

# I. Algebraic Integers

## 1. Motivation

At its most elementary, number theory is the study of integers. Few topics:

- primes;
- integer equations;
- divisibility;
- gcd; and
- prime factorization.

The goal is to generalize these topics with *commutative algebra*.

Naive approach is to use UFD's. A problem with this is that there are many *integer-like* integral domains, such as  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{5}]$ , that are not UFD's.

Let us do some *random* math and see where it goes. Consider

$$\alpha = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}.$$

Note that  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{5}]$ . In fact, observe that  $\alpha$  is the root of the polynomial  $x^2 - x - 1$ , so that

$$\alpha^2 = \alpha + 1. \quad [1.1]$$

Def'n 1.1.  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$

Given  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , define

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \{f(\alpha) : f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]\}.$$

For the specific  $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ , observe that [1.1] tells us that we can replace any  $\alpha^2$  with a linear polynomial in  $\alpha$ , so that

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \{a + b\alpha : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

This simplification worked because

*there is a monic  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ .*

In fact, observe that  $\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  implies that

$$(2\alpha - 1)^2 = 5,$$

which means if we have any other number *congruent to 5 mod 4* in place of 5, we would still get a polynomial of the form

$$4\alpha^2 - 4\alpha - b = 0,$$

where  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

The last thing we note about  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  is that

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\alpha.$$

In general, we want to have

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\alpha + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\alpha^{n-1}$$

which allows us to do  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module theory.

## 2. Algebraic Integers

### Def'n 1.2. Algebraic Integer

We say  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  is an *algebraic integer* if and only if there exists a monic  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that

$$f(\alpha) = 0.$$

We do not insist that  $f$  is irreducible. For instance,  $7, \sqrt{5}, \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, i, 1+i, \zeta_n$  are all algebraic integers, where  $\zeta_n$  is an  $n$ th root of unity.

How do we tell if an *algebraic number*  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  (i.e.  $\alpha$  is a root of a not-necessarily monic polynomial over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) is an algebraic integer?

### Theorem 1.1.

An algebraic number  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  is an algebraic integer if and only if its minimal polynomial over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Postponed

### Corollary 1.1.1.

The only algebraic integers in  $\mathbb{Q}$  are integers.

### Example 1.1.

Consider

$$\beta = \frac{1 + \sqrt{3}}{2}.$$

Then  $(2\beta - 1)^2 = 3$ , so that  $\beta$  is a root for

$$f = x^2 - x - \frac{1}{2}.$$

But  $f$  is a monic polynomial with  $\deg(f) = 2$  and a root  $\beta$  of  $f$  is irrational, it follows that  $f$  is the minimal polynomial for  $\beta$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Thus  $\beta$  is not an algebraic integer.

Suppose that

$$f = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j x^j \in \mathbb{Z}[x].$$

Then the *content* of  $f$  is

$$\text{content}(f) = \gcd(a_0, \dots, a_n)$$

and we say that

$$f \text{ is } \textit{primitive} \iff \text{content}(f) = 1.$$

In this setting, Gauss's lemma can be stated as following.

### Lemma 1.2. Gauss's Lemma

Let  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . If  $f, g$  are primitive, then so is  $fg$ .

### Proof of Theorem 1.1

( $\Leftarrow$ ) This direction is trivial, as any minimal polynomial is monic.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  be an algebraic integer and let  $m \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  be its minimal polynomial. Let  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  be monic such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ . Since  $m$  is the minimal polynomial,

$$f = mg$$

for some  $g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

Take  $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  be the smallest positive integers such that  $N_1m, N_2g \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . If  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  is a prime dividing all coefficients of  $N_1m$ , then  $\frac{N_1}{p}m \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . In fact,  $\frac{N_1}{p} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , since  $m$  is monic so that the leading coefficient of  $N_1m$  is  $N_1$ . This leads to a contradiction, as  $\frac{N_1}{p} < N_1$  violates minimality of  $N_1$ .

Also note that  $f, m$  are monic, so that  $g$  is monic as well. Hence by following a similar argument,  $N_2g$  is primitive.

Now,

$$N_1N_2f = (N_1m)(N_2g)$$

Since  $f$  is monic, observe that the content of  $N_1N_2f$  is  $N_1N_2$ . But  $N_1m, N_2g$  are primitive, so by Gauss's lemma,  $(N_1m)(N_2g)$  is primitive. Therefore

$$N_1N_2 = \text{content}(N_1N_2f) = \text{content}((N_1m)(N_2g)) = 1,$$

which means  $N_1 = N_2 = 1$ . Thus  $m \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

**QED**

### 3. Ring of Integers

#### Example 1.2.

Let  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  be *square-free* and  $d \neq 1$ . That is, in the prime factorization of  $d$ , there are no multiplicities. Consider

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) = \{a + b\sqrt{d} : a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}.$$

Then we know that

$$K/\mathbb{Q} \text{ is finite} \implies K/\mathbb{Q} \text{ is algebraic.}$$

We are going to find all algebraic integers in  $K$ . Let

$$\alpha = a + b\sqrt{d} \in K$$

be an algebraic integer. Consider the conjugate

$$\bar{\alpha} = a - b\sqrt{d}.$$

Then

$$m = (x - \alpha)(x - \bar{\alpha}) = x^2 - 2ax + a^2 - db^2$$

is the minimal polynomial for  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . By Theorem 1.1, it follows that  $2a, a^2 - db^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Now,

$$4(a^2 - db^2) = (2a)^2 - d(2b)^2$$

but  $a^2 - db^2, (2a)^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so that

$$d(2b)^2 \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Since  $d$  is square-free, it follows that  $2b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If not, then the denominator of  $2b$  is not 1. This means the denominator of  $(2b)^2$  has a square of a prime as a factor, which contradicts the fact that  $d$  is square-free. Hence  $\gamma = 2a, \delta = 2b \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This means

$$a^2 - db^2 = \left(\frac{\gamma}{2}\right)^2 - d\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{\gamma^2 - d\delta^2}{4} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

It follows  $\gamma^2 - d\delta^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

We have few cases.

Case 1.  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

It follows that

$$\gamma^2 \equiv \delta^2 \pmod{4}.$$

But even numbers square to 0 mod 4 and odd numbers square to 1 mod 4. Hence

$$\gamma \equiv \delta \pmod{2}.$$

It follows that  $\alpha$  is of the form

$$\alpha = a + b\sqrt{d} = \frac{\gamma + \delta\sqrt{d}}{2}$$

for some  $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(End of Case 1)

Case 2.  $d \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  or  $d \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

It is a routine exercise to show that

$$\gamma^2 - d\delta^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \iff \gamma \equiv \delta \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Hence

$$\alpha = \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2}\sqrt{d}$$

but  $\gamma, \delta$  are even numbers, so that  $a = \frac{\gamma}{2}, b = \frac{\delta}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$  and

$$\alpha = a + b\sqrt{d}.$$

(End of Case 2)

Exercise: check these conditions are also sufficient.

The above example gives the following idea.

*Given a finite extension  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ , we find all algebraic integers in  $K$ .*

This motivates the following definitions.

Def'n 1.3. **Number Field, Ring of Integers** of a Number Field

We call a finite extension  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  a **number field**.

Given a number field  $K$ , we call

$$\mathcal{O}_K = \{\alpha \in K : \alpha \text{ is an algebraic integer}\}$$

the **ring of integers** of  $K$ .

We are going to prove that  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a ring.<sup>1</sup> To do so, we first show

$$\mathbb{A} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z \text{ is an algebraic integer}\}$$

is a ring, so that

$$\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{A} \cap K$$

is also a ring.

Recall that, given  $\alpha \in \mathbb{A}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\alpha + \cdots + \mathbb{Z}\alpha^{n-1}.$$

This allows us to do module theory over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Def'n 1.4. **R-module**

Let  $R$  be a ring. An  **$R$ -module** is an abelian group  $(M, +)$  with a left  $R$ -action on  $M$  such that

- (a)  $1m = m$  for  $m \in M$ ;
- (b)  $(r_1 + r_2)m = r_1m + r_2m$  for  $r_1, r_2 \in R, m \in M$ ;
- (c)  $r(m_1 + m_2) = rm_1 + rm_2$  for  $r \in R, m_1, m_2 \in M$ ; and
- (d)  $(r_1r_2)m = r_1(r_2m)$  for  $r_1, r_2 \in R, m \in M$ .

<sup>1</sup>We are going to assume that every ring is unital and commutative throughout, if not stated otherwise.

---

**Example 1.3.** Examples of  $R$ -modules

---

Given a ring  $R$ ,  $R$  is an  $R$ -module with left action

$$r \cdot m = rm, \quad \forall r, m \in R.$$

In fact, given any subring  $S \subseteq R$ ,  $R$  is an  $S$ -module with

$$s \cdot r = sr, \quad \forall s \in S, r \in R.$$

Similar to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space,  $R^n$  is an  $R$ -module with

$$r \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} rx_1 \\ \vdots \\ rx_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \forall r \in R, \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} \in R^n.$$

---

**Example 1.4.**

---

Consider  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and consider an  $R$ -module  $M$ . Then given  $n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in M$ ,

$$n \cdot m = (1 + \cdots + 1) \cdot m = 1 \cdot m + \cdots + 1 \cdot m = m + \cdots + m = nm.$$

That is, the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module on an abelian group  $M$  *does not impose any additional structure on  $M$* ; a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is simply an abelian group.

As an exercise, we can also check that

$$(-n) \cdot m = -nm$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in M$ .

---

Def'n 1.5.  **$R$ -submodule, Homomorphism** of  $R$ -modules, **Finitely Generated**  $R$ -module

Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. We say  $N \subseteq M$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  if  $N$  is an  $R$ -module using the same operations as  $M$ .

Given  $R$ -modules  $M, N$ , we say  $f: M \rightarrow N$  is a **homomorphism** if and only if

$$f(rm_1 + m_2) = rf(m_1) + f(m_2), \quad \forall r \in R, m_1, m_2 \in M.$$

In case  $f$  is bijective, we say  $f$  is an **isomorphism**.

We say an  $R$ -module is **finitely generated** if there are  $m_1, \dots, m_n \in M$  such that

$$M = Rm_1 + \cdots + Rm_n.$$

That is, for any  $m \in M$ , there exists  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$  such that

$$m = \sum_{j=1}^n r_j m_j.$$

In other words, finite number of elements  $m_1, \dots, m_n$  **generate**  $M$ .

Observe that

$$N \subseteq M \text{ is an } R\text{-submodule} \iff N \text{ is subgroup of } M \text{ closed under } R\text{-left action.}$$

---

**Example 1.5.**

---

Given a ring  $R$ , as an  $R$ -module, the only  $R$ -submodules are the ideals of  $R$ .

---

Def'n 1.6. **Integral** over  $R$

Let  $R, S$  be integral domains, such that  $R$  is a subring of  $S$ . We say  $\alpha \in S$  is *integral* over  $R$  if there is monic  $f \in R[x]$  such that  $f(\alpha) = 0$ .

**Example 1.6.**

In case  $R = \mathbb{Z}, S = \mathbb{C}$ , given  $\alpha \in S$ ,

$$\alpha \text{ is integral} \iff \alpha \text{ is algebraic integer.}$$

That is, being integral over  $R$  is a generalization of being an algebraic integer.

**Theorem 1.3.**

Let  $R, S$  be integral domains where  $R$  is a subring of  $S$  and let  $\alpha \in S$ . Then

$$\alpha \text{ is integral over } R \iff R[\alpha] = \{f(\alpha) : f \in R[x]\} \text{ is a finitely generated } R\text{-module.}$$

**Proof.** ( $\implies$ ) Suppose  $\alpha$  is integral over  $R$ . Then there is a polynomial relation

$$\alpha^n + a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1\alpha + a_0 = 0$$

for some  $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in R$ . Rearranging for  $\alpha^n$ ,

$$\alpha^n = -(a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1\alpha + a_0).$$

This means, given any  $f \in R[x]$ , every powers  $\alpha^n, \alpha^{n+1}, \dots$  in  $f(\alpha)$  can be replaced by lower powers of  $\alpha$ , so that

$$f(\alpha) = g(\alpha)$$

for some  $g \in R[x]$  with  $\deg(g) \leq n-1$ . That is,

$$R[\alpha] \subseteq R + R\alpha + \cdots + R\alpha^{n-1}.$$

But the reverse containment is trivial, so that  $R[\alpha]$  is finitely generated.

( $\impliedby$ ) Suppose  $R[\alpha]$  is finitely generated, say

$$R[\alpha] = Rf_1(\alpha) + \cdots + Rf_n(\alpha)$$

with  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in R[x]$ . Take  $N = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \deg(f_j)$ . Then  $\alpha^{N+1} \in R[\alpha]$  as a polynomial of  $\alpha$ , so that

$$\alpha^{N+1} = \sum_{j=1}^n r_j f_j(\alpha)$$

for some  $r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$ .

Now consider

$$g = \alpha^{N+1} - \sum_{j=1}^n r_j f_j \in R[x].$$

Then  $g(\alpha) = 0$ . But  $\deg(\alpha^{N+1}) = N+1 > N = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \deg(f_j)$ , so that  $g$  is monic as well. Thus  $\alpha$  is algebraic over  $R$ .

**QED**

The big idea for Theorem 1.3 is that

*showing  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  is finitely generated is often easier than finding monic  $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  with  $f(\alpha) = 0$ .*

"Let's work with generators instead of polynomials" - Blake.

---

**Theorem 1.4.**

Let

$$\mathbb{A} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : z \text{ is an algebraic integer}\}.$$

Then  $\mathbb{A}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

**Proof Attempt.** If we are in PMATH 348, proving something is *easy*; we simply apply the subring test. Let's see how it fails here.

Let  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{A}$ . We must show that  $\alpha - \beta, \alpha\beta \in \mathbb{A}$ . That is, we must show

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha - \beta], \mathbb{Z}[\alpha\beta] \text{ are finitely generated } \mathbb{Z}\text{-modules.}$$

Since  $\alpha, \beta$  are algebraic integers, write

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z} \alpha_j, \quad \mathbb{Z}[\beta] = \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbb{Z} \beta_j.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta] = \{f(\alpha, \beta) : \mathbb{Z}[x, y]\}$$

is also finitely generated. In fact, it is generated by  $\{\alpha_i \beta_j\}_{1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m}$ . Hence  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta]$  is finitely generated as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

We have that  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha - \beta], \mathbb{Z}[\alpha\beta]$  are  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodules of the *fg* module  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha, \beta]$ .

Now, if we use the intuition from linear algebra, we should be done here. Recall that subspaces of a finite-dimensional vector space are finite-dimensional. But this is not the case for modules!

---

**Proof Failed**

---

**Example 1.7.** Submodule of a Finitely Generated Module That Is Not Finitely Generated

Consider

$$R = [x_1, x_2, \dots].$$

Then  $R$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module (i.e.  $R = R1$ ). But observe that

$$I = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots \rangle$$

is not finitely generated.

To see this, observe that elements of  $R$  are polynomials in  $x_1, x_2, \dots$ , which has *only finitely many indeterminates*. So having finitely many polynomials does not give enough number of indeterminates to generate  $I$ .

To resolve this issue, we consider the following definition.

Def'n 1.7. **Noetherian** Ring

Let  $R$  be a ring. We say  $R$  is **Noetherian** if every  $R$ -submodule (i.e. ideal) of  $R$  is finitely generated.

---

**Example 1.8.**

Observe that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is Noetherian, as it is a PID (i.e. every ideal of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is generated by *an* element).

---

**Theorem 1.5.**

Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring and let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Then every  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  is finitely generated.

Theorem 1.5 resolves the issue we left in Theorem 1.4, since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is Noetherian.

Let us reduce Theorem 1.5 a bit. Consider a finitely generated  $R$ -module

$$M = R\alpha_1 + \dots + R\alpha_n$$

and an epimorphism of  $R$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} f: R^n &\rightarrow M \\ (r_1, \dots, r_n) &\mapsto r_1\alpha_1 + \dots + r_n\alpha_n. \end{aligned}$$

That is, every finitely generated  $R$ -module can be viewed as an  $R$ -submodule of  $R^n$ .

Moreover, for any  $R$ -submodule  $N \subseteq M$ ,

$$f^{-1}(N) \subseteq R^n.$$

If  $f^{-1}(N) = R\beta_1 + \dots + R\beta_m$ , then

$$N = Rf(\beta_1) + \dots + Rf(\beta_m).$$

Hence it remains to show that every  $R$ -submodule  $N$  of  $M$  satisfy  $f^{-1}(N) = R\beta_1 + \dots + R\beta_m$  for some  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in R$ .

---

**Proof of Theorem 1.5**

We may assume  $M = R^n$ . If  $n = 1$ , then  $R$  is Noetherian and we are done.

Suppose that the result holds for some  $n \geq 1$  and consider  $M = R^{n+1}$ . Consider the epimorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \pi: R^{n+1} &\rightarrow R \\ (r_1, \dots, r_{n+1}) &\mapsto r_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $N$  be an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ . Consider

$$N_1 = \{(r_1, \dots, r_{n+1}) \in N : r_{n+1} = 0\}$$

which is isomorphic to an  $R$ -submodule of  $R^n$ . Hence by inductive hypothesis,  $N_1$  is finitely generated. Moreover,

$$N_2 = \pi(N)$$

is an  $R$ -submodule of  $R$ , so is finitely generated (by inductive hypothesis).

Say

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 &= Rx_1 + \dots + Rx_p \\ N_2 &= R\pi(y_1) + \dots + R\pi(y_q) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $x_1, \dots, x_p, y_1, \dots, y_q \in R$ . Let  $x \in N$ . Then

$$\pi(x) = r_1\pi(y_1) + \dots + r_q\pi(y_q)$$

for some  $r_1, \dots, r_q \in R$ . But  $\pi$  is a homomorphism of  $R$ -modules, so that

$$\pi\left(x - \sum_{j=1}^q r_j y_j\right) = 0.$$

This means the  $(n+1)$ th entry of  $x - \sum_{j=1}^q r_j y_j$  is 0, so that  $x - \sum_{j=1}^q r_j y_j \in N_1$ . That is,

$$x - \sum_{j=1}^q r_j y_j = \sum_{k=1}^p s_k x_k$$

for some  $s_1, \dots, s_p \in R$ .

Thus

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^q r_j y_j + \sum_{k=1}^p s_k x_k,$$

so that

$$N = \sum_{j=1}^q Ry_j + \sum_{k=1}^p Rx_k,$$

as required.

---

**QED**



#### 4. Additive Structure

So far, it has been very useful to consider  $\mathcal{O}_K$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Let us investigate this  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module as an abelian group

$$(\mathcal{O}_K, +)$$

without multiplication structure, where  $K$  is a number ring (i.e.  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty$ ).

The next definition will make it clear the kind of *linear algebraic* approach we are going to take.

Def'n 1.8. **Linearly Independent** Subset of an  $R$ -module, **Basis** for an  $R$ -module, **Free**  $R$ -module  
Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Let  $B \subseteq M$ .

(a) Say  $B$  is **linearly independent** if and only if for all  $m_1, \dots, m_n \in B, r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$ ,

$$r_1 m_1 + \dots + r_n m_n = 0 \implies r_1 = \dots = r_n = 0.$$

(b) Say  $B$  **spans**  $M$  if for all  $x \in M$ , there are  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B, r_1, \dots, r_n \in R$  such that

$$x = r_1 b_1 + \dots + r_n b_n.$$

(c) Say  $B$  is a **basis** for  $M$  if  $B$  is linearly independent and spans  $M$ . In case  $M$  admits a basis, we call it a **free**  $R$ -module.

In case there is a basis  $B$  for  $M$ , the size of any other basis for  $M$  is  $|B|$ .

Def'n 1.9. **Rank** of a Free  $R$ -module

Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $M$  be a free  $R$ -module. Then the size of a basis for  $M$  is called the **rank** of  $M$ , denoted as  $\text{rank}(M)$ .

---

##### Proposition 1.6.

Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Let  $B \subseteq M$ . Then

$B$  is a basis  $\iff$  every  $x \in M$  can be uniquely written as an  $R$ -linear combination of elements of  $B$ .

In particular,

$M$  is free with  $\text{rank}(M) = n < \infty \iff M \cong R^n$  by  $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \mapsto r_1 b_1 + \dots + r_n b_n$  for some  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in M$ ,

in which case  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  is a basis for  $M$ .

---

##### Example 1.9. Free but not Finitely Generated

Consider  $R = \mathbb{Z}, M = \mathbb{Z}[x], B = \{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$ . Then  $M$  is a free module generated by  $B$  but is not finitely generated.

---

##### Example 1.10. Finitely Generated but not Free

Consider  $R = \mathbb{Z}, M = \mathbb{Z}_2$ . Then  $2 \cdot 1 = 0$  but  $2 \neq 0$  in  $R$ . So the only  $R$ -linearly independent subset of  $M$  is the emptyset  $\emptyset$ , so that  $M$  is finitely generated but not free.

---

##### Example 1.11.

Consider  $R = \mathbb{Z}, M = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}, N = \mathbb{Z} \times 2\mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $M$  is free with a basis

$$B_1 = \{(1, 0), (0, 1)\},$$

so that  $\text{rank}(M) = 2$ . Also,  $N$  is free with a basis

$$B_2 = \{(1, 0), (0, 2)\},$$

so that  $\text{rank}(N) = 2$ . However, observe that  $B_2$  is an  $R$ -linearly independent subset of  $M$  with  $\text{rank}(M)$  elements!

This particular example shows that it is possible for modules of rank  $n$  to have a linearly independent subset of  $n$  elements which does not span the whole module, unlike the case in linear algebra.

---

We are going to present two facts without proof. Fix a PID  $R$  and a free  $R$ -module  $M$  with  $\text{rank}(M) = n < \infty$ .

---

**Fact 1.7.**

For an  $R$ -submodule  $N \subseteq M$ ,  $N$  is free with  $\text{rank}(N) \leq n$ .

---

**Fact 1.8.**

Any maximal linearly independent subset of  $M$  has  $n$  elements.

---

The next goal is to show that ring of integers is a free module. That is, given a number field  $K$  with  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ , our goal is

*to find an embedding (i.e. monomorphism)  $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$  such that  $\text{rank}(\varphi(\mathcal{O}_K)) = n$ .*

This will tell us  $\mathcal{O}_K \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules. In particular,  $(\mathcal{O}_K, +)$  is a free module with rank  $n$ .

Def'n 1.10. **Integral Basis**

Given a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M$ , a basis for  $M$  is called an *integral basis*.

We introduce two useful tools in algebraic number theory.

Def'n 1.11. **Trace, Norm** of an Element of a Number Field

Let  $K$  be a number field with  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n < \infty$ . Let  $\alpha \in K$  and consider

$$\begin{aligned} T_\alpha : K &\rightarrow K \\ x &\mapsto \alpha x, \end{aligned}$$

which is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear operator.

(a) The *trace* of  $\alpha$  relative to  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ , denoted as  $\text{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)$ , is

$$\text{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \text{tr}(T_\alpha).$$

(b) The *norm* of  $\alpha$  relative to  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ , denoted as  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)$ , is

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \det(T_\alpha).$$

Note that  $\text{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha), N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Q}$ , since  $T_\alpha$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear operator (hence the entries of any matrix representation of  $T_\alpha$  are rational).

Let  $\alpha \in K$ . Let  $\beta$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis for  $K$  and let  $A = [T_\alpha]_\beta$ . Consider the characteristic and minimal polynomials  $f, p \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ , respectively, of  $A$ . Notice that, for  $g \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  and  $v \in K$ ,

$$g(T_\alpha)v = g(\alpha)v,$$

since  $T_\alpha^m v = \alpha^m v$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . In particular,

$$g(\alpha) = 0 \iff g(T_\alpha) = 0,$$

so that  $p$  is the minimal polynomial for  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . By the Cayley-Hamilton theorem,  $p|f$ . However,

$$\deg(f) = [K : \mathbb{Q}] = n.$$

We consider the following particular case.

Case 1. *Suppose*

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha).$$

On the other hand, since  $p$  is the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ ,

$$\deg(p) = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = [K : \mathbb{Q}] = n.$$

Hence  $p|f$ ,  $\deg(f) = \deg(p)$ , and  $f, p$  are monic, so that  $f = p$ .

Let  $\alpha = \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  be the conjugates of  $\alpha$  (i.e. the roots of  $p$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ ). But the roots of the characteristic polynomial of an operator are the eigenvalues (with multiplicity) and  $f = p$ , so that

$$\mathrm{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \mathrm{tr}(T_\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j$$

and

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \det(T_\alpha) = \prod_{j=1}^n \alpha_j.$$

Also note that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j = -[x^{n-1}]p$$

and

$$(-1)[x^0]p = (-1)^n p(0).$$

Recall from the field theory that the embeddings of  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  are exactly given by  $\sigma_j(\alpha) = \alpha_j$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . That is,

$$\mathrm{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j(\alpha)$$

and

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \prod_{j=1}^n \alpha_j = \prod_{j=1}^n \sigma_j(\alpha).$$

(End of Case 1)

Apart from Case 1, we want to compute  $\mathrm{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha), N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)$  in general. To do so, we introduce the following lemma with a technical proof.

**Lemma 1.9.**

Suppose that  $K$  is a number field with  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$  and let  $\alpha \in K$  with  $[K : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)] = m$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} T_\alpha : K &\rightarrow K \\ x &\mapsto \alpha x \end{aligned}$$

Let  $f \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  be the characteristic polynomial of  $T_\alpha$  and let  $p \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  be the minimal polynomial for  $\alpha$ . Then

$$f = p^m.$$

Note that we recover Case 1 when  $m = 1$  (i.e.  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ ).

**Proof.** Let

$$\beta = \{y_1, \dots, y_d\}$$

be a  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ -basis for  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  and let

$$\beta' = \{z_1, \dots, z_m\}$$

be a  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ -basis for  $K$ . By the tower theorem, we have that

$$\{y_j z_k\}_{1 \leq j \leq d, 1 \leq k \leq m}$$

is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis for  $K$ .

Let  $A = [T_\alpha]_\beta \in \mathbb{Q}^{d \times d}$  (where we consider the restriction  $T_\alpha : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ ). Recall from linear algebra that

$$\alpha y_j = T_\alpha(y_j) = \left( A [y_j]_\beta \right)^T [y_1 \ \cdots \ y_d^T] = (A e_j)^T [y_1 \ \cdots \ y_d^T] = \sum_{k=1}^d a_{k,j} y_k,$$

where  $A = [a_{k,i}]_{k,i=1}^d$ . This implies

$$\alpha y_i z_j = \sum_{k=1}^d a_{ki} y_k z_j. \quad [1.2]$$

Consider the ordered basis

$$\gamma = (y_1 z_1, \dots, y_d z_1, y_1 z_2, \dots, y_d z_2, \dots, y_1 z_m, \dots, y_d z_m).$$

Then [1.2] gives (exercise)

$$[T_\alpha]_\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} A & & \\ & A & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & A \end{bmatrix}.$$

Immediately,

$$f = \det(xI - A)^m = p^m,$$

where the last equality follows from Case 1.

**QED**

Consider the setting of Lemma 1.9. Observe that

$$\mathrm{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \mathrm{tr}(T_\alpha) = \sum_j \lambda_j,$$

where  $\lambda_j$ 's are the eigenvalues of  $T_\alpha$ . But  $f$  is the characteristic polynomial for  $T_\alpha$  and  $f = p^m$ , so that

$$\mathrm{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = m \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{m}{n}} \alpha_j.$$

Similarly,

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \left( \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{\frac{n}{m}} \right)^m.$$

The embeddings of  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  are determined by  $\sigma_j(\alpha) = \alpha_j$  for  $j \in \{1, \dots, \frac{n}{m}\}$ . By Assignment 1, each  $\sigma_j$  extends to exactly  $m$  embeddings of  $K$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . If  $\rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$  are the embeddings of  $K$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , then

$$\mathrm{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = m \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^n \rho_n(\alpha).$$

Similarly,

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \prod_{j=1}^n \rho_j(\alpha).$$

Let  $K$  be a number field with  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$  and let  $\alpha, \beta \in K, q \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Then

$$\mathrm{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(q\alpha + \beta) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j(q\alpha + \beta) = q \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j(\alpha) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j(\beta) = q \mathrm{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) + \mathrm{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta).$$

That is,  $\mathrm{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$  is a linear map.

On the other hand,

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(q\alpha\beta) = \prod_{j=1}^n \sigma_j(q\alpha\beta) = \prod_{j=1}^n q\sigma_j(\alpha)\sigma_j(\beta) = q^n N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta).$$

Now suppose  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Then

$$\mathrm{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j(\alpha).$$

If  $\alpha$  is the root of a monic  $f \in K[x]$ , then so are  $\sigma_j(\alpha)$ 's, since the minimal polynomial for  $\alpha$  divides  $f$ . Hence  $\mathrm{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . But the trace is always a rational number, so that

$$\mathrm{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In a similar manner,

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

---

**Example 1.12.**

Consider  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ , where  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  is squarefree and  $d \neq 1$ . Let

$$\alpha = a + b\sqrt{d}$$

for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $b \neq 0$ . Then

$$\mathrm{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = (a + b\sqrt{d}) + (a - b\sqrt{d}) = 2a$$

and

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = (a + b\sqrt{d})(a - b\sqrt{d}) = a^2 - db^2.$$

---

Recall that  $a^2 - db^2$  is frequently used in (elementary) ring theory! That is

$$a + b\sqrt{d} \text{ is a unit in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) \iff a^2 - db^2 = 1 \text{ or } a^2 - db^2 = -1.$$

We have the following generalization, left as an exercise.

---

**Exercise 1.13.**

Consider a number field  $K$  and let  $R = \mathcal{O}_K$ . Prove that for  $\alpha \in R$ ,

$$\alpha \in R^\times \iff N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = 1 \text{ or } N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = -1.$$

---

This concludes every properties of trace and norm for the course. As a first application, we are going to prove that every  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

Here we prove a very powerful theorem with a cascade of useful corollaries. Fix

$K$  a number field with  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ .

---

**Theorem 1.10.**

$(\mathcal{O}_K, +) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis for  $K$ . By Assignment 1, we may assume each  $x_j \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : K &\rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^n \\ x &\mapsto (\text{tr}(xx_1), \dots, \text{tr}(xx_n)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{tr}$  is the shorthand for  $\text{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ .

Since  $\text{tr}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear, so that  $\varphi$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear. Moreover, if for  $x \in K$ ,

$$\varphi(x) = 0,$$

then

$$\text{tr}(xx_j) = 0, \quad \forall j \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

But  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis for  $K$ , so that

$$\text{tr}(xy) = 0, \quad \forall y \in K. \quad [1.3]$$

For contradiction, suppose  $x \neq 0$ . Since  $x \in K$  is nonzero and  $K$  is a field, we have  $x^{-1} \in K$ . But

$$\text{tr}(xx^{-1}) = \text{tr}(1) = \text{tr}(I_{n \times n}) = n \neq 0.$$

This contradicts [1.3], so we conclude  $x = 0$ . Hence  $\varphi$  has trivial kernel, which means  $\varphi$  is a monomorphism of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces.

Since we know that  $\varphi(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , it follows that

$$\mathcal{O}_K \xrightarrow{\varphi} \varphi(\mathcal{O}_K) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

That is,  $\mathcal{O}_K$  isomorphic to a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ .

By Fact 1.7, it follows that  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{O}_K) \leq n$ , since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a PID. But we have a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly independent, hence  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linearly independent, set  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  contained in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , so that  $\text{rank}(\mathcal{O}_K) \geq n$ . Thus we conclude

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{O}_K) = n$$

by Fact 1.8.

**QED**

---

**Example 1.14.** Warning Example

Consider  $\{1, \sqrt{5}\} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ , which is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -basis for  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ . However, it is not an *integral basis* for  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Theorem 1.10 only shows that *integral basis exists*, but it hasn't constructed one!

---

**Corollary 1.10.1.**

If  $I$  is a nonzero ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $(I, +) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be an integral basis for  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and let  $a \in I$  be nonzero. Then  $\{ax_1, \dots, ax_n\}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linearly independent subset of  $I$ , so that  $n \leq \text{rank}(I)$ .

**QED**

---

**Corollary 1.10.2.**

If  $I$  is a nonzero ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $\mathcal{O}_K/I$  is finite.

To prove Corollary 1.10.2, here is the last fact we steal from commutative algebra.

---

**Fact 1.11.**

If  $M$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, then  $M \cong \mathbb{Z}^n \times T$ , where  $T$  is a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

---

Fact 1.11 is a consequence of the unfamous *structure theorem for finitely generated modules over a PID*.

---

**Proof of Corollary 1.10.2**

By Fact 1.11, we know

$$\mathcal{O}_K/I \cong \mathbb{Z}^k \times T$$

as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules, where  $T$  is finite. We are going to show that  $k = 0$ . To do so, observe that for  $k \geq 1$ , there is an element of infinite order in  $\mathbb{Z}^k$ . Hence it suffices to show that there is no element of infinite order in  $\mathcal{O}_K/I$ .

Suppose

$$\bar{x} = x + I \in \mathcal{O}_K/I$$

is an element of infinite order for contradiction. Let  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  be an integral basis for  $I$ . We note that, since  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in I$  but  $x + I$  has infinite order, so that  $x \notin I$ .

Claim 1.  $\{x, x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  is linearly independent.

Suppose

$$cx + \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j = 0$$

for some  $c, c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$c\bar{x} = 0 + I.$$

But  $\bar{x}$  has an infinite order, so that  $c = 0$ . But  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are linearly independent, so that  $c_1, \dots, c_n = 0$  as well.

(End of Claim 1)

Note that the concluding of Claim 1 contradicts the fact that  $I \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Thus we conclude that

$$\mathcal{O}_K/I \cong T.$$

---

**QED**

---

**Corollary 1.10.3.**

Every nonzero prime ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is maximal.

**Proof.** Since  $P$  is a prime ideal,  $\mathcal{O}_K/P$  is an integral domain. By Corollary 1.10.2,  $\mathcal{O}_K/P$  is a finite integral domain, so it is a field. Hence  $P$  is maximal.

---

**QED**

---

**Corollary 1.10.4.**

$\mathcal{O}_K$  is Noetherian.

**Proof.** Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Then  $I$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module with finite rank  $n$ , which means  $I$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a submodule of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ ,  $I$  is also a finitely generated  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

---

**QED**

## II. Discriminant

Suppose we have a number field  $K$  with  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$  and let  $R = \mathcal{O}_K$ . Given  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq R$ , we desire to find a way to *discriminate* whether or not  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is an integral basis for  $R$ .

Fix  $K, R$  throughout.

### 1. Elementary Properties of Discriminant

We first record the definition of discriminant and then investigate many important properties of it.

Def'n 2.1. **Discriminant** of Finite Subset of  $K$

Let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  be embeddings of  $K$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ . The *discriminant* of  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq K$ , denoted as  $\text{disc}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , is

$$\text{disc}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \det \left( [\sigma_i(a_j)]_{i,j=1}^n \right)^2.$$

Because of the presence of the power 2, Def'n 2.1 is *independent* of choice of ordering of the  $\sigma_i$ 's and  $a_j$ 's.

Consider

$$B = [\sigma_i(a_j)]_{i,j}^n$$

and let  $A = B^T$ . Since determinant is multiplicative and is invariant under transpose, it follows

$$\det(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \det(AB).$$

However, the  $(i, j)$ th entry of  $AB$  is

$$[\sigma_1(a_i) \quad \dots \quad \sigma_n(a_i)] \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1(a_j) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_n(a_j) \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(a_i) \sigma_k(a_j) = \sum_{k=1}^n \sigma_k(a_i a_j) = \text{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a_i a_j).$$

Therefore,

$$\text{disc}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = \det [\text{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a_i a_j)]_{i,j=1}^n.$$

Some texts use the above formula as the definition.

Since we know that  $\text{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a)$  is a rational number for  $a \in K$ ,

$$\text{disc}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

In particular, when  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{O}_K$ ,

$$\text{disc}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Consider  $v, w \in K^n$  and  $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{n \times n}$  such that

$$Av = w.$$

Then, for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$A\sigma_i(v) = \begin{bmatrix} A_{1,1} & \dots & A_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{n,1} & \dots & A_{n,n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_i(v_1) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_i(v_n) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_i\left(\sum_{j=1}^n A_{1,j}v_j\right) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_i\left(\sum_{j=1}^n A_{n,j}v_j\right) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_i(w_1) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma_i(w_n) \end{bmatrix}.$$



Therefore,

$$A [\sigma_i (v_j)]_{i,j=1}^n = [\sigma_i (w_j)]_{i,j=1}^n .$$

Thus we conclude

$$\det (A^2) \operatorname{disc} (v) = \operatorname{disc} (w) .$$

Let  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  be an integral basis for  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and let  $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$ . Then there is  $\{C_{i,j}\}_{i,j}^n \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$w_i = \sum_{j=1}^n C_{i,j} v_j, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} .$$

That is,

$$w = Cv,$$

where  $C = [C_{i,j}]_{i,j=1}^n$ . Hence

$$\operatorname{disc} (w) = \det (C^2) \operatorname{disc} (v) .$$

Let  $\beta = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} T : \mathcal{O}_K &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K \\ v_i &\mapsto w_i, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \end{aligned}$$

which is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear homomorphism. Then

$$[T]_{\beta} = \left[ [T(v_1)]_{\beta} \cdots [T(v_n)]_{\beta} \right] = \left[ [w_1]_{\beta} \quad \cdots \quad [w_n]_{\beta} \right] = C^T .$$