## Unit 2(b) Lecture Notes for MAT224

31 January-2 February, 2023

# §1 2.2 Linear Transformations Between Finite Dimensional Vector Spaces Continued...

We know that the product  $[T]^{\beta}_{\alpha}e_i = [T(\alpha_i)]_{\beta}$  where  $\alpha_i$  is the  $i^{th}$  basis element in  $\alpha$  and the subscript  $\beta$  means "as a linear combination of elements in basis  $\beta$ ".

Note that  $e_i$  is the vector with 1 in the  $i^{th}$  entry and zero everywhere else.

Now write  $\vec{v}$  as a linear combination of standard basis elements  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ .

Explain why the product  $[T]^{\beta}_{\alpha}[\vec{v}]$  is equal to a linear combination of vectors in basis  $\beta$ . What do the  $e_i$ 's represent here?

*Proof.* Let  $v = x_1v_1 + \ldots + x_kv_k \in V$ . Then if  $T(v_j) = a_{1j}w_1 + \ldots + a_{lj}w_l$ 

$$T(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{k} x_j a_{ij} \right) w_j.$$

Thus, the *i*th coefficient of T(v) in terms of  $\beta$  is  $\sum_{j=1}^{k} x_j a_{ij}$  and

$$[T(v)]_{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}.$$

### §2 2.3 Kernel and Image

Define the set Ker(T) for a linear transformation  $T: V \to W$ .

The kernel of T, denoted Ker(T), is the subset of V consisting of all vectors  $v \in V$  such that T(v) = 0.

Prove that Ker(T) is a subspace of V. Notice that elements in Ker(T) are in V by definition.

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Ker}(T) \subset V$  it suffices to prove that Ker(T) is closed under addition and scalar multiplication. Since T is linear, for all u and  $v \in \text{Ker}(T)$  and  $v \in \text{Ker}(T)$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . T(u+av) = T(u) + aT(v) = 0 + a0 = 0 that is  $u + av \in \text{Ker}(T)$ .

Define the set Image(T) for a linear transformation  $T: V \to W$ .

The subset of W consisting of all vectors  $w \in W$  for which there exists a  $v \in V$  such that T(v) = w is called the image of T and is denoted by Im(T).

Prove that Image(T) is a subspace of W. Notice that elements in Image(T) are in W by definition.

Proof. Let  $w_1$  and  $w_2 \in \text{Im}(T)$  and let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $w_1$  and  $w_2 \in \text{Im}(T)$ , there exists vectors  $v_1$  and  $v_2 \in V$  with  $T(v_1) = w_1$  and  $T(v_2) = w_2$ . Then we have  $aw_1 + w_2 \in aT(v_1) + T(v_2) = T(av_1 + v_2)$  since T is linear. Therefore  $aw_1 + w_2 \in \text{Im}(T)$  and Im(T) is a subspace of W.

Is it possible to determine the pre-image of a vector  $\vec{w} \in W$ , if you know its image? In other words, let  $T: V \to W$  be a linear transformation and let  $\vec{w} \in T(W)$ . So T(v) = w for some  $v \in V$ . Can we tell which  $v \in V$  is sent to W by V.

It is possible to determine which  $v \in V$  is sent to W by V.

What are some of the things you can do with the matrix form of T (in other words  $[T]^{\beta}_{\alpha}$ ) to learn about the properties of T?

The kernel and image of the matrix form of T can be used to determine the properties of T such as the dimension of  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$ .

For a linear transformation  $T: V \to W$ , how can you use two of the following quantities to determine the third:

$$dim(Ker(T)) + dim(Im(T)) = dim(V)$$

Given the dimension of the kernel of T and the dimension of the image of T, we can determine the dimension of the subspace V by adding the previous two dimensions.

#### §3 2.4 Application of the Dimension Theorem

Give examples of deciding if a linear transformation  $T: V \to W$  is injective or not based on:

- its kernel
- its image
- the relative dimensions of V and W
- the relative dimensions of Im(T) and W

#### Some examples are

- A linear transformation  $T: V \to W$  is injective if and only if  $\dim(\text{Ker}(T)) = 0$ .
- A linear mapping  $T: V \to W$  on a finite-dimensional vector space V is injective if and only if  $\dim(\operatorname{Im}(T)) = \dim(V)$ .
- If  $\dim(W)$ ;  $\dim(V)$  and  $T:V\to W$  is a linear mapping, then T is not injective.
- If V and W are finite dimensional, then a linear mapping  $T:V\to W$  can be injective only if  $\dim(W)\geq\dim(V)$ .
- If W is finite-dimensional, then a linear mapping  $T: V \to W$  is surjective if and only if  $\dim(\operatorname{Im}(T)) = \dim(W)$ .

Give the definition of "surjective" and explain how you can decide if a linear transformation T is surjective based on the relative dimensions of V and W.

The function f is said to be surjective if for each  $q \in S_2$  there is some  $p \in S_1$  with f(p) = q. A linear mapping  $T: V \to W$  can be surjective if  $\dim(V) \ge \dim(W)$ .

Let T: V - -> W be a linear transformation where  $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$ .

- (i) If T is surjective, does it also have to be injective? Why or why not?
- (ii) If T is injective, does it also have to be surjective? Why or why not?

Let  $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$ . A linear transformation  $T: V \to W$  is injective if and only if it is surjective.

Consider Proposition 2.4.11. Let  $\vec{w_0} \notin Ker(T)$  for some linear transformation  $T: V \to W$ . Then we know we can write every vector  $\vec{v} \in V$  as  $\vec{v} = \vec{w_0} + \vec{k}$  for some  $\vec{k} \in Ker(T)$ . If we replace  $w_0$  with  $w_1$  then does k stay the same? In other words,  $\vec{v} = \vec{w_1} + \vec{k}$  for the same  $\vec{k}$  as before or  $\vec{v} = \vec{w_1} + \vec{k'}$  for a potentially different  $\vec{k'} \in Ker(T)$ ?

It is not the same,  $\vec{v} = \vec{w_1} + \vec{k'}$  for a potentially different  $\vec{k'} \in Ker(T)$ .