

# Econometrics 2

## Exam

Dr. Willi Mutschler

- Answer **all** of the following exercises in either German or English.
- Explain your answers and derivations. All your computations and intermediate steps need to be verifiable and understandable.
- Formulas which we covered in the lecture and class need not to be derived again.
- If you prefer a notation different from the one used in the course, define it.
- Always use significance level  $\alpha = 5\%$ .
- Please report 3 decimal places in numerical answers.
- If not otherwise stated, assume the validity of the assumption A, B and C given in the lecture.
- Permissible aids:
  - non-programmable pocket calculator
  - cheat sheet: one-sided A4 white sheet of paper with annotations, formulas, texts, sketches, etc.

## 1 Understanding

- (a) The standard output of an OLS estimation yields a Durbin-Watson statistic of 0.32. What does this imply?
- (b) The estimation of a dynamic model yields

$$y_t = 0.6y_{t-1} + 0.3x_t + \hat{u}_t$$

where  $y_t$  and  $x_t$  are **both measured in logs**. Compute the dynamic effect (multiplier) of a 1% increase in  $x$  on  $y$  (i) in the same period (ii) in the next period and (iii) in the long run.

- (c) List the assumptions on the error term one needs to show that the covariance matrix of the OLS estimator  $\hat{\beta}$  is given by  $\sigma^2(X'X)^{-1}$ ?

## 2 Violation of B1

Assume that the true model is given by

$$y_t^* = \alpha + \beta x_t + u_t$$

where  $u_t \sim N(0, \sigma_u^2)$  with  $\sigma_u^2 > 0$ . However,  $y_t^*$  is only observable with a measurement error

$$y_t^* = y_t + v_t$$

where  $v_t \sim N(0, \sigma_v^2)$  with  $\sigma_v^2 > 0$  and  $\text{cov}(u_t, v_s) = 0$  for all  $t$  and  $s$ . The observed model is hence given by

$$y_t = \alpha + \beta x_t + \underbrace{u_t - v_t}_{\varepsilon_t}$$

- (a) Compute the (i) expectation, (ii) variance and (iii) autocorrelation function of  $\varepsilon_t$ .
- (b) Compute the bias of the OLS estimators for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .
- (c) What happens to the standard errors of the OLS estimators for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ? Are hypothesis tests and interval estimators still valid?
- (d) Provide intuition whether or not the OLS estimator remains consistent. A formal proof is not necessary.

### 3 Testing violations

The demand for money is determined using the regression model

$$m_t = \alpha + \beta_1 y_t + \beta_2 i_t + u_t$$

where

$m_t$  : real money stock in logs

$y_t$  : real income in logs

$i_t$  : interest rate in %

Given quarterly data for the period 1970-1996 ( $T = 108$ ), a least squares estimation shows that

$$\sum_{t=1}^T \hat{u}_t^2 = 108$$

A separate estimation for the period 1970-1989 (80 observations) yields an unbiased estimate for  $\sigma^2$  of 0.02, whereas considering the period 1990-1996 (28 observations) yields an unbiased estimate for  $\sigma^2$  of 2. Test the following null hypotheses:

- (a) The variance  $\sigma^2$  did not change after the reunification 1990.
- (b) The coefficients of the regression model did not change after the reunification 1990.

## 4 Instrument variables

The aim is to investigate the influence of education, *educ*, on a person's salary, *wage*, by using the following regression model

$$\log(wage_t) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 educ_t + u_t$$

Since it is assumed that *educ* is an endogenous variable, the geographical distance of the person's place of residence to the nearest university, *nearc4*, and the number of years of the father's education, *fatheduc*, are used as instrumental variables. The **IV estimation** yields the following result

Model: IV Estimation, observations 1–2320

Endogenous Variable: log(wage)

Instruments: const nearc4 fatheduc

Instruments used for: educ

	Coefficient	Std. error	t statistic	p-value
const	5,3155	0,0966	55,0198	0,0000
educ	0,0715	0,0071	10,0722	0,0000

(a) What is an endogenous regressor? What is a relevant and valid instrument?

(b) An OLS estimation of

$$educ_t = \pi_0 + \pi_1 nearc4_t + \pi_2 fatheduc_t + v_t$$

yields

Model: OLS, observations 1–2320

Endogenous Variable: educ

	Coefficient	Std. error	t-statistic	p-value
const	10,0549	0,1465	68,6545	0,0000
nearc4	0,3388	0,1038	3,2653	0,0011
fatheduc	0,3269	0,0129	25,3125	0,0000

$$F(2, 2317) = 344,2389, \quad \text{p-value}(F) = 1,3\text{e-}131$$

Are the instruments *nearc4* and *fatheduc* relevant?

## 5 Stochastic convergence

Suppose that the infinite sequence  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots$  is an  $AR(1)$  process, i.e.

$$Y_t - \mu = \rho(Y_{t-1} - \mu) + \varepsilon_t$$

where  $\varepsilon_t \sim iid(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$  and  $|\rho| < 1$ . Furthermore, let  $\hat{\mu} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T Y_t$  denote the sample mean.

*Hint: From the lecture we know that  $Y_t$  is stationary, so that  $E(Y_t) = \mu$  and  $Var(Y_t) = \sigma_\varepsilon^2/(1-\rho^2)$  for all  $t = 1, \dots, T$ .*

(a) Show that  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t \xrightarrow{d} U_\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$ .

(b) Show that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \sum_{t=1}^T \varepsilon_t = \sqrt{T} \left[ (1-\rho)(\hat{\mu} - \mu) + \rho \left( \frac{Y_T - Y_0}{T} \right) \right]$$

$$\text{Hint: } \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T Y_{t-1} = \left( \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T Y_t \right) - \frac{1}{T}(Y_T - Y_0) = \hat{\mu} - \frac{Y_T - Y_0}{T}.$$

(c) Assume that

$$\text{plim}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{\rho}{1-\rho} \left( \frac{Y_T - Y_0}{\sqrt{T}} \right) \right] = 0$$

Put the results of (b) and (c) together and show that

$$Z_T = \sqrt{T} \frac{\hat{\mu} - \mu}{\sigma_Z} \xrightarrow{d} U \sim N(0, 1) \quad (1)$$

for  $\sigma_Z = \sqrt{\sigma_\varepsilon^2/(1-\rho)^2}$ .

*Hint: Start with (c) and divide by  $(1-\rho)$ , then use (b) to derive the asymptotic distribution.*

(d) Briefly describe the intuition and result of the usual Central Limit Theorem for the sample mean of iid random variables. Why does it not hold for the  $AR(1)$  process?

*Hint: Note that  $\sigma_Z = \sqrt{\sigma_\varepsilon^2/(1-\rho)^2}$  is not equal to  $\sqrt{Var(Y_t)} = \sqrt{\sigma_\varepsilon^2/(1-\rho^2)}$ .*

Table of the quantiles of the  $F_{\nu_1, \nu_2}$ -distribution, given are the 0.95 -quantiles (i.e.  $\alpha = 0.05$ )

$\nu_2$	$\nu_1$										
	1	2	3	4	5	10	15	20	25	50	$\infty$
1	161.45	199.50	215.71	224.58	230.16	241.88	245.95	248.01	249.26	251.77	254
2	18.51	19.00	19.16	19.25	19.30	19.40	19.43	19.45	19.46	19.48	19.5
3	10.13	9.55	9.28	9.12	9.01	8.79	8.70	8.66	8.63	8.58	8.53
4	7.71	6.94	6.59	6.39	6.26	5.96	5.86	5.80	5.77	5.70	5.63
5	6.61	5.79	5.41	5.19	5.05	4.74	4.62	4.56	4.52	4.44	4.37
6	5.99	5.14	4.76	4.53	4.39	4.06	3.94	3.87	3.83	3.75	3.67
7	5.59	4.74	4.35	4.12	3.97	3.64	3.51	3.44	3.40	3.32	3.23
8	5.32	4.46	4.07	3.84	3.69	3.35	3.22	3.15	3.11	3.02	2.93
9	5.12	4.26	3.86	3.63	3.48	3.14	3.01	2.94	2.89	2.80	2.71
10	4.96	4.10	3.71	3.48	3.33	2.98	2.85	2.77	2.73	2.64	2.54
15	4.54	3.68	3.29	3.06	2.90	2.54	2.40	2.33	2.28	2.18	2.07
20	4.35	3.49	3.10	2.87	2.71	2.35	2.20	2.12	2.07	1.97	1.84
25	4.24	3.39	2.99	2.76	2.60	2.24	2.09	2.01	1.96	1.84	1.71
30	4.17	3.32	2.92	2.69	2.53	2.16	2.01	1.93	1.88	1.76	1.62
35	4.12	3.27	2.87	2.64	2.49	2.11	1.96	1.88	1.82	1.70	1.56
40	4.08	3.23	2.84	2.61	2.45	2.08	1.92	1.84	1.78	1.66	1.51
45	4.06	3.20	2.81	2.58	2.42	2.05	1.89	1.81	1.75	1.63	1.47
50	4.03	3.18	2.79	2.56	2.40	2.03	1.87	1.78	1.73	1.60	1.44
60	4.00	3.15	2.76	2.53	2.37	1.99	1.84	1.75	1.69	1.56	1.39
70	3.98	3.13	2.74	2.50	2.35	1.97	1.81	1.72	1.66	1.53	1.35
80	3.96	3.11	2.72	2.49	2.33	1.95	1.79	1.70	1.64	1.51	1.32
90	3.95	3.10	2.71	2.47	2.32	1.94	1.78	1.69	1.63	1.49	1.30
100	3.94	3.09	2.70	2.46	2.31	1.93	1.77	1.68	1.62	1.48	1.28
$\infty$	3.84	3.00	2.60	2.37	2.21	1.83	1.67	1.57	1.49	1.35	1.01