

Data Science for Business

MGT 432

Prof. Kenneth Younge, Ph.D.

Associate Professor

Chair of Technology and Innovation Strategy

Session 2: Data & Text

Review: Setting up for the Course

- Did you...
 - send us your GitHub username? Do you have access?
 - clone the class repo?
 - install Anaconda?
 - run a Jupyter notebook?
 - complete the python data camp/tutorials?
- Other questions / problems before we get started?

Review: Big Picture

- This is a course about predictive analytics
- We cover methods not applications
 - By methods, we do not mean optimization
 - We will learn how to build and then evaluate the performance of different kinds of predictive **models**
 - By <u>applications</u>, we do **not** mean we avoid "applied work"
 - We will work on many applied examples and a project.
 - But we will not learn specific solutions for specific problems (e.g., customer retention, credit analysis, demand forecasting, etc.)

Review: How to learn the material

- Instructor-directed learning
 - Lectures
 - Examples
 - Demos
- Self-directed learning
 - Readings
 - Assignments
 - Project
 - Presentation

Today's Agenda

- Assigned Reading
 - DMCT chapter 3
 - DSFB chapter 10
- Lecture
 - Core concepts
 - Data preprocessing
 - Text as data
- Examples
- Assignment 1

```
DSFB: Data Science for Business
ISL: Introduction to Statistical Learning: with Applications in R
DMCT: Data Mining: Concepts and Techniques
DPDM: Data Preprocessing in Data Mining
ELA: Evaluation Learning Algorithms: A Classification Perspective
DL: Deep Learning
```

Lecture: Core Concepts

Today we learn the building blocks of a new language

Core Concepts

- Measurement
- Sampling
- Data Preprocessing
- Description
- Modeling
- Validation
- Evaluation

Error

The objective is to

minimize error

Measurement

Measurement

Sampling
Preprocessing
Description
Modeling
Validation
Evaluation

Many types of measurement

- quantitative vs. qualitative
- structured vs. unstructured
- observed (or manifest) vs. latent
- direct proxy vs. composite proxy
- metric "cardinal" vs. non-metric "categorical"
- non-metric: binary vs. nominal vs. ordinal
- metric values: discrete vs. continuous
- interval-scaled vs. ratio-scaled
- raw vs. transformed

- we'll deal with quantitative data,
 but qualitative data can also be encoded
- structured data fits into known dimensions or a known taxonomy, and often is already tabularized (in tables)
- manifest data can be directly observed (e.g., height)
 latent constructs cannot (e.g., social status)
- we may not have direct data for either manifest or latent factors — often we use proxies
- metric variables exhibit distance along a scale non-metric exhibit categorical states
- binary states (0, 1); nominal categories (zipcodes);
 ordinal rankings (1st, 2nd, 3rd, ...)
- discrete is restricted to defined values (e.g., countable)
 continuous is not limited to certain defined values
- interval-scaled variables have units of equal size ratio-scaled variables have an inherent zero-point (and thus one value is a *multiple* of another value)
- data can be standardized or normalized for more precise or more interpretable computation

Measurement

Measurement

Sampling
Preprocessing
Description
Modeling
Validation
Evaluation

Data Science crosses many domains,
 so how we reference the data can get confusing

Left Hand Side

- Y
- · LHS
- Variable
- Target variable
- Outcome
- Response
- Dependent variable (DV)

Right Hand Side

- X
- RHS
- Variable
- Predictor
- Independent variable
- Explanatory variable
- Covariate (certain types)
- Control (certain types)
- Attribute
- Feature

Machine Learning

Population vs. Sample

- **Sampling variation** (i.e., sample uncertainty) implies that a sample only provides an estimate of a true population
- Statisticians worry about the "statistical power" of their analysis (i.e., likelihood that the sample provides a good estimate of the population)
- But in data science, we often have an abundance of data and/or we may even have the entire population of interest!

Sample selection

- The way a sample comes about may lead to **selection bias**
- For example, there may be **self-selection** into or out-of the sample
- Or there may be *framing variation* in how different sub-samples are defined, drawn from the population, or captured as values

Data Preprocessing

Measurement
Sampling
Preprocessing
Description
Modeling
Validation
Evaluation

Why preprocess your data?

"Garbage in, garbage out"

Data pre-processing is actually an iterative process

Measurement

Sampling

Descriptive Statistics

Data Preprocessing: Integration

Measurement
Sampling
Preprocessing
Description
Modeling
Validation
Evaluation

- Merge, join, append data into a schema
 - **Schema** = requirements for a consistent hierarchy & organization of data
 - You need to preprocess data to make sure it conforms to the schema
 - You may need to remove redundant or duplicate data
 - Often there are problematic encodings for certain values or conditions e.g., top-coding of errors, missing values, etc. (99 years of education)
- Use SQL with relational databases or pandas with tables (CSV files, spreadsheets, etc.) to import and inspect data

- Missing values What to do?
 - It depends... there is no single correct answer...
 - Options are:
 - drop observation (row-wise deletion)

Most stats packages default to this

- replace with sample mean
- replace with a group mean (if there is one and it is reliable)
 a group may be known based on another variable or clustering
- · replace with a predicted value from another model
- You can take a "try and see" approach for predictive modeling, but you need a statistically rigorous approach for inferential testing

Data Preprocessing: Cleaning

Measurement
Sampling
Preprocessing
Description
Modeling
Validation
Evaluation

Other problems

Outliers

scatter plot; pair plot; check min/max; check leverage (if you known how)

- Duplicate & redundant data

- duplicate data overweight model to predict that outcome
- redundant data can be derived from other data check correlations

- Special encodings

- some systems may "top-code" certain conditions (e.g., 99 or 9999)
- variable may be a continuous, but encoding indicates a nominal category
- Use summary statistics and stratified random checks to make sure your data makes sense! (Question: Why a "stratified" check ???)

Data Preprocessing: Transformation

Measurement
Sampling
Preprocessing
Description
Modeling
Validation

Evaluation

Standardization

- rescale a variable based on where it fits in some distribution
- "z-scoring" is a frequently used standardization

$$z=rac{x-\mu}{\sigma}$$
 $\qquad \mu= ext{mean} \ \sigma= ext{standard deviation}$

- converts a data point into the *number of standard deviations* the observation is above the mean value of the sample
- results in new distribution with mean of zero and variance of 1

Why standardize?

- easier comparison between variables (& estimated coeffs)
- help optimizers converge better and faster
- some learning algorithms (e.g. SVM) expect variances of the same order

Data Preprocessing: Transformation

Measurement
Sampling
Preprocessing
Description
Modeling
Validation
Evaluation

Normalization

- Rescale variable to fit an interval
 - Often the interval is [-1, 1] or [0, 1] (careful! sometimes also called "Standardization")
 - but one might also normalize to a unit norm (e.g., an L_1 or L_2 norm)


- Examples:


- min-max linear transformation to rescale variable to [0.0, 1.0]
- Normalize a vector into a unit vector of length 1 (why? because dot product of two unit vectors is scalar equal to cosine of angle)

• Why normalize?

- help optimizers converge better and faster
- units of measurement can affect methods such as neural nets and nearest neighbors
 (smaller units leads to a larger range, which leads to more predictive weight for predictor)

- Functional Transformations
 - $\arcsin(\sqrt{x})$ pull ratio variables s away from the ends of the interval
 - In(x) pull in the right tail & make distribution more normal






Source: By StijnDeVuyst [CC BY-SA 4.0] via Wikimedia Commons

Data Preprocessing: Transformation

Measurement
Sampling
Preprocessing
Description
Modeling
Validation
Evaluation

Binning

- Split variable into discrete "bins" (e.g., a histogram does this)
- Or split variable into nominal categories based on meaningful bucket ranges (e.g., years of schooling)



Encoding categorical features


- Why should we **not** assign categories a number? (e.g., a variable with 1,2,3,4,...)
 - Because that may introduce a false ordering or false interval spacing
 - E.g., If red=1, blue=2, green=3, it is not the case that green is two units "more" than red
- "One Hot Encoding" is one way to convert categorical features into separate numerical "predictors"
 - Separate the variable into separate bins or categories, then create new 1/0 predictor variables for each category to predict each condition separately. For example, if there were 10 bins, there would be 10 indicators ("dummy variables equal to 0 or 1) to signify belonging to each bin

Basic Descriptive Statistics: central tendency

- Mean

- Median

- Mode



Source: Data Mining Concepts and Techniques, 2012


- Dispersion
 - the *spread* of data away from the central tendency
 - often this can best be understood through a visual plot
 - numerically summarized by *percentiles*, *quartiles*, and/or a measure of *variance*
- Skew
 - the degree to which the dispersion away from the central tendency is *non-symmetric*

Modeling

Measurement
Sampling
Preprocessing
Description
Modeling

Validation Evaluation


The course focuses on methods for predictive modeling



- The quality of the data affects the quality of the prediction...
 - ... so we also spend time on measurement and preprocessing

- Supervised models You have "labeled outcomes"
 - classification
 - regression
- Unsupervised models you do NOT have labeled outcomes
 - clustering
 - dimensionality reduction
- In this course, we focus more on data-driven predictive modeling so much of the focus is on supervised models

- For continuous outcomes, "error" is the distance between an observation and prediction by the model
 - Distance is defined as "the length of the space between two points."
 - But error can be measured along different *orientations* and/or scaled by different *transformations* (squared value, absolute value, ...)



- For continuous outcomes, "*error*" is the *distance* between an observation and prediction by the model
 - Distance is "the length of the space between two points," but error can be measured along different *orientations* and/or scaled by different *transformations* (by the square of the value, the absolute value, ...)
 - There are alternatives to Euclidean Distance:

 L_2 norm = Euclidean Distance



 $d(i,j) = \sqrt[h]{|x_{i1} - x_{j1}|^h + |x_{i2} - x_{j2}|^h + \dots + |x_{ip} - x_{jp}|^h},$


 L_1 norm = Manhattan Distance



other non-metric "distances" that violate symmetry and triangle equality...

Measurement
Sampling
Preprocessing
Description
Modeling
Validation
Evaluation

 For categorical outcomes, there is no "distance" between observation and prediction, so we use a "confusion matrix"



For a binary outcome, the "positive" state is the one that happens or is predicted to happen. It has nothing to do with the *valence* of the state.

Measurement
Sampling
Preprocessing
Description
Modeling
Validation
Evaluation


 For categorical outcomes, there is no "distance" between observation and prediction, so we use a "confusion matrix"

Warning: See errata for DSFB. Textbook reverses sensitivity and specificity. **Actual** Accuracy = TP + TN TP + FP + FN + TNPrecision = True False TP/(TP + FP)**Positives** Positives (i.e., accuracy over Predicted cases predicted to **TP TP** be positive) Sensitivity is % of False True positive cases that correctly Negatives Negatives Specificity is % of ()get a positive prediction negative cases that correctly FN TN get a negative prediction Hit Rate or Recall = True Positive Rate **False Positive Rate** Sensitivity = Specificity = = 1 - Specificity TP/(TP + FN)TN/(TN + FP)

Measurement
Sampling
Preprocessing
Description
Modeling
Validation

Evaluation


- For categorical outcomes, there is no "distance" between observation and prediction, so we use a "confusion matrix"
- Base-rates matter a lot when talking about the accuracy of a prediction...
- And so we will revisit this matrix and adapt it to meet business objectives ...
- But for now, you should know these well.
- These rates will shape
 the optimal information
 acquisition strategies
 (more on that later in course)




Measurement
Sampling
Preprocessing
Description
Modeling
Validation
Evaluation


- Prediction error is composed of both bias, variance, and noise
 - Error *variance* is the spread (squared deviation) of predictions around the mean prediction.
 - Error *bias* is a systematic difference between an average prediction and the *true* generating function.
 - Error *noise* is the irreducible (uncontrollable) disturbance that results from random disturbances, etc.

- Achieving low bias AND low variance is ideal, but there is a limit to how much systematic error (bias) you can reduce before you increase variance (and thus overall error) for future predictions.
- This is known as the "bias-variance tradeoff."




Evaluation







Measurement
Sampling
Preprocessing
Description
Modeling
Validation
Evaluation



Measurement
Sampling
Preprocessing
Description
Modeling
Validation
Evaluation



Measurement
Sampling
Preprocessing
Description
Modeling
Validation
Evaluation




Measurement
Sampling
Preprocessing
Description
Modeling
Validation

Evaluation

 The bias-variance tradeoff can also be thought of as a tradeoff between *memorizing* and *generalizing* from a set of data



Under-fitting
model is too-simple
not learning signal




Over-fitting


model is too-complex learning from noise

Validation

Measurement
Sampling
Preprocessing
Description
Modeling
Validation
Evaluation

- To "predict" implies that you do not know the outcome
- To "validate" a prediction (i.e., "demonstrate truth or value"), we need to test our model on different data than we used to "learn"
 - Ideally you get test data from a new (but similar) source.
 - But test data can be hard to obtain.
- So typically you use "cross-validation" and do it "k-fold" times





Source: http://www.statistics4u.com/fundstat_eng/cc_cross_validation.htm

Source: Introduction to Statistical Learning, James, Witten, Hastie, and Tibshiran, 2013.

Lecture: Text as Data

Text as Data

- Analyzing text can be important in business contexts.
- Text is everywhere, but it is usually unstructured, without a fixed meaning, and dependent on the surrounding context, tone, etc.
 - assessing product reviews
 - mapping out competing products
 - analyzing financial data
- True understanding of text requires computational linguistics and/or modeling of complicated sequences of words
 - That's more than we can cover in this course...
 - ... but there are basic tools that work pretty well that we can still use

Text as Data

- Core idea is to convert text into weighted vectors that then point into a high-dimensional Vector Space Model
- Frequency-based models
 - bag of words simplest approach we will use bag of words
 - n-gram sequences
 - named entity extractions
- Latent-topic-based models
 - latent topic models (LSA, LDA, ...)

Why are these "latent"?

- Prediction-based models
 - word-to-vec & other neural embeddings of text

- Identify words ("terms")
 - each term from a "vocabulary" defines a separate dimension
 - for simplicity, we assume all word dimensions are orthogonal
 - each and every word is not actually orthogonal, but the overlap seems to average out for large vocabularies
 - to find identify words, you need to:
 - parse (or tokenize) the words (difficult for Chinese!)
 - remove stopwords (but this is debatable... more later)
 - **stem** words to a common root (and not break this so badly

- Count terms
 - For each term in the document, you need to calculate:
 - **tf** term-frequency (# of times term appears in doc)
 - df document-frequency (# of documents term appears in)
 - And then calculate the inverse document-frequency:


$$- \quad \mathbf{idf} = \ln\left(\frac{\# \operatorname{docs}}{\operatorname{df}}\right)$$

- tf*idf = tf * idf
- Note how tf*idf pushes the "weight" of common terms toward zero

Vectorize each document

- Each document encoded into a vector into the high-dimensional Vector Space.
- Note that sequential meaning of text is lost (it is a "bag" of words)
- Any one vector will be "sparse"
 - most documents do not have most words, so those dimensions are zero
 - there are efficient tools for handling sparse vectors

- Calculate the similarity between documents
 - Cosine similarity is a commonly-used metric (with nice properties).
 - Cosine similarity is also called "angular separation," for it only depends on the direction of two vectors pointing into the space (which works well with bag of words)



- If you pre-*normalize* each vector to unit vector length, then the cosine is a fast and efficient calculation (sum of inner products between two vectors)
- But one can use Euclidean Distance (L_2), or Squared Euclidean Distance, or...

Text as Data: Bag of Words — Cosine Similarity

- Calculate the similarity matrix
 - The end objective is often to calculate a *similarity matrix*.
 - Similarity matrix is a symmetric, square matrix of every pairwise document comparison
 - entries on main diagonal are all 1.0 i.e., perfect similarity, because cosine(0)=1
 - off-diagonal entries are all positive and range [0.0, 1.0] i.e., the range of cosine

	1234568	1234569	1234570	1234571	1234572	1234573	1234574
1234568	1.000						
1234569	0.215	1.000					
1234570	0.272	0.395	1.000				
1234571	0.384	0.158	0.715	1.000			
1234572	0.618	0.577	0.000	0.686	1.000		
1234573	0.301	0.715	0.326	0.755	0.682	1.000	
1234574	0.866	0.932	0.747	0.175	0.265	0.170	1.000

Many algorithms ask for a distance matrix, which is just distance = 1 - similarity

Text as Data: Other models

- Latent-topic-based models
 - latent topic models (LSA, LDA, ...)
- Prediction-based models
 - word-to-vec & other neural embeddings of text

We will cover these later in the course...

Examples & Assignment 1