Econ 2148, fall 2019 Gaussian process priors, reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, and Splines

Maximilian Kasy

Department of Economics, Harvard University

Agenda

- 6 equivalent representations of the posterior mean in the Normal-Normal model.
- Gaussian process priors for regression functions.
- Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces and splines.
- Applications from my own work, to
 - 1. Optimal treatment assignment in experiments.
 - 2. Optimal insurance and taxation.

Takeaways for this part of class

- In a Normal means model with Normal prior, there are a number of equivalent ways to think about regularization.
- Posterior mean, penalized least squares, shrinkage, etc.
- We can extend from estimation of means to estimation of functions using Gaussian process priors.
- Gaussian process priors yield the same function estimates as penalized least squares regressions.
- Theoretical tool: Reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces.
- Special case: Spline regression.

Normal posterior means – equivalent representations Setup

- $m{ heta} \in \mathbb{R}^k$
- \blacktriangleright $\mathbf{X}|\theta \sim N(\theta, I_k)$
- Loss

$$L(\widehat{\theta}, \theta) = \sum_{i} (\widehat{\theta}_{i} - \theta_{i})^{2}$$

Prior

$$heta \sim N(0,C)$$

6 equivalent representations of the posterior mean

- 1. Minimizer of weighted average risk
- 2. Minimizer of posterior expected loss
- Posterior expectation
- 4. Posterior best linear predictor
- 5. Penalized least squares estimator
- 6. Shrinkage estimator

1) Minimizer of weighted average risk

- Minimize weighted average risk (= Bayes risk),
- averaging loss $L(\widehat{\theta}, \theta) = (\widehat{\theta} \theta)^2$ over both
 - 1. the sampling distribution $f_{X|\theta}$, and
 - 2. weighting values of θ using the decision weights (prior) π_{θ} .
- Formally,

$$\widehat{\theta}(\cdot) = \underset{t(\cdot)}{\operatorname{argmin}} \int E_{\theta}[L(t(\boldsymbol{X}), \theta)] d\pi(\theta).$$

2) Minimizer of posterior expected loss

- Minimize posterior expected loss,
- averaging loss $L(\widehat{\theta}, \theta) = (\widehat{\theta} \theta)^2$ over
 - 1. just the posterior distribution $\pi_{\theta|\mathbf{X}}$.
- Formally,

$$\widehat{\theta}(x) = \underset{t}{\operatorname{argmin}} \int L(t, \theta) d\pi_{\theta|\mathbf{X}}(\theta|x).$$

3 and 4) Posterior expectation and posterior best linear predictor

Note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(0, \begin{pmatrix} C+I & C \\ C & C \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Posterior expectation:

$$\widehat{\theta} = E[\theta | \mathbf{X}].$$

Posterior best linear predictor:

$$\widehat{\theta} = E^*[\theta | \mathbf{X}] = C \cdot (C + I)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{X}.$$

5) Penalization

- Minimize
 - 1. the sum of squared residuals,
 - 2. plus a quadratic penalty term.
- Formally,

$$\widehat{\theta} = \underset{t}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - t_i)^2 + ||t||^2,$$

where

$$||t||^2 = t'C^{-1}t.$$

6) Shrinkage

- Diagonalize C: Find
 - 1. orthonormal matrix *U* of eigenvectors, and
 - 2. diagonal matrix D of eigenvalues, so that

$$C = UDU'$$
.

Change of coordinates, using U:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = U'\mathbf{X}$$
 $\tilde{\mathbf{\theta}} = U'\mathbf{\theta}$.

Componentwise shrinkage in the new coordinates:

$$\widehat{\widetilde{\theta}}_i = \frac{d_i}{d_i + 1} \widetilde{X}_i. \tag{1}$$

Normal posterior means – equivalent representations

Practice problem

Show that these 6 objects are all equivalent to each other.

Solution (sketch)

- 1. Minimizer of weighted average risk = minimizer of posterior expected loss: See decision slides.
- 2. Minimizer of posterior expected loss = posterior expectation:
 - First order condition for quadratic loss function,
 - pull derivative inside,
 - and switch order of integration.
- 3. Posterior expectation = posterior best linear predictor:
 - **X** and θ are jointly Normal,
 - conditional expectations for multivariate Normals are linear.
- 4. Posterior expectation ⇒ penalized least squares:
 - Posterior is symmetric unimodal ⇒ posterior mean is posterior mode.
 - ► Posterior mode = maximizer of posterior log-likelihood = maximizer of joint log likelihood,
 - ightharpoonup since denominator f_X does not depend on θ .

Solution (sketch) continued

- 5. Penalized least squares ⇒ posterior expectation:
 - Any penalty of the form

for A symmetric positive definite

corresponds to the log of a Normal prior

$$heta \sim N\left(0,A^{-1}
ight)$$
 .

- 6. Componentwise shrinkage = posterior best linear predictor:
 - Change of coordinates turns $\hat{\theta} = C \cdot (C + I)^{-1} \cdot X$ into

$$\widehat{\widetilde{\theta}} = D \cdot (D+I)^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}.$$

Diagonality implies

$$D\cdot (D+I)^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{d_i}{d_i+1}\right).$$

Gaussian processes for machine learning Machine Learning ⇔ metrics dictionary

machine learning	metrics
supervised learning	regression
features	regressors
weights	coefficients
bias	intercept

Gaussian prior for linear regression

- Normal linear regression model:
- Suppose we observe n i.i.d. draws of (Y_i, X_i) , where Y_i is real valued and X_i is a k vector.
- $Y_i = X_i \cdot \beta + \varepsilon_i$
- $\triangleright \ \varepsilon_i | \mathbf{X}, \beta \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$
- $\triangleright \beta | \mathbf{X} \sim N(0, \Omega)$ (prior)
- Note: will leave conditioning on X implicit in following slides.

Gaussian process regression

Practice problem ("weight space view")

- ightharpoonup Find the posterior expectation of β
- ► Hints:
 - 1. The posterior expectation is the maximum a posteriori.
 - 2. The log likelihood takes a penalized least squares form.
- Find the posterior expectation of $x \cdot \beta$ for some (non-random) point x.

Solution

▶ Joint log likelihood of Y, β :

$$\log(f_{\mathbf{Y}\beta}) = \log(f_{\mathbf{Y}|\beta}) + \log(f_{\beta})$$

$$= const. - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i} (Y_i - X_i\beta)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\beta'\Omega^{-1}\beta.$$

First order condition for maximum a posteriori:

$$0 = \frac{\partial f_{\mathbf{\gamma}\beta}}{\partial \beta} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i} (Y_i - X_i \beta) \cdot X_i - \beta' \Omega^{-1}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \widehat{\beta} = \left(\sum_{i} X_i' X_i + \sigma^2 \Omega^{-1} \right)^{-1} \cdot \sum_{i} X_i' Y_i.$$

Thus

$$E[x \cdot \beta | \mathbf{Y}] = x \cdot \widehat{\beta} = x \cdot (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} + \sigma^2 \Omega^{-1})^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}.$$

- ▶ Previous derivation required inverting $k \times k$ matrix.
- Can instead do prediction inverting an $n \times n$ matrix.
- \triangleright *n* might be smaller than *k* if there are many "features."
- ► This will lead to a "function space view" of prediction.

Practice problem ("kernel trick")

Find the posterior expectation of

$$f(x) = E[Y|X = x] = x \cdot \beta.$$

- Wait, didn't we just do that?
- Hints:
 - 1. Start by figuring out the variance / covariance matrix of $(x \cdot \beta, Y)$.
 - 2. Then deduce the best linear predictor of $x \cdot \beta$ given **Y**.

Solution

► The joint distribution of $(x \cdot \beta, Y)$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \cdot \beta \\ \mathbf{Y} \end{pmatrix} \sim N \left(0, \begin{pmatrix} x \Omega x' & x \Omega \mathbf{X}' \\ \mathbf{X} \Omega x' & \mathbf{X} \Omega \mathbf{X}' + \sigma^2 I_n \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

- ▶ Denote $C = X\Omega X'$ and $c(x) = x\Omega X'$.
- Then

$$E[x \cdot \beta | \mathbf{Y}] = c(x) \cdot (C + \sigma^2 I_n)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Y}.$$

Contrast with previous representation:

$$E[x \cdot \beta | \mathbf{Y}] = x \cdot (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} + \sigma^2 \Omega^{-1})^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{Y}.$$

General GP regression

- Suppose we observe n i.i.d. draws of (Y_i, X_i) , where Y_i is real valued and X_i is a k vector.
- $Y_i = f(X_i) + \varepsilon_i$
- $\triangleright \ \ \varepsilon_i | \mathbf{X}, f(\cdot) \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$
- Prior: f is distributed according to a Gaussian process,

$$f|\mathbf{X}\sim GP(0,C),$$

where C is a covariance kernel,

$$Cov(f(x), f(x')|\mathbf{X}) = C(x, x').$$

We will again leave conditioning on X implicit in following slides.

Gaussian process regression

Practice problem

- ightharpoonup Find the posterior expectation of f(x).
- ► Hints:
 - 1. Start by figuring out the variance / covariance matrix of (f(x), Y).
 - 2. Then deduce the best linear predictor of f(x) given Y.

Solution

▶ The joint distribution of (f(x), Y) is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} f(x) \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \sim N \begin{pmatrix} 0, \begin{pmatrix} C(x,x) & c(x) \\ c(x)' & C + \sigma^2 I_n \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

- ightharpoonup c(x) is the *n* vector with entries $C(x, X_i)$,
- ightharpoonup and C is the $n \times n$ matrix with entries $C_{i,j} = C(X_i, X_j)$.
- Then, as before,

$$E[f(x)|\mathbf{Y}] = c(x) \cdot (C + \sigma^2 I_n)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Y}.$$

- ▶ Read: $\widehat{f}(\cdot) = E[f(\cdot)|Y]$
 - ightharpoonup is a linear combination of the functions $C(\cdot, X_i)$
 - with weights $(C + \sigma^2 I_n)^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{Y}$.

Hyperparameters and marginal likelihood

- Usually, covariance kernel $C(\cdot,\cdot)$ depends on on hyperparameters η .
- Example: squared exponential kernel with $\eta = (I, \tau^2)$ (length-scale I, variance τ^2).

$$C(x,x') = \tau^2 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2I}\|x - x'\|^2\right)$$

Following the empirical Bayes paradigm, we can estimate η by maximizing the marginal log likelihood:

$$\widehat{\eta} = \mathop{\mathsf{argmax}}_{\eta} - rac{1}{2} |\det(C_{\eta} + \sigma^2 I)| - rac{1}{2} oldsymbol{Y}' (C_{\eta} + \sigma^2 I)^{-1} oldsymbol{Y}$$

Alternatively, we could choose η using cross-validation or Stein's unbiased risk estimate.

Splines and Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces

Penalized least squares: For some (semi-)norm ||f||,

$$\widehat{f} = \underset{f}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i} (Y_i - f(X_i))^2 + \lambda ||f||^2.$$

Leading case: Splines, e.g.,

$$\widehat{f} = \underset{f}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i} (Y_i - f(X_i))^2 + \lambda \int f''(x)^2 dx.$$

- Can we think of penalized regressions in terms of a prior?
- If so, what is the prior distribution?

The finite dimensional case

Consider the finite dimensional analog to penalized regression:

$$\widehat{\theta} = \underset{t}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - t_i)^2 + ||t||_C^2,$$

where

$$||t||_C^2 = t'C^{-1}t.$$

- We saw before that this is the posterior mean when
 - \triangleright $X|\theta \sim N(\theta, I_k),$
 - $\qquad \qquad \theta \sim \textit{N}(0,\textit{C}).$

The reproducing property

▶ The norm $||t||_C$ corresponds to the inner product

$$\langle t,s\rangle_C=t'C^{-1}s.$$

- ▶ Let $C_i = (C_{i1}, ..., C_{ik})'$.
- ► Then, for any vector *y*,

$$\langle C_i, y \rangle_C = y_i.$$

Practice problem

Verify this.

Reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces

- Now consider a general Hilbert space of functions equipped with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and corresponding norm $\| \cdot \|$,
- > such that for all x there exists an M_x such that for all f

$$f(x) \leq M_x \cdot ||f||$$
.

- ▶ Read: "Function evaluation is continuous with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$."
- ▶ Hilbert spaces with this property are called reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces (RKHS).
- ▶ Note that L² spaces are not RKHS in general!

The reproducing kernel

▶ Riesz representation theorem: For every continuous linear functional L on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , there exists a $g_L \in \mathcal{H}$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$L(f) = \langle g_L, f \rangle.$$

Applied to function evaluation on RKHS:

$$f(x) = \langle C_x, f \rangle$$

Define the reproducing kernel:

$$C(x_1,x_2)=\langle C_{x_1},C_{x_2}\rangle.$$

By construction:

$$C(x_1,x_2)=C_{x_1}(x_2)=C_{x_2}(x_1)$$

Practice problem

Show that $C(\cdot, \cdot)$ is positive semi-definite, i.e., for any (x_1, \dots, x_k) and (a_1, \dots, a_k)

$$\sum_{i,j} a_i a_j C(x_i, x_j) \geq 0.$$

► Given a positive definite kernel $C(\cdot, \cdot)$, construct a corresponding Hilbert space.

Solution

Positive definiteness:

$$egin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} a_i a_j C(x_i, x_j) &= \sum_{i,j} a_i a_j \langle C_{x_i}, C_{x_j}
angle \ &= \left\langle \sum_i a_i C_{x_i}, \sum_j a_j C_{x_j}
ight
angle &= \left\| \sum_i a_i C_{x_i}
ight\|^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Construction of Hilbert space: Take linear combinations of the functions $C(x,\cdot)$ (and their limits) with inner product

$$\left\langle \sum_{i} a_{i}C(x_{i},\cdot), \sum_{j} b_{j}C(y_{j},\cdot) \right\rangle_{C} = \sum_{i,j} a_{i}a_{j}C(x_{i},y_{j}).$$

Nolmogorov consistency theorem: For a positive definite kernel $C(\cdot, \cdot)$ we can always define a corresponding prior

$$f \sim GP(0,C)$$
.

- Recap:
 - For each regression penalty,
 - when function evaluation is continuous w.r.t. the penalty norm
 - there exists a corresponding prior.
- Next:
 - The solution to the penalized regression problem
 - is the posterior mean for this prior.

Solution to penalized regression

Let *f* be the solution to the penalized regression

$$\widehat{f} = \underset{f}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i} (Y_i - f(X_i))^2 + \lambda \|f\|_C^2.$$

Practice problem

▶ Show that the solution to the penalized regression has the form

$$\widehat{f}(x) = c(x) \cdot (C + n\lambda I)^{-1} \cdot Y$$

where $C_{ii} = C(X_i, X_i)$ and $c(x) = (C(X_1, x), ..., C(X_n, x))$.

- ▶ Hints
 - $\blacktriangleright \text{ Write } \widehat{f}(\cdot) = \sum a_i \cdot C(X_i, \cdot) + \rho(\cdot),$
 - \blacktriangleright where ρ is orthogonal to $C(X_i, \cdot)$ for all i.
 - Show that $\rho = 0$.

Solution

Using the reproducing property, the objective can be written as

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i} (Y_{i} - f(X_{i}))^{2} + \lambda \|f\|_{C}^{2} \\ &= \sum_{i} (Y_{i} - \langle C(X_{i}, \cdot), f \rangle)^{2} + \lambda \|f\|_{C}^{2} \\ &= \sum_{i} \left(Y_{i} - \left\langle C(X_{i}, \cdot), \sum_{j} a_{j} \cdot C(X_{j}, \cdot) + \rho \right\rangle \right)^{2} + \lambda \left\| \sum_{i} a_{i} \cdot C(X_{i}, \cdot) + \rho \right\|_{C}^{2} \\ &= \sum_{i} \left(Y_{i} - \sum_{j} a_{j} \cdot C(X_{i}, X_{j}) \right)^{2} + \lambda \left(\sum_{i,j} a_{i} a_{j} C(X_{i}, X_{j}) + \|\rho\|_{C}^{2} \right) \\ &= \|\mathbf{Y} - C \cdot \mathbf{a}\|^{2} + \lambda \left(\mathbf{a}' C \mathbf{a} + \|\rho\|_{C}^{2} \right) \end{split}$$

- Given **a**, this is minimized by setting $\rho = 0$.
- Now solve the quadratic program using first order conditions.

Splines

Now what about the spline penalty

$$\int f''(x)^2 dx?$$

- Is function evaluation continuous for this norm?
- Yes, if we restrict to functions such that f(0) = f'(0) = 0.
- ▶ The penalty is a semi-norm that equals 0 for all linear functions.
- It corresponds to the GP prior with

$$C(x_1,x_2)=\frac{x_1x_2^2}{2}-\frac{x_2^3}{6}$$

for $x_2 \leq x_1$.

This is in fact the covariance of integrated Brownian motion!

Practice problem

Verify that *C* is indeed the reproducing kernel for the inner product

$$\langle f,g\rangle=\int_0^1f''(x)g''(x)dx.$$

Takeaway: Spline regression is equivalent to the limit of a posterior mean where the prior is such that

$$f(x) = A_0 + A_1 \cdot x + g$$

where

$$g \sim GP(0,C)$$

and

$$A \sim N(0, v \cdot I)$$

as $v \to \infty$.

Solution

- ightharpoonup Have to show: $\langle C_x, g \rangle = g(x)$
- \triangleright Plug in definition of C_x
- Last 2 steps: use integration by parts, use g(0) = g'(0) = 0
- ► This yields:

$$\begin{split} \langle C_x, g \rangle &= \int C_x''(y) g''(y) dy \\ &= \int_0^x \left(\frac{xy^2}{2} - \frac{y^3}{6} \right)'' g''(y) dy + \int_x^1 \left(\frac{yx^2}{2} - \frac{x^3}{6} \right)'' g''(y) dy \\ &= \int_0^x (x - y) g''(y) dy \\ &= x \cdot (g'(x) - g'(0)) + \int_0^x g'(y) dy - (yg'(y)) \Big|_{y=0}^x \\ &= g(x). \end{split}$$

References

- Gaussian process priors:
 Williams, C. and Rasmussen, C. (2006). Gaussian processes for machine learning.
 MIT Press, chapter 2.
- Splines and Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces Wahba, G. (1990). Spline models for observational data, volume 59. Society for Industrial Mathematics, chapter 1.