

	There is no particular relationship between the two concepts										
	Best Case, Worst Case and Expected Case actually describe the big O or big Theta time for particular scenarios whereas these asymptotic notations describe the upper, lower and tight bounds for the runtime										
	Space complexity										
	Memory or space required by an algorithm	to create an array - if it is unidimensional, $O(N)$ space complexity; for a 2-D array, $O(N^2)$									
	Stack space in recursive calls counts too. Each call adds a level to the stack and takes up actual memory.	However, just because you have N calls does not mean it will take $O(N)$ time: check the example on Page 41 for more details									
	Drop the constants										
	$O(2N)$ is actually $O(N)$										
	Drop the non-dominant terms										
	$O(N^2 + N)$ becomes $O(N^2)$										
	$O(N + \log N)$ becomes $O(N)$										
	$O(5 \cdot 2^N + 1000N^{100})$ becomes $O(2^N)$										
	$O(x!) > O(2^x) > O(x^2) > O(x \log x) \dots > O(x)$										
	Multi-Parts algorithms: add versus multiply										
	Add:	Non-nested chunk of work A and B	$O(A + B)$	"DO THIS THEN WHEN YOU ARE ALL DONE, DO THAT"							

	Example 10	O(root(N)) as the for loop does constant time and runs in O(root(N)) time								
	Example 11	O(N) as the recursive process calls from N to N-1 to N-2 and so on until 1								
	Example 12	Approach 1: We make a tree for an example string say 'abcd' and we see that we brand 4 times at the root , then 3 times, then 2 times, and then 1 time. this gives us 4*3*2*1 leaf nodes. We could say n! leaf nodes for n length string. So, total nodes would be n * n! as each leaf node is attached to a path with n nodes. Also, string concatenation will also take O(n) time. Thus, the final time complexity in worst case would be O(n * n * n!) =. O((n + 2) !)								
		Approach 2: At level 6, we have 6! / 0! nodes; at level 5 we have 6! / 1! nodes; at level 4 we have 6!/2! nodes...at level 0, we have 6!/6! nodes. so, the total nodes in the tree in terms of n can be : n!(1/0! + 1/1! + 1/2! + 1/3!+ 1/n!). Now, the term in the bracket can be defined in terms of Euler's number: n! * e whose value is around 2.718. The constant e can be dropped further. Thus, the time complexity would be O(n! * n) where n is due to permutation; thus, time complexity: O((n+1)!)								