

# Nonparametrics and Local Methods: Semiparametrics

---

C.Conlon

February 27, 2021

Applied Econometrics

# The Semiparametric Approach

- If we are “pretty sure” that  $f$  is almost  $f_{m,\sigma}$  for some family of densities indexed by  $(m, \sigma)$ , then we can choose a family of positive functions of increasing complexity  $P_\theta^1, P_\theta^2, \dots$
- Choose some  $M$  that goes to infinity as  $n$  does (more slowly), and maximize over  $(m, \sigma, \theta)$  the loglikelihood

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \log f_{m,\sigma}(y_i) P_\theta^M(y_i).$$

It works... but it is hard to constrain it to be a density for large  $M$ .

# Mixtures of Normals

A special case of seminonparametrics, and usually a very good approach: Let  $y|x$  be drawn from

$N(m_1(x, \theta), \sigma_1^2(x, \theta))$  with probability  $q_1(x, \theta)$ ;

...

$N(m_K(x, \theta), \sigma_K^2(x, \theta))$  with probability  $q_K(x, \theta)$ .

where you choose some parameterizations, and the  $q_k$ 's are positive and sum to 1.

Can be estimated by maximum-likelihood:

$$\max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{q_k(x_i, \theta)}{\sigma_k(x_i, \theta)} \phi \left( \frac{y_i - m_k(x_i, \theta)}{\sigma_k(x_i, \theta)} \right) \right).$$

Usually works very well with  $K \leq 3$  (perhaps after transforming  $y$  to  $\log y$ , e.g).

# Seminonparametric (=Flexible) Regression

**Idea:** we add regressors when we have more data

→ **series or sieve estimators:** choose a basis of functions  $P_k(x_i)$  ( $x_i^k$ , or orthogonal polynomials, or sines. . . )

→ run *linear regression*  $y_i = \sum_{k=1}^M P_k(x_i)\theta_k + \epsilon_i$

a reasonable compromise (again,  $M$  must go to infinity, more slowly than  $n$ ).

Still curse of dimensionality, and nonparametric asymptotics.

## Splines: trading off fit and smoothness

Choose some  $0 < \lambda < \infty$  and

$$\min_{m(\cdot)} \sum_i (y_i - m(x_i))^2 + \lambda J(m),$$

Then we “obtain” the natural cubic spline with knots  $= (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ :

- $m$  is a cubic polynomial between consecutive  $x_i$ 's
- it is linear out-of-sample
- it is  $C^2$  everywhere.

“Consecutive” implies one-dimensional. . . harder to generalize to  $p_x > 1$ .

**Orthogonal polynomials:** check out Chebyshev,  $1, x, 2x^2 - 1, 4x^3 - 3x \dots$  (on  $[-1, 1]$  here.)

# Additive models

*Additive model:*  $y = \alpha + \sum_{j=1}^p f_j(X_j) + \epsilon$

*Backfitting algorithm:* start with  $\hat{\alpha} = \bar{y}_n$ , and some zero-mean guesses  $\hat{f}_j \equiv 0$ . Then for  $j = 1, \dots, p, \dots, 1, 2, \dots, p, \dots$ ,

1. Define

$$f_j \leftarrow S_j[\{y_i - \hat{\alpha} - \sum_{k \neq j} \hat{f}_k(x_{ik})\}_1^N]$$

$$f_j \leftarrow \hat{f}_j - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \hat{f}_j(x_{ij}).$$

2. Regress  $\hat{y}$  on  $x_j$  to get  $R_j$ ; then replace  $\hat{r}_j$  with  $R_j - \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \hat{r}_j(x_{ji})$  (where  $S_j$  is some cubic smoothing spline).
3. Iterate until  $\hat{f}_j$  doesn't change.