particular relationship between the two concepts										
	Best Case, Worst Case and Expected Case actually describe the big O or big Theta time for particular scenarios whereas these asymptotic notations describe the upper, lower and tight bounds for the runtime										
	Space complexity										
	Memory or space required by an algorithm	to create an array - if it is unidimensional, $O(N)$ space complexity; for a 2-D array, $O(N^2)$									
	Stack space in recursive calls counts too. Each call adds a level to the stack and takes up actual memory.	However, just because you have N calls does not mean it will take $O(N)$ time: check the example on Page 41 for more details									
	Drop the constants										
	$O(2N)$ is actually $O(N)$										
	Drop the non-dominant terms										
	$O(N^2 + N)$ becomes $O(N^2)$										
	$O(N + \log N)$ becomes $O(N)$										
	$O(5 \cdot 2^N + 1000N^{100})$ becomes $O(2^N)$										
	$O(x!) > O(2^x) > O(x^2) > O(x \log x) \dots > O(x)$										
	Multi-Parts algorithms: add versus multiply										
	Add:	Non-nested chunk of work A and B	$O(A + B)$	"DO THIS THEN WHEN YOU ARE ALL DONE, DO THAT"							

	Example 10	O(root(N)) as the for loop does constant time and runs in O(root(N)) time									
	Example 11	O(N) as the recursive process calls from N to N-1 to N-2 and so on until 1									
	Example 12	Approach 1: We make a tree for an example string say 'abcd' and we see that we branch 4 times at the root , then 3 times, then 2 times, and then 1 time. this gives us 4*3*2*1 leaf nodes. We could say n! leaf nodes for n length string. So, total nodes would be n * n! as each leaf node is attached to a path with n nodes. Also, string concatenation will also take O(n) time. Thus, the final time complexity in worst case would be O(n * n * n!) =. O((n + 2) !)									
		Approach 2: At level 6, we have 6! / 0! nodes; at level 5 we have 6! / 1! nodes; at level 4 we have 6!/2! nodes...at level 0, we have 6!/6! nodes. so, the total nodes in the tree in terms of n can be : n!(1/0! + 1/1! + 1/2! + 1/3!+ 1/n!). Now, the term in the bracket can be defined in terms of Euler's number: n! * e whose value is around 2.718. The constant e can be dropped further. Thus, the time complexity would be O(n! * n) where n is due to permutation; thus, time complexity: O((n+1)!)									
	Example 13	We have to use the earlier pattern for recursive calls: O (branches^depth) = O(2^N).	We can also get tighter runtime as O(1.6^N) if we consider that there might be just one call instead of 2 at the bottom of call stack sometimes.								
	Example 14	From previous example, we deduce that fib(n) taken 2^nn time. And, we have fib(1) + fib(2) + fib(3) +fib(n) = 2^1 + 2^2 + 2^3+2^nn = 2^nn+1) - 2, thus we can say that the run time is approx. 2^nn									
	Example 15	Now, here in this program we are doing memoization due to which the amount of work reduces to looking up fib(i - 1) and fib(i - 2) values in memo array at each call fib(i). Thus, we are doing a constant amount of work n times in n calls, hence time complexity: O(n)									
	Example 16	The runtime is the number of times we can divide n by 2 until we get down to the base case 1. As, we know the number of times we can halve n until we get 1 is O (logN)									
	Additional Problems										
	1	The for loop iterates through b, thus time complexity is O (b)									
	2	The recursive call iterates through b calls as it subtracts 1 in each iteration, thus time complexity is O(b)									

	Normally, concatenating n strings of x characters each would take $O(xn^2)$.	$O(x + 2x + 3x + \dots + nx) = O(xn^2)$									
	StringBuilder can reduce this complexity as it creates a resizable array of all the strings, copying them to one string only if needed										
Chapter 2	Linked Lists										
	LinkedList is a datastructure representing a sequence of nodes.	Singly Linked List --> there is a pointer to the next node	Doubly Linked List --> there is a pointer to the next and previous nodes								
	Unlike an array, LinkedList does not provide constant time access to any element of the list. It takes iterating through K elements to get the Kth element		Benefit of a Linked List is that one can add or remove items from the beginning of the list in constant time								
Chapter 3	Stacks and Queues										
	Stack uses LIFO	Operations of a stack: pop(), push(item), peek(), isEmpty()	A stack does not offer constant-time access to the ith item. However, it allows constant time adds and removes as it does not require shifting elements around.	most useful case: recursive algorithms - one needs to push temporary data onto a stack as one recurses, but then remove them as one backtracks							