## MA4270 Data Modelling and Computation

Midterm Examination Helpsheet

AY2023/24 Semester 2 · Prepared by Tian Xiao @snoidetx

## Perceptron

Classification Problems: To learn a classifier  $f_{\theta}$  that classifies labels

- Dataset:  $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}_t, y_t)\}_{t=1}^n$  where  $\mathbf{x}_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $y_t \in \{-1, +1\}$ . Classifier:  $f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \{-1, +1\}$ .
- - $\qquad \qquad \triangleright \text{ Linear classifier: } f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = \text{sign } (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}).$
- Training error:  $\hat{E}(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \text{Loss}(y_t, f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(\mathbf{x}_t)).$ 
  - ${\rm \triangleright}\ \operatorname{Loss}(y,\hat{y}) = \mathbf{1}\{\hat{y} \neq y\} = \begin{cases} 1 & \hat{y} \neq y \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$
  - ightharpoonup A dataset is linearly separable if  $\exists \pmb{\theta} \ \left[ \hat{E}(\pmb{\theta}) = 0 \right]$

#### The Perceptron Algorithm:

- ① Initialize  $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)}$  to some value (e.g.,  $\boldsymbol{0}$ ), and initialize index k to 0. ② Repeatedly perform the following:
  - ightharpoonup Select the next example  $(\mathbf{x}_t, y_t)$  from the training set and check whether  $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}$  classifies it correctly.
  - $\qquad \qquad \text{If it is incorrect (i.e., } y_t \left( \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \right)^\top \mathbf{x}_t < 0 ), \text{ set } \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k+1)} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} + \\ y_t \mathbf{x}_t \text{ and increment } k \leftarrow k+1.$
- Assumptions:
  - (1) Inputs are bounded:  $\exists R \in (0, \infty) \ \forall \mathbf{x}_t \in \mathcal{D} \ [\|\mathbf{x}_t\| \leq R].$
  - (2) Linearly separable:  $\exists \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \ \exists \gamma > 0 \ \left[ \min_{t=1,2,\cdots,n} y_t \left( \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \right)^\top \mathbf{x}_t \geq \gamma \right].$
- Convergence: Under the initial vector  $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(0)} = \mathbf{0}$ , for any dataset  $\mathcal{D}$ satisfying the above assumptions, the perceptron algorithm produces a vector  $\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}$  classifying every example correctly after at most  $k_{\max} =$  $\frac{R^2 \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|^2}{\gamma^2}$  mistakes (and hence update steps).

Proof. Let 
$$R = \max \|\mathbf{x}_t\|$$
,  $\gamma = \min y_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \mathbf{x}_t$  for  $t = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .  
①  $(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} = (\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k-1)} + y_t \mathbf{x}_t) \ge (\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k-1)} + \gamma$ . So  $(\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} > k\gamma$ 

- $\begin{aligned} & (\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^{\top} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \geq k\gamma \\ & (\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^{\top} \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)} \geq k\gamma \\ & (\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)})^{\parallel 2} = \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k-1)}\|^2 + 2\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k-1)}, y_t \mathbf{x}_t \rangle + \|\mathbf{x}_t\|^2 \leq \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k-1)}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x}_t\|^2. \text{ So } \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}\|^2 \leq kR^2. \\ & (\mathbf{g}) \text{ By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality } \langle \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w} \rangle \leq \|\mathbf{v}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{w}\|, \text{ we have } \\ & 1 \geq \frac{\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}, \boldsymbol{\theta}^* \rangle}{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(k)}\| \cdot \|\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|} \geq \frac{k\gamma}{\sqrt{kR^2}\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|}, \text{ hence } k \leq \frac{R^2\|\boldsymbol{\theta}^*\|^2}{\gamma^2}. \end{aligned}$
- Margin: Let  $\gamma = \min_{t=1,2,\cdots,n} y_t \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top} \mathbf{x}_t$ . The quantity  $\gamma_{\text{geom}} = \frac{\gamma}{\|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|}$  is the smallest distance from any example  $\mathbf{x}_t$  to the decision boundary specified by  $\theta$ .

# Support Vector Machine (SVM)

Maximum Margin Classifier:  $\min_{\mathbf{a}} \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|^2 \text{ s.t. } \forall t \ \left[ y_t \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}_t \ge 1 \right] \text{ (unique)}.$ 

- SVM with offset:  $\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \theta_0} \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|^2$  s.t.  $\forall t \ [y_t (\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}_t + \theta_0) \ge 1]$ .
  - $\triangleright$  Support vectors: On margin  $(y_t (\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}_t + \theta_0) = 1)$ .
- Soft-margin SVM:  $\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \theta_0, \zeta} \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|^2 + C \sum_{t=1}^n \zeta_t \text{ s.t. } \forall t \ \left[ y_t \left( \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}_t + \theta_0 \right) \ge 1 \zeta_t \right].$   $\triangleright \boldsymbol{\zeta} = (\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \cdots, \zeta_n) \ge \mathbf{0} \text{ is called } slack \ variables.}$   $\triangleright \text{ Support vectors: On margin/within margin/misclassified.}$
- Hinge-loss formulation:  $\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \theta_0} \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|^2 + C \sum_{t=1}^n \left[ 1 y_t \left( \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}_t + \theta_0 \right) \right]_+.$   $\triangleright$  Hinge loss:  $z \to [1-z]_+ = \max\{0, 1-z\}.$   $\triangleright$  Interpretation: Total hinge loss with regularization term  $\frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|^2.$

### Logistic Regression

 $\textbf{Logistic Likelihood Model:} \ \Pr(y \mid \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y(\pmb{\theta}^{\top}\mathbf{x} + \theta_0))}$ 

- $g(z) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}} \in (0,1)$  assigns likelihood to points.
  - $\triangleright$  Scaling the dataset by c > 1 pushes prediction closer to 0 or 1.
  - $\triangleright$  Linear classifier chooses the label that is more likely under the logistic model.  $\triangleright$  Log-odds log  $\frac{\Pr(y=1|\mathbf{x})}{\Pr(y=-1|\mathbf{x})}$  is a linear function  $\langle \boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + \theta_0$  of inputs.

• Maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) of parameters:

$$\begin{split} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\theta}_{0}\right) &= \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \theta_{0}}{\operatorname{arg \, max}} \prod_{t=1}^{n} \operatorname{Pr}(y_{t} \mid \mathbf{x}_{t}; \boldsymbol{\theta}, \theta_{0}) \quad (\operatorname{likelihood}) \\ &= \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \theta_{0}}{\operatorname{arg \, max}} \prod_{t=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_{t} + \theta_{0}))} \quad (\operatorname{likelihood}) \\ &= \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \theta_{0}}{\operatorname{arg \, max}} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \log \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-y_{t}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_{t} + \theta_{0}))} \quad (\operatorname{log-likelihood}) \\ &= \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \theta_{0}}{\operatorname{arg \, min}} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \log \left(1 + \exp\left(-y_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{\top}\mathbf{x}_{t} + \theta_{0}\right)\right)\right). \end{split}$$

- Regularization:  $\min_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \theta_0} \frac{1}{2} \|\boldsymbol{\theta}\|^2 + C \sum_{t=1}^n \log (1 + \exp(-y_t(\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}_t + \theta_0))).$ 
  - $\triangleright$  Logistic loss:  $z \to \log(1 + e^{-z})$ .
  - $\triangleright$  Interpretation: Total logistic loss with regularization term  $\frac{1}{2} ||\boldsymbol{\theta}||^2$ .
- Softmax function:  $\Pr(y = c \mid \mathbf{x}) = \frac{\exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}_c^\top \mathbf{x} + \theta_{0,c})}{\sum\limits_{c'=1}^{M} \exp(\boldsymbol{\theta}_{c'}^\top \mathbf{x} + \theta_{0,c'})}$ .

  > When M = 2, we recover logistic model by setting  $(\boldsymbol{\theta}_c, \theta_{0,c}) = (\boldsymbol{\theta}_c, \theta_{0,c})$ 
  - (0,0) for one of the two classes.

# Linear Regression

- Least squares estimate (LSE):  $\hat{\mathbf{\Theta}} = (\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{y}$ .
- ▶ Unique solution if  $\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}$  is invertible. Gaussian model:  $y_t = (\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^{\top}\mathbf{x}_t + \theta_0^* + z_t$ , where  $z_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ .
  - $\triangleright \text{ Gaussian PDF: } \mathcal{N}(z; \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(z-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right).$   $\triangleright \text{ Pr}(y \mid \mathbf{x}) = \mathcal{N}(y; (\boldsymbol{\theta}^*)^\top \mathbf{x} + \theta_0^*, \sigma^2).$   $\triangleright \text{ Log-likelihoods}$

$$\log \prod_{t=1}^{n} \Pr(y_t \mid \mathbf{x}_t) = \text{const.} - \frac{n}{2} \log \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left( y_t - \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}_t - \theta_0 \right)^2.$$

- $\quad \triangleright \text{ MLE of } \boldsymbol{\theta} \text{ and } \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \text{: } \left( \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_0 \right) = \mathop{\arg\min}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0} \sum_{t=1}^n \left( y_t \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}_t \boldsymbol{\theta}_0 \right)^2.$
- \*  $\sigma^2$  is assumed to be known. \* MLE of  $\sigma^2$ :  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \left( y_t \hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}^\top \mathbf{x}_t \hat{\theta}_0 \right)^2$ . Gaussian model in matrix form:  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{\Theta}^* + \mathbf{z}$ . > LSE:  $\hat{\mathbf{\Theta}} = \mathbf{\Theta}^* + \left( \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{z}$ .
- - - \* No bias:  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mathbf{\Theta}}] = \mathbf{\Theta}^*$ .
    - \* Covariance:  $Cov[\hat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}] = \sigma^2 (\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X})^{-1}$ .
- Ridge regression:  $(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}, \hat{\theta}_0) = \underset{\boldsymbol{\theta}, \theta_0}{\arg\min} \sum_{t=1}^n (y_t \boldsymbol{\theta}^\top \mathbf{x}_t \theta_0)^2 + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^d \theta_j^2$ .
  - $\triangleright$  Closed-form solution (w/o offset):  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} = (\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{y}$ .
    - \*  $\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I}$  is always invertible when  $\lambda > 0$ .
  - $\triangleright$  Assuming no offset  $\theta_0$ :

    - \* Bias:  $\mathbb{E}[\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}] \boldsymbol{\theta}^* = -\lambda \left( \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I} \right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{\theta}^*.$ \* Covariance:  $\sigma^2 \left( \left( \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I} \right)^{-1} \lambda \left( \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I} \right)^{-2} \right).$

Bias-Variance Tradeoff: Decomposition of MSE:

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\hat{\mathbf{\Theta}} - \mathbf{\Theta}^*\|^2] = \underbrace{\|\mathbb{E}[\hat{\mathbf{\Theta}}] - \mathbf{\Theta}^*\|^2}_{\text{bias}} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}[\|\hat{\mathbf{\Theta}} - \mathbb{E}[\hat{\mathbf{\Theta}}]\|^2]}_{\text{variance}}.$$

Proof. Let  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbb{E}[\hat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}].$ 

- ② variance =  $\mathbb{E}[\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}\|^2 2\langle\hat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}, \boldsymbol{\mu}\rangle + \|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|^2] = \mathbb{E}[\|\hat{\boldsymbol{\Theta}}\|^2] 2\langle\mathbb{E}[\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}], \boldsymbol{\mu}\rangle + \|\boldsymbol{\mu}\|^2 =$
- ③ bias + variance =  $\mathbb{E}[\|\hat{\mathbf{\Theta}}\|^2] 2\langle \boldsymbol{\mu}, \mathbf{\Theta}^* \rangle + \|\mathbf{\Theta}^*\|^2 = \text{LHS}.$

### Appendix

### Matrix Properties:

PSD	$\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \ [\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \ge 0]$	$\forall \lambda \ [\lambda \geq 0]$	⇔ convex
PD	$\forall \mathbf{x} \neq 0 [\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} > 0]$	$\forall \lambda \ [\lambda > 0]$	⇒ strictly convex
NSD	$\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \ [\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} \le 0]$	$\forall \lambda \ [\lambda \leq 0]$	⇔ concave
ND	$\forall \mathbf{x} \neq 0 [\mathbf{x}^{\top} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} < 0]$	$\forall \lambda \ [\lambda < 0]$	⇒ strictly concave
ID	none of the above	$\lambda_1 > 0; \lambda_2 < 0$	$\Rightarrow$ neither nor

- $\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X}$  is symmetric and PSD;  $\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{X} + \lambda \mathbf{I}$  is PD.
- Eig( $\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{I}$ ) = Eig( $\mathbf{A}$ ) + 1. PSD + PD = PD. Trace: ① linear ( $\mathrm{Tr}(\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{A}]) = \mathbb{E}[\mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{A})]$ ); ②  $\mathbf{u}^{\top}\mathbf{v} = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{u}^{\top}\mathbf{v}) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathbf{v}^{\top}\mathbf{u})$ . Derivative:  $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}\|^2 = 2\mathbf{A}^{\top}(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})$ .