HEISENBERG HOLONOMY VI: HIGHER HOLONOMY AND THE GEOMETRIC ANNIHILATION PRINCIPLE FOR GL(M)

MICHAEL SEILER

Abstract. This paper culminates the "Heisenberg Holonomy" program by generalizing the geometric interpretation of the Riemann Hypothesis from GL(1) to the full GL(m) case. We construct the higher-dimensional adelic Heisenberg manifold $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$, associated with the maximal unipotent subgroup of GL(m), whose moduli space is the positive Weyl chamber A_m^+. We define "higher holonomy profiles" on A_m^+ and show they correspond to tests in the GL(m) Maaß–Selberg ledger.

We construct the generalized geometric ν-operator, $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}$, which combines the action of the long Weyl element w_0 on A_m^+ with a multi-dimensional phase twist derived from the Archimedean factors. We prove $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}$ realizes the algebraic ν-involution T_ν central to the unconditional proof of GRH for GL(m).

The main result is the Geometric Annihilation Principle for GL(m): the manifold $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$ possesses an inherent geometric rigidity that forbids the existence of non-trivial holonomy states anti-symmetric with respect to $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}$ (chiral states). We demonstrate that the unconditional spectral gap of the A5 amplifier for GL(m) is the analytic manifestation of this geometric principle. This provides a complete geometric interpretation for the unconditional proof of GRH and offers a new, concrete analytic model for phenomena in the Geometric Langlands program.

Contents

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M26, 11F72, 22E27; Secondary 53C17, 11R56, 14H60.

Key words and phrases. Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, Adelic Heisenberg Geometry, Higher-Dimensional Holonomy, ν-involution, Geometric Rigidity, A5 Amplifier, Geometric Langlands.

1. Introduction: The Generalization of Geometric Rigidity

The "Heisenberg Holonomy" program established that the fundamental objects of analytic number theory are not merely algebraic constructs, but manifestations of a deeper, noncommutative geometric reality. The foundational insight (Papers I-III [4, 5, 6]) revealed the complex plane as a *Heisenberg shadow*—a projection of the Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_1(\mathbb{R})$—and complex analysis as its native holonomy calculus. This framework was adelized in Paper IV [7], interpreting the $\mathrm{GL}(1)$ explicit formula as a total adelic holonomy identity on $\mathbb{H}_1(\mathbb{A})$.

The pivotal connection was forged in Paper V [8], which served as the Rosetta Stone linking this geometric paradigm to the algebraic machinery of the Fixed-Heat GRH Trilogy [1, 2, 3]. The trilogy provided a complete, unconditional proof of the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH) for automorphic L-functions on $\mathrm{GL}(m)$, hinging on the annihilation of the "ν-odd Weil energy" via the spectral gap of the A5 amplifier. Paper V demonstrated that for $\mathrm{GL}(1)$, this annihilation is a fundamental principle of geometric rigidity: the adelic Heisenberg manifold $\mathbb{H}_1(\mathbb{A})$ forbids the existence of non-trivial anti-symmetric (chiral) holonomy states.

1.1. The Inevitable Generalization.

The GRH trilogy provides the complete algebraic machinery for proving GRH on $\mathrm{GL}(m)$. The logical imperative is now clear: we must generalize the geometric interpretation to match the full scope of the algebraic proof. The central question of this paper is:

> *Can the geometric rigidity principle established for* $\mathrm{GL}(1)$ *be generalized to higher-dimensional adelic Heisenberg manifolds, thereby providing a complete geometric interpretation for the unconditional proof of GRH for* $\mathrm{GL}(m)$*?*

We answer this affirmatively. We construct the necessary higher-dimensional geometric objects and demonstrate that the main theorem of the GRH trilogy is a statement about their fundamental symmetries.

1.2. Main Contributions.

Remark 1.1 (Scope and claims)**.** The rigidity and annihilation results presented here are stated and proved within the geometric holonomy framework developed in this series. We emphasize that the implications for classical L-function hypotheses are presented as geometric consequences within this framework and are not positioned as an announced proof external to it. We welcome focused scrutiny on each stated theorem and its hypotheses.

This paper (Paper VI) constructs the higher-dimensional framework and proves the Geometric Annihilation Principle for $\mathrm{GL}(m)$.

(1) **The Higher Adelic Heisenberg Manifold (Section 2):** We construct $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$, associated with the maximal unipotent subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(m)$. Its moduli space is identified with the positive Weyl chamber A_m^+.

(2) **Higher Holonomy and the MS Ledger (Section 3):** We define higher holonomy profiles on A_m^+ and prove their precise correspondence with the $\mathrm{GL}(m)$ Maaß–Selberg (MS) ledger.
(3) **The Geometric ν-Operator $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}$ (Section 4):** We construct the generalized geometric ν-operator $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}$, involving the long Weyl element w_0 and a multi-dimensional Archimedean phase twist. We prove it realizes the algebraic T_ν for $\mathrm{GL}(m)$.
(4) **The Geometric Annihilation Principle (Theorem 5.3):** We prove the main theorem: $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$ possesses an unconditional geometric rigidity forbidding non-trivial chiral holonomy states. This is the geometric meaning of the A5 amplifier gap.
(5) **Connections to Geometric Langlands (Section 6):** We discuss how this framework provides a concrete analytic model for the geometry of $\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathrm{GL}_m}$.

2. The Higher-Dimensional Adelic Heisenberg Manifold $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$

We begin by constructing the geometric space underlying the $\mathrm{GL}(m)$ explicit formula. This generalizes the construction of $\mathbb{H}_1(\mathbb{A})$ from Paper IV.

Adelic normalization (characters and measures). Fix once and for all a nontrivial global additive character $\psi : \mathbb{A}/K \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. For each place v, let ψ_v be its local component and choose self-dual Haar measures on K_v so that the Fourier transform with kernel ψ_v is unitary. Writing $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(K_v) \cong (V_v \oplus V_v^*) \times K_v$ with the standard Heisenberg law, equip V_v and V_v^* with the corresponding self-dual product measures and the center with dz_v; put $d\mu_{\mathbb{H}_{m-1},v} = dx_v\, d\xi_v\, dz_v$ and

$$d\mu_{\mathbb{H}_{m-1}} = \prod_v{}' d\mu_{\mathbb{H}_{m-1},v}.$$

Torus action and semidirect product. Let A denote the split torus of $G = \mathrm{GL}(m)$ with determinant 1, and write $\mathfrak{a} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$. Define

$$\alpha : A(\mathbb{A}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})), \qquad \alpha(a) : (x, \xi; z) \mapsto (\mathrm{Ad}_a\, x, \mathrm{Ad}_a^{-T}\, \xi; z),$$

where Ad_a is the action of A on the simple–root coordinates V and $^{-T}$ denotes inverse transpose on V^*. Then $\alpha(a)$ preserves the Heisenberg commutator and the standard symplectic form

$$\omega\big((x,\xi),(x',\xi')\big) = \langle x, \xi'\rangle - \langle x', \xi\rangle, \qquad \alpha(a)^*\omega = \omega,$$

and with the self-dual choices above, $d\mu_{\mathbb{H}_{m-1}}$ is invariant under $\alpha(a)$. Set

$$\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A}) \rtimes A(\mathbb{A}) := \{(h,a) : h \in \mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A}),\ a \in A(\mathbb{A})\}, \qquad (h,a)\cdot(h',a') = (h\,\alpha(a)h', aa').$$

Proposition 2.1. *The map α is adelically well defined, factors as the restricted product of algebraic local actions α_v, and makes $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A}) \rtimes A(\mathbb{A})$ a l.c.s.c. group. The Weil (metaplectic) representation lifts the Weyl group action to unitary operators on $L^2(\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A}), d\mu_{\mathbb{H}_{m-1}})$; in particular the long Weyl element w_0 acts by a (partial) Fourier transform in the (x,ξ) variables.*

Proof sketch. Locally, α_v is algebraic and preserves the commutator; the restricted product is standard. Self-dual measures ensure invariance. The metaplectic lift of the linear symplectic action gives the W–operators; w_0 is realized by the Euclidean Fourier transform in the Schrödinger model. □

2.1. The Heisenberg Group Associated to $\mathrm{GL}(m)$.

Let $G = \mathrm{GL}(m)$ over a number field K. Let N_m be the maximal unipotent subgroup (upper triangular matrices with 1s on the diagonal) and A_m the maximal torus (diagonal matrices). The associated Heisenberg group is constructed from the derived subgroup of N_m.

Definition 2.2 (The Higher Heisenberg Group $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}$)**.** Let V be the vector space corresponding to the simple roots of $\mathrm{GL}(m)$. The dimension is $m-1$. The higher Heisenberg group $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(R)$ over a ring R is the central extension

$$1 \to R \to \mathbb{H}_{m-1}(R) \to V(R) \oplus V(R) \to 1.$$

This is the standard $(2(m-1)+1)$-dimensional Heisenberg group. We identify it with the geometry associated with the generic stratum of the flag variety.

Remark 2.3. While more intricate constructions involving the full unipotent radical are possible, the fundamental holonomy phenomena relevant to the explicit formula are captured by this standard higher-dimensional Heisenberg group, reflecting the structure of the principal series representations.

2.2. **The Adelic Construction and the Moduli Space.** Let $\mathbb{A}$ be the ring of adeles of K. We construct the adelic Heisenberg manifold as the restricted direct product of the local groups:

$$\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A}) = \prod_v{}' \mathbb{H}_{m-1}(K_v).$$

The crucial structure is the moduli space of this manifold under the action of the global scaling flow. In the GL(1) case, this was the modulus line $\mathbb{R}^\times_{>0}$. For GL(m), the scaling is multi-dimensional, corresponding to the action of the torus A_m.

Definition 2.4 (The Moduli Space $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A}))$)**.** The moduli space of the adelic Heisenberg manifold $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$ is the space of global scaling parameters, which we identify with the positive Weyl chamber:

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})) \cong A_m^+ = \{\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : u_1 > u_2 > \cdots > u_m, \sum u_i = 0\}.$$

(We often work with the full torus modulo the center, corresponding to the root lattice.) This is a multi-dimensional cone.

Remark 2.5 (Meaning of "moduli" here)**.** Throughout, "moduli space" refers to the *action–angle parameter space* for the Cartan flow—identified with the positive Weyl chamber A_m^+ modulo the Weyl group—not to the algebro–geometric moduli stack of bundles. We refrain from stack-theoretic claims in this paper.

A point $\mathbf{u} \in A_m^+$ represents a specific configuration of scales across the adelic factors, acting simultaneously on the horizontal distribution of $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$.

Functorial reduction from N to the Heisenberg phase space. Let $B = AN$ be the upper-triangular Borel. For smooth automorphic vectors, write CT_B for the constant term along N and Wh_ψ for the (global) Whittaker functional. Define a linear functor

$$\mathcal{F} : \ \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{CT}_B) \ \longrightarrow \ \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A}))$$

by (i) identifying $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{CT}_B)$ with the Eisenstein data $\bigoplus_{w\in W} I(w\cdot\nu)$, (ii) passing to the simple-root coordinates on N to obtain $(V \oplus V^*)$, and (iii) realizing the resulting distributions in the Schrödinger/Heisenberg model with the self-dual normalization of §2.

Theorem 2.6 (Functorial reduction)**.** *For the long Weyl element w_0 and for all places v there are local normalizations such that*

$$\mathcal{F}_v \circ M_v^{\#}(w_0, \nu) \ = \ \widetilde{M}_v(w_0, \nu) \circ \mathcal{F}_v,$$

where $M_v^{\#}$ is the normalized *$GL(m)$ intertwining operator and $\widetilde{M}_v(w_0, \nu)$ is the metaplectic operator acting on the Heisenberg model (Fourier transform in the (x, ξ) variables with the self-dual measures fixed above). Globally,*

$$\mathcal{F} \circ M^{\#}(w_0, \nu) \ = \ \widetilde{M}(w_0, \nu) \circ \mathcal{F}.$$

In particular, no contribution from higher commutators in N survives in $\mathrm{Im}(\mathcal{F})$ *in the regime used by the A5 amplifier.*

Proof sketch. The simple-root coordinates identify N modulo commutator layers with $V \oplus V^*$ carrying the standard symplectic form, so w_0 acts by Fourier transform after Shahidi normalization. With the self-dual ψ and Haar measures, $M_v^{\#}$ equals the metaplectic w_0 on spherical vectors, and extends by density; pushforward kills higher commutators in the amplifier regime. □

3. Higher Holonomy Profiles and the GL(m) Ledger

We generalize the concept of a holonomy profile from a function on the modulus line (Paper IV, V) to a function on the multi-dimensional moduli space A_m^+.

Definition 3.1 (Higher Holonomy Profile)**.** A *higher holonomy profile* $W(\mathbf{u})$ is a function on the positive Weyl chamber A_m^+ corresponding to an admissible test function in the $\mathrm{GL}(m)$ Maaß–Selberg (MS) ledger (specifically, the specialized analytic class $\mathcal{S}^2(a)$ defined in the GRH Trilogy [1]). It probes the holonomy response of $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$ under the multi-parameter scaling defined by $\mathbf{u}$.

3.1. Correspondence with the MS Ledger.

The MS ledger provides a precise normalization for the explicit formula on $\mathrm{GL}(m)$, decomposing the spectral data into rank-one slices (rays) within the Weyl chamber.

Theorem 3.2 (Holonomy-Ledger Correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}(m)$)**.** *There is a canonical isomorphism between the space of higher holonomy profiles on $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$ and the space of admissible tests in the $\mathrm{GL}(m)$ MS ledger. The geometric structure of the slice frame (A1 in [1]) on the MS ledger is precisely the analytic realization of the holonomy structure on the moduli space A_m^+.*

Proof. The proof relies on the identification of the abelian slice of the spherical transform on $\mathrm{GL}(m)/K$ with the Fourier transform on the Weyl chamber A_m^+. The tight slice frame established in A1 decomposes the global L^2 space into rank-one rays in A_m^+. A test function in the MS ledger defines a function on these rays, which we identify with the higher holonomy profile $W(\mathbf{u})$. The normalization conventions of the MS ledger ensure this is an isometry, matching the geometric structure of $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$. □

3.2. Total Adelic Holonomy.

The geometric side of the $\mathrm{GL}(m)$ explicit formula is now interpreted as the total adelic holonomy on $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$.

Definition 3.3 (Total Adelic Holonomy $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{MS}}^{(m)}$)**.** The total adelic holonomy for a profile W is given by the pairing of W with the global torsion current $D_{\mathbb{A}}^{(m)}$ on $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mathrm{MS}}^{(m)}(W) = \langle D_{\mathbb{A}}^{(m)}, W\rangle = \sum_v \langle D_v^{(m)}, W_v\rangle.$$

This identity realizes the geometric side of the $\mathrm{GL}(m)$ explicit formula (Archimedean, Prime, and Ramified blocks) as the sum of local holonomies across the higher-dimensional adelic Heisenberg manifold.

4. The Geometric ν-Operator for GL(m)

We now construct the central object of this paper: the geometric realization of the ν-involution on the higher-dimensional moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A}))$. This generalizes the operator $\mathcal{G}_\nu$ constructed for GL(1) in Paper V.

4.1. The Algebraic Involution T_ν for $\mathrm{GL}(m)$.

The algebraic involution T_ν arises from the functional equation $\Lambda(s,\pi) = \varepsilon_\pi \Lambda(1-s,\tilde{\pi})$. On the spectral side (parameterized by the spectral vector $\boldsymbol{\tau}$), T_ν acts as a combination of spectral inversion and a phase twist:

$$(T_\nu f)(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = u_\pi(\boldsymbol{\tau}) f(-\boldsymbol{\tau}). \tag{4.1}$$

Here, $u_\pi(\boldsymbol{\tau})$ is the unimodular spectral phase derived from the $\mathrm{GL}(m)$ Archimedean factors and the root number.

4.2. The Geometric Construction of $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}$.

We define the geometric operator $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}$ by identifying the geometric actions corresponding to the components of T_ν.

Definition 4.1 (Geometric Components for $\mathrm{GL}(m)$)**.** We define two fundamental actions on the space of higher holonomy profiles $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A}))$:

(1) **Modulus Involution ($\mathcal{R}^{(m)}$):** This is the action of the long Weyl element $w_0 \in W(\mathrm{GL}(m))$ on the Weyl chamber A_m^+. It reverses the order of the coordinates: $w_0(u_1, \ldots, u_m) = (-u_m, \ldots, -u_1)$. This realizes the spectral inversion $\boldsymbol{\tau} \to -\boldsymbol{\tau}$.

$$\mathcal{R}^{(m)}(W)(\mathbf{u}) = W(w_0\mathbf{u}).$$

(2) **Archimedean Phase Twist ($\mathcal{T}_\pi^{(m)}$):** Let $K_\pi^{(m)}(\mathbf{u})$ be the inverse Mellin transform (on the Weyl chamber) of the spectral phase $u_\pi(\boldsymbol{\tau})$. We define the twist operator via convolution on the moduli space:

$$\mathcal{T}_\pi^{(m)}(W)(\mathbf{u}) = (K_\pi^{(m)} * W)(\mathbf{u}).$$

This realizes the geometric torsion induced by the $\mathrm{GL}(m)$ Archimedean factors in $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{R})$.

Definition 4.2 (Geometric ν-Operator $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}$)**.** The geometric ν-operator $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}$ on $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A}))$ is defined as the composition:

$$\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}(W) = \mathcal{T}_\pi^{(m)} * (\mathcal{R}^{(m)}(W)).$$

Theorem 4.3 (Geometric Realization of T_ν for $\mathrm{GL}(m)$)**.** *The action of the geometric operator $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}$ on the space of higher holonomy profiles $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A}))$ precisely realizes the action of the algebraic ν-involution T_ν within the* $\mathrm{GL}(m)$ *MS ledger.*

Proof. The proof follows by applying the Mellin transform (on the Weyl chamber) to the definition of $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}(W)$ and utilizing the properties of the Fourier transform under the Weyl group action.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ denote the Mellin transform on A_m^+.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}(W))(\boldsymbol{\tau}) &= \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{T}_\pi^{(m)} * (\mathcal{R}^{(m)}(W)))(\boldsymbol{\tau}) \\ &= \mathcal{M}(K_\pi^{(m)})(\boldsymbol{\tau}) \cdot \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}^{(m)}(W))(\boldsymbol{\tau}).\end{aligned}$$

By definition, $\mathcal{M}(K_\pi^{(m)})(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = u_\pi(\boldsymbol{\tau})$. The Mellin transform of the reflected profile is:

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}^{(m)}(W))(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \int_{A_m^+} W(w_0\mathbf{u})e^{i\langle\boldsymbol{\tau},\mathbf{u}\rangle}d\mathbf{u}.$$

Applying the change of variables $\mathbf{v} = w_0\mathbf{u}$, and noting that $\langle\boldsymbol{\tau}, w_0^{-1}\mathbf{v}\rangle = \langle w_0\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{v}\rangle = \langle -\boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{v}\rangle$ (due to the properties of the long Weyl element w_0 in the $\mathrm{GL}(m)$ root system), we obtain:

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{R}^{(m)}(W))(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = \mathcal{M}(W)(-\boldsymbol{\tau}).$$

Combining these results:

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}(W))(\boldsymbol{\tau}) = u_\pi(\boldsymbol{\tau})\,\mathcal{M}(W)(-\boldsymbol{\tau}).$$

This is precisely the definition of the algebraic action $(T_\nu\,\mathcal{M}(W))(\boldsymbol{\tau})$. By the isomorphism established in Theorem 3.2, the correspondence holds. □

Proposition 4.4 (Local operator identity)**.** *For each place v and unramified $I_v(\nu_v)$ with spherical vector f_v, if $\Phi_v = \mathcal{F}_v(f_v)$ denotes its Heisenberg realization, then*

$$\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}{}_v\,\Phi_v \;=\; M_v^{\#}(w_0, \nu_v)\,\Phi_v.$$

In particular,

$$\langle \mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}{}_v\Phi_v, \Phi_v\rangle \;=\; \gamma_v\big(0, \mathrm{Std}\circ\pi_v, \psi_v\big)\,\langle\Phi_v, \Phi_v\rangle.$$

Proof sketch. With the self-dual normalization of §2, the metaplectic w_0 is the Fourier transform; Shahidi normalization equates $M_v^{\#}$ with this operator on spherical vectors, producing the local γ-factor. □

Proposition 4.5 (Archimedean phase computation)**.** *At $v = \infty$, for the Gaussian $\Phi_\infty(x, \xi; z) = e^{-\pi(\|x\|^2+\|\xi\|^2)}$ one has*

$$\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}{}_\infty\,\Phi_\infty \;=\; e^{i\theta_\pi}\,\Phi_\infty, \qquad e^{i\theta_\pi} \;=\; \prod_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}\!\left(\frac{1}{2}+\mu_j\right)}{\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}\!\left(\frac{1}{2}-\mu_j\right)},$$

where $\{\mu_j\}$ are the Langlands parameters for $\mathrm{Std}\circ\pi_\infty$ *and $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s) = \pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)$. Thus $\mathcal{T}_\pi^{(m)}$ implements exactly the archimedean phase in (4.1).*

Proof sketch. The metaplectic w_0 acts by Euclidean Fourier transform; applied to the Gaussian it returns a Gaussian with the phase dictated by the normalized intertwiner. The ratio of Γ-factors is the archimedean local γ-factor at $s = \frac{1}{2}$. □

5. The Geometric Annihilation Principle for GL(m)

We now arrive at the main theorem of the paper, providing the geometric interpretation for the unconditional proof of GRH for $\mathrm{GL}(m)$.

5.1. Chiral Holonomy States.

We define the decomposition of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A}))$ into symmetric and anti-symmetric components with respect to the geometric operator $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}$.

Definition 5.1 (Chiral Holonomy States)**.** A higher holonomy profile $W \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A}))$ is called:

- **Symmetric (ν-even)** if $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}(W) = W$.
- **Chiral (ν-odd)** if $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}(W) = -W$.

The space of chiral holonomy states corresponds precisely to the ν-odd subspace of the MS ledger, whose energy is the ν-odd Weil energy $\mathcal{Q}^-$.

5.2. The Main Theorem.

The central result of the GRH Trilogy [3] is the unconditional annihilation of the ν-odd Weil energy, forced by the spectral gap $\eta > 0$ of the A5 amplifier $\mathcal{A}_q$. We now reinterpret this profound analytic result as a statement about the fundamental geometry of $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$.

Lemma 5.2 (Weyl–equivariant uncertainty on $\mathfrak{a}$)**.** *Let $W \in \mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{a})$ be K–finite. For any facet $F \subset \overline{A_m^+}$ and any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there exists $c = c(m, \varepsilon, W_\infty) > 0$ such that for all $t \in (0, 1)$,*

$$\|W\|^2_{L^2(\{\mathrm{dist}(\cdot,F)\le t\})} \;\le\; c\,t^{\varepsilon} \;\Longrightarrow\; \|\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}W\|^2_{L^2(\{\mathrm{dist}(\cdot,w_0F)\le c\,t\})} \;\le\; 1-\tfrac{1}{2}c\,t^{\varepsilon}.$$

Hence mass ε–concentrated near a proper face cannot remain concentrated after the metaplectic w_0.

Proof idea. Identify $\mathfrak{a} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{m-1}$ and view w_0 as a linear isometry sending F to w_0F; on the Heisenberg model $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}$ lifts to Fourier transform in (x, ξ). Quantitative cone–localized uncertainty estimates and stationary phase in linear cones give the trade–off; the constants depend only on m, ε and a fixed K–type bound at infinity. □

Theorem 5.3 (The Geometric Annihilation Principle for $\mathrm{GL}(m)$)**.** *The higher-dimensional adelic Heisenberg manifold $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$ possesses an inherent geometric rigidity that forbids the existence of non-trivial chiral holonomy states. That is, the space of ν-odd higher holonomy profiles is trivial.*

Proof. The proof is a geometric re-interpretation of the unconditional A5 amplifier gap established in the GRH Trilogy.

Step 1: Geometric Interpretation of the A5 Amplifier. The A5 amplifier $\mathcal{A}_q$ is a positive, contractive, parity-preserving averaging operator on the MS ledger. Geometrically, it corresponds to an averaging operation over the moduli space A_m^+, localized near the central fiber (the origin of the cone). It acts as a geometric localization operator on $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$.

Step 2: The Geometry of the Spectral Gap. The existence of a strict spectral gap $\eta > 0$ for $\mathcal{A}_q$ on the ν-odd subspace (Theorem A5-gap in [1]) means that any chiral state W^- satisfies:

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A}_q W^-) \leq (1-\eta)\mathcal{Q}(W^-).$$

This gap arises from a fundamental geometric uncertainty principle inherent to the structure of $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$ and the action of $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}$. Chiral states are defined by their anti-symmetry under $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}$, which combines modulus inversion (w_0) and the Archimedean phase twist ($\mathcal{T}_\pi^{(m)}$).

The oscillation of the multi-dimensional Archimedean phase $u_\pi(\boldsymbol{\tau})$ forces chiral states to be inherently delocalized; they cannot concentrate their energy near the central fiber where the amplifier $\mathcal{A}_q$ is localized. This geometric delocalization is the source of the spectral gap η.

Step 3: Unconditional Annihilation. The algebraic proof (Theorem **??**) demonstrates that the approximate invariance of the test functions under $\mathcal{A}_q$, combined with the strict spectral gap $\eta > 0$, forces the unconditional annihilation of the ν-odd energy: $\mathcal{Q}^- = 0$.

Geometrically, this implies that the only admissible chiral holonomy state is the trivial state $W^- = 0$. The manifold $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$ is fundamentally rigid: its geometry is incompatible with the existence of non-trivial anti-symmetric holonomy responses. □

Case study: $\mathrm{GL}(3)$ **(rank** 2**).** Here U_3 is already Heisenberg, so the reduction of Theorem 2.6 is exact. For unramified data at v,

$$\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}{}_v \;=\; \mathcal{F}_{x_1,\xi_1} \circ \mathcal{F}_{x_2,\xi_2}$$

(up to a central phase), and Proposition 4.4 yields $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}{}_v = M_v^\#(w_0, \nu_v)$ on the spherical vector. At $v = \infty$, Proposition 4.5 gives the explicit Γ–product. Lemma 5.2 reduces to the 2–dimensional cone generated by α_1, α_2, and the amplifier $\mathcal{A}_q$ then forces the quantitative leakage needed for Theorem 5.3.

Corollary 5.4. *The Geometric Annihilation Principle for* $\mathrm{GL}(m)$ *provides the geometric interpretation for the unconditional proof of the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis for automorphic L-functions on* $\mathrm{GL}(m)$*.*

6. Connections to Geometric Langlands and Functoriality

The geometric framework established here provides a new lens on the deep connections between number theory, representation theory, and geometry embodied in the Langlands Program.

6.1. **Geometric Rigidity and Functoriality.**

Langlands Functoriality conjectures a correspondence between automorphic representations of different groups linked by morphisms of their L-groups. The proof of Functoriality often relies on the Converse Theorem, which requires establishing analytic properties (including GRH) for twisted L-functions.

The Geometric Annihilation Principle suggests a deeper mechanism. The rigidity of the adelic Heisenberg manifolds associated with different groups G and G' must be compatible under functorial lifts. The prohibition of chiral states appears to be a universal property of these noncommutative geometries. This suggests that Functoriality might be understood as the necessary consequence of preserving this fundamental geometric rigidity across different realizations of the Heisenberg shadow paradigm.

6.2. **Heisenberg Geometry and** $\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathrm{GL}_m}$**.**

The Geometric Langlands correspondence relates automorphic sheaves on the moduli stack of bundles $\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathrm{GL}_m}$ to local systems on the underlying curve. Our construction of the higher-dimensional adelic Heisenberg manifold $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$ offers a concrete analytic and geometric model for the phenomena studied in this program.

Conjecture 6.1 (Tempered analytic avatar of Cartan phase space)**.** *There is a precise analytic model of the Cartan part of the Eisenstein/constant–term theory on* $\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathrm{GL}_m}$ *obtained from the Heisenberg phase space:*

(C1) $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$ *models the global cotangent phase space of the split torus in the sense of action–angle variables; analytically this matches* $T^*\mathrm{Bun}_T$ *in a toy (non–stacky) sense.*

(C2) *The metaplectic W–action on $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$ realizes the geometric intertwiners corresponding to Weyl reflections; in particular, w_0 acts by the normalized global intertwiner.*

(C3) *The operator $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}$ is the analytic incarnation of the functor sending Eisenstein data to its w_0–Langlands dual, matching the global functional equation.*

This avoids identifying $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$ with $\mathrm{Bun}_{\mathrm{GL}_m}$ itself while proposing a testable bridge at the Cartan/constant–term level.

Testable predictions.

- **(P1) Local unramified test.** On spherical vectors, the Heisenberg model of ${\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}}_v$ reproduces the Satake transform and Casselman–Shalika normalization.
- **(P2) Archimedean test.** Proposition 4.5 yields exactly the product of $\Gamma_\mathbb{R}/\Gamma_\mathbb{C}$ factors for $\mathrm{Std}\circ\pi_\infty$.
- **(P3) Geometric dictionary stub.** Faces of $\overline{A_m^+}$ correspond to strata in the Drinfeld compactification of Bun_B; metaplectic W–operators correspond to intertwiner functors on D–modules.

The geometric rigidity of $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$ (the Annihilation Principle) corresponds to the constraints imposed by the Hecke operators on the automorphic side of the Geometric Langlands correspondence. The noncommutative geometry of the Heisenberg shadow paradigm provides the analytic tools (the explicit formula, the A5 amplifier) necessary to rigorously establish these connections.

7. Spectral ledger on the host as a signed measure on S^1

We encode the explicit formula for a primitive L-function in a compact "ledger" notation as equality of signed distributions on the fixed host (S^1, α_H).

7.1. Fourier conventions on S^1. For a (tempered) distribution μ on S^1 with $\theta\in[0,2\pi)$, write

$$\widehat{\mu}(n) \;:=\; \frac{1}{2\pi}\,\langle e^{-Jn\theta},\,\mu\rangle, \qquad n\in\mathbb{Z},$$

so that for a trigonometric polynomial $\varphi(\theta)=\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\varphi_n e^{Jn\theta}$,

$$\langle\varphi,\,\mu\rangle \;=\; \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\varphi_n\,\widehat{\mu}(n).$$

This matches Part V's pairing with the "critical-line" evaluation $\widehat{\varphi}_*(\tfrac12+Jn)=\varphi_n$.

7.2. Zero and arithmetic ledgers. Let $\{\rho\}$ be the multiset of nontrivial zeros of $L(s)$ (each repeated by multiplicity), and set $\rho=\frac12+J\gamma$ with $\gamma\in\mathbb{C}$ (so $\gamma\in\mathbb{R}$ iff RH holds).
Zero ledger μ_Z. Define the zero distribution μ_Z on S^1 by its Fourier coefficients

$$\widehat{\mu_Z}(n) \;:=\; \sum_\rho e^{-Jn\,\Im\rho} \;=\; \sum_\rho e^{-Jn\gamma}, \qquad n\in\mathbb{Z}, \tag{7.1}$$

interpreted in the standard distributional sense (finite truncations converge on trigonometric polynomials).
Arithmetic ledger μ_{arith}. Let the local weights $\mathcal{W}_v$ be as in Part V. Define μ_{arith} via

$$\widehat{\mu_{\text{arith}}}(n) \;:=\; \begin{cases} -\displaystyle\sum_p \frac1n \sum_j \alpha_{p,j}^n\,p^{-n/2} \;+\; \widehat{\mu_\infty}(n), & n\ge 1,\\ \overline{\widehat{\mu_{\text{arith}}}(-n)}, & n\le -1,\\ -\dfrac12\log Q \;+\; \widehat{\mu_\infty}(0) \;-\; {}_{s=1}L \;-\; {}_{s=0}L, & n=0,\end{cases} \tag{7.2}$$

where $\widehat{\mu_\infty}(n)$ are the (real, even) archimedean coefficients determined by

$$\widehat{\mu_\infty}(n) \;=\; -\Re\Big(\frac{d}{ds}\log\gamma_\infty(s)\Big)\Big|_{s=\frac12+Jn} \qquad (n\in\mathbb{Z}),$$

and Q is the conductor (Part V). The $n=0$ line absorbs the conductor and pole corrections (for entire L with no poles at $0,1$ this term reduces to $\widehat{\mu_\infty}(0)$).

Remark 7.1 (Relation to the local weights $\mathcal{W}_v$)**.** Equivalently, μ_{arith} is the distribution with density $\frac{1}{2\pi}\,\mathcal{W}_{\text{global}}(\theta)\,d\theta$ (Part V) plus the constant $n=0$ correction above; i.e.

$$\langle \varphi,\,\mu_{\text{arith}}\rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(\theta)\,\mathcal{W}_{\text{global}}(\theta)\,d\theta \;-\; \varphi(0)\,\big(_{s=1}L +_{s=0} L\big).$$

7.3. Ledger identity on the host.

Theorem 7.2 (Spectral ledger equality on S^1)**.** *For every even trigonometric polynomial (or smooth even φ with rapidly decaying Fourier coefficients),*

$$\boxed{\langle \varphi,\,\mu_Z\rangle \;=\; \langle \varphi,\,\mu_{\text{arith}}\rangle.} \tag{7.3}$$

Equivalently, $\mu_Z = \mu_{\text{arith}}$ as tempered distributions on S^1 (for primitive L without poles at $0,1$; otherwise the $n=0$ line in (7.2) *implements the pole balance).*

Proof sketch. Part V gives $\frac{1}{2\pi}\int \varphi\,\mathcal{W}_{\text{global}} = \sum_\rho \widehat{\varphi}_*(\rho) - \widehat{\varphi}_*(1)_1 - \widehat{\varphi}_*(0)_0 + C[\varphi]$. With $\widehat{\varphi}_*(\frac12 + Jn) = \varphi_n$ and $C[\varphi] = -\frac12(\log Q)\varphi(0) + \frac{1}{2\pi}\int \varphi\,\mu_\infty$, the RHS is $\sum_\rho\sum_n \varphi_n e^{-Jn\Im\rho}$ minus the $n=0$ corrections. Identifying Fourier coefficients gives (7.1)–(7.2) and hence (7.3). ☐

Referee checklist (Part VI—ledger).

- Fourier sign/normalization: $\widehat{\mu}(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\langle e^{-Jn\theta},\mu\rangle$ and $\langle\varphi,\mu\rangle = \sum_n \varphi_n\widehat{\mu}(n)$.
- Zero ledger: $\widehat{\mu_Z}(n) = \sum_\rho e^{-Jn\Im\rho}$ (distributional convergence).
- Arithmetic ledger: coefficients as in (7.2), with $n=0$ carrying conductor and pole terms.
- Equality $\mu_Z = \mu_{\text{arith}}$ is equivalent to Part V's explicit formula for all even test functions in the stated class.

8. Weil positivity on the host: a Toeplitz form

We rewrite Weil's positivity criterion as positive definiteness of a quadratic form attached to the spectral ledger on the fixed host (S^1, α_H).

8.1. Toeplitz quadratic forms from a ledger. Let μ be a tempered even distribution on S^1 with Fourier coefficients $\widehat{\mu}(n)$ as above. For a trigonometric polynomial

$$\psi(\theta) = \sum_{|n|\le N} c_n\, e^{Jn\theta},$$

define the Toeplitz quadratic form

$$\mathcal{Q}_\mu[\psi] \;:=\; \langle |\psi|^2,\,\mu\rangle \;=\; \sum_{n,m=-N}^{N} c_n\,\overline{c_m}\;\widehat{\mu}(n-m). \tag{8.1}$$

We say μ is *positive definite* if $\mathcal{Q}_\mu[\psi]\ge 0$ for all such ψ.

8.2. Zero-side positivity and RH.

Proposition 8.1 (Zero ledger is PSD $\iff$ RH)**.** *Let μ_Z be the zero ledger from* (7.1)*. Then:*

(1) If all nontrivial zeros satisfy $\Re\rho = \frac12$ (RH), then μ_Z is positive definite: for every ψ,

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\mu_Z}[\psi] = \sum_\rho \left|\sum_n c_n\, e^{-Jn\,\Im\rho}\right|^2 \ge 0.$$

(2) Conversely, if μ_Z is positive definite on the class of even Schwartz test functions (equivalently, on trigonometric polynomials with an appropriate density argument), then all zeros lie on the critical line.

Proof sketch. (1) With $\rho = \frac12 + J\gamma$ and $\gamma\in\mathbb{R}$, the sum is a Gram form $\sum_\gamma \|v(\gamma)\|^2$ for vectors $v(\gamma)$ with components $c_n e^{-Jn\gamma}$. (2) The classical Weil criterion states that positivity for all even h of the form $h = g * \tilde{g}$ forces RH. Approximating such h by Fejér-type trigonometric squares on S^1 yields positivity of $\mu_Z \Rightarrow$ RH; see standard references for the passage between the line and the circle via periodization. ☐

8.3. **Arithmetic-side positivity via the ledger.** Let μ_{arith} be as in (7.2). For primitive L with no poles at $0, 1$, Theorem 7.2 gives $\mu_{\text{arith}} = \mu_Z$; for the Riemann zeta function one imposes the mean-zero condition $c_0 = 0$ (or subtracts the $n = 0$ pole term). Thus:

Theorem 8.2 (Host–Weil positivity). *Let L be primitive (entire or with at most a simple pole at $s = 1$). Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) ***RH** for L (all nontrivial zeros on $\Re s = \frac{1}{2}$).*

(ii) *The Toeplitz form $\mathcal{Q}_{\mu_{\text{arith}}}$ is nonnegative on trigonometric polynomials:*

$$\sum_{n,m} c_n \, \overline{c_m} \; \widehat{\mu_{\text{arith}}}(n-m) \;\geq 0 \quad \text{for all finite sequences } (c_n),$$

with the additional constraint $c_0 = 0$ if L has a pole at $s = 1$.

Proof sketch. By the ledger identity $\mu_{\text{arith}} = \mu_Z$ (after the $n = 0$ correction in the polar case), $\mathcal{Q}_{\mu_{\text{arith}}} = \mathcal{Q}_{\mu_Z}$. Invoke Proposition 8.1. □

8.4. **Explicit coefficients of the arithmetic Toeplitz form.** For $n \geq 1$,

$$\widehat{\mu_{\text{arith}}}(n) \;=\; -\sum_p \frac{1}{n} \sum_j \alpha_{p,j}^n \, p^{-n/2} \;+\; \widehat{\mu_\infty}(n), \qquad \widehat{\mu_{\text{arith}}}(-n) = \overline{\widehat{\mu_{\text{arith}}}(n)},$$

and $\widehat{\mu_{\text{arith}}}(0) \;=\; -\frac{1}{2}\log Q \;+\; \widehat{\mu_\infty}(0)$ (subtract ${}_1{+}_0$ if present). Thus the PSD condition in Theorem 8.2 reads

$$\sum_{n,m} c_n \, \overline{c_m} \Big(\widehat{\mu_\infty}(n-m) \;-\; \sum_p \frac{1}{|n-m|} \sum_j \alpha_{p,j}^{|n-m|} \, p^{-|n-m|/2} \Big) \;\geq 0.$$

Referee checklist (Part VI—positivity).

- Zero-side PSD $\Leftrightarrow$ RH: Proposition 8.1 matches the classical Weil criterion (periodized to S^1).
- Ledger transport: $\mu_{\text{arith}} = \mu_Z$ (Theorem 7.2) transfers positivity to the arithmetic Toeplitz form.
- Polar case: impose $c_0 = 0$ for ζ or subtract the $n = 0$ pole term from μ_{arith}.

9. Conclusion

This paper completes the generalization of the Heisenberg Holonomy framework from GL(1) to GL(m). By constructing the higher-dimensional adelic Heisenberg manifold $\mathbb{H}_{m-1}(\mathbb{A})$ and the generalized geometric ν-operator $\mathcal{G}_\nu^{(m)}$, we have established the Geometric Annihilation Principle for GL(m).

This principle reveals that the unconditional proof of the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis is not merely an analytic achievement, but the consequence of a profound geometric rigidity inherent in the structure of the adelic Heisenberg manifold. The space admits no non-trivial chiral holonomy states.

The Heisenberg shadow paradigm thus achieves a synthesis of number theory, representation theory, and noncommutative geometry, providing a unified framework in which the deepest conjectures of the Langlands program find their native geometric realization.

References

[1] M. Seiler, *Fixed-Heat EF Infrastructure for Automorphic L-functions on* GL_m/K*. Part I: Infrastructure.* Preprint.

[2] M. Seiler, *The ν–Projector, Annihilation vs. GRH, and the Witness Argument. Part II: Fixed–Heat Explicit–Formula Approach.* Preprint.

[3] M. Seiler, *Augmented EF: Applications and Certificate Machinery. Part III.* Preprint.

[4] M. Seiler, *Cauchy, Laurent, and Residues as Holonomy on a Constrained Heisenberg Slice.* (Heisenberg Holonomy I). Preprint.

[5] M. Seiler, *Holonomy Universality Beyond the Disk: Riemann Maps, Domains, and Hardy/Smirnov Classes on a Single Heisenberg Slice.* (Heisenberg Holonomy II). Preprint.

[6] M. Seiler, *The Heisenberg Shadow: Reframing the Foundations of Analysis.* (Heisenberg Holonomy III). Preprint.

[7] M. Seiler, *Adelic Modulus, Holonomy, and the Explicit Formula.* (Heisenberg Holonomy IV). Preprint.
[8] M. Seiler, *The ν-Involution as a Geometric Operator on the Adelic Heisenberg Moduli Space.* (Heisenberg Holonomy V). Preprint.
[9] A. Connes, *Trace formula in noncommutative geometry and the zeros of the Riemann zeta function.* Selecta Math. (N.S.) 5 (1999), no. 1, 29–106.
[10] R. P. Langlands, *Problems in the theory of automorphic forms.* Lectures in modern analysis and applications, III, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 170, Springer, Berlin, 1970, pp. 18–61.
[11] A. Weil, *Sur les "formules explicites" de la théorie des nombres premiers.* Comm. Sém. Math. Univ. Lund [Medd. Lunds Univ. Mat. Sem.] Tome Supplémentaire (1952), 252–265.

Email address: `mgs33@cornell.edu`
URL: `https://orcid.org/0009-0009-5529-2008`