

# Math 202a Lecture Notes

## Lecture 1

Walter Cheng

28 August, 2025

### 1 Conceptual Introduction

A main theme of this course will be taking some classical space and through some expansion considering it a core to a larger modern space. A good example of this is the rational  $\rightarrow$  real numbers relationship.

We have the rationals, which we can relate to a sieve; there are clear holes in it, but the rationals themselves are still countable and dense. We use a procedure for "completion" that will expand the rationals into the "solid line" of the reals.

Just a reminder,

**Definition 1** (Rationals). A **rational number**  $q$  is represented as

$$q = \frac{m}{n}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, n \in \mathbb{N}^+$$

This set forms a metric space with the following distance function,

$$d(q_1, q_2) = \frac{|m_1 n_2 - m_2 n_1|}{n_1 n_2}$$

### 2 Equivalence

Our goal now is to express the irrationals as a limit of a sequence of rational numbers. First we'll define some stuff that will help with that.

**Definition 2** (Equivalence Relation). An **equivalence relation** is a set and operation  $(S, \sim)$  that satisfies the following 3 axioms. We can think of  $\sim \subseteq S \times S$ . For  $x, y, z \in S$ ,

1. (reflexive)  $x \sim x$
2. (symmetric)  $x \sim y \implies y \sim x$
3. (transitive)  $x \sim y \wedge y \sim z \implies x \sim z$

We can partition  $S$  into equivalence classes, where the equivalence class for some  $s \in S$  is the set  $\{x \in S : x \sim s\}$ .

### 3 Convergence

Consider a sequence in  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $(x_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ . We can define convergence of this sequence to some point  $x$  by saying that  $\lim_n |x_n - x| = 0$ , but what if our limit lands outside of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ? To keep things internal, we will instead use the idea of a Cauchy sequence.

**Definition 3** (Cauchy Sequence). A sequence is **Cauchy** if  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists n_0$  s.t.  $\forall n, m \geq n_0$ ,

$$d(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$$

i.e. the points in the sequence eventually get very close together. This fixes our problem of defining irrational numbers as the limit of a sequence of rationals; is it true that

$$0.999 \dots = 1.000 \dots$$

using our notion of equivalence relation, we can say that

$$(x_n) \sim (y_n) \iff |x_n - y_n| \rightarrow 0$$

as well as express the distance between two irrational numbers,

$$d(x, y) = \lim_n |x_n - y_n|$$

This completes our definition of an irrational number internally (within  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

### 4 Size of Sets

*Refer to section 2.2 of course notes*

We'll now consider intervals with an open left and closed right. Define the set of all finite disjoint unions of these to be  $\mathcal{I}$ . Formally,

$$\mathcal{I} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (a_i, b_i]$$

where  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and  $a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n < b_n$ . Also permit  $a_i, b_i \in \{-\infty, \infty\}$ .

$\mathcal{I}$  is closed under complement, pairwise intersection, and finite intersection (shown by induction).

We define  $l(I) = \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i) \in [0, \infty) \cup \{\infty\}$ . Now we want to define the notion of distance.

We'll do this with symmetric difference:

$$A \triangle B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$$

and then define  $d(I_1, I_2) = l(I_1 \triangle I_2)$ , for  $I_1, I_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ . It can be shown that  $d$  is a metric on  $\mathcal{I}$ .

Now consider the closure of  $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{I}}$ . Our aim is to make this a metric space. Let  $I, J \in \bar{\mathcal{I}}$ . We'll define distance like this:

$$d(I, J) = \lim_n d(I_n, J_n)$$

From this, we have a notion of measure:

$$l(I) = \lim_n l(I_n)$$

## 5 Integration

*Section 2.3 of course notes*

From calculus, we understand the notion of integration as "area under the curve" =  $\int_a^b f$ . From analysis (Math 104) we know that the idea of Riemann integration centers around a set of partitions  $P$  and infimum and supremum integrals

$$I^\downarrow(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n \inf\{f(x) : x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]\}(x_i - x_{i-1})$$

and similarly for  $I^\uparrow$ .

From this, we define integrability:

**Definition 4** (Riemann Integrable).  $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is **Riemann integrable** if  $\exists I \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0$  s.t.  $\forall P$  with  $\text{mesh}(P) < \delta$ ,

$$|I^\uparrow(f, P) - I| < \epsilon$$

Where  $\text{mesh}(P)$  is the length of the longest interval in  $P$ .

The integral-defined function

$$d(f, g) = \int |f - g|$$

is a metric on the space of continuous functions called the  $L^1$  norm. We can define pointwise convergence in  $L^1$ .

Now consider

$$f_n \rightarrow \mathbb{1}_{[1/2, 1]}$$

where  $f_n: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  are pointwise decreasing. We can have the problem where each  $f_n$  is Riemann integrable, but

$$f = \lim_n f_n$$

is not. Thus, we wish to broaden our integration to Lebesgue theory.

An important example to highlight is the integral of the indicator function. What is

$$\int_0^1 \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

$$\text{where } \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Riemann integration says this integral doesn't exist ( $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ ). But intuitively we think it should really be 0.