

Lecture 31: Matrix Games.

Lecture 31 Wed. Nov 20.

- ▶ fairness of a game.
- ▶ suggested exercises Vanderbei 11.1, 11.2, 11.3 Chvatal 15.2

Unfair games

- If $\max_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \left[\min_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} \vec{x}^T A \vec{y} \right] = \min_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} \left[\max_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \vec{x}^T A \vec{y} \right] > 0$
then
- Player 1 gains at least this amount by choosing an optimal strategy \vec{x}^* , and
 - in this case, Player 2 loses at least this amount regardless what he chooses.

This is **unfair** to Player 2.

- If $\max_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \left[\min_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} \vec{x}^T A \vec{y} \right] = \min_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} \left[\max_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \vec{x}^T A \vec{y} \right] < 0$
then
- Player 1 loses at least the absolute value of this amount if Player 2 chooses an optimal strategy \vec{y}^* , and
 - Player 2 gains at least the absolute value of this amount.

This is **unfair** to Player 1.

Unfair game example:

For the payoff matrix for Player 1: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$.

- ▶ Got the final dictionary for **the Player 1 problem**:

$$\begin{array}{rcll} z & = & -4 + \frac{42}{10} & -\frac{6}{10}x_4 - \frac{4}{10}x_3 \\ \hline x_1 & = & \frac{7}{10} & -\frac{1}{10}x_4 + \frac{1}{10}x_3 \\ x_2 & = & \frac{3}{10} & +\frac{1}{10}x_4 - \frac{1}{10}x_3 \end{array}$$

- ▶ So, $x_1^* = 7/10$, $x_2^* = 3/10$, $x_3^* = 0$, $x_4^* = 0$.
- ▶ Optimal objective value for Player 1 is
 $z^* = -4 + 42/10 = 1/5 > 0$.
- ▶ No matter what Player 2 does, he loses at least 1/5 to Player 1,
IF Player 1 is smart enough and chooses the optimal strategy \vec{x}^* .
- ▶ This game is **unfair to Player 2**.

Fair game

Definition

We say that the game is **fair**

$$\text{if } \max_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \left[\min_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} \vec{x}^T A \vec{y} \right] = 0$$

$$(\text{or equivalently, } \min_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} \left[\max_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \vec{x}^T A \vec{y} \right] = 0.)$$

Fair game example:

Example (Rock-Paper-Scissors)

The payoff matrix for the row player:

		column player		
		r	p	s
row player	r	0	-1	1
	p	1	0	-1
	s	-1	1	0

Fair game example:

Example (Rock-Paper-Scissors)

The payoff matrix for the row player:

		column player		
		r	p	s
row player	r	0	-1	1
	p	1	0	-1
	s	-1	1	0

- For this game, the payoff matrix A satisfies $A^T = -A$ “anti-symmetric”.

Theorem

If $A^T = -A$,

then $\max_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \left[\min_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} \vec{x}^T A \vec{y} \right] = 0$,

so the corresponding game is fair.

If $A^T = -A$, then $\max_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \left[\min_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} \vec{x}^T A \vec{y} \right] = 0$. **Proof:**

Assume $A^T = -A$. Note that $m = n$. Then,

$$\blacktriangleright \vec{x}^T A \vec{y} = (\vec{x}^T A \vec{y})^T = \vec{y}^T A^T \vec{x} = \vec{y}^T (-A) \vec{x} = -\vec{y}^T A \vec{x}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \left[\min_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} \vec{x}^T A \vec{y} \right] &= \max_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \left[\min_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} -\vec{y}^T A \vec{x} \right] \\ &= \max_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \left[- \max_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} \vec{y}^T A \vec{x} \right] \quad (\text{using } \min(-\alpha) = -\max \alpha) \\ &= - \min_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \left[\max_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} \vec{y}^T A \vec{x} \right] \quad (\text{using } \min(-\alpha) = -\max \alpha) \\ &= - \min_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} \left[\max_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \vec{x}^T A \vec{y} \right] \quad (\text{can exchange } \vec{x} \leftrightarrow \vec{y} \text{ as } m = n) \end{aligned}$$

\blacktriangleright From mini-max theorem, this implies that .

$$\max_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \left[\min_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} \vec{x}^T A \vec{y} \right] = - \max_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \left[\min_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} \vec{x}^T A \vec{y} \right].$$

\blacktriangleright Therefore, $\max_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \left[\min_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} \vec{x}^T A \vec{y} \right] = 0$. (If $\alpha = -\alpha$ then $\alpha = 0$.)

Fair games **without** $A^T = -A$.

There are many fair games without anti-symmetry.

For the payoff matrix for Player 1: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -4 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$.

We saw that this is an unfair game.

- ▶ Player 1 has advantage of $1/5$ and Player 2 has disadvantage of $1/5$.

Can modify the game:

- ▶ **To make it fair**, give a bonus of $1/5$ to Player 2, to compensate the disadvantage, **in each cases**.
- ▶ This means we modify A by **subtracting** $1/5$ from each entry.

New payoff matrix

$$A' = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -4 & 3 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1/5 & 1/5 \\ 1/5 & 1/5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 - 1/5 & -1 - 1/5 \\ -4 - 1/5 & 3 - 1/5 \end{bmatrix}$$

- ▶ This new payoff matrix is **not** anti-symmetric. $(A')^T \neq -(A')$.
- ▶ Still, the new game is fair.
- ▶ The next slide has more mathematical explanation.

Let U be an $m \times n$ matrix given by

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{Every entry is 1})$$

Then, for each stochastic vectors $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{x}^T U \vec{y} &= \vec{x}^T \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \vec{y} = \vec{x}^T \begin{bmatrix} y_1 + \cdots + y_n \\ \vdots \\ y_1 + \cdots + y_n \end{bmatrix} = \vec{x}^T \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= x_1 + \cdots + x_m = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

► $\vec{x}^T (A + \lambda U) \vec{y} = \vec{x}^T A \vec{y} + \lambda \vec{x}^T U \vec{y} = \vec{x}^T A \vec{y} + \lambda.$

This is like giving bonus $-\lambda$ to the player 2, equivalently, giving bonus λ to player 1.



$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \left[\min_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} \vec{x}^T (A + \lambda U) \vec{y} \right] &= \max_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \left[\min_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} (\vec{x}^T A \vec{y} + \lambda) \right] \\ &= \max_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \left[\left(\min_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} \vec{x}^T A \vec{y} \right) + \lambda \right] \\ &= \left[\max_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \left[\min_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} \vec{x}^T A \vec{y} \right] \right] + \lambda \end{aligned}$$

- We can put $\lambda = - \max_{\vec{x} \text{ stoch.}} \left[\min_{\vec{y} \text{ stoch.}} \vec{x}^T A \vec{y} \right]$ to make the game fair for the modified game with the new payoff matrix $(A + \lambda U)$.