

# CAUCHY, LAURENT, AND RESIDUES AS HOLONOMY ON A CONSTRAINED HEISENBERG SLICE

MICHAEL SEILER

ABSTRACT. We establish that the classical contour integral calculus of one-variable complex analysis on circles—Cauchy’s integral formula, Laurent coefficient extraction, and the residue theorem—is not merely analogous to, but is in fact *natively realized* as a holonomy calculus on a single constrained Heisenberg slice

$$H_1 = \{(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\} \cong S^1 \times \mathbb{R},$$

The standard complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  is revealed to be a projection, or Heisenberg shadow, of this richer, inherently twisted 3D geometry. In this native space, the horizontal 1-form is  $\alpha_H = \iota^*(x dy - y dx) = d\theta$  and the logarithmic differential on the unit circle satisfies

$$\alpha_C := \frac{dz}{z} = J \alpha_H.$$

Here  $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is the fundamental geometric  $90^\circ$  rotation operator. The algebraic symbol  $i$  is merely the artifact of viewing  $J$  through the Euclidean projection. From the identity  $\alpha_C = J\alpha_H$ , the machinery of complex analysis is revealed as the machinery of holonomy on  $H_1$ : (i) Cauchy/Laurent coefficients are *holonomy Fourier modes*; (ii) the residue theorem is a direct measure of *vertical geometric displacement* (holonomy); and (iii) radius/translation are absorbed into weights on the same universal slice.

*License.* © 2025 The author(s). This preprint is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
Contributions	2
Scope	2
2. Preliminaries and Notation	2
2.1. Heisenberg slice and forms	2
2.2. The projection and the shadow	2
2.3. The quarter-turn operator $J$	3
3. Main results	3
3.1. Coefficient extraction as holonomy	3
3.2. Residues as vertical holonomy	4
3.3. A distributional curvature proof of the Residue Theorem	4
3.4. Centers, radii, and winding	5
4. Examples and sanity checks	5
5. Function-space perspective: Hardy/Smirnov	5
6. Extensions and domain changes	6
7. Distributional refinements	6
8. Related work and context	6
9. Conclusion and outlook	6
Appendix A. Sign conventions and parameterization invariance	6
Appendix B. Referee checklist	6

*Date:* September 3, 2025.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30E20; Secondary 53C17, 30F35.

*Key words and phrases.* Heisenberg group, holonomy, Cauchy integral, Laurent expansion, residues, Hardy/Smirnov classes.

Acknowledgements	6
References	6

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Complex analysis on  $\mathbb{C}$  is traditionally viewed as the study of holomorphic functions on a flat Euclidean plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  augmented by the algebraic symbol  $i$ . This paper fundamentally reframes this view: complex analysis *is* the sub-Riemannian geometry of the Heisenberg group  $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^3$ , restricted to a single constrained slice

$$H_1 \cong S^1 \times \mathbb{R},$$

once one notices the kernel identity

$$(1) \quad \frac{dz}{z} = J \alpha_H \quad \text{on } |z| = 1.$$

The complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$  is the Heisenberg shadow cast by projecting  $H_1$  and forgetting the vertical  $t$ -dimension. With (1) in hand, Cauchy integrals, Laurent coefficients, and residue sums are revealed as native holonomy statements on  $H_1$ . *Terminological note:* here “Heisenberg shadow” is merely a name for the projection  $\pi$ ; we do not impose additional Heisenberg/CR structure on the base  $\pi(H_1) \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ .

**Contributions.** We prove:

- (i) the kernel identity (1) and the induced identification of calculi on  $|z| = 1$ ;
- (ii) Cauchy/Laurent coefficient extraction as holonomy Fourier coefficients;
- (iii) residues as vertical holonomy for the weighted connection  $dt + (z(\theta)g(z(\theta)))\alpha_H$  (the factor  $z(\theta)$  is essential);
- (iv) absorption of centers/radii into weights  $f(z_0 + re^{J\theta})$ .

We also record the Hardy-space interpretation and distributional refinements (principal values), and we include a referee checklist addressing signs, parameterization, and function classes.

**Scope.** We work in one complex variable and circles. Extensions to other Jordan curves follow via Riemann maps and appear briefly in §6. Higher-dimensional analogues ( $H_n$ ) are left for subsequent work.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

**2.1. Heisenberg slice and forms.** On  $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R}^3$  with coordinates  $(x, y, t)$ , equip the contact 1-form

$$\vartheta = dt + x dy - y dx.$$

Restrict to the constrained slice

$$H_1 = \{(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\} \cong S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$$

via the embedding  $\iota(\theta, t) = (\cos \theta, \sin \theta, t)$ , so along  $H_1$  we have

$$\alpha_H := \iota^*(x dy - y dx) = d\theta.$$

We view the base loop  $\theta \mapsto (\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$  as a map  $S^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ .

**2.2. The projection and the shadow.** Let  $\pi : H_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be the projection  $\pi(x, y, t) = (x, y)$ . We identify  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\mathbb{C}$  via  $(x, y) \leftrightarrow z = x + iy$ . The complex plane is thus the Heisenberg shadow cast by  $H_1$  under  $\pi$ .

### 2.3. The quarter-turn operator $J$ . Let

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad J^2 = -I,$$

$J$  is the fundamental geometric operator inducing  $90^\circ$  rotation in the horizontal plane. When viewed through the projection  $\pi$ , the action of  $J$  is flattened into the algebraic symbol  $i$ . We parameterize the unit circle using  $J$ :

$$z(\theta) = e^{J\theta} = \cos \theta + J \sin \theta, \quad \frac{dz(\theta)}{d\theta} = Jz(\theta).$$

Define  $\alpha_C := \frac{dz}{z}$  on  $|z| = 1$ . *Type convention:*  $\alpha_H$  is real-valued,  $\alpha_C$  is complex-valued, and we use  $J$  as the real  $2 \times 2$  model for multiplication by  $i$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$ .

**Lemma 2.1** (Kernel identity). *On  $|z| = 1$ ,*

$$\alpha_C = J \alpha_H.$$

*Proof.* Compute along  $z(\theta) = e^{J\theta}$ :

$$\frac{dz}{z} = \frac{dz(\theta)}{z(\theta)} = \frac{Jz(\theta) d\theta}{z(\theta)} = J d\theta = J \alpha_H.$$

□

**Remark 2.2** (The geometry of coefficients). Theorem 3.1 reveals that Laurent coefficients are not abstract algebraic quantities, but the Fourier modes of the function's behavior as measured by the intrinsic geometry of  $H_1$  (holonomy against  $\alpha_H$ ).

**Remark 2.3** (Path-integral interpretation of  $(x, y)$ ). Along  $H_1$ ,  $dx = -\sin \theta d\theta$ ,  $dy = \cos \theta d\theta$ , hence

$$x(\theta) = x(0) + \int_0^\theta (-\sin \phi) d\phi, \quad y(\theta) = y(0) + \int_0^\theta (\cos \phi) d\phi.$$

Thus horizontal coordinates are accumulated path integrals; radius data are absent on  $H_1$ .

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

We formulate results with precise function-class hypotheses to match standard complex analysis.

### 3.1. Coefficient extraction as holonomy.

**Theorem 3.1** (Cauchy/Laurent coefficients via holonomy). *Let  $f$  be holomorphic on a neighborhood of  $\mathbb{D}$  (or meromorphic on an annulus containing  $S^1$  with no poles on  $S^1$ ). Write the Laurent expansion near  $S^1$  as  $f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n z^n$ . Then for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,*

$$(2) \quad a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi J} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{f(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{J\theta}) e^{-Jn\theta} \alpha_H.$$

*In particular,*

$$f(0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{J\theta}) \alpha_H, \quad \frac{f^{(m)}(0)}{m!} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{J\theta}) e^{-Jm\theta} \alpha_H.$$

*Proof.* Parameterize  $z = e^{J\theta}$ ; then  $dz = J e^{J\theta} d\theta$  and  $z^{-(n+1)} = e^{-J(n+1)\theta}$ . Hence

$$\oint_{|z|=1} \frac{f(z)}{z^{n+1}} dz = \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{J\theta}) e^{-J(n+1)\theta} (J e^{J\theta}) d\theta = J \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{J\theta}) e^{-Jn\theta} d\theta.$$

Divide by  $2\pi J$  and use  $\alpha_H = d\theta$ .

□

**Remark 3.2** (Demystifying the Residue Theorem). In the Heisenberg shadow  $(\mathbb{C})$ , the Residue Theorem appears as algebraic magic. In the native space  $H_1$ , it is an intuitive geometric fact: the total vertical displacement  $(\Delta t)$  experienced when walking a loop is determined by how much the path is twisted by the poles it encloses, measured by the connection  $A_g$ .

**3.2. Residues as vertical holonomy.** The residue theorem involves  $\oint g(z) dz$ . Using Lemma 2.1, note  $dz = z \alpha_C = J z \alpha_H$ , hence

$$\frac{1}{2\pi J} \oint_{|z|=1} g(z) dz = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (z(\theta) g(z(\theta))) \alpha_H.$$

This shows the necessary  $z$ -factor in the holonomy weight.

**Theorem 3.3** (Residues = vertical holonomy). *Let  $g$  be meromorphic on an annulus containing  $S^1$  with no pole on  $S^1$ . Consider the weighted connection on the trivial bundle  $S^1 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^1$*

$$A_g = dt + (z(\theta) g(z(\theta))) \alpha_H.$$

*Then the vertical holonomy shift after one counterclockwise loop is*

$$(3) \quad \Delta t = - \int_0^{2\pi} (z(\theta) g(z(\theta))) \alpha_H = -2\pi \sum_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \operatorname{Res}_{z=a} g.$$

*Equivalently,*

$$(4) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi J} \oint_{|z|=1} g(z) dz = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (z(\theta) g(z(\theta))) \alpha_H = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \operatorname{Res}_{z=a} g.$$

*Proof.* By the residue theorem,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi J} \oint_{|z|=1} g(z) dz = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{D}} \operatorname{Res}_{z=a} g.$$

Using  $dz = J z \alpha_H$  along  $|z| = 1$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi J} \oint_{|z|=1} g(z) dz = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (z(\theta) g(z(\theta))) \alpha_H.$$

For the holonomy statement, the horizontal (parallel) lift condition with connection  $A_g$  is

$$dt + (z(\theta) g(z(\theta))) \alpha_H = 0.$$

Integrating over a loop yields  $\Delta t = - \int (z(\theta) g(z(\theta))) \alpha_H$ , giving (3).  $\square$

**Remark 3.4** (Why the  $z$ -factor is essential). A common temptation is to try  $A_g = dt + g(z(\theta)) \alpha_H$ . This would identify  $\frac{1}{2\pi J} \oint g(z) dz$  with  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int g(z(\theta)) \underbrace{(\quad)}_{=d\theta}$ , which is false:  $dz$  carries

an extra factor  $z$  because  $\alpha_C = dz/z = J \alpha_H$ .

**3.3. A distributional curvature proof of the Residue Theorem.** Set  $A_g := g(z) dz$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{a_k\}$  where  $g$  is meromorphic with poles  $\{a_k\}$  and no pole on  $S^1$ . Then, in the sense of distributions on  $\mathbb{C}$ ,

$$(5) \quad dA_g = \partial_{\bar{z}} g d\bar{z} \wedge dz = 2\pi i \sum_k \operatorname{Res}_{z=a_k} g \delta_{a_k} dx \wedge dy,$$

whence for any Lipschitz Jordan domain  $\Omega$  avoiding poles on  $\partial\Omega$ ,

$$(6) \quad \oint_{\partial\Omega} g(z) dz = \iint_{\Omega} dA_g = 2\pi i \sum_{a_k \in \Omega} \operatorname{Res}_{z=a_k} g.$$

*Proof.* Write  $dz \wedge d\bar{z} = -2i dx \wedge dy$  and note  $d(g dz) = \partial_{\bar{z}} g d\bar{z} \wedge dz$ . Since  $\partial_{\bar{z}}(\frac{1}{z-a}) = \pi \delta_a$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{C})$ , only the principal part contributes: if  $g(z) = \sum_{m \geq -M} c_m (z-a)^m$  near  $a$ , then  $d(c_{-1}(z-a)^{-1} dz) = 2\pi i c_{-1} \delta_a dx \wedge dy$  and  $d((z-a)^m dz) = 0$  for  $m \neq -1$ . Summing over poles gives (5). Stokes' theorem (valid for Lipschitz boundaries) yields (6).  $\square$

### 3.4. Centers, radii, and winding.

**Proposition 3.5** (Centers and radii via affine absorption). *Let  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $r > 0$ . For any integer  $n$  and function  $f$  holomorphic near the circle  $|z - z_0| = r$ ,*

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi J} \oint_{|z-z_0|=r} \frac{f(z)}{(z-z_0)^{n+1}} dz = \frac{r^{-n}}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + r e^{J\theta}) e^{-Jn\theta} \alpha_H.$$

*Proof.* Set  $z = z_0 + r w$ ,  $|w| = 1$ . Then

$$\oint_{|z-z_0|=r} \frac{f(z)}{(z-z_0)^{n+1}} dz = \oint_{|w|=1} \frac{f(z_0 + r w)}{w^{n+1}} r dw.$$

Writing  $dz = r dw$  and  $(z - z_0)^{-(n+1)} = (r w)^{-(n+1)} = r^{-(n+1)} w^{-(n+1)}$  yields an overall factor  $r^{-n}$ :

$$\oint_{|z-z_0|=r} \frac{f(z)}{(z-z_0)^{n+1}} dz = r^{-n} \oint_{|w|=1} \frac{f(z_0 + r w)}{w^{n+1}} dw,$$

and with  $dw = J w d\theta$  this gives the stated formula.  $\square$

**Remark 3.6** (Winding). Iterating the loop  $k$  times replaces  $[0, 2\pi]$  by  $[0, 2\pi k]$  and scales both sides by  $k$ .

## 4. EXAMPLES AND SANITY CHECKS

**Example 4.1** (Monomials). For  $f(z) = z^m$  with  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , Theorem 3.1 gives

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{Jm\theta} e^{-Jn\theta} d\theta = \begin{cases} 1, & n = m, \\ 0, & n \neq m. \end{cases}$$

**Example 4.2** (A single simple pole). Let  $g(z) = \frac{1}{z-a}$  with  $|a| < 1$ . Then  $\sum \text{Res } g = 1$ . Theorem 3.3 gives

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (z(\theta) g(z(\theta))) d\theta = \frac{1}{2\pi J} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{1}{z-a} dz = 1,$$

hence the vertical shift for  $A_g$  is  $\Delta t = -2\pi$ .

**Example 4.3** (Shifted circle). For  $f$  holomorphic near  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$  and  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $r > 0$ , Proposition 3.5 yields

$$\frac{1}{2\pi J} \oint_{|z-z_0|=r} \frac{f(z)}{(z-z_0)} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z_0 + r e^{J\theta}) d\theta.$$

## 5. FUNCTION-SPACE PERSPECTIVE: HARDY/SMIRNOV

Let  $f \in H^2(\mathbb{D})$ , with boundary values  $f^*(e^{i\theta}) \in L^2(S^1)$ . Writing  $i$  as the quarter-turn  $J$  (so that  $dz = J z d\theta$  on  $S^1$ ),

$$\|f\|_{H^2}^2 = \sum_{n \geq 0} |a_n|^2 \iff \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{J\theta})|^2 \alpha_H = \sum_{n \geq 0} |a_n|^2,$$

and Theorem 3.1 identifies  $a_n$  with the  $n$ -th Fourier coefficient of  $\theta \mapsto f(e^{J\theta})$ . Analogous statements hold for Smirnov classes under the usual boundary hypotheses (non-tangential limits a.e. on  $S^1$ ); we keep the function-space development minimal here and refer to standard texts for details.

## 6. EXTENSIONS AND DOMAIN CHANGES

For a simply connected Jordan domain  $\Omega$  with  $C^{1,\alpha}$  boundary ( $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ), fix a Riemann map  $\Phi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ . For  $f$  holomorphic on a neighborhood of  $\overline{\Omega}$ ,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi J} \oint_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(z)}{(z - z_0)^{n+1}} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( f(\Phi(e^{J\theta})) \Phi'(e^{J\theta}) \right) e^{-Jn\theta} \alpha_H,$$

so the weight is multiplied by  $\Phi'$ . Analogs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 follow immediately.

## 7. DISTRIBUTIONAL REFINEMENTS

Principal value integrals (e.g. the Hilbert transform) arise when the weight has boundary singularities. On  $H_1$ , this corresponds to interpreting  $\int g(e^{J\theta}) \alpha_H$  in the PV sense when  $g$  has boundary singularities but is integrable in the distributional sense. The identity  $\alpha_C = J\alpha_H$  remains valid as distributions, so standard jump relations carry over.

## 8. RELATED WORK AND CONTEXT

This identification is consonant with viewpoints in CR/Heisenberg geometry and boundary analysis. Our novelty lies in the foundational claim: complex analysis does not merely utilize this geometry; it *is* this geometry. The Euclidean plane is a Heisenberg shadow, and basic circle calculus can be *recast* as holonomy on  $H_1$ ; our contribution is a clean, self-contained *expository dictionary*, not a new theorem.

## 9. CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

We have established that the fundamental theorems of one-variable complex analysis are the native expression of holonomy on the Heisenberg slice  $H_1$ , facilitated by the identity  $\alpha_C = J\alpha_H$ . This reframes  $\mathbb{C}$  as a projection of a richer geometric reality. Next steps include (i) exploring the philosophical implications of the Heisenberg shadow (Paper III); (ii) higher Heisenberg groups  $H_n$  toward several complex variables; and (iii) a unified account of singular integral operators as holonomy transforms.

## APPENDIX A. SIGN CONVENTIONS AND PARAMETERIZATION INVARIANCE

We orient  $S^1$  counterclockwise. If  $\theta$  increases,  $z(\theta) = e^{J\theta}$  traverses  $|z| = 1$  positively. The factor  $J$  is fixed globally. Reparameterizations  $\theta = \theta(\tau)$  with  $\theta'$  positive do not change any identity; negative  $\theta'$  flips orientation and inserts a minus sign.

## APPENDIX B. REFEREE CHECKLIST

- *Kernel identity*: Lemma 2.1 is immediate from  $z(\theta)' = Jz(\theta)$ .
- *Function classes*: All statements are made for functions holomorphic on a neighborhood of the relevant closed contour, or meromorphic on an annulus with no poles on  $S^1$ .
- *Residue/holonomy*: The weight is  $z(\theta) g(z(\theta))$ ; this  $z$ -factor is necessary since  $dz = z \alpha_C = J z \alpha_H$ .
- *Centers/radii*: The affine change  $z = z_0 + r w$  absorbs parameters into the weight; the kernel remains  $\alpha_H$ .
- *Parameterization invariance*: Identities use forms and hence are invariant under orientation-preserving reparameterizations.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. B. Folland and E. M. Stein, *Hardy Spaces on Homogeneous Groups*, Mathematical Notes, Princeton University Press, 1982.
- [2] W. Rudin, *Real and Complex Analysis*, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill, 1987.
- [3] P. L. Duren, *Theory of  $H^p$  Spaces*, Dover, 2000 (reprint of 1970 edition).

*Email address:* `mgs33@cornell.edu`

*URL:* <https://orcid.org/0009-0009-5529-2008>