

# Puzzle Design Doc

## Puzzle Design Documents — Early Access

### *Algorithmia: The Path of Logic*

This document contains all puzzle design specifications for the Early Access build:

- **Prologue (2 puzzles + boss)**
- **Array Plains (4 puzzles + boss)**
- **Twin Rivers (4 puzzles + boss)**

Each puzzle includes:

- Concept
- Difficulty
- Environment
- Trigger
- Exact mechanics
- Solution behavior
- Failure conditions
- NPC dialogue (if any)
- Concept Bridge breakdown
- Codex entry unlock

---

## Region 0 — Prologue: Chamber of Flow

### Puzzle P0-1 — Follow the Path

Element	Specification
<b>Concept</b>	Pattern Matching / Sequential Reasoning
<b>Difficulty</b>	Very Easy
<b>Environment</b>	Floating white tiles in a void-like arena
<b>Trigger</b>	Player steps on first tile OR interacts with the floating crystal
<b>Mechanics</b>	A sequence of tiles glows; player repeats the sequence. Correct tile = chime. Wrong tile = flash + reset.
<b>Solution</b>	Complete 2–3 rounds of pattern growth
<b>Failure Conditions</b>	Wrong tile; leaving puzzle zone
<b>NPC Dialogue</b>	"Patterns are the rhythm of logic. Trust what you saw."

## ■ Concept Bridge — Follow the Path (P0-1)

### 1 Story Recap — What You Just Did

Professor Node materializes gently beside you:

"Back there on the tiles, you didn't guess.  
 You watched a pattern unfold...  
 You memorized the order...  
 And you replayed that exact order with your steps."

He continues:

"You didn't jump randomly or check every tile.  
 You trusted the sequence you observed — one tile at a time.  
 That instinct you just used?  
 It's the foundation of how many algorithms think."

This reinforces:

- **observe → remember → repeat,**
- the core mental model of sequential processing.

---

## 2 Pattern Reveal — Explained Slowly

Professor Node smiles:

"What you did is the essence of a very important idea:  
**Sequence Recognition.**"

He breaks it down clearly:

- "You **recorded a sequence.**"
- "You **held it in your working memory.**"
- "You **processed each item in order**, without skipping or shuffling."

He adds:

"Whenever we follow instructions step-by-step,  
replay a series of moves,  
or read a list from start to finish...  
we're using this pattern."

Still no code — just intuition.

---

## 3 Pseudocode + Casual Explanation

A glowing panel appears with a simple program:

```
watch the tiles light up  
record the sequence
```

```
for each tile in the sequence:  
    walk onto that tile in order
```

Professor Node breaks it down in plain English:

"watch the tiles light up"

| You're just observing. Let the puzzle 'speak.'

"record the sequence"

You store the order mentally — tile 3, tile 1, tile 4...

"for each tile in the sequence:"

This means: go through the list one step at a time.

"walk onto that tile in order"

Replay what you memorized, exactly as you saw it.

He concludes:

"That's all a loop is:

**'Do this for each thing in the list.'**

You just executed a loop with your feet."

---

## 4 Mini-Forge Practice — Tiny Interactive Drill

A small Forge UI fades in with draggable tiles:

**Arrange these in the order you actually used:**

- "Walk onto each tile in order."
- "Watch the tiles light up."
- "Remember the sequence of tiles."

The correct order:

1. **Watch the tiles light up.**
2. **Remember the sequence of tiles.**
3. **Walk onto each tile in order.**

If the player is wrong:

| "Think about what must happen before you can retrace the steps."

When correct:

"Perfect.

Many algorithms follow this structure:

**Observe → Remember → Process in Order.**"

---

## 5 Codex Unlock — Patterns & Sequence Recognition

The Codex gains a new glowing entry:

### Patterns & Sequence Recognition

**What You Felt:**

"I watched a pattern, remembered it, and repeated it."

**Plain Explanation:**

"Some problems require following a sequence exactly as it was given.

This is the core of reading arrays, iterating through lists,  
or processing ordered steps."

**Pattern Steps:**

1. Observe
2. Store/Record
3. Replay or Process

**Where You'll See This Again:**

- Replaying moves in simulations
- Reading characters in strings
- Scanning through arrays
- Performing step-by-step operations

**Unlocked Ability:**

| Recognize when a problem is about order, sequence, and exact replay.

---

<!-- END OF CONCEPT BRIDGE FOR PUZZLE P0-1 -->

---

## **Puzzle P0-2 — Fractured Sentinel**

Element	Specification
<b>Concept</b>	Spatial Reasoning → Mapping (precursor to Hashing)
<b>Difficulty</b>	Easy
<b>Environment</b>	Floating stone platform; 3–4 crystal fragments; Sentinel frame
<b>Trigger</b>	Interact with any shard
<b>Mechanics</b>	Push/pull shards; each fits only one socket; snaps when correct
<b>Solution</b>	Assemble all shards correctly
<b>Failure Conditions</b>	None
<b>NPC Dialogue</b>	"Logic begins with placing each piece where it belongs."

### **Concept Bridge — Fractured Sentinel (P0-2)**

#### **1 Story Recap — What You Just Did**

Professor Node steps forward as the reconstructed Sentinel hums beneath your feet:

"Those fragments weren't random pieces.

Each one had a *proper place* — a slot where it made perfect sense.

And you figured that out by comparing shapes, colors, and edges."

He gestures to the Sentinel:

"You didn't try every spot blindly.

You looked at a piece...

You looked at the sockets...

And you matched them based on similarity."

This anchors the idea:

- Identify a piece
  - Find its matching slot
  - Place it
- 

## 2 Pattern Reveal — Explained Slowly

Professor Node:

"What you just used is the heart of mapping.  
Mapping means taking one thing...  
and figuring out *where it belongs.*"

He walks you through the logic:

- "Each fragment acted like a **key**."
- "Each socket was a **slot** waiting for that key."
- "Your job was to match key → slot."

He gives a real-world analogy:

"Think of putting cutlery away.  
Spoons go in the spoon slot.  
Forks go in the fork slot.  
You don't try every drawer — you know where each type belongs."

This sets up the mental model for maps and hash maps later.

---

## 3 Pseudocode + Casual Explanation

A glowing diagram appears:

```
for each fragment:  
    find the matching socket
```

place the fragment into that socket

Professor Node translates:

"for each fragment:"

You pick up one piece at a time. No rush.

"find the matching socket"

You inspect the slots and ask:

*"Which place was this piece meant for?"*

"place the fragment into that socket"

Once you know, you put it exactly where it belongs.

Node continues:

"That's mapping.

One thing points to the place that belongs to it.

Just like:

- names map to phone numbers
- words map to definitions
- keys map to values
- fragments map to sockets"

## 4 Mini-Forge Practice — Tiny Interactive Drill

The Logic Forge opens a small practice window:

**Arrange these actions in the order you used them:**

- "Place the fragment where it belongs."
- "Find the socket that matches the fragment."
- "Pick up one fragment."

Correct order:

1. Pick up one fragment.
2. Find the socket that matches the fragment.
3. Place the fragment where it belongs.

If the player gets it wrong:

"Think first: what must you pick up before you can place?"

When correct:

"Exactly.

Mapping always follows this pattern:

**Take an item → find its slot → put it there.**

---

## 5 Codex Unlock — Mapping & Matching

A new entry glows in the Codex:

### **Mapping & Matching**

**What You Felt:**

"I found where each piece belonged and placed it there."

**Plain Explanation:**

"Mapping means using one thing to find another thing.

It's the foundation of:

- dictionaries
- hash maps
- routing tables
- inventory systems"

**Pattern Steps:**

1. Read item
2. Identify slot
3. Place item

#### **Where You'll See This Later:**

- Hashing puzzles in Array Plains
- Grouping/Classification challenges
- Hash map patterns in coding problems

#### **Unlocked Ability:**

| Recognize when a problem is about matching items to the correct destination.

---

<!-- END OF CONCEPT BRIDGE FOR PUZZLE P0-2 -->

---



## **Prologue Boss — The Fractured Sentinel**

Element	Specification
<b>Concept</b>	Multi-step pattern mastery
<b>Difficulty</b>	Easy
<b>Mechanics</b>	3-phase puzzle: longer sequence → assembling multi-piece pattern → stepping on trail of fading footprints
<b>Solution</b>	Complete the sequence without error
<b>Fail Conditions</b>	Incorrect tile resets phase
<b>Narrative Result</b>	Sentinel awakens → opens path to Array Plains

---



## **Concept Bridge — Boss: The Fractured Sentinel**

*Prologue Boss — Multi-Step Pattern Mastery*

---



## **Story Recap — What You Just Did**

The fragments of the Sentinel reform behind you, glowing with soft light.

Professor Node materializes:

"This guardian didn't test just one skill.  
It tested how well you could **chain multiple steps**,  
remember evolving patterns, and adapt without losing your place."

He paces around the reconstructed statue:

- First, you followed an **expanding sequence**
- Then, you reassembled **multiple fragments**
- Finally, you traced **vanishing footprints** before they disappeared

"The Sentinel wasn't testing your memory.  
It was testing your **ability to keep your thoughts ordered**."

This anchors the idea:

- Multi-step reasoning
- Pattern extension
- Sequence stability
- Controlled decision flow

---

## 2 Pattern Reveal — The Meta-Pattern: *Sequential Logical Execution*

Professor Node:

"Some problems can't be solved by one trick.  
They require **a series of logical steps**,  
where each step depends on the previous one being correct."

He explains:

- You recognized a pattern
- You built a structure
- You followed a timed sequence
- You maintained context across steps

This is the core of:

- Multi-pass algorithms
- Stepwise transformations
- “First do X, then Y, then Z” problems
- Any LeetCode problem that has **preprocessing + core logic + final check**

Examples this boss echoes:

- **Valid Parentheses** → scan + stack updates
- **String Compression** → build representation in phases
- **Pattern matching** → sequential recognition
- **Preprocessing before two-pointer/DP logic**

He concludes:

“The Sentinel teaches you the first great truth of algorithms:  
**Break problems into phases — and finish each phase cleanly before moving on.**”

## 3 Pseudocode — High-Level Multi-Phase Structure

A glowing panel appears:

```
# Phase 1: Recognize and reproduce a growing pattern
observe sequence
repeat sequence
```

# Phase 2: Reconstruct structure from pieces  
for each fragment:

    map to correct position  
    assemble

# Phase 3: Follow transient information  
while trail exists:

    track next footprint before it fades

# Final: Combine all steps without mixing them

Node explains:

- **Phase 1 → Input scanning**

You read and replayed sequences (like scanning arrays).

- **Phase 2 → Structural assembly**

You matched components to positions (like mapping keys to slots).

- **Phase 3 → Time-sensitive traversal**

You acted before information expired (like sliding window expiry or queue operations).

- **Final → Sequential composition**

LeetCode problems often require:

*"Do A, then B, then C — no shortcuts."*

---

## 4 Mini-Forge Drill — “Which Step Comes Next?” Challenge

A small Forge window opens with this challenge:

**Goal:** Put the algorithmic phases in the correct order.

Pieces:

- “Track fading information before it disappears”

- “Map fragments to their correct slots”
- “Observe and reproduce the opening pattern”
- “Combine results from all phases cleanly”

Correct Order:

1. Observe and reproduce the opening pattern
2. Map fragments to their correct slots
3. Track fading information before it disappears
4. Combine results from all phases cleanly

If the player gets it wrong:

| “Think: some tasks depend on earlier information being correct.”

Then Node adds:

| “This is the structure of many real problems:

| Preprocess → Build → Traverse → Finalize.”

---

## 5 Codex Unlock — **Sequential Algorithmic Phasing**

A new Codex entry appears:

### Sequential Algorithmic Phasing

*(Meta-pattern)*

#### **What You Felt:**

You solved multiple small patterns in order — none worked alone.

#### **Plain Explanation:**

Some algorithmic problems require a sequence of steps.

You:

1. **Identify** information
2. **Organize** it
3. **Traverse** or process it
4. **Finalize** or check correctness

### **Where You'll See This Again:**

- “Valid Parentheses” (scan → stack → check)
- “Group Anagrams” (hash → group → output)
- “3Sum” (sort → two pointers → scan)
- “Remove Duplicates” (read → filter → compress)

### **Unlocked Ability:**

Recognize when a problem requires multiple phases — and structure your solution one clean pass at a time.

---

<!-- END OF CONCEPT BRIDGE — BOSS: FRACTURED SENTINEL -->

## Region 1 — Array Plains

---

### Puzzle AP1 — Fix the Farmland

Element	Specification
<b>Concept</b>	Sorting / Indexing
<b>Difficulty</b>	Easy → Medium
<b>Environment</b>	Cropland tiles labeled 0–7
<b>Trigger</b>	NPC: “The fields are scrambled! Help me fix them!”
<b>Mechanics</b>	Push tiles left/right; lock when correct
<b>Solution</b>	Ordered row = 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
<b>Fail Conditions</b>	Leaving puzzle resets
<b>NPC</b>	Farmer who explains the issue

## Concept Bridge — Fix the Farmland (AP1)

### **1** Story Recap — What You Just Did

Professor Node watches the now perfectly ordered fields:

"A moment ago, this farm was a mess.  
Plots labeled 3, 7, 1, 5... all jumbled.  
You didn't add new land.  
You didn't remove any crops.  
You just **rearranged** what was already there...  
until the numbers were in order."

He points down the row:

"Now it reads:  
**0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7.**  
That may look simple,  
but it's one of the most powerful ideas in computing:  
**put things in order first,**  
**so everything else becomes easier.**"

This anchors the feel of:

- Taking a scrambled sequence
- Reordering it
- Ending with clean, sorted structure

### **2** Pattern Reveal — Explained Slowly

Professor Node:

"What you used here is called sorting.  
Sorting is when you take a bunch of items and arrange them

| in a meaningful order: smallest to largest, A to Z, earliest to latest."

He gives everyday parallels:

- "Putting books on a shelf from A → Z."
- "Lining up test scores from lowest to highest."
- "Arranging files by date so the newest is at the top."

Then he connects to why it matters for algorithms:

"Why do we care?

Because once things are sorted,

- **searching is faster**,
- **detecting patterns is easier**,
- and many algorithms suddenly become way simpler.

You just transformed chaos into structure."

---

### 3 Pseudocode + Casual Explanation

A glowing panel appears over the fields:

```
repeat until the row is sorted:  
    look at each pair of neighboring plots  
    if a plot has a bigger number than the one after it:  
        swap them
```

Professor Node walks through it like a coach:

1. **repeat until the row is sorted:**

"This means:  
'Keep doing passes over the row  
until there are no mistakes left.'

You might not fix everything in one sweep,  
so you keep going until **nothing is out of order.**"

2. **look at each pair of neighboring plots**

"You don't compare random plots far apart.  
You compare **neighboring** ones:  
(0,1), (1,2), (2,3), etc."

3. **if a plot has a bigger number than the one after it:**

"This is the 'uh-oh' moment.  
If plot 3 has number 7 and plot 4 has number 2,  
then 7 and 2 are out of order."

4. **swap them**

"You simply trade places.  
The bigger number moves right,  
the smaller number moves left."

He summarizes:

"You keep sweeping through neighbors, swapping when needed,  
until everyone is standing in the correct spot.  
That's one way to sort:  
**fix local problems until the whole thing is clean.**"

---

## 4 Mini-Forge Practice — Tiny Sorting Drill

A mini Logic Forge panel appears:

"Let's sort a tiny row the way you just did."

On screen:

Row: **[4, 2, 1, 3]**

## Step 1 — Identify Out-of-Order Neighbors

Prompt:

"Which pair is out of order?"

Options (button or multiple choice style):

- (4, 2)
- (2, 1)
- (1, 3)

Correct answer:

- First (4, 2), then (2, 1) in subsequent sweeps.

If the player picks wrong, hint:

"Look for a pair where the left number is bigger than the right."

## Step 2 — Drag to Swap

The player drags **4** and **2** to swap them:

Row becomes: **[2, 4, 1, 3]**

## Step 3 — Repeat Until Sorted

The mini-Forge walks them visually through:

- Next pass: swap (4, 1) → **[2, 1, 4, 3]**
- Next pass: swap (2, 1) → **[1, 2, 4, 3]**
- Finally: swap (4, 3) → **[1, 2, 3, 4]**

Node commentary:

"See?

Just by fixing neighbor mistakes,  
the whole row ends up sorted."

---

## 5 Codex Unlock — Sorting & Ordered Fields

The Codex entry unlocks:

## Sorting & Ordered Fields

### What You Felt:

"I took a messy row of numbered plots and rearranged them until the numbers were in order."

### Plain Explanation:

"Sorting means rearranging items into a useful order.

Once things are sorted,

searching, scanning, and spotting patterns all become easier."

### Pattern Steps:

1. Look at neighbors.
2. Detect out-of-order pairs.
3. Swap them.
4. Repeat until no pairs are out of order.

### Where You'll See This Again:

- Searching in sorted arrays
- Binary search later on
- Grouping and scanning tasks
- Many interview questions that start with:
  - | 'First, sort the input...'

### Unlocked Ability:

Notice when a problem becomes easier

once you **"sort first, then think."**

---

<!-- END OF CONCEPT BRIDGE FOR PUZZLE AP1 -->

---

## Puzzle AP2 — Find the Lost Tool

Element	Specification
<b>Concept</b>	O(1) Access / Direct Indexing
<b>Difficulty</b>	Easy
<b>Environment</b>	Barn with baskets labeled 0–9
<b>Trigger</b>	NPC: "My tool is in basket 5..."
<b>Mechanics</b>	Walk to Basket 5 ; no searching
<b>Solution</b>	Selecting index directly
<b>Failure Conditions</b>	None

### Concept Bridge — Find the Lost Tool (AP2)

#### 1 Story Recap — What You Just Did

Professor Node appears near the barn doorway, watching you casually pick the correct basket:

"You didn't lift every basket.

You didn't search each one in order:

basket 0, basket 1, basket 2, basket 3...

You went **straight** to the basket with the right number on it."

He continues:

"Someone told you:

'The tool is in basket 5.'

And you walked directly to basket **5**.

No scanning.

No guessing."

This reinforces:

- You **knew the position** ahead of time

- You used the **label/index** as a direct locator
  - You did **zero searching**
- 

## 2 Pattern Reveal — Explained Slowly

Professor Node smiles:

"What you just used is the power of direct indexing."

He lays it out in simple terms:

- "You had a **list of baskets.**"
- "Each basket had a **number** on it — that number is its **index.**"
- "You were given an **index** (5) and went **straight** to that spot."

He relates it to code:

"In many problems, we use something called an array.

You can think of an array as:

'A row of labeled slots.'

If you know the label — the index —

you can jump to that slot instantly."

Then he makes it real-world:

"It's like apartment numbers:

If your friend says 'I'm in 3B,'  
you don't knock on every door in the building.

You go straight to **3B.**"

---

## 3 Pseudocode + Casual Explanation

A small panel appears with a tiny example:

```
baskets = [basket0, basket1, basket2, basket3, basket4, basket5]

target_index = 5

tool_location = baskets[target_index]
```

Professor Node goes line by line:

baskets = [basket0, basket1, basket2, ...]

"This is just our row of baskets.

Each basket has a position:

0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5..."

target\_index = 5

"This is what the villager told you:

'The tool is in basket 5.'

tool\_location = baskets[target\_index]

"This is you walking **exactly** to basket 5.

No loops, no searching, no scanning the whole row.

Just:

'Give me whatever is at position 5.'

He sums it up:

"This is called O(1) or constant-time access.

That means:

No matter how many baskets there are — 10, 100, 10,000 —

**jumping to basket 5 takes the same amount of steps."**

## 4 Mini-Forge Practice — Direct Access Drill

A small Logic Forge window appears with a row of boxes:

Row of containers:



Indexes:

0 1 2 3 4 5

Prompt:

"The villager says:

'The tool is in container 2.'

Click the correct container."

Correct answer: index 2 (🔧).

Follow-up variation:

"Now the target index is 5.

Which container do you jump to?"

Correct answer: index 5 (🔨).

If the player hesitates or clicks wrong:

"Remember, you're not searching by icon first.

You're jumping by **position** — the **index**."

Once solved:

"Nice.

That's all direct indexing is:

**You know the index → you jump straight there.**

No loop required."

## 5 Codex Unlock — Array Indexing (O(1))

The Codex glows with a new entry:



## Array Indexing ( $O(1)$ )

### What You Felt:

"I knew which basket number had the tool, so I just walked to that one."

### Plain Explanation:

"In an array, every slot has a number called an index. If you know the index, you can jump straight to that slot in constant time — without looping."

### Pattern Steps:

1. Have a list (array) of items.
2. Know the index you care about.
3. Access the value at that index directly.

### Where You'll See This Again:

- Looking up elements by position in arrays or lists
- Implementing fast access tables
- Many problems where the hint is:

"You can treat this as an array and jump by index."

### Unlocked Ability:

Recognize problems where you don't need to search, because the position is **already known**.

---

<!-- END OF CONCEPT BRIDGE FOR PUZZLE AP2 -->

---



## Puzzle AP3 — Organize the Harvest

Element	Specification
Concept	Hashing / Bucketing

Element	Specification
<b>Difficulty</b>	Medium
<b>Environment</b>	Barn floor with buckets A, B, C, D; falling crop items
<b>Trigger</b>	Interact with hopper
<b>Mechanics</b>	Items fall → hash rule decides bucket → collisions occur → player groups correctly
<b>Solution</b>	Map item → bucket
<b>Fail Conditions</b>	Wrong bucket = 5 sec delay

## Concept Bridge — Organize the Harvest (AP3)

### Story Recap — What You Just Did

Professor Node stands beside the barn, watching the last crop fall into the correct basket:

"Those crops weren't just random icons falling from the ceiling.  
 Each type had a **natural home** — a basket it belonged to.  
 And instead of piling everything into one heap,  
 you separated them using a *rule*."

He nods toward the buckets:

"You didn't care where they landed at first.  
 You cared about **what they were**:  

- This symbol goes here,
- that symbol goes there.

 And some items even **shared** the same basket."

This anchors the feel:

- Reading the **item's identity**
- Using a **rule** to decide its bucket

- Accepting that multiple items can live in the **same** bucket
- 

## 2 Pattern Reveal — Explained Slowly

Professor Node:

"What you used here is the core idea behind hashing."

He explains in calm, concrete terms:

- "You had **many items** falling from above."
- "You had a **small number of baskets** on the floor."
- "You used a **rule** to decide:

'This item goes into this basket.'

He continues:

"In computing, we often:

- take a piece of data (like a word or a number),
- run it through a small **function** that turns it into a smaller value,
- and use that value to decide which **bucket** it goes into.

That function is called a **hash function**."

Then he connects to what you saw:

"You noticed something else:

**Sometimes different items land in the same bucket.**

That's called a **collision**.

And it's not an error — it's something we expect and handle."

---

## 3 Pseudocode + Casual Explanation

A glowing diagram appears showing items and buckets:

for each item in the stream:

```
bucket_index = hash(item)  
put item into buckets[bucket_index]
```

Professor Node breaks it down:

"for each item in the stream:"

You're not processing them all at once.

You deal with them **one at a time** as they arrive.

"bucket\_index = hash(item)"

This is your **rule**.

You look at the item (its symbol, type, color, etc.)  
and say:

"Items like this belong in bucket 2."

"put item into buckets[bucket\_index]"

You don't create a brand-new basket every time.

You throw it into **an existing one**:

- maybe it's alone,
- maybe it lands with other items of the same "type",
- or maybe it collides with different items that share the same bucket index.

He summarizes:

"Hashing is just:

**Turn each item into a bucket number → put it there.**

Over and over."

## 4 Mini-Forge Practice — Bucket Assignment Drill

A small Logic Forge interface appears with 4 baskets:

- Basket 0
- Basket 1
- Basket 2
- Basket 3

Above them, items appear with a simple visible rule, for example:

Hash rule on screen:

**'Count the number of leaves on the icon, then take that number mod 4.'**

Or:

**'If it's a grain  → bucket 0, berry  → bucket 1, root  → bucket 2,  
anything else → bucket 3.'**

## Step 1—Assign to Buckets

Prompt:

"Use the rule to send each crop to its correct bucket."

Items shown:

-  (grain)
-  (berry)
-  (root)
-  (grain again)
-  (another berry)

Player drags:

-  → Bucket 0
-  → Bucket 1
-  → Bucket 2
- second  → Bucket 0 (collision)
- second  → Bucket 1 (collision)

If the player misplaces an item:

"Check the rule again.

The basket doesn't care where the item fell from — only **what** it is."

## Step 2 — Highlight Collisions

After placement, Node calls attention:

"Notice how multiple items ended up in the same basket.

Two  in bucket 0.

Two  in bucket 1.

That's a collision — and that's okay.

Buckets are *allowed* to hold more than one thing."

Player clicks on a bucket and sees a mini-list:

- Bucket 0 → [, - Bucket 1 → [, - Bucket 2 → [

Node:

"Later, when we search for a specific item, we can jump straight to one bucket using the hash... and only look through that tiny list instead of the whole barn."

## 5 Codex Unlock — Hashing & Buckets

The Codex gains a new entry:



**What You Felt:**

"I used a rule to throw each item into one of a few baskets.

| Some baskets ended up holding multiple items."

### Plain Explanation:

"Hashing uses a rule (hash function) to decide which bucket an item belongs in.

Instead of searching everything, you:

1. Apply the rule → get a bucket index.
2. Look only inside that one bucket."

### Pattern Steps:

1. Read the item.
2. Apply a rule (hash) to get a bucket index.
3. Put the item into that bucket.
4. Accept that different items might share the same bucket (collision).

### Where You'll See This Again:

- Hash map / dictionary implementations
- Grouping items by a property (e.g., by remainder, by category, by first letter)
- Optimizing lookups by shrinking the search space to a single bucket

### Unlocked Ability:

| Recognize problems where you:

- don't want to search the entire collection,
- can instead **jump to one bucket** using a rule,
- and handle the smaller list inside that bucket.

---

<!-- END OF CONCEPT BRIDGE FOR PUZZLE AP3 -->

---

## Puzzle AP4 — The Pairing Grounds

Element	Specification
<b>Concept</b>	Two Sum
<b>Difficulty</b>	Medium → Hard
<b>Environment</b>	Number tiles; Target = e.g. 11
<b>Mechanics</b>	Step on 2 tiles that sum to target
<b>Failure</b>	Wrong pair resets
<b>Solution</b>	Find tiles that sum to target

## ■ Concept Bridge — The Pairing Grounds (AP4)

---

### 1 Story Recap — What You Just Did

Professor Node appears at the edge of the Pairing Grounds, looking at the number tiles you just stepped on:

"You weren't just stepping on random tiles.

First, you looked at **one number**.

Then you asked yourself:

'What other number would I need so that together they make the target?'"

He continues:

"You didn't try every pair:

2 with 3,

2 with 4,

2 with 5,

and so on...

Instead, you flipped the question:

'I'm standing on 3, the target is 11,

so I need 8.

Is there an 8 out there?'"

This locks in the mental model:

- Pick one number
  - Think of its **partner**
  - Check if the partner exists
  - If it does → you're done
- 

## 2 Pattern Reveal — Explained Slowly

Professor Node:

"That way of thinking has a name.

It's called the **Two Sum pattern.**"

He explains it in normal language:

- "You have a **list of numbers.**"
- "You have a **target sum.**"
- "For each number, you don't test it with every other number."
- "Instead, you ask:

'What partner do I need to reach the target?'

and you check if that partner is already known."

He contrasts the naive way vs. what you did:

"You could check every pair:

- 2 with 3, 2 with 4, 2 with 5...
- then 3 with 4, 3 with 5, 3 with 6...

That's slow and painful.

But you did something smarter:

**You turned every number into a question:**

'If I'm holding this, what completes me?'"

No math symbols, just “partner thinking.”

---

## 3 Pseudocode + Casual Explanation

A glowing panel appears with the algorithm:

```
for each number x in the list:  
    figure out y = target - x  
    if y is already in memory:  
        return (x, y)  
    otherwise:  
        remember x in memory
```

Professor Node walks through it like a story:

“for each number x in the list:”  
“This just means:  
'Look at each number one by one.'  
You're walking down the list, stepping through each value.”

“figure out y = target - x”  
“This is the key thought:  
'If I'm holding **x** and I want **target**,  
I need **y** to complete it.'

For example:  
If x = 3 and target = 11,  
then y = 11 - 3 = 8.”

“if y is already in memory:”  
“Imagine you have a little notebook.  
Every time you pass a number,  
you write it down.  
Now you flip back and ask:

'Have I seen 8 before?'"

"return (x, y)"

"If the answer is yes,  
you've found your pair.

That's the moment in the puzzle when you stepped on the second tile  
and everything lit up."

"otherwise: remember x in memory"

"If your partner isn't there yet,  
you don't give up.

You write x into your notebook,  
so later numbers can see it as their partner."

He summarizes:

"So Two Sum isn't magic.

It's just:

**Look at a number → figure out what completes it →  
check if that partner has shown up before →  
if not, remember this one."**

---

## 4 Mini-Forge Practice — Partner Matching Drill

A mini Logic Forge panel appears with a tiny example:

Numbers:

[ 2, 7, 4, 5, 9 ]

Target:

11

### Step 1 — Mental Partner Calculation

Prompt:

"You look at 2.

What partner do you need to reach 11?"

Options (buttons):

- 9
- 7
- 4

Correct: **9**

Then:

"You look at 7.

What partner do you need now?"

Correct: **4**

## Step 2 — Step Order Rearrangement

Next, the Forge shows jumbled steps:

- "Check if partner is already in memory."
- "Write the current number into memory."
- "For each number in the list, look at it."
- "Compute what partner you need to reach the target."
- "If partner exists in memory, return the pair."

Prompt:

"Put these in the order the algorithm actually uses."

Correct order:

1. For each number in the list, look at it.
2. Compute what partner you need to reach the target.
3. Check if partner is already in memory.

4. If partner exists in memory, return the pair.
5. Write the current number into memory.

If they get it wrong:

"Think:

Do you compute the partner before or after you check memory?"

Once correct:

"Nice.

You're not brute forcing every pair —  
you're using **memory** to turn the problem into:

'Has my partner already shown up?"'

## 5 Codex Unlock — Two Sum Pattern

The Codex unlocks a major entry:

### Two Sum Pattern

**What You Felt:**

"I stood on one number and asked myself what other number would complete the target."

**Plain Explanation:**

"The Two Sum pattern is about finding two values in a list that add up to a target without checking every possible pair.

Instead, you:

1. Walk through numbers one by one.
2. For each number, compute the partner you need.
3. Check if that partner has appeared before.

4. If yes, you're done.
5. If not, you remember the current number for future partners."

### **Pattern Steps:**

1. Initialize an empty 'memory' (set or hash map).
2. For each number  $x$  in the list:
  - Compute  $y = target - x$ .
  - If  $y$  is in memory  $\rightarrow$  return  $(x, y)$ .
  - Otherwise, add  $x$  to memory.

### **Where You'll See This Again:**

- Classic Two Sum interview problem
- Variants like:
  - "Pair with given difference"
  - "Pair with given product" (same logic, different operation)
- Problems where you see:
  - "Find two numbers that..."

### **Unlocked Ability:**

Recognize when a problem can be turned into:

**"I have this — what partner do I need?"**

And when it's better to store what you've seen

instead of checking every pair from scratch.

---

<!-- END OF CONCEPT BRIDGE FOR PUZZLE AP4 -->

---



## **Array Plains Boss — The Shuffler**

Element	Specification
<b>Concept</b>	Sorting + Hashing + Pairing
<b>Difficulty</b>	Medium
<b>Theme</b>	A chaotic creature representing disorder
<b>Phases</b>	1. Sort rows → 2. Bucket symbols → 3. Two Sum arena → 4. Multi-lane scramble
<b>Solution</b>	Solve each algorithmic phase
<b>Fail Conditions</b>	Wrong tile resets phase
<b>Narrative Result</b>	Flow restored to Array Plains



## Concept Bridge — Boss: The Shuffler

### *Array Plains Boss — Multi-Pattern Recognition Under Pressure*

## 1 Story Recap — What You Just Did

The creature of pure chaos dissolves into swirling fragments of numbers, symbols, and tiles.

Professor Node approaches the arena:

"The Shuffler didn't test a single idea.

It threw **multiple algorithmic challenges** at you — back to back —

and you switched patterns as naturally as breathing."

He points to each arena segment:

- **Phase 1:** You restored **order** to shifting rows (Sorting).
- **Phase 2:** You grouped symbols into **buckets** (Hashing).
- **Phase 3:** You found matching **pairs** to reach targets (Two Sum).
- **Phase 4:** You aligned **three shifting sequences** at once (Scanning / Multi-pass logic).

Node continues:

"This boss tested something deeper than any single puzzle before it:  
**Can you recognize the shape of a problem —  
even when everything is moving?"**

This sets the stage for advanced pattern recognition:

Sorting → Hashing → Two Sum → Multi-lane scanning.

---

## 2 The Meta-Lesson — Recognizing Patterns Under Chaos

Node folds his hands:

"Real problems rarely announce themselves.  
They won't tell you, 'Use sorting.'  
Or 'Use hashing here.'  
You must **see the pattern.**"

He explains:

- The shifting rows? → **Sorting problem form.**
- Grouping symbols? → **Hash bucket form.**
- Matching numbers to a target? → **Two Sum form.**
- Fixing multiple scrambles at once? → **Sequential multi-pass form.**

He concludes:

"Your real mastery appears when the world looks chaotic —  
and yet you can still say:  
*'Ah. I know this pattern.'*"

---

# PHASE 1— SORTING THE SHIFTING FIELDS

## Pattern Recognition

Node:

“That first phase looked chaotic — rows sliding left and right.

But the goal was simple:

**Fix each out-of-order neighbor until the whole row is sorted.**

Classic sorting behavior.”

This mirrors LeetCode problems like:

- “Sort Colors” (local swaps)
- “Bubble-sort-like cleaning of a corrupted row”
- “Minimum adjacent swaps to sort”

## Why Sorting Fits This Problem

Node gestures at the row:

“When correctness depends on order, and every tile has a known place, sorting is the right instinct.”

Sorting is useful when:

- You can define a “correct order”
- Incorrect pairs appear adjacent
- The system stabilizes after enough local fixes

## High-Level Pseudocode

```
while row is not in correct order:
```

```
    for each adjacent pair:
```

```
if left > right:  
    swap them
```

Node:

"You didn't compare distant tiles.  
You fixed **local mistakes** until the entire row was consistent.  
That's the essence of adjacent-swap sorting."



## Mini-Forge Drill — "Spot the Disorder"

Forge UI shows:

Row: [3, 1, 2]

Prompt:

"Which pair is out of order?"

Correct:

- (3, 1)

Next:

"Swap them to fix the first mistake."

Player swaps to get: [1, 3, 2]

Then:

"Keep going until fully sorted."

Correct final result: [1, 2, 3]

---

## ■ Codex Update (Phase 1) — Sorting Under Motion



### Sorting Under Motion

- When the problem looks like a row that needs ordering → think sorting
  - Fix local mistakes to fix the entire system
  - Useful when items have a natural order and are out of place
- 

## PHASE 2 — BUCKETING THE SYMBOLS (HASHING)

### Pattern Recognition

Node:

“Next, the Shuffler hurled symbols at you.  
You grouped them by **category** using a rule.  
That is the heart of **hashing**.“

LeetCode equivalents:

- “Group Anagrams”
- “Bucket Sort”
- “Counting Frequencies”
- “Hash map category grouping”

### Why Hashing Fits This Problem

“When items share categories,  
and you need **fast grouping**,  
hashing is perfect.”

Hashing is ideal when:

- Items must be grouped
- Order doesn’t matter

- Collisions are expected
- Searching all items would be slow



## High-Level Pseudocode

```
for each symbol:  
    bucket_index = hash(symbol)  
    buckets[bucket_index].append(symbol)
```

Node:

"You weren't sorting.  
You were **classifying**."



## Mini-Forge Drill — "Bucket This"

Forge shows symbols and rules like:

Rule:

"If symbol is 🍓 → bucket 0  
If 🌾 → bucket 1  
If 🥔 → bucket 2  
Else → bucket 3"

Player drags items to correct buckets.

Node:

"Collisions are normal — multiple items belong together."



## Codex Update (Phase 2) — Hash Grouping



**Hash Grouping**

- Use when data falls naturally into categories
  - Apply rules to send items into buckets
  - Expect collisions — buckets may hold multiple elements
- 

## PHASE 3 — TWO SUM ARENA (PARTNER MATCHING)

### Pattern Recognition

The arena tiles with numbers lighting up represent:

"Given a target, find two values that sum to it."

Node:

"This was a pure Two Sum pattern.

You looked at a number → calculated its partner → checked if it existed."

LeetCode equivalents:

- Two Sum
- Pair sum variants
- Target complement problems

### Why Two Sum Fits This Problem

"When your goal is:

**'Find two numbers that combine into a target'**

Two Sum is the answer."

Two Sum is perfect when:

- You need **pairs**
- You have a **target**

- You want **O(N)** time with a memory structure



## High-Level Pseudocode

```
for x in numbers:
    y = target - x
    if y is in seen:
        return (x, y)
    seen.add(x)
```

Node summarizes:

“Think in terms of partners,  
not brute-force pairing.”



## Mini-Forge Drill — “Find the Partner”

Numbers: [2, 7, 4, 5], target = 9

Step 1:

“Look at 2. Need 7.”

Step 2:

“Look at 7. Need 2 — found it in memory.”



## Codex Update (Phase 3) — Partner Logic (Two Sum)

### Partner Logic (Two Sum)

- Compute partner = target - current
- Check memory
- Return instantly when partner exists

## PHASE 4 — MULTI-LANE SCRAMBLE (SEQUENTIAL MULTI-PASS)

### Pattern Recognition

The three rows scrambling independently required:

“Straight-line scanning with multiple passes —  
fix one lane, then the next, then the next.”

Node:

“This wasn’t sorting, hashing, or pairing.

It was **multi-pass cleanup**,

just like problems that require:

- scanning once to collect info
- scanning again to apply logic
- scanning again for final formatting”

LeetCode equivalents:

- “Clean up string in passes”
- “Reformat data in multiple scans”
- “Stabilize sequences with repeated sweeps”



### Why Multi-Pass Logic Fits This Problem

“Some problems cannot be solved in one sweep.

You fix lane 1 → lane 2 → lane 3,  
then check if all are stable.”



### High-Level Pseudocode

```
for each lane:  
    while lane not stable:  
        fix local order issues
```

Node:

"You treated each lane as a separate array.  
You made **independent passes**,  
then verified all were aligned."



## Mini-Forge Drill — "Fix All Lanes"

Forge shows:

Row A: [3,1,2]

Row B: [5,4]

Row C: [1,3,2]

Prompt:

"Fix each row independently."

Player performs sequential passes.

Node:

"This is the essence of multi-pass stabilization."

---

## Final Codex Unlock — Mixed-Pattern Recognition



### Mixed-Pattern Recognition

#### What You Learned in This Boss:

- Recognizing the pattern from the **shape** of the problem

- Knowing when to switch from sorting → hashing → pairing
- Understanding that different problem phases use different tools
- Applying multiple classical techniques under pressure
- Using multi-pass reasoning when problems have independent substructures

## You Unlocked:

The ability to say:

"This problem looks like a sorting phase...  
but this next part is clearly Two Sum...  
and the final stage is a multi-pass scan."

This skill separates beginners from advanced problem-solvers — both in algorithms and in interviews.

---

<!-- END OF CONCEPT BRIDGE — BOSS: THE SHUFFLER -->

## Region 2 — Twin Rivers

---

### Puzzle TR1 — Mirror Walk

Element	Specification
<b>Concept</b>	Two Pointers (Symmetric)
<b>Difficulty</b>	Easy → Medium
<b>Environment</b>	Two mirrored banks
<b>Trigger</b>	Step on start tile
<b>Mechanics</b>	Movement mirrored; break symmetry = reset
<b>Solution</b>	Reach central glowing tile

### Concept Bridge — Mirror Walk (TR1)

---

### 1 Story Recap — What You Just Did

Professor Node appears between the two riverbanks, watching your two avatars fade back into one:

"On those banks, you weren't just moving one body.

You were controlling **two versions of yourself**:  
one on the left, one on the right."

He walks a few steps along the river:

"You didn't wander randomly.

You moved them in **sync**:

- Left side stepping forward,
- Right side mirroring the move.

Both of you walked toward the center,  
until you met exactly where you needed to."

This reinforces:

- Two characters / markers
- Starting from opposite ends
- Moving inward **together**
- Meeting at a specific point

---

## 2 Pattern Reveal — Explained Slowly

Professor Node smiles:

"That movement has a name in algorithm land:

It's called the **Two Pointers technique**."

He explains gently:

- "Imagine you have a line of stones instead of a riverbank."
- "You put **one marker at the left end**."

- “You put **one marker at the right end.**”
- “Instead of scanning from just one side, both markers **walk toward each other.**”

He gives some examples:

“We use this idea when:

- We want to compare things at opposite ends,
- We want to shrink an interval from both sides,
- We want to find a ‘meeting point’ that depends on values on **both** ends.”

He emphasizes the intuition:

“Two Pointers is:

**‘Look from both ends at once,  
and move inward intelligently.’”**

### 3 Pseudocode + Casual Explanation

A glowing list appears above the river:

```
left = 0          # start of the line
right = n - 1    # end of the line

while left < right:
    look at positions left and right
    if they satisfy the goal:
        return (left, right)
    otherwise:
        move one of the pointers inward
```

Professor Node breaks it down:

left = 0 and right = n - 1

“We’re just choosing starting points:

- `left` at the beginning,
- `right` at the end.

Think: 'One of me on the left bank, one on the right bank.'"

while `left < right`:

"Keep going as long as the two markers **haven't crossed**.

If they cross, it means we've already checked everything we can."

look at positions `left` and `right`

"At each step, you look at a **pair**:

the value at the `left` pointer

and the value at the `right` pointer."

if they satisfy the goal: return (`left`, `right`)

"Sometimes, that pair is already the answer:

- maybe they sum to a target,
- or form the best container,
- or match a condition we care about.

If so, we're done."

otherwise: move one of the pointers inward

"If this pair doesn't work,

you don't reset everything.

You **move one pointer**:

- either the `left` one step right,
- or the `right` one step left,

depending on how the values compare and what the problem is asking."

He summarizes:

"So Two Pointers is:

- Start at both ends,
- Check the pair,
- If it's not good enough, move one pointer closer,
- Repeat until they meet or cross."

## 4 Mini-Forge Practice — Symmetry & Movement Drill

The Logic Forge opens a small simulation:

You see a short line of tiles:

Indexes:

0 1 2 3 4

Values (example):

2 5 8 5 2

Two markers:

- L (left) starts at index 0
- R (right) starts at index 4

**Goal (example):**

"Move L and R toward each other while keeping them symmetric around the center."

### Step 1 — Who Moves?

Prompt:

"If L is at 0 and R is at 4,  
which move keeps the idea of 'moving inward from both ends'?"

Options:

- Move L from 0 → 1
- Move R from 4 → 5
- Move L from 0 → 2

Correct answer:

- Move  $L$  from **0**  $\rightarrow$  **1** (or  $R$  from **4**  $\rightarrow$  **3** in a different step), but **never** jump over or go outward.

The Forge highlights:

“Two Pointers move toward each other, not away, and not by jumping over the center.”

## Step 2 — Correct Sequence

The drill walks the player through:

1. Start:  $L = 0$ ,  $R = 4$
2. Move inward:  $L = 1$ ,  $R = 3$
3. Meet or cross at center:  $L = 2$ ,  $R = 2$  (or  $L > R$ )

Node commentary:

“That’s the rhythm:  
left from the start, right from the end,  
walking toward the middle, step by step.”

## 5 Codex Unlock — Two Pointers (Mirror Walk)

A new entry appears in the Codex:

### Two Pointers (Mirror Walk)

**What You Felt:**

“I controlled two versions of myself from opposite sides and moved them toward each other.”

**Plain Explanation:**

“The Two Pointers technique uses two markers that start at different positions (often the ends of a list) and move toward each other, checking pairs of values

along the way.

It's useful whenever:

- you care about **pairs** from opposite sides,
- you want to **shrink a range**,
- or you want to find some meeting point based on values from both ends."

### Pattern Steps:

1. Place one pointer at the start.
2. Place one pointer at the end.
3. While they haven't crossed:
  - Look at the pair (left, right).
  - If it satisfies the goal → return it.
  - Otherwise, move one pointer inward.

### Where You'll See This Again:

- "Container With Most Water"
- Checking if a string is a palindrome
- Finding pairs in sorted arrays
- Any problem that says:

"Use two pointers"

or

"Start from both ends..."

### Unlocked Ability:

Recognize problems that can be solved by  
**starting at both ends and walking inward**  
instead of scanning from one side only.

---

<!-- END OF CONCEPT BRIDGE FOR PUZZLE TR1 -->

---

## Puzzle TR2 — Meeting Point

Element	Specification
<b>Concept</b>	Conditional Pointer Convergence
<b>Difficulty</b>	Medium
<b>Environment</b>	Traps (X), Anchors (A), narrow path
<b>Mechanics</b>	Pointers move inward; can only pass traps when the other pointer stands on matching anchor
<b>Solution</b>	Correct sequence of conditional pointer moves
<b>Failure</b>	Illegal move → reset

### Concept Bridge — Meeting Point (TR2)

#### **1 Story Recap — What You Just Did**

Professor Node appears on the narrow path, where the traps and anchors are still faintly glowing:

"On this path, your two selves didn't just march straight toward each other.

You had to be **careful**.

Some tiles were **traps** you couldn't cross alone.

You could only pass them when your other self was standing on the right **anchor tile**."

He gestures at the marked spots:

"You weren't just moving two pointers inward.

You were moving them **based on conditions**:

- 'I can't move left yet... right isn't in position.'
- 'I can't cross this trap until the other side is ready.'"

This reinforces:

- Two pointers moving inward
  - Their moves **depend on each other's positions**
  - Progress only happens when **conditions are satisfied**
- 

## 2 Pattern Reveal — Explained Slowly

Professor Node:

"This is still the Two Pointers idea...  
but with a twist:  
**Conditional Pointer Logic.**"

He breaks it down:

- "You still had **one pointer on the left**, one on the right."
- "You still wanted them to **meet** in the middle."
- "But you couldn't always just 'move both inward'."

He explains the "conditional" aspect:

"Sometimes:

- The left side must **wait** for the right.
- The right side must **wait** for the left.

You don't move blindly.

You ask:

'Is it safe or legal to move this pointer right now?'"

He connects this to real algorithm patterns:

"There are many problems where:

- You can only move a pointer if a **constraint is satisfied**
- You can only expand or shrink a range if a **condition holds**

That's what you just practiced:

| Two pointers **with rules**."

### 3 Pseudocode + Casual Explanation

A simple "trap + anchor" sketch appears above the path:

```
left = 0
right = n - 1

while left < right:
    if left_is_at_trap and right_not_on_matching_anchor:
        move right toward its anchor
    elif right_is_at_trap and left_not_on_matching_anchor:
        move left toward its anchor
    else:
        move both pointers inward

# meet at the correct meeting point
```

Professor Node walks through it:

| left = 0 / right = n - 1

| "Our two pointers start at opposite ends, as before."

| while left < right:

| "We keep going until they meet or cross — that's our potential meeting point."

| if left\_is\_at\_trap and right\_not\_on\_matching\_anchor:

| "This is you noticing:

| 'Left pointer has reached a **trap tile**.

| I **can't** move left forward yet,  
| because right hasn't stepped onto the right **anchor**."

| move right toward its anchor

| "So instead, you move the **other pointer**

to satisfy the condition.

You're not stuck — you just move the side that *can* move safely."

elif right\_is\_at\_trap and left\_not\_on\_matching\_anchor:

"Same idea, flipped:

If the **right pointer** is blocked by a trap,  
then the **left pointer** needs to get to its anchor."

else: move both pointers inward

"Once the conditions are satisfied — anchors in place, traps cleared —  
you can go back to the 'normal' movement:  
both pointers stepping inward toward each other."

He sums up:

"So in this puzzle, moving a pointer wasn't automatic.

Every move was a **decision**:

'Is this pointer allowed to move right now  
or does something need to happen on the other side first?"'

## 4 Mini-Forge Practice — Conditional Move Drill

The Logic Forge opens a small mini-game with a simplified row:

Tiles (top view):

L \_ X1 \_ A1 \_ R

Legend:

- L = left pointer
- R = right pointer
- X1 = left-side trap
- A1 = right-side anchor

Goal:

"Get L and R to meet in the middle without crossing any trap illegally."

## Step 1—Who Moves First?

Prompt:

"Left is near trap X1.

Right is still far from **anchor A1**.

Who should move now?"

Options:

- Move Left toward **X1**
- Move Right toward **A1**

Correct answer:

- **Move Right toward A1**

If the player chooses left:

"Left can't cross X1 until right is on A1.

Try moving the pointer that can safely progress the condition."

## Step 2—Anchor Then Trap

Next, right pointer moves step-by-step to **A1**.

Prompt:

"Right has reached A1.

Now what can Left do?"

Correct answer:

- Move Left across **X1** (trap is now unlocked by right being on its anchor).

The drill repeats a tiny sequence with different trap-anchor pairs, reinforcing:

- You **check conditions first**
- Then decide **which pointer** is allowed to move

Node commentary:

"This is how many pointer problems work:  
the move you make isn't fixed —  
it depends on **what both sides are currently seeing.**"

## 5 Codex Unlock — Conditional Pointer Logic

A fresh Codex entry unlocks:

### Conditional Pointer Logic (Meeting Point)

#### What You Felt:

"I wanted both sides to meet, but I had to respect trap rules and wait for the other side to unlock my path."

#### Plain Explanation:

"Sometimes two pointers can't just march inward in a simple pattern.

Their movement is **conditional**:

- 'Can I move left now, given where right is?'
- 'Can I move right, or do I need left to catch up?'

You move whichever pointer makes sense **based on the current state.**"

#### Pattern Steps:

1. Start with two pointers (left, right).
2. While they haven't met:
  - If one side is blocked by a condition (trap, constraint):
    - Move the **other** pointer to satisfy that condition.
  - Otherwise:
    - Move one or both pointers inward.

#### Where You'll See This Again:

- Problems with constraints like:
  - “You can’t cross this until count  $\geq k$ ”
  - “You must maintain a certain balance or window condition”
- Two-pointer solutions where movement logic depends on:
  - sums, differences, frequencies, or other conditions.

### **Unlocked Ability:**

Recognize problems where:

- Two pointers still move toward a meeting point,
- but **who moves when** depends on dynamic rules,
- not just a fixed “move left, then move right” pattern.

---

<!-- END OF CONCEPT BRIDGE FOR PUZZLE TR2 -->

---

## **Puzzle TR3 — Sliding Window Catch**

Element	Specification
<b>Concept</b>	Sliding Window
<b>Difficulty</b>	Medium → Hard
<b>Environment</b>	Flowing river with items; adjustable window frame
<b>Mechanics</b>	Expand/shrink window to capture required sequence: e.g. 3  + 1 
<b>Solution</b>	Identify valid contiguous window
<b>Failure</b>	Holding invalid window too long

### **Concept Bridge — Sliding Window Catch (TR3)**

#### **1 Story Recap — What You Just Did**

Professor Node watches the river settle as the last pattern of fish swims past:

“Just now, you weren’t chasing every fish in the river.”

You focused on a **section** of the river — a window.  
And instead of restarting your search every time something changed,  
you slid that window just a little bit at a time."

He gestures toward the moving water:

"Sometimes you expanded the window to include more fish.  
Sometimes you **shrunk** it when the pattern became invalid.  
And you kept adjusting the boundaries until the window contained *exactly* the  
pattern you needed."

This reinforces:

- You maintained a **continuous range**
- You kept it **valid**
- You adjusted the **start** or **end** pointer as needed
- You did not restart from scratch

---

## 2 Pattern Reveal — Explained Slowly

Professor Node:

"The technique you just used is called the Sliding Window."

He explains the intuition step-by-step:

### Why Sliding Window Exists

- Some problems deal with **continuous chunks** of data — subarrays, substrings, river segments.
- You don't want to **restart** and scan everything from scratch each time the window changes.
- Instead, you reuse what you already know and **slide** the boundaries around.

### The Mental Model

"Imagine your window is a little frame you place on the river.

- Push the right side outward → include more fish.
- Pull the left side inward → remove fish you don't want.

As long as you maintain the right pattern inside the frame, you're on track."

He summarizes:

"Sliding Window is:

**Grow → Shrink → Move → Repeat  
without starting over."**

### 3 Pseudocode + Casual Explanation

A glowing UI overlay shows the structure:

```
window_start = 0

for window_end in range(len(stream)):
    expand window to include stream[window_end]

    while window is invalid:
        shrink window from the left

    if window has the target pattern:
        record or return the window
```

Professor Node breaks it down like a coach:

**window\_start = 0**

"This marks the left edge of your window."

**for window\_end in range(len(stream)):**

"And this moves the right edge of your window forward."

| Every time you extend it, you include one new item."

## "expand window to include stream[window\_end]"

| "You added a new fish into the window — good or bad."

### while window is invalid:

| "Here's the important part:

| If the new fish makes the window break the rules

| (maybe too many berries, or not enough grains, etc.)

| you don't restart the whole search.

| You **shrink the window from the left** until it's legal again."

## "if window has the target pattern:"

| "Once the window matches the pattern — like 3 🍞 and 1 🍓 —

| you record it or use it."

He finishes:

| "The magic of Sliding Window is:

| **You only move each pointer forward. Never backward.**

| **No resets. No full re-scans.**"

---

## 4 Mini-Forge Practice — Window Adjustment Drill

The Logic Forge opens a small simulation:

**Stream:**



**Target Pattern:**

| "Find a window containing 3 grains 🍞 and 1 berry 🍓,  
| in any order, but **contiguous**."

## Step 1—Expand

Prompt:

"Expand the window until it contains at least 3 ."

The player clicks to grow right edge:

- Window picks up: 

Window now has:

-  = 3
-  = 1

Pattern met.

## Step 2 — Shrink if Needed

Prompt:

"Now shrink from the left until removing any more would break the pattern."

If the player shrinks too far (removes the first ):

Window becomes:

-  = 2 (invalid)

Forge tooltip:

"Oops! You removed a required item."

Sliding Window shrinks only when **legally possible**."

Correct action:

- Stop shrinking when window contains exactly what's required.

## Step 3 — Slide

Prompt:

"Now slide the whole window one step to the right by:

- Removing the leftmost item

- Expanding the right boundary"

Player practices:

- Remove first 
- Add next 
- Window becomes invalid → shrink/expand loop repeats.

Node commentary:

"This is the rhythm:  
Expand → Shrink → Check → Slide."

---

## 5 Codex Unlock — Sliding Window Technique

A new Codex entry appears:

### Sliding Window Technique

#### What You Felt:

"I maintained a continuous window on the river and adjusted my boundaries until the pattern appeared."

#### Plain Explanation:

"Sliding Window is for problems where the answer lies in a contiguous range."

You move two pointers:

- One grows the window
- One shrinks it

You never restart the search — you adjust the window as you go."

#### Pattern Steps:

1. Start with both edges at the beginning.
2. Expand right edge to include more items.

3. If window becomes invalid → shrink from the left.
4. When window matches the goal → record the result.
5. Continue sliding through the entire stream.

### **Where You'll See This Again:**

- "Longest substring without repeating characters"
- "Minimum window substring"
- "Subarray sum equals K"
- Pattern-counting problems
- Any problem that says:  
 | "Find a contiguous subarray/string that ..."

### **Unlocked Ability:**

Recognize problems that require  
**keeping a running window,**  
 adjusting boundaries,  
 and avoiding full restarts.

---

<!-- END OF CONCEPT BRIDGE FOR PUZZLE TR3 -->

---

## **Puzzle TR4 — Breaking the Currents**

Element	Specification
<b>Concept</b>	Non-symmetric Pointer Updates
<b>Difficulty</b>	Medium → Hard
<b>Environment</b>	Tiles with currents that push values
<b>Mechanics</b>	Maintain symmetry value while currents modify pointer values
<b>Solution</b>	Move pointer with lower value first
<b>Failure</b>	Symmetry difference too large

## Concept Bridge — Breaking the Currents (TR4)

### Story Recap — What You Just Did

The river currents fade as Professor Node appears, his robe rippling as if pushed by invisible waves:

"Those currents weren't random.

Sometimes they pushed the **left pointer down** — lowering its value.

Sometimes they pushed the **right pointer up** — raising its value.

You couldn't move both sides the same way anymore."

He continues:

"You had to watch the values, not just the positions.

When the currents changed one pointer more than the other,  
you adjusted:

- 'Left is too low — it must move next.'
- 'Right is too high — shift it inward to rebalance.'"

He gestures at the tiles:

"You weren't just walking inward.

You were **balancing two changing values**,  
keeping them within a safe range."

This reinforces:

- The pointers have **values**, not just positions
- External forces change those values
- Movement choices depend on **relative value conditions**
- It is **not symmetric** like earlier puzzles

### Pattern Reveal — Explained Slowly

Professor Node smiles knowingly:

"This idea is called Non-Symmetric Pointer Logic."

He breaks it down:

- "Classic two-pointer problems move both pointers **inward**."
- "But sometimes the pointers have **different rules**, or the data under each pointer changes differently."
- "So you can't move them the same way."

He explains the key intuition:

"When the values under the pointers drift apart, you have to move the pointer with the **weaker** value — the one that needs to catch up, or rebalance the condition."

He gives real coding analogies:

- "In some problems, you must keep `difference <= K`."
- "In others, you must keep a ratio below a threshold."
- "Or the left pointer must always stay  $\leq$  the right pointer's value."

He concludes:

"This is when pointer movement becomes conditional and asymmetric. You move the pointer whose value violates the condition."

## 3 Pseudocode + Casual Explanation

A glowing panel forms, showing the logic:

```
left = start
right = end
```

```
while left < right:
```

```
if value[left] < value[right]:  
    move left pointer forward  
else:  
    move right pointer backward  
  
update values based on currents  
  
check if difference <= allowed_range
```

Professor Node breaks it down:

### **if value[left] < value[right]:**

"If the left value is smaller — it moves next.

Why?

Because it must 'catch up' to the right  
to maintain a stable difference."

### **else:**

"If the right value is smaller, or larger in a harmful way,  
then the right pointer must move."

### **update values based on currents**

"Every time you move,  
the environment changes the values under the pointers.  
Maybe the left side drops by 2,  
maybe the right side rises by 1."

### **check if difference <= allowed\_range**

"You must keep the pointers within a safe difference.  
If the gap becomes too large — the whole system collapses."

He summarizes:

"This entire puzzle was about dynamic conditions.  
The correct pointer to move changed moment to moment,  
depending on current values."

## 4 Mini-Forge Practice — Non-Symmetric Pointer Drill

A mini simulation opens:

**Tiles:**

L(3) — 5 — 7 — 10 — R(11)

Values under the pointers:

- Left pointer = **3**
- Right pointer = **11**

Allowed difference: **≤ 6**

### Step 1 — Choose the Correct Pointer

Prompt:

"Left is 3, Right is 11.  
Difference = 8 (too large).  
Which pointer must move to reduce the difference?"

Options:

- Move Left (raising value)
- Move Right (lowering value)

Correct:

- **Move Left** (because increasing the smaller side reduces the difference)

If the player selects wrong:

"Moving the higher pointer first would increase the imbalance.  
Move the pointer with the **weaker** value."

## Step 2 — Apply Current Effects

New values after "currents" apply:

- Left increases from 3 → 5
- Right increases from 11 → 12

Difference now = 7 (still too large)

Prompt:

"Which pointer must move now?"

Correct:

- **Move Left again**

## Step 3 — Landmark Moment

Eventually:

- Left = 7
- Right = 10
- Difference = 3 (valid)

Node commentary:

"Good.

You adjusted the pointer with the value that violated the condition.

This is the heart of non-symmetric pointer problems:

**Move the pointer that restores balance."**

---

## 5 Codex Unlock — Non-Symmetric Pointer Adjustments

Codex entry appears:



**What You Felt:**

"I moved the pointer whose value broke the balance rule,  
not necessarily the one on the left or the right."

### Plain Explanation:

"Some problems require keeping a dynamic condition true:

- difference  $\leq k$
- ratio  $\leq t$
- value[left]  $\leq$  value[right]

because each pointer experiences external forces differently."

### Pattern Steps:

1. Start with two pointers.
2. Evaluate the condition (difference, ratio, etc.).
3. Move the pointer that **weakens** the condition.
4. Update values.
5. Repeat until pointers meet or balance succeeds.

### Where You'll See This Again:

- "Minimum operations to equalize arrays"
- "Pairs within threshold constraints"
- "Balancing problems with dynamic changes"
- Problems where input values **shift as you process them**

### Unlocked Ability:

Detect when pointer movement depends on values,  
not just positions —  
and move the pointer that restores a stable condition.

---

<!-- END OF CONCEPT BRIDGE FOR PUZZLE TR4 -->

---



## Twin Rivers Boss — The Mirror Serpent

Element	Specification
Concept	Two Pointers + Sliding Window
Difficulty	Hard
Theme	Serpent that mirrors the player's logic
Phases	1. Symmetry trial → 2. Convergence → 3. Sliding Window → 4. Combined final
Failure	Wrong logic resets phase
Narrative Result	Path to next region unlocked



## Concept Bridge — Boss: The Mirror Serpent

### *Twin Rivers Boss — Advanced Pointer & Window Reasoning*

1

## Story Recap — What You Just Did

The Mirror Serpent dissolves into twin ripples along both riverbanks.

Professor Node appears where the currents once collided:

"This serpent was unlike anything else you've faced.  
It tested your **control over both sides** of a problem —  
your ability to move inward, to track ranges,  
and to think in perfect symmetry when needed."

He points to the different segments of the arena:

- **Phase 1:** You maintained perfect mirroring — true Two Pointer symmetry.
- **Phase 2:** You moved pointers inward based on evolving constraints.
- **Phase 3:** You captured a valid pattern using a dynamic Sliding Window.
- **Phase 4:** You combined all of them under shifting conditions.

Node continues:

"This boss tested not one skill...  
but your ability to choose the right tool  
for each form the problem took."

This sets up the advanced mental model:

- **Recognize problem shape**
  - **Match it to the correct pointer/window pattern**
  - **Combine patterns fluidly**
- 

## PHASE 1—SYMMETRY TRIAL (Basic Two Pointers)

### Pattern Recognition

Node:

"The serpent's opening trial was pure symmetry.  
Whatever you did on the left,  
the right had to reflect perfectly."

This is identical to:

- **Palindrome checking**
- **Mirror-based comparisons**
- **Two pointers meeting in the middle**



### Why Two Pointers Fit This Problem

"When two sides must mirror each other,  
you place a pointer at each end  
and walk inward."

Exactly like:

- “Is this string a palindrome?”
- “Meet-in-the-middle logic”
- “Check symmetric conditions”

## High-Level Pseudocode

```
left = 0
right = n - 1

while left < right:
    if river[left] != mirror[river[right]]:
        reset
        move left++
        move right--
```

Node explains:

“Your job wasn’t to explore —  
it was to preserve symmetry.”

## Mini-Forge Drill — “Which Move Preserves Symmetry?”

UI shows two mirrored banks.

Prompt:

“You move left forward.  
What must the right pointer do?”

Correct:

- Move right backward.

Forge message:

| "Two Pointers = inward symmetry."

---

## Codex Update (Phase 1) — Symmetric Pointers

### Symmetric Pointers

- Used when two sides must match
  - Pointers start at ends, meet in center
  - Common in palindrome and pairing problems
- 

## PHASE 2 — CONVERGENCE CHALLENGE (Conditional Pointer Logic)

### Pattern Recognition

The Serpent blocked one path unless the other side aligned:

Node:

| "Here, you didn't just walk inward.

| You moved based on **conditions.**"

This is the same pattern as:

- "Move smaller pointer first"
- "Conditional convergence"
- Problems like "Container With Most Water," where pointer choice matters

### Why Conditional Pointer Movement Fits This Phase

| "Each pointer saw different information.

| And your job was to move the pointer that improved the condition."

Equivalent to:

- comparing heights
- comparing frequencies
- comparing values to constraints



## High-Level Pseudocode

```
while left < right:  
    if condition favors left:  
        move left++  
    else:  
        move right--
```

Node:

| "This is decision-making, not choreography."



## Mini-Forge Drill — “Which Pointer Moves?”

Prompt:

| "Left value is smaller → which pointer moves?"

Correct:

- **Move left**, because the smaller side improves the condition.



## Codex Update (Phase 2) — Conditional Convergence



### Conditional Convergence

- Move the pointer that improves or fixes the condition
- Fundamental in:
  - Container With Most Water

- Pair comparisons
  - Range tightening
- 

## PHASE 3 — SLIDING WINDOW TRAP (Dynamic Window Logic)

### Pattern Recognition

The serpent hid its weak point inside a **moving band** you could adjust.

Node:

"This was a classic Sliding Window.  
You expanded the window...  
shrank it...  
and maintained it until the pattern appeared."

This corresponds to:

- Substring problems
- Minimum window substring
- Longest substring without repeating characters
- Frequency-bound problems



### Why Sliding Window Fits This Problem

"When a solution must come from a contiguous range,  
and you must maintain **validity**,  
Sliding Window is the right tool."



### High-Level Pseudocode

```

start = 0
for end in range(n):
    include river[end]

    while window invalid:
        remove river[start]
        start++

    if window matches pattern:
        highlight weak point

```

Node:

“You didn’t restart your search.  
You adjusted your boundaries.”



## Mini-Forge Drill — “Expand, Shrink, Check”

Stream example:



Goal: 3 grains + 1 berry.

Player:

- expands until valid
- shrinks until minimal
- slides forward

Forge repeats until it’s instinctual.

---



## Codex Update (Phase 3) — Pattern-Constrained Windowing



### Pattern-Constrained Windowing

- Maintain a continuous range

- Expand or shrink based on validity
  - Used everywhere patterns depend on frequency or uniqueness
- 

## PHASE 4 — FINAL COMPOSITE (Pointer + Window Fusion)

### Pattern Recognition

This final phase required:

- **Symmetry (Two Pointers)**
- **Conditional convergence**
- **Dynamic sliding window**

Node:

"This was the first real hybrid challenge.  
You recognized the shape of the moment  
— and chose the right technique each time."

This resembles:

- Hard LeetCode hybrids
- Problems like:
  - "Longest substring with at most K replacements"
  - "Count subarrays with constraints"
  - "Sliding window after sorting"
  - "Two Pointers + Hash Map + Window constraints"

### Why Composite Skills Matter

"Real interview problems often require  
two or three patterns inside the same question."

The Mirror Serpent tests:

- **When to use Two Pointers**
- **When to apply a window**
- **When to tighten conditions**
- **When to move left vs right**
- **When to expand vs shrink**

## High-Level Multi-Pattern Pseudocode

```
left = 0
right = n - 1
start = 0

while serpent_active:

    # Phase 1 logic
    maintain_symmetry()

    # Phase 2 logic
    if condition_favors_left: left++
    else: right--

    # Phase 3 logic
    while window_invalid: start++

    expand_window()
    shrink_window_if_needed()

    # detect final weak point
    if pointers_converged and window_valid:
        defeat_serpent()
```

Node:

"Interviews love this kind of structure:  
Sorting → Two Pointers → Sliding Window."  
"It's rarely one idea.  
It's the *sequence* of ideas."

## Mini-Forge Drill — “Choose the Right Tool”

Forge presents three scenarios:

### **Scenario 1 — “Two sides must mirror each other.”**

Correct tool: **Two Pointers (Symmetry)**

### **Scenario 2 — “We must track largest valid range.”**

Correct tool: **Sliding Window**

### **Scenario 3 — “Compare left and right values; move the weaker.”**

Correct tool: **Conditional Two Pointers**

Node commentary:

"This is the heart of advanced problem solving:  
**Tool selection.**"

---

## Final Codex Unlock — Hybrid Pointer/Window Reasoning

### Hybrid Pointer/Window Reasoning

#### **What You Mastered:**

- Symmetric Two Pointers
- Conditional pointer movement
- Sliding Window range maintenance

- Dynamic technique switching

## Why This Matters:

"Most FAANG-level medium/hard problems are combinations of these ideas."

## Where You'll See This Again:

- Container With Most Water
- Longest Substring Without Repeating Characters
- Minimum Window Substring
- 3Sum & variants (sort → 2p)
- Sliding Window with constraints
- Two Pointers + Hash Map hybrids

## Unlocked Ability:

Recognize when a problem's shape requires you to combine more than one technique — and switch fluidly.

---

<!-- END OF CONCEPT BRIDGE — BOSS: THE MIRROR SERPENT -->



# END OF DOCUMENT