



Course Name: Database Principles Course Code: CS307
Department: Computer Science Exam Paper Setter: Stéphane Faroult

General guidelines: only give 0 if nothing was written. Give at least 0.5 for effort, however wrong it is. You can give a little more if it makes some sense.

Part 1 (Quiz)

q1-q5 f,b,b,a,a q1: 2 points
q6-q10 b,a,a,b,a
q11-q15 a,b,a,c,d q11: 2 points
q16-q20 c,b,b,a,c
q21-q23 b,b,c

Part 2 (Database Design) 15 points

Table Plays

2 points for table + attributes, 3.5 points total with constraints

playid + 0.5 for saying that it's the primary key
title + 0.5 for saying it's unique
-- Accepted: number of acts
+ 0.5 for saying that all attributes are mandatory

Table Characters

2 points for table + attributes, 3.5 points total with constraints

caractid + 0.5 for saying that it's the primary key
name + 0.5 for saying it's unique
-- Accepted: playid - but -0.5 if not indicated as
-- a foreign key
-- Having the play as attribute of the character

-- is wrong because some characters (Falstaff
-- for instance) appear in several plays
-- but students aren't expected to be
-- familiar with Shakespeare's plays
+ 0.5 for saying that all attributes are mandatory

-- Optional Table
-- Can be derived from lines but might
-- allow more referential integrity
-- table Character_play
-- caractid
-- playid
-- +1 (bonus) if added with the specification
-- primary key = (caractid, playid)
-- caractid foreign key to Characters
-- playid foreign key to Plays
-- No bonus otherwise

Table Speeches

2 points for table + attributes, 3.5 points with constraints

speech_id + 0.5 for saying it's the primary key
characterid + 0.5 for saying its a foreing key to characters
+ 0.5 for saying that all attributes are mandatory

Table Lines

No points for the table as it's based on the original one. Assign points as specified hereafter.

4.5 points with constraints

lineid 0.5 for saying that it's the primary key
playid 0.5 for saying that it's a foreign key to plays
act_num
scene_num
verse_num
speech_id 0.5 for saying it's a foreign key to
speeches
text_entry

**2 points for storing separately act_num, scene_num and
verse_num**

1 points for saying that playid + the combination of act_num, scene_num and verse_num is unique (grant the points even if act_num, scene_num and vers_num have not been separated)

+ 1 bonus points for saying that verse_num and speech_id can be null and other columns are mandatory

Part 3 (Indexing)

第一条 Question 3.1 (10 points)

Indexing should be driven by conditions:

- ☐ Correct answer - 5 points for
`cert_histories(cert_certificate_id)`

or better answer (7 points)

`cert_histories(cert_certificate_id,
date_changed)`

Only 4 points if order or columns reversed

- ☐ Strong candidate for indexing (+ 2 points)
`cert_histories(cert_status_ref_id)`
+ 1 point for reservations about selectivity

- ☐ Other possibilities (+ 1point)
`cert_histories(inspector_id)`

第二条 Question 3.2 (10 points)

5 points for each

Index on GroupI useless

Index on GroupII, Name useless

Part 4 (Sample from a database) 20 points

Two important things:

1) Not forgetting a table

2) Extracting in the right order

a. countries must be extracted before movies (reloaded first)

b. both people and movies must be extracted before credits

Valid orders:

countries,movies,people,credits

countries,people,movies,credits

people,countries,movies,credits

Grading: 4 points for correct order

Points for each extraction as indicated below.

Extraction of countries 4 points

```
select * from countries
```

```
where country_code in
```

```
    (select m.country_code
```

```
      from movies m
```

```
        join extracted_films e
```

```
          on e.movieid=m.movieid)
```

Also OK: Join in the subquery replaced by

```
    select country_code from movies
```

```
    where movieid in
```

```
        (select movieid from extracted_films)
```

Also OK: Join on subquery, or use of WITH

Extraction of movies (join possible) - 3 points

```
select *
```

```
from movies
```

```
where movieid in
```

```
    (select movieid from extracted_films)
```

Extraction of people - 5 points, only 4 if movies appears in the query (not needed)

```
select *
```

```
from people
```

```
where peopleid in
```

```
    (select c.peopleid
```

```
      from credits c
```

```
        join extracted_films e
```

```
          on e.movieid = c.movieid)
```

Extraction of credits - 4 points, -1 if movies appears in the query (not needed), -1 if people appears in the query (not needed). Join possible

```
select *
```

```
from credits
```

```
where movieid in
```

```
    (select movieid from extracted_films)
```

Part 5 (Performance analysis)

第三条 Question 5.1

Two suggestions needed, 5 points for each.

Can be any of:

1. replace `LEFT(T1.VoucherNo,9) = LEFT(T2.VoucherNo,9)`
by `T1.VoucherNo like substr(T2.VoucherNo,1, 9) + '%'`
(or anything vaguely similar - syntax not important, what is important is their saying that the function might kill the index, even if in this case it may not quite be true because the SQL Server optimizer normally knows how to manage `left()`)
2. Replace UNION with UNION ALL to avoid a sort
3. Don't run a COUNT in the while loop, count once, then maintain a count of how many rows were processed
4. replace

```
WHERE LEFT(VoucherNo,9) IN (SELECT LEFT(VoucherNo,9)
                           FROM   VoucherUpdate
                           GROUP BY VoucherNo
                           HAVING COUNT(*) > 1);
```

by a join with a like (give 4 points if problem seen here but join solution not suggested)
5. Combine the two updates of #TempVoucherUpdate into one (+1 bonus if suggesting a way to do it)

第四条 Question 5.2 (10 points)

- a. Function date kills the index (3 points)
Fix: `DATTR < dateadd(ss, -5, getdate())` at both places (2 points)
+1 bonus if suggesting `STATER` in (1,2) and one condition on date
- b. `STATER = NULL` is always wrong (2 points)
First condition useless (1 point)
Should be `(STATE = 0 and STATER is NULL)` (2 points)