Lab: Kelly Betting

1. A smart -EV Bet

Kelly (1956) extended his analysis to horses

The Known win probabilities Ping Pm decimal odds dingdm fraction f= (fing fin) to bet an each horse. Make N sequential dets, solve for f.

Use Lagrange Multiplien/KKT conditions to maximize expected log bankpoil after N bets, Yielding the kelly allocations f via this algorithm:

- (a) Permute indices so that $p(s)\alpha_s \ge p(s+1)\alpha_{s+1}$
- (b) Set b equal to the minimum positive value of

$$\frac{1-p_t}{1-\sigma_t}$$
 where $p_t = \sum_{1}^{t} p(s)$, $\sigma_t = \sum_{1}^{t} \frac{1}{\alpha_s}$

(c) Set $\mathbf{f}(s) = p(s) - b/\alpha_s$ or zero, whichever is larger. (The $\mathbf{f}(s)$ will sum to 1-b.)

Now, Consider a horse rule with m=3 horses. Find an example of true horse win probabilities (P, P2, B) and decimal odds (dydr, d3) such that it is kelly optimal to bet on a - EV horse. The Kelly bet allocations (f. f., f3) according to the above algorithm must consist of for some horse i, where betting on horse i is -EV: $P_id_i-1<0$. Then, M=100 times simulate N=1000 bets on this horse Race and Record your bankeroll as the end using allocations (fifz,f3) according to Kelly and Separately letting f=0 (where i is the -EV horse). Which yields more wealth or average? Why is it possible that making a -EV bet is smart. If the game is profitable on the whole, you Should put money into the game. If you only bet on the tEV horse you can lose more than if you hedge.