


Positivity in real Schubert calculus

Slides available at snkarp.github.io



F. Sottile, “Frontiers of reality in Schubert calculus”




M. Griffon, CC BY 3.0 Deed

Steven N. Karp (University of Notre Dame)
joint work with Kevin Purbhoo
[arXiv:2309.04645](https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.04645)

January 26, 2024
Indiana University–Purdue University Indianapolis


Steiner's conic problem (1848)



- How many conics are tangent to 5 given conics? ~~7776~~.
- de Jonquières (1859): 3264.
- Fulton (1984): “The question of how many solutions of real equations can be real is still very much open, particularly for enumerative problems.”

- Fulton (1986); Ronga, Tognoli, Vust (1997): All 3264 conics can be real.


3264 Conics in a Second



- Breiding, Sturmfels, and Timme (2020) found 5 explicit such conics.

The Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_{d,m}(\mathbb{C})$

- The *Grassmannian* $\text{Gr}_{d,m}(\mathbb{C})$ is the set of d -dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{C}^m .


$$\begin{aligned} V := & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -4 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{Gr}_{2,4}(\mathbb{C}) \\ & = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 6 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$


$$\Delta_{1,2} = 1, \quad \Delta_{1,3} = 3, \quad \Delta_{1,4} = 2, \quad \Delta_{2,3} = 4, \quad \Delta_{2,4} = 3, \quad \Delta_{3,4} = 1$$

$$\text{Plücker relation: } \Delta_{1,3}\Delta_{2,4} = \Delta_{1,2}\Delta_{3,4} + \Delta_{1,4}\Delta_{2,3}$$

- Given $V \in \text{Gr}_{d,m}(\mathbb{C})$ as a $d \times m$ matrix, for d -subsets I of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ let $\Delta_I(V)$ be the $d \times d$ minor of V in columns I . The *Plücker coordinates* $\Delta_I(V)$ are well-defined up to a common scalar.
- $\text{Gr}_{d,m}(\mathbb{C})$ is a projective variety of dimension $d(m-d)$.

Schubert calculus (1886)

- Divisor Schubert problem: given $W_1, \dots, W_{d(m-d)} \in \text{Gr}_{m-d,m}(\mathbb{C})$, find all $V \in \text{Gr}_{d,m}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $V \cap W_i \neq \{0\}$ for all i .
- e.g. $d = 2$, $m = 4$ (projectivized). Given 4 lines $W_i \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$, find all lines $V \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$ intersecting all 4. Generically, there are 2 solutions.



We can see the 2 solutions explicitly when two pairs of the lines intersect.

- If the W_i 's are generic, the number of solutions V is

$$\#_{d,m} := \frac{1!2! \cdots (d-1)!}{(m-d)!(m-d+1)! \cdots (m-1)!} (d(m-d))!,$$

the number of *standard Young tableaux* of rectangular shape $d \times (m-d)$.


Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture (1993)

- Are there Schubert problems with all solutions real?

Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture (1993)

Let $W_1, \dots, W_{d(m-d)} \in \text{Gr}_{m-d,m}(\mathbb{R})$ osculate the moment curve $\gamma(t) := (1, t, \dots, t^{m-1})$ at real points. Then there are $\#_{d,m}$ real solutions $V \in \text{Gr}_{d,m}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $V \cap W_i \neq \{0\}$ for all i .

- e.g.



F. Sottile, "Frontiers of reality in Schubert calculus"

- The Schubert problem above arises in the study of linear series in algebraic geometry, differential equations, and pole placement problems in control theory.
- Bürgisser, Lerario (2020): a 'random' Schubert problem has $\approx \sqrt{\#_{d,m}}$ real solutions.

Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture (1993)

- Sottile (1999) tested the conjecture and proved it asymptotically.
- Eremenko and Gabrielov (2002) proved the conjecture for $d = 2, m - 2$.
- Mukhin, Tarasov, and Varchenko (2009) proved the full conjecture via the *Bethe ansatz*.
- Levinson and Purbhoo (2021) gave a topological proof of the conjecture.

Secant conjecture, divisor form (Sottile (2003))

Let $W_1, \dots, W_{d(m-d)} \in \text{Gr}_{m-d,m}(\mathbb{R})$ be secant to $\gamma(t) := (1, t, \dots, t^{m-1})$ along non-overlapping intervals. Then there are $\#_{d,m}$ real solutions

$V \in \text{Gr}_{d,m}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $V \cap W_i \neq \{0\}$ for all i .

- The Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture is a limiting case of this conjecture.

Theorem (Karp, Purbhoo (2023))

The divisor form of the secant conjecture is true.


Total positivity

- Total positivity originated in the 1910's from orthogonal polynomials. Gantmakher and Krein (1937) showed that *totally positive matrices* (whose minors are all positive) have positive eigenvalues.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= 10.6031 \dots \\ \lambda_2 &= 1.2454 \dots \\ \lambda_3 &= 0.1514 \dots \end{aligned}$$

- Lusztig (1994) introduced total positivity for algebraic groups and flag varieties, motivated by quantum groups. An element $V \in \mathrm{Gr}_{d,m}(\mathbb{C})$ is *totally nonnegative* if its Plücker coordinates are all nonnegative.

$$V := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -4 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{Gr}_{2,4}^{\geq 0}$$



$$\Delta_{1,2} = 1, \quad \Delta_{1,3} = 3, \quad \Delta_{1,4} = 2, \quad \Delta_{2,3} = 4, \quad \Delta_{2,4} = 3, \quad \Delta_{3,4} = 1$$

- Postnikov (2006) parametrized $\mathrm{Gr}_{d,m}^{\geq 0}$ using *plabic graphs*.
- $\mathrm{Gr}_{d,m}^{\geq 0}$ appears in the study of cluster algebras, electrical networks, the KP hierarchy, scattering amplitudes, curve singularities, the Ising model, ...

Wronski map

- The *Wronskian* of d linearly independent functions $f_1, \dots, f_d : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is

$$\mathrm{Wr}(f_1, \dots, f_d) := \det \begin{bmatrix} f_1 & \cdots & f_d \\ f_1' & \cdots & f_d' \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_1^{(d-1)} & \cdots & f_d^{(d-1)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

- e.g. $\mathrm{Wr}(f, g) = \det \begin{bmatrix} f & g \\ f' & g' \end{bmatrix} = fg' - f'g = f^2(\frac{g}{f})'.$
- Let $V := \langle f_1, \dots, f_d \rangle$. Then $\mathrm{Wr}(V)$ is well-defined up to a scalar.

$$\begin{aligned} V = \langle u^2, 1 + u^3 \rangle &\rightsquigarrow \mathrm{Wr}(V) = \det \begin{bmatrix} u^2 & 1 + u^3 \\ 2u & 3u^2 \end{bmatrix} = u^4 - 2u. \\ &\in \mathrm{Gr}_{2,4}(\mathbb{C}) \qquad \qquad \qquad \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}_4[u]) \end{aligned}$$

- We identify \mathbb{C}^m with the space of polynomials of degree at most $m - 1$:

$$\mathbb{C}^m \leftrightarrow \mathbb{C}_{m-1}[u], \quad (a_1, \dots, a_m) \leftrightarrow a_1 + a_2 u + a_3 \frac{u^2}{2} + \cdots + a_m \frac{u^{m-1}}{(m-1)!}.$$

We obtain the *Wronski map* $\mathrm{Wr} : \mathrm{Gr}_{d,m}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}_{d(m-d)}[u]).$

Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture and positivity conjecture

Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture (Mukhin, Tarasov, and Varchenko (2009))

Let $V \in \text{Gr}_{d,m}(\mathbb{C})$. If all complex zeros of $\text{Wr}(V)$ are real, then V is real.

- e.g. If $\text{Wr}(V) := (u + z_1)^2(u + z_2)^2$, the two solutions $V \in \text{Gr}_{2,4}(\mathbb{C})$ are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (u + z_1)(u + z_2), u(u + z_1)(u + z_2) \rangle & \quad \text{and} \quad \langle (u + z_1)^3, (u + z_2)^3 \rangle. \\ = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 z_2 & z_1 + z_2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & z_1 z_2 & 2(z_1 + z_2) & 6 \end{bmatrix} & \quad = \begin{bmatrix} z_1^3 & 3z_1^2 & 6z_1 & 6 \\ z_2^3 & 3z_2^2 & 6z_2 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Positivity conjecture (Mukhin, Tarasov (2017); Karp (2021))

Let $V \in \text{Gr}_{d,m}(\mathbb{C})$. If all zeros of $\text{Wr}(V)$ are nonpositive, then $V \in \text{Gr}_{d,m}^{\geq 0}$.

- Karp (2023): The positivity conjecture is equivalent a conjecture of Eremenko (2015), which implies the divisor form of the secant conjecture.
- Karp, Purbhoo (2023): The positivity conjecture is true.

Universal Plücker coordinates

- We want to find all $V \in \text{Gr}_{d,m}(\mathbb{C})$ with $\text{Wr}(V) = (u + z_1) \cdots (u + z_n)$.
- We find the Plücker coordinates $\Delta_I(V)$ over the group algebra of \mathfrak{S}_n :

$$\beta_I := \sum_{\substack{X \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}, \\ |X| = \sum I - \binom{d+1}{2}}} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_X} \chi^I(\pi) \pi \prod_{i \in [n] \setminus X} z_i \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n],$$

where χ^I is a particular irreducible character of \mathfrak{S}_X .

- e.g. $\beta_{\{2,4\}} = \begin{aligned} &2(z_1 + z_2 + z_3 + z_4)e - z_1(2 \ 3 \ 4) - z_1(2 \ 4 \ 3) - z_2(1 \ 3 \ 4) \\ &- z_2(1 \ 4 \ 3) - z_3(1 \ 2 \ 4) - z_3(1 \ 4 \ 2) - z_4(2 \ 3 \ 4) - z_4(2 \ 4 \ 3). \end{aligned}$

Theorem (Karp, Purbhoo (2023))

- (i) The β_I 's pairwise commute and satisfy the Plücker relations.
- (ii) There is a bijection between the eigenspaces of the β_I 's acting on $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_n]$ and the elements $V \in \text{Gr}_{d,m}(\mathbb{C})$ with $\text{Wr}(V) = (u + z_1) \cdots (u + z_n)$, sending the eigenvalue of β_I to the Plücker coordinate $\Delta_I(V)$.
- (iii) If $z_1, \dots, z_n \geq 0$, then the β_I 's are positive semidefinite.

KP hierarchy

- The *KP equation*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(-4 \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + 6u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} \right) + 3 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} = 0$$

models shallow water waves. It is the first equation in the *KP hierarchy*.



M. Griffon, CC BY 3.0 Deed

- The solutions to the KP hierarchy are symmetric functions $\tau(\mathbf{x})$ in the variables $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ satisfying the *Hirota bilinear identity*:

$$[t^{-1}] \exp \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{t^k}{k} (p_k(\mathbf{x}) - p_k(\mathbf{y})) \right) \exp \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} -t^{-k} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial p_k(\mathbf{x})} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k(\mathbf{y})} \right) \right) \tau(\mathbf{x}) \tau(\mathbf{y}) = 0.$$

- Sato (1981): $\tau(\mathbf{x})$ satisfies the bilinear identity if and only if its coefficients in the Schur basis $s_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ satisfy the Plücker relations.
- A key to our proof is showing $\sum_\lambda \beta_{I_\lambda} s_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ satisfies the bilinear identity.

Future directions

- Further explore the connection to the KP hierarchy.
- Give combinatorial proofs of the commutativity relations and Plücker relations for the β_I 's.
- Find necessary and sufficient inequalities on the Plücker coordinates of V for all complex zeros of $\text{Wr}(V)$ to be nonpositive. (The positivity conjecture implies that the inequalities $\Delta_I(V) \geq 0$ are necessary.)
- Address generalizations and variations of the Shapiro–Shapiro conjecture: the discriminant conjecture, the general form of the secant conjecture, the monotone conjecture, the total reality conjecture for convex curves, . . .

Thank you!