CSE881 HW5

Nan Cao, A52871775 Oct 08th, 2016

Problem 1

(a)

Tree 1		Predicted	
		+	-
Actual	+	20	10
	-	10	20

Tree 2		Predicted	
		+	-
Actual	+	25	5
	-	20	10

(b)

$$error_1 = \frac{10+10}{60} = \frac{1}{3}$$
$$error_2 = \frac{5+20}{60} = \frac{5}{12}$$

Tree 1 has a lower training error.

(c)

$$\begin{split} Cost(&=Cost(Tata|tree) + Cost(Tree)\\ Cost_1 &= 3[log_24] + 4[log_22] + 20[log_260]\\ &= 6 + 4 + 120 = 130bits\\ Cost_2 &= 2[log_24] + 3[log_22]25[log_260]\\ &= 4 + 3 + 180 = 157bits \end{split}$$

So Tree 1 is better.

Problem 2

(a) Yes

$$\phi_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 x_2$$

$$\phi_2(\mathbf{x}) = x_3 x_4$$

$$\mathbf{w} = (1, -1)$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 x_2 - x_3 x_4$$

- **(b)** No.
- **(c)** No
- (d) Yes

$$\phi_1(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 x_2$$
$$\mathbf{w} = -1$$
$$f(\mathbf{x}) = -x_1 x_2$$

Problem 3

Linear support vector machine

		Predicted	
		+	-
Actual	+	2473	222
	-	315	1591

$$Error\ Rate = \frac{222 + 315}{4601} = 0.1167$$

(b)

Nonlinear support vector machine

		Predicted	
		+	-
Actual	+	2542	191
	-	174	1694

$$Error\ Rate = \frac{191 + 174}{4601} = 0.07933$$

Nonlinear support vector machine is more effective, because it has a smaller error rate.

```
% NAN CAO CSE881 HW5
   clear;
  % set dir in nan's win lap
  % cd C:\Users\nan66\Dropbox\CSE881\HW5\;
  % set dir in nan's linux lap
   cd /home/nan/Dropbox/CSE881/HW5;
7
   % set dir in remote server
   % cd /CSE881/HW5;
8
9
   A = load ('spambase.data');
10
   N = \underline{size}(A, 1);
   seed = 52871775; % seed for random number generator
11
   rng(seed); % for repeatability of your experiment
12
   A = A(randperm(N),:); % this will reshuffle the rows in matrix A
14
   X=A(:,1:57);
15
   Y=A(:,58);
   WYou need to compare the performance of the linear and non-linear support
16
   %vector machine classifiers on this data set using nested cross-validation.
17
   %Use k=10 for the outer loop (classifier evaluation) and k=5 for the inner
18
   %loop (model selection) of the nested cross-validation procedure
19
20
   N=length(A);
   indices = crossvalind('Kfold',N,10);%outer loop
21
   %Linear
   lambda = logspace(-4,3,11); % create a set of candidate lambda values
   cm3a=zeros(2,2); %create a bin to store the confusion matrix
   bestLambda = 0;%initialize the best lambda
27
   for k=1:10
29 Lam=0
```

```
testID = (indices == k); %id of test data in kth round
       trainID = "testID; %id of train data in kth round
       Xtrain = X(trainID ,:);
32
       Ytrain = Y(trainID);
33
       Xtest = X(testID,:);
34
       Ytest = Y(testID);
35
        % tune the hyper-parameter lambda for linear SVM.
36
       model = fitclinear(Xtrain, Ytrain, 'Kfold', 5, 'Learner', 'svm', 'Lambda',
               lambda);
       foldNumber = 3; % to examine the model created for the 3rd fold
       model. Trained { fold Number }
40
       ce = kfoldLoss(model) % to examine the classification error for each lambda
41
       Lam=lambda (ce=min (ce));
       bestLambda(k)=Lam(1);
42
       SVMmodel = fitclinear(Xtrain, Ytrain, 'Learner', 'svm', 'Lambda',
43
               bestLambda(k));
44
       pred = predict(SVMmodel, Xtest);
       cp = classperf(Ytest);
45
46
       classperf(cp, pred);
       cp. Diagnostic Table % to show the confusion matrix
47
       cm3a=cm3a+cp. DiagnosticTable; % sum the confusion matrix
       cp.ErrorRate % to show the classification error
49
50
       end;
51
       cm3a
52
       errorrate3a = trace(rot90(cm3a))/sum(cm3a(:))
       \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}
53
54
       %Non-Linear
55
       56
       % for testing use only
       %dlmwrite(Lam3b.txt, bestLambda);
59
       %dlmwrite(Sig3b.txt,bestSigma);
       %dlmwrite(indices.txt,indices);
60
61
       %dlmwrite(A.txt,A);
      77777777777777777777777777777777
62
       % indices=load('indices.txt')
       % bestLambda=load('Lam3b.txt')
64
      % bestSigma=load('Sig3b.txt')
65
66
       lambda = logspace(-3,3,11); % create a set of lambda values
       sigma = logspace(-3,3,11); % create a set of kernel scale values
       cvloss = zeros(11,11); % stores the CV error for each (lambda, sigma) pair
69
70
       cm3b=zeros(2,2); %create a bin to store the confusion matrix
       bestLambda=0;%initialize the bestlamda
71
72
       for k=1:10
73
       testID = (indices == k); %id of test data in kth round
       trainID = ~testID; %id of train data in kth round
74
       Xtrain = X(trainID ,:);
75
        Ytrain = Y(trainID);
      | Xtest = X(testID,:) ;
```

```
Ytest = Y(testID);
   \quad \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1:11
79
   for j = 1:11
80
   | SVMmodel = fitcsvm(Xtrain, Ytrain, 'KernelFunction', 'RBF', 'KernelScale',
81
       sigma(j), 'BoxConstraint', lambda(i), 'Kfold', 5);
82
   cvloss(i,j)=kfoldLoss(SVMmodel);
83
   end;
84
   end;
85
   [a,b] = find(cvloss = min(cvloss(:)));
   i1=a(1);% just in case if there are two or more min values
87
   j1=b(1);
   bestLambda(k)=lambda(i1);
88
   bestSigma(k)=sigma(j1);
89
   SVMmodel = fitcsvm(Xtrain, Ytrain, 'KernelFunction', 'RBF', 'KernelScale',
90
       bestSigma(k), 'BoxConstraint', bestLambda(k));
91
   pred = predict(SVMmodel, Xtest);
92
   cp = classperf(Ytest);
93
   classperf(cp, pred);
   cp. Diagnostic Table % to show the confusion matrix
   cm3b=cm3b+cp.DiagnosticTable;% sum the confusion matrix
   cp. ErrorRate % to show the classification error
97
   end;
98
   cm3b % confusion matrix
   errorrate3b=trace(rot90(cm3b))/sum(cm3b(:)) % errorrate
99
```