## Problem Set 3, (Lectures 5 and 6)

**Problem 1 (Identification, 30 points):** Say that the parameters of a statistical model  $\{P_{\theta}\}_{{\theta}\in\Theta}$  are *identified* if for any  $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Theta$ ,  $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2 \implies P_{\theta_1} \neq P_{\theta_2}$ . Identification means that there are no two different members in the statistical model that yield the same distribution over the data.

- 1. (10 points) Show that the parameters  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$  are identified in the model  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .
- 2. (10 points) Show that the parameter p is identified in the model  $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ .
- 3. (5 points) Show that  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  are not identified in the model  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta_1 + \theta_2, 1)$ .
- 4. (5 easy points) The parameter  $\theta$  is identified in the model  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, 1)$  but the parameters  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  are not identified in the model  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta_1 + \theta_2, 1)$ . Fix  $\theta = \theta_0$  and define the "identified set" at  $\theta_0$  to be the values of  $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$  such that  $P_{\theta_0} = P_{(\theta_1, \theta_2)}$ . What is the identified set at  $\theta_0 = 0$ ?

Problem 2 (Homoskedastic Linear Regression with Normal errors, 40 points): Suppose we have a data set containing an outcome variable  $y_i$  and a vector of k controls  $x_i = (x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{ik})'$  for n individuals. Assume that the controls are "fixed" (that is, they are treated as if they were non-random) and let the outcome variable be modeled as

$$y_i = x_i'\beta + \epsilon_i,$$

where  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $\epsilon_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$  is assumed to be i.i.d. across individuals, and we treat  $\sigma^2$  as known. If we collect the outcome variables in the  $n \times 1$  vector

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$$

and the covariates in the  $n \times k$  matrix

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1' \\ \vdots \\ x_n' \end{pmatrix},$$

A statistical model for the response variables is

$$Y \sim \mathcal{N}(X\beta, \sigma^2 \mathbb{I}_n). \tag{0.1}$$

and the parameter of the model is  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . The model in (0.1) is known as the Homoskedastic Linear Regression model with normal/Gaussian errors (and known variance).

- 1. (Identification, 20 points) Is the parameter  $\beta$  identified? Does your answer depend on whether  $n \geq k$ ?
- 2. (Statistical Sufficiency, 20 points) Let us define a *statistic* S as a mapping from the data D to some euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^p$ . A statistic S is said to be sufficient for a parameter  $\theta$  in a statistical model  $\{P_{\theta}\}_{\theta\in\Theta}$  if the conditional distribution of the data, given the sufficient statistic, does not depend on  $\theta$ . That is:

$$\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(D|S(D)),$$

does not depend on  $\theta$ . Since, after conditioning on S, the distribution of the data does not depend any longer on  $\theta$ , the statistic S is usually interpreted as carrying all the relevant information that the data has to give about  $\theta$ . This typically means that having S is as good as having the whole data D.

Suppose n > k and assume (X'X) is invertible. Consider the  $\mathbb{R}^p$  valued statistic

$$S = (X'X)^{-1}X'Y,$$

which is called the Ordinary Least Squares estimator of  $\beta$  in a linear regression model. Is it true that S is a sufficient statistic for  $\beta$ ?

Problem 3 (A statistical problem with binary actions, binary data, two parameters, 30 points): Here is a problem to make you go through the concepts of decision problem, admissibility, and Bayes rules. This is going to look like a very stylized problem, but you will find this again in the context of hypothesis testing problems.

The data is binary  $\{X_0, X_1\}$  (think of a coin flip) and the statistical model is

$$P(X_0|\theta_0) \equiv p_0 > p_1 \equiv P(X_0|\theta_1).$$

This means there are only two possible parameter values and that it is more likely to see  $X_0$  realized whenever  $\theta_0$  generated the data. There are two actions  $(a_0, a_1)$ . One way of thinking about them

is that  $a_i$  is that action that  $\theta_i$  generated the data. The loss function for this problem is

$$\mathcal{L}(a_0, \theta_0) = 0 = \mathcal{L}(a_1, \theta_1)$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}(a_1, \theta_0) = 1 = \mathcal{L}(a_0, \theta_1).$$

Which means that the statistician looses 1 unit if he supports action i when the data was not generated by  $\theta_i$ .

1. (10 points) Decision rules are maps from  $\{X_0, X_1\}$  to  $\{a_0, a_1\}$ . There are essentially 4 decision rules

$$d_1(X_0) = a_0,$$
  $d_1(X_1) = a_0$  (always  $a_0$ )  
 $d_2(X_0) = a_0,$   $d_2(X_1) = a_1$  ( $a_0$  only if  $X_0$ )  
 $d_3(X_0) = a_1,$   $d_3(X_1) = a_0$  ( $a_0$  only if  $X_1$ )  
 $d_4(X_0) = a_1,$   $d_4(X_1) = a_1$  (always  $a_1$ )

Compute the risk function of each of these 4 decision rules and graph them as points in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $(R(d,\theta_0), R(d,\theta_1))$ . Is it true that if  $p_0 > .5 > p_1$  then  $d_3$  is dominated?

- 2. (10 points) What priors would a Bayesian decision maker need to have in order to choose  $d_i$ , i = 1, 2, 3, 4?. Assume that  $p_0 > .5 > p_1$ .
- 3. (10 points) Imagine now that the action space becomes [0,1]. Action a is interpreted as a randomized action: choose  $a_0$  with probability a and  $a_1$  with probability 1-a. Define

$$\mathcal{L}(a, \theta_i) = a\mathcal{L}(a_0, \theta_i) + (1 - a)\mathcal{L}(a_1, \theta_i).$$

Consider an action of the form  $d(X_0) = a'$  and  $d(X_1) = a''$ . What is the risk of the decision d? Is it true that if  $p_0 > p_1$  the decision rule  $d(X_0) = 0$  and  $d(X_1) = 1$  is dominated?

OPTIONAL: How would you plot the risk of all decision rules in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ? How does the set of all admissible decision rules look like?