I. Algebraic Integers

1. Motivation

At its most elementary, number theory is the study of integers. Few topics:

- primes;
- integer equations;
- divisibility;
- gcd; and
- prime factorization.

The goal is to generalize these topics with *commutative algebra*.

Naive approach is to use UFD's. A problem with this is that there are many *integer-like* integral domains, such as $\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{5}\right]$, that are not UFD's.

Let us do some *random* math and see where it goes. Consider

$$\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

Note that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}\left[\sqrt{5}\right]$. In fact, observe that α is the root of the polynomial $x^2 - x - 1$, so that

$$\alpha^2 = \alpha + 1. \tag{1.1}$$

Def'n 1.1. $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$
Given $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, define

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \{f(\alpha) : f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\}.$$

For the specific $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$, observe that [1.1] tells us that we can replace any α^2 with a linear polynomial in α, so that

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \{a + b\alpha : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

This simplification worked because

$$\textit{there is a monic } f \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \textit{ such that } f(\alpha) = 0.$$

In fact, observe that $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ implies that

$$(2\alpha - 1)^2 = 5,$$

which means if we have any other number *congruent to* 5 *mod* 4 in place of 5, we would still get a polynomial of the form

$$4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha - b = 0,$$

where $b \equiv 0 \mod 4$.

The last thing we note about $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is that

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\alpha.$$

In general, we want to have

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\alpha + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\alpha^{n-1}$$

which allows us to do $\mathbb{Z}$-*module theory*.

Def'n 1.2. **Algebraic Integer**
We say $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is an ***algebraic integer*** if and only if there exists a monic $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ such that

$$f(\alpha) = 0.$$

We do not insist that f is irreducible. For instance, $7, \sqrt{5}, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, i, 1+i, \zeta_n$ are all algebraic integers, where ζ_n is an nth root of unity.

How do we tell if an *algebraic number* $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ (i.e. α is a root of a not-necessarily monic polynomial over $\mathbb{Z}$) is an algebraic integer?

Theorem 1.1.
An algebraic number $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is an algebraic integer if and only if its minimal polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$ is over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Postponed

Corollary 1.1.1.
The only algebraic integers in $\mathbb{Q}$ are integers.

Example 1.1.
Consider

$$\beta = \frac{1+\sqrt{3}}{2}.$$

Then $(2\beta - 1)^2 = 3$, so that β is a root for

$$f = x^2 - x - \frac{1}{2}.$$

But f is a monic polynomial with $\deg(f) = 2$ and a root β of f is irrational, it follows that f is the minimal polynomial for β over $\mathbb{Q}$. Thus β is not an algebraic integer.

Suppose that

$$f = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j x^j \in \mathbb{Z}[x].$$

Then the ***content*** of f is

$$\text{content}(f) = \gcd(a_0, \ldots, a_n)$$

and we say that

$$f \text{ is } \textbf{\textit{primitive}} \iff \text{content}(f) = 1.$$

In this setting, Gauss's lemma can be stated as following.

Lemma 1.2. Gauss's Lemma
Let $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. If f, g are primitive, then so is fg.

Proof of Theorem 1.1
($\Longleftarrow$) This direction is trivial, as any minimal polynomial is monic.

($\Longrightarrow$) Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ be an algebraic integer and let $m \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be its minimal polynomial. Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be monic such that $f(\alpha) = 0$. Since m is the minimal polynomial,

$$f = mg$$

for some $g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$.

Take $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest positive integers such that $N_1 m, N_2 g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. If $p \in \mathbb{N}$ is a prime dividing all coefficients of $N_1 m$, then $\frac{N_1}{p} m \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. In fact, $\frac{N_1}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}$, since m is monic so that the leading coefficient of $N_1 m$ is N_1. This leads to a contradiction, as $\frac{N_1}{p} < N_1$ violates minimality of N_1.

Also note that f, m are monic, so that g is monic as well. Hence by following a similar argument, $N_2 g$ is primitive.

Now,

$$N_1 N_2 f = (N_1 m)(N_2 g)$$

Since f is monic, observe that the content of $N_1 N_2 f$ is $N_1 N_2$. But $N_1 m, N_2 g$ are primitive, so by Gauss's lemma, $(N_1 m)(N_2 g)$ is primitive. Therefore

$$N_1 N_2 = \text{content}(N_1 N_2 f) = \text{content}((N_1 m)(N_2 g)) = 1,$$

which means $N_1 = N_2 = 1$. Thus $m \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$.

QED

3. Ring of Integers

Example 1.2.

Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ be ***square-free*** and $d \neq 1$. That is, in the prime factorization of d, there are no multiplicities. Consider

$$K = \mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{d}\right) = \left\{a + b\sqrt{d} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\right\}.$$

Then we know that

$$K/\mathbb{Q} \text{ is finite } \implies K/\mathbb{Q} \text{ is algebraic.}$$

We are going to find all algebraic integers in K. Let

$$\alpha = a + b\sqrt{d} \in K$$

be an algebraic integer. Consider the conjugate

$$\overline{\alpha} = a - b\sqrt{d}.$$

Then

$$m = (x - \alpha)(x - \overline{\alpha}) = x^2 - 2ax + a^2 - db^2$$

is the minimal polynomial for α over $\mathbb{Q}$. By Theorem 1.1, it follows that $2a, a^2 - db^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now,

$$4\left(a^2 - db^2\right) = (2a)^2 - d(2b)^2$$

but $a^2 - db^2, (2a)^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that

$$d(2b)^2 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since d is square-free, it follows that $2b \in \mathbb{Z}$. If not, then the denominator of $2b$ is not 1. This means the denominator of $(2b)^2$ has a square of a prime as a factor, which contradicts the fact that d is square-free. Hence $\gamma = 2a, \delta = 2b \in \mathbb{Z}$. This means

$$a^2 - db^2 = \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^2 - d\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{\gamma^2 - d\delta^2}{4} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It follows $\gamma^2 - d\delta^2 \equiv 0 \mod 4$.

We have few cases.

Case 1. $d \equiv 1 \mod 4$.

It follows that

$$\gamma^2 \equiv \delta^2 \mod 4.$$

But even numbers square to 0 mod 4 and odd numbers square to 1 mod 4. Hence

$$\gamma \equiv \delta \mod 2.$$

It follows that α is of the form

$$\alpha = a + b\sqrt{d} = \frac{\gamma + \delta\sqrt{d}}{2}$$

for some $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$.

(End of Case 1)

Case 2. $d \equiv 2 \bmod 4$ or $d \equiv 3 \bmod 4$.

It is a routine exercise to show that

$$\gamma^2 - d\delta^2 \equiv 0 \bmod 4 \iff \gamma \equiv \delta \equiv 0 \bmod 2.$$

Hence

$$\alpha = \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2}\sqrt{d}$$

but γ, δ are even numbers, so that $a = \frac{\gamma}{2}, b = \frac{\delta}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\alpha = a + b\sqrt{d}.$$

(End of Case 2)

Exercise: check these conditions are also sufficient.

The above example gives the following idea.

Given a finite extension $K/\mathbb{Q}$, we find all algebraic integers in K.

This motivates the following definitions.

Def'n 1.3. **Number Field**, **Ring of Integers** of a Number Field

We call a finite extension K of $\mathbb{Q}$ a ***number field***.

Given a number field K, we call

$$\mathcal{O}_K = \{\alpha \in K : \alpha \text{ is an algebraic integer}\}$$

the ***ring of integers*** of K.

We are going to prive that $\mathcal{O}_K$ is a ring.[1] To do so, we first show

$$\mathbb{A} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z \text{ is an algebraic integer}\}$$

is a ring, so that

$$\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{A} \cap K$$

is also a ring.

Recall that, given $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}$, we have

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\alpha + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\alpha^{n-1}.$$

This allows us to do module theory over $\mathbb{Z}$.

Def'n 1.4. R-**module**

Let R be a ring. An R-***module*** is an abelian group $(M, +)$ with a left R-action on M such that

(a) $1m = m$ for $m \in M$;

(b) $(r_1 + r_2)\, m = r_1 m + r_2 m$ for $r_1, r_2 \in R, m \in M$;

(c) $r(m_1 + m_2) = rm_1 + rm_2$ for $r \in R, m_1, m_2 \in M$; and

(d) $(r_1 r_2)\, m = r_1 (r_2 m)$ for $r_1, r_2 \in R, m \in M$.

[1] We are going to assume that every ring is unital and commutative throughout, if not stated otherwise.

Example 1.3. Examples of R-modules

Given a ring R, R is an R-module with left action

$$r \cdot m = rm, \qquad \forall r, m \in R.$$

In fact, given any subring $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, R is an S-module with

$$s \cdot r = sr, \qquad \forall s \in S, r \in R.$$

Similar to $\mathbb{R}^n$ which is a $\mathbb{R}$-vector space, R^n is an R-module with

$$r \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} rx_1 \\ \vdots \\ rx_n \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \forall r \in R, \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \in R^n.$$

Example 1.4.

Consider $R = \mathbb{Z}$ and consider an R-module M. Then given $n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in M$,

$$n \cdot m = (1 + \cdots + 1) \cdot m = 1 \cdot m + \cdots + 1 \cdot m = m + \cdot + m = nm.$$

That is, the $\mathbb{Z}$-module on an abelian group M *does not impose any additional structure on* M; a $\mathbb{Z}$-module is simply an abelian group.

As an exercise, we can also check that

$$(-n) \cdot m = -nm$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in M$.

Def'n 1.5. R-**submodule**, **Homomorphism** of R-modules, **Finitely Generated** R-module

Let R be a ring and let M be an R-module. We say $N \subseteq M$ is an R-submodule of M if N is an R-module using the same operations as M.

Given R-modules M, N, we say $f : M \to N$ is a ***homomorphism*** if and only if

$$f(rm_1 + m_2) = rf(m_1) + f(m_2), \qquad \forall r \in R, m_1, m_2 \in M.$$

In case f is bijective, we say f is an ***isomorphism***.

We say an R-module is ***finitely generated*** if there are $m_1, \ldots, m_n \in M$ such that

$$M = Rm_1 + \cdots + Rm_n.$$

That is, for any $m \in M$, there exists $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in R$ such that

$$m = \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j m_j.$$

In other words, finite number of elements $m_1, \ldots, m_n$ ***generate*** M.

Observe that

$$N \subseteq M \text{ is an } R\text{-submodule} \iff N \text{ is subgroup of } M \text{ closed under } R\text{-left action.}$$

Example 1.5.

Given a ring R, as an R-module, the only R-submodules are the ideals of R.

Def'n 1.6. **Integral** over R

Let R, S be integral domains, such that R is a subring of S. We say $\alpha \in S$ is ***integral*** over R if there is monic $f \in R[x]$ such that $f(\alpha) = 0$.

Example 1.6.

In case $R = \mathbb{Z}, S = \mathbb{C}$, given $\alpha \in S$,

$$\alpha \text{ is integral } \iff \alpha \text{ is algebraic integer.}$$

That is, being integral over R is a generalization of being an algebraic integer.

Theorem 1.3.

Let R, S be integral domains where R is a subring of S and let $\alpha \in S$. Then

$$\alpha \text{ is integral over } R \iff R[\alpha] = \{f(\alpha) : f \in R[x]\} \text{ is a finitely generated } R\text{-module.}$$

Proof. ($\Longrightarrow$) Suppose α is integral over R. Then there is a polynomial relation

$$\alpha^n + a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1\alpha + a_0 = 0$$

for some $a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1} \in R$. Rearranging for α^n,

$$\alpha^n = -\left(a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1\alpha + a_0\right).$$

This means, given any $f \in R[x]$, every powers $\alpha^n, \alpha^{n+1}, \ldots$ in $f(\alpha)$ can be replaced by lower powers of α, so that

$$f(\alpha) = g(\alpha)$$

for some $g \in R[x]$ with $\deg(g) \leq n-1$. That is,

$$R[\alpha] \subseteq R + R\alpha + \cdots + R\alpha^{n-1}.$$

But the reverse containment is trivial, so that $R[\alpha]$ is finitely generated.

($\Longleftarrow$) Suppose $R[\alpha]$ is finitely generated, say

$$R[\alpha] = Rf_1(\alpha) + \cdots + Rf_n(\alpha)$$

with $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in R[x]$. Take $N = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \deg\left(f_j\right)$. Then $\alpha^{N+1} \in R[x]$ as a polynomial of α, so that

$$\alpha^{N+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j f_j(\alpha)$$

for some $r_1, \ldots, r_n \in R$.

Now consider

$$g = x^{N+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_j f_j \in R[x].$$

Then $g(\alpha) = 0$. But $\deg\left(x^{N+1}\right) = N+1 > N = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \deg\left(f_j\right)$, so that g is monic as well. Thus α is algebraic over R.

QED

The big idea for Theorem 1.3 is that

*showing $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is finitely generated is often **easier** than finding monic $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $f(\alpha) = 0$.*

"Let's work with generators instead of polynomials" - Blake.

Theorem 1.4.

Let

$$\mathbb{A} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z \text{ is an algebraic integer}\}.$$

Then $\mathbb{A}$ is a subring of $\mathbb{C}$.

Proof Attempt. If we are in PMATH 348, proving something is *easy*; we simply apply the subring test. Let's see how it fails here.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{A}$. We must show that $\alpha - \beta, \alpha\beta \in \mathbb{A}$. That is, we must show

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha - \beta], \mathbb{Z}[\alpha\beta] \text{ are finitely generated } \mathbb{Z}\text{-modules.}$$

Since α, β are algebraic integers, write

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z}\,\alpha_j, \qquad \mathbb{Z}[\beta] = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbb{Z}\,\beta_j.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta] = \{f(\alpha, \beta) : \mathbb{Z}[x, y]\}$$

is also finitely generated. In fact, it is generated by $\left\{\alpha_i \beta_j\right\}_{1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m}$. Hence $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta]$ is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module.

We have that $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha - \beta], \mathbb{Z}[\alpha\beta]$ are $\mathbb{Z}$-submodules of the *fg* module $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta]$.

Now, if we use the intuition from linear algebra, we should be done here. Recall that subspaces of a finite-dimensional vector space are finite-dimensional. But this is not the case for modules!

Proof Failed

Example 1.7. Submodule of a Finitely Generated Module That Is Not Finitely Generated

Consider

$$R = [x_1, x_2, \ldots].$$

Then R is a finitely generated R-module (i.e. $R = R1$). But observe that

$$I = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots \rangle$$

is not finitely generated.

To see this, observe that elements of R are polynomials in $x_1, x_2, \ldots$, which has *only finitely many indeterminates*. So having finitely many polynomials does not give enough number of indeterminates to generate I.

To resolve this issue, we consider the following definition.

Def'n 1.7. **Noetherian** Ring

Let R be a ring. We say R is ***Noetherian*** if every R-submodule (i.e. ideal) of R is finitely generated.

Example 1.8.

Observe that $\mathbb{Z}$ is Noetherian, as it is a PID (i.e. every ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by *an* element).

Theorem 1.5.

Let R be a Noetherian ring and let M be a finitely generated R-module. Then every R-submodule of M is finitely generated.

Theorem 1.5 resolves the issue we left in Theorem 1.4, since $\mathbb{Z}$ is Noetherian.

Let us reduce Theorem 1.5 a bit. Consider a finitely generated R-module

$$M = R\alpha_1 + \cdots + R\alpha_n$$

and an epimorphism of R-modules

$$f : R^n \to M$$
$$(r_1, \ldots, r_n) \mapsto r_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + r_n\alpha_n.$$

That is, every finitely generated R-module can be viewed as an R-submodule of R^n.

Moreover, for any R-submodule $N \subseteq M$,

$$f^{-1}(N) \subseteq R^n.$$

If $f^{-1}(N) = R\beta_1 + \cdots + R\beta_m$, then

$$N = Rf(\beta_1) + \cdots + Rf(\beta_m).$$

Hence it remains to show that every R-submodule N of M satisfy $f^{-1}(N) = R\beta_1 + \cdots + R\beta_m$ for some $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m \in R$.

Proof of Theorem 1.5

We may assume $M = R^n$. If $n = 1$, then R is Noetherian and we are done.

Suppose that the result holds for some $n \geq 1$ and consider $M = R^{n+1}$. Consider the epimorphism

$$\pi : R^{n+1} \to R$$
$$(r_1, \ldots, r_{n+1}) \mapsto r_{n+1}.$$

Let N be an R-submodule of M. Consider

$$N_1 = \{(r_1, \ldots, r_{n+1}) \in N : r_{n+1} = 0\}$$

which is isomorphic to an R-submodule of R^n. Hence by inductive hypothesis, N_1 is finitely generated. Moreover,

$$N_2 = \pi(N)$$

is an R-submodule of R, so is finitely generated (by inductive hypothesis).

Say

$$N_1 = Rx_1 + \cdots + Rx_p$$
$$N_2 = R\pi(y_1) + \cdots + R\pi(y_q)$$

for some $x_1, \ldots, x_p, y_1, \ldots, y_q \in R$. Let $x \in N$. Then

$$\pi(x) = r_1\pi(y_1) + \cdots + r_q\pi(y_q)$$

for some $r_1, \ldots, r_q \in R$. But π is a homomorphism of R-modules, so that

$$\pi\left(x - \sum_{j=1}^{q} r_j y_j\right) = 0.$$

This means the $(n+1)$th entry of $x - \sum_{j=1}^{q} r_j y_j$ is 0, so that $x - \sum_{j=1}^{q} r_j y_j \in N_1$. That is,

$$x - \sum_{j=1}^{q} r_j y_j = \sum_{k=1}^{p} s_k x_k$$

for some $s_1, \ldots, s_p \in R$.

Thus

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^{q} r_j y_j + \sum_{k=1}^{p} s_k x_k,$$

so that

$$N = \sum_{j=1}^{q} Ry_j + \sum_{k=1}^{p} Rx_k,$$

as required.

QED

4. Additive Structure

So far, it has been very useful to consider $\mathcal{O}_K$ as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module. Let us investigate this $\mathbb{Z}$-module as an abelian group

$$(\mathcal{O}_K, +)$$

without multiplication structure, where K is a number ring (i.e. $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$).

The next definition will make it clear the kind of *linear algebraic* approach we are going to take.

Def'n 1.8. **Linearly Independent** Subset of an R-module, **Basis** for an R-module, **Free** R-module
Let R be a ring and let M be an R-module. Let $B \subseteq M$.

(a) Say B is ***linearly independent*** if and only if for all $m_1, \ldots, m_n \in B, r_1, \ldots, r_n \in R$,

$$r_1 m_1 + \cdots + r_n m_n = 0 \implies r_1 = \cdots = r_n = 0.$$

(b) Say B ***spans*** M if for all $x \in M$, there are $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in B, r_1, \ldots, r_n \in R$ such that

$$x = r_1 b_1 + \cdots + r_n b_n.$$

(c) Say B is a ***basis*** for M if B is linearly independent and spans M. In case M admits a basis, we call it a ***free*** R-module.

In case there is a basis B for M, the size of any other basis for M is $|B|$.

Def'n 1.9. **Rank** of a Free R-module
Let R be a ring and let M be a free R-module. Then the size of a basis for M is called the ***rank*** of M, denoted as $\operatorname{rank}(M)$.

Proposition 1.6.
Let R be a ring and let M be an R-module. Let $B \subseteq M$. Then

$$B \text{ is a basis } \iff \text{ every } x \in M \text{ can be uniquely written as an } R\text{-linear combination of elements of } B.$$

In particular,

$$M \text{ is free with } \operatorname{rank}(M) = n < \infty \iff M \cong R^n \text{ by } (r_1, \ldots, r_n) \leftrightarrow r_1 b_1 + \cdots + r_n b_n \text{ for some } b_1, \ldots, b_n \in M,$$

in which case $\{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$ is a basis for M.

Example 1.9. Free but not Finitely Generated
Consider $R = \mathbb{Z}, M = \mathbb{Z}[x], B = \{1, x, x_2, \ldots\}$. Then M is a free module generated by B but is not finitely generated.

Example 1.10. Finitely Generated but not Free
Consider $R = \mathbb{Z}, M = \mathbb{Z}_2$. Then $2 \cdot 1 = 0$ but $2 \neq 0$ in R. So the only R-linearly independent subset of M is the emptyset $\emptyset$, so that M is fintely generated but not free.

Example 1.11.
Consider $R = \mathbb{Z}, M = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}, N = \mathbb{Z} \times 2\mathbb{Z}$. Then M is free with a basis

$$B_1 = \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\},$$

so that $\operatorname{rank}(M) = 2$. Also, N is free with a basis

$$B_2 = \{(1, 0), (0, 2)\},$$

so that $\operatorname{rank}(N) = 2$. However, observe that B_2 is an R-linearly independent subset of M with $\operatorname{rank}(M)$ elements!

This particular example shows that it is possible for modules of rank n to have a linearly independent subset of n elements which does not span the whole module, unlike the case in linear algebra.

We are going to present two facts without proof. Fix a PID R and a free R-module M with $\operatorname{rank}(M) = n < \infty$.

Fact 1.7.
For an R-submodule $N \subseteq M$, N is free with $\operatorname{rank}(N) \leq n$.

Fact 1.8.
Any maximal linearly independent subset of M has n elements.

The next goal is to show that ring of integers is a free module. That is, given a number field K with $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$, our goal is

$$\textit{to find an embedding (i.e. monomorphism) } \varphi : \mathcal{O}_K \to \mathbb{Z}^n \textit{ such that } \operatorname{rank}(\varphi(\mathcal{O}_K)) = n.$$

This will tell us $\mathcal{O}_k \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ as $\mathbb{Z}$-modules. In particular, $(\mathcal{O}_K, +)$ is a free module with rank n.

Def'n 1.10. **Integral Basis**
Given a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module M, a basis for M is called an ***integral basis***.

We introduce two useful tools in algebraic number theory.

Def'n 1.11. **Trace**, **Norm** of an Element of a Number Field
Let K be a number field with $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n < \infty$. Let $\alpha \in K$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned} T_\alpha : K &\to K \\ x &\mapsto \alpha x \end{aligned},$$

which is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear operator.

(a) The ***trace*** of α relative to $K/\mathbb{Q}$, denoted as $\operatorname{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)$, is

$$\operatorname{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \operatorname{tr}(T_\alpha).$$

(b) The ***norm*** of α relative to $K/\mathbb{Q}$, denoted as $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)$, is

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \det(T_\alpha).$$

Note that $\operatorname{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha), N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}$, since T_α is a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear operator (hence the entries of any matrix representation of T_α are rational).

Let $\alpha \in K$. Let β be a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis for K and let $A = [T_\alpha]_\beta$. Consider the characteristic and minimal polynomials $f, p \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, respectively, of A. Notice that, for $g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ and $v \in K$,

$$g(T_\alpha)\, v = g(\alpha)\, v,$$

since $T_\alpha^m v = \alpha^m v$ for $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. In particular,

$$g(\alpha) = 0 \iff g(T_\alpha) = 0,$$

so that p is the minimal polynomial for α over $\mathbb{Q}$. By the Cayley-Hamilton theorem, $p | f$. However,

$$\deg(f) = [K : \mathbb{Q}] = n.$$

We consider the following particular case.

Case 1. *Suppose*

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha).$$

On the other hand, since p is the minimal polynomial of α,

$$\deg(p) = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = [K : \mathbb{Q}] = n.$$

Hence $p|f$, $\deg(f) = \deg(p)$, and f, p are monic, so that $f = p$.

Let $\alpha = \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n$ be the conjugates of α (i.e. the roots of p in $\mathbb{C}$). But the roots of the characteristic polynomial of an operator are the eigenvalues (with multiplicity) and $f = p$, so that

$$\mathrm{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \mathrm{tr}(T_\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j$$

and

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \det(T_\alpha) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j.$$

Also note that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j = -\left[x^{n-1}\right] p$$

and

$$(-1)\left[x^0\right] p = (-1)^n p(0).$$

Recall from the field theory that the embeddings of $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ in $\mathbb{C}$ are exactly given by $\sigma_j(\alpha) = \alpha_j$ for $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. That is,

$$\mathrm{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_j(\alpha)$$

and

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_j(\alpha).$$

(End of Case 1)

Apart from Case 1, we want to compute $\mathrm{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha), N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)$ *in general.* To do so, we introduce the following lemma with a technical proof.

Lemma 1.9.

Suppose that K is a number field with $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ and let $\alpha \in K$ with $[K : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)] = m$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} T_\alpha : K &\to K \\ x &\mapsto \alpha x \end{aligned}.$$

Let $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be the characteristic polynomial of T_α and let $p \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be the minimal polynomial for α. Then

$$f = p^m.$$

Note that we recover Case 1 when $m = 1$ (i.e. $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$).

Proof. Let

$$\beta = \{y_1, \ldots, y_d\}$$

be a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis for $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ and let

$$\beta' = \{z_1, \ldots, z_m\}$$

be a $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$-basis for K. By the tower theorem, we have that

$$\left\{y_j z_k\right\}_{1 \leq j \leq d, 1 \leq k \leq m}$$

is a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis for K.

Let $A = [T_\alpha]_\beta \in \mathbb{Q}^{d \times d}$ (where we consider the restriction $T_\alpha : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \to \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$). Recall from linear algebra that

$$\alpha y_j = T_\alpha(y_j) = \left(A\left[y_j\right]_\beta\right)^T \begin{bmatrix} y_1 & \cdots & y_d^T \end{bmatrix} = \left(Ae_j\right)^T \begin{bmatrix} y_1 & \cdots & y_d^T \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^{d} a_{k,i} y_k,$$

where $A = \left[a_{k,i}\right]_{k,i=1}^{d}$. This implies

$$\alpha y_i z_j = \sum_{k=1}^{d} a_{ki} y_k z_j. \qquad [1.2]$$

Consider the ordered basis

$$\gamma = \left(y_1 z_1, \ldots, y_d z_1, y_1 z_2, \ldots, y_d z_2, \ldots, y_1 z_m, \ldots, y_d z_m\right).$$

Then [1.2] gives (exercise)

$$[T_\alpha]_\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & A & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & A \end{bmatrix}.$$

Immediately,

$$f = \det(xI - A)^m = p^m,$$

where the last equality follows from Case 1.

QED