Channel Shaping Using Reconfigurable Intelligent Surfaces: From Diagonal to Beyond

Yang Zhao, *Member, IEEE,* Hongyu Li, *Graduate Student Member, IEEE,*
Massimo Franceschetti, *Fellow, IEEE,* and Bruno Clerckx, *Fellow, IEEE*

***Abstract*—Reconfigurable Intelligent Surface (RIS) recycles and redistributes ambient waves for improved wireless performance. This paper investigates the use of passive RIS for channel shaping in Multiple-Input Multiple-Output (MIMO) Point-to-point Channel (PC) and Interference Channel (IC). We depart from the widely-adapted diagonal phase shift model and focus on a general asymmetric Beyond-Diagonal (BD) architecture, which allows off-diagonal entries by in-group connections between elements. For arbitrary group size, we propose a closed-form iterative algorithm for quadratic problems and a Riemannian Conjugate Gradient (RCG) algorithm for general problems. Various case studies are then conducted, including Pareto singular value characterization and rate maximization for PC, and interference alignment and Weighted Sum-Rate (WSR) maximization for IC. To quantify channel shaping capability, we also derive analytical bounds under specific scenarios and validate those by aforementioned methods. Simulation results suggest the advantage of BD RIS scales with group size, while dyadic or tetradic architecture usually strikes a good balance between performance and complexity. Our findings unlock unprecedented efficiency and adaptability, paving the way for smarter and greener wireless environments.**

***Index Terms*—Reconfigurable intelligent surface, channel shaping, point-to-point channel, interference channel.**

I. Introduction

Today we are witnessing a paradigm shift from connectivity to intelligence, where the wireless environment is no longer a chaotic medium but a conscious agent that serves on demand. This is made possible by the recent advances in Reconfigurable Intelligent Surface (RIS), a real-time programmable metasurface made of numerous non-resonant sub-wavelength scattering elements. It can manipulate the amplitude, phase, frequency, and polarization of the scattered waves [1] with a higher energy efficiency, lower cost, lighter footprint, and greater scalability than conventional relays. Using RIS for *passive beamforming* has attracted significant interest in wireless communication [2]–[5], backscatter [6], [7], sensing [8], [9], and power transfer literature [10]–[12], reporting a second-order array gain and fourth-order power scaling law (with proper waveform). On the other hand, RIS also enables *backscatter modulation* by dynamically switching between different patterns, as already investigated [13]–[15] and prototyped [16], [17]. Although fruitful outcomes have been harvested over optimization tools, one fundamental yet critical question is the *channel shaping* capability: To what extent can a passive RIS reshape the wireless channel? The answer indeed depends on the hardware architecture and scattering model.

In conventional (a.k.a. diagonal) RIS, each scattering element is tuned by a dedicated impedance and functions as an *individual* phase shifter [18]. The idea is extended to Beyond-Diagonal (BD) RIS [19], [20] featuring in-group connections between elements. This allows *cooperative* reflection — wave impinging on one element can propagate within the circuit and depart partially from all elements. BD RIS can thus control both amplitude and phase of the reflected wave, generalizing the scattering matrix from diagonal with unit-magnitude entries to block diagonal with unitary blocks. Its benefit has been recently shown in receive power maximization [21]–[24], transmit power minimization [25], and rate maximization [24]–[28]. The channel estimation [29] and mutual coupling [30] issues have also been investigated. Therefore, BD RIS is envisioned as the next generation channel shaper with stronger signal processing flexibility [31].

Channel shaping metrics can be classified into *singular value centric* and *power centric*. For diagonal RIS, the former has been studied in terms of minimum singular value [32], effective rank [32], [33], condition number [34], [35] in Point-to-point Channel (PC), and degree of freedom [36]–[38] in Interference Channel (IC). The latter has been studied in terms of channel power [2] in PC and leakage interference [39] in IC. When it comes to BD RIS, the only metric analyzed is the channel power [21], [22] while the Multiple-Input Multiple-Output (MIMO) case is still unexplored.[1] Although insightful, those attempts are far from complete and the methods are limited to specific scenarios. This paper aims for a comprehensive answer to the channel shaping question through theoretical analysis and numerical optimization. The contributions are summarized below.

First, we examine the capability of BD RIS to redistribute the channel singular values in MIMO PC. The Pareto frontiers are characterized by optimizing the *weighted sum of singular values*, where the weights can be positive, zero, or negative. It generalizes all singular value metrics and provides a powerful design framework. We then discuss analytical singular value bounds under rank-deficient and no-direct scenarios.

Second, we tailor a Riemannian Conjugate Gradient (RCG) algorithm for asymmetric[2] BD RIS optimization. Specifically, block-wise update is performed along the geodesics[3] of the Stiefel manifold and concisely evaluated as matrix exponential. The proposed method not only features lower complexity and faster convergence than universal manifold optimization [41],

[1]Single-stream MIMO with given precoder and combiner was considered in [21]. In terms of channel shaping, it is equivalent to Single-Input Single-Output (SISO).

[2]Although the constraint of symmetric scattering parameters is widely respected in the literature [19], [21]–[27], it can be relaxed when the BD RIS involves asymmetric passive components [40], as previously assumed in [20], [28]. Symmetry can be enforced by projection if necessary.

[3]A geodesic refers to the shortest path between two points in a Riemannian manifold.



Fig. 1. Channel singular value shaping by diagonal and symmetry unitary RIS. $(N^{\mathrm{T}},N^{\mathrm{S}},N^{\mathrm{R}})=(2,2,2)$. Direct link is absent.

[42], but also applies to any BD RIS problem where gradient exists.

Third, we consider power centric design

II. Assumption

We introduce BD RIS in MIMO PC and IC. All proposals are based on assumption of *asymmetric* passive BD RIS, i.e., symmetry constraint $\mathbf{\Theta}_g=\mathbf{\Theta}_g^{\mathsf{T}}$ is relaxed. This is feasible when asymmetric passive components (e.g., ring hybrids and branch-line hybrids) [40] are available. This assumption was also made in Hongyu's papers [20], [28]. For quadratic problems, the proposed algorithms may be extended to symmetric BD RIS by replacing singular value decomposition with Takagi factorization [43].

III. MIMO-PC

A. Channel Singular Value Redistribution

We first show the channel shaping benefit of BD RIS by a toy example. Consider $(N^{\mathrm{T}},N^{\mathrm{S}},N^{\mathrm{R}})=(2,2,2)$ and assume the direct link is absent. The diagonal RIS is $\mathbf{\Theta}^{\mathrm{D}}=\mathrm{diag}(e^{\jmath\theta_1},e^{\jmath\theta_2})$ while the unitary RIS has 4 independent angular parameters

$$\mathbf{\Theta}^{\mathrm{U}}=e^{\jmath\phi}\begin{bmatrix} e^{\jmath\alpha}\cos\psi & e^{\jmath\beta}\sin\psi \\ -e^{-\jmath\beta}\sin\psi & e^{-\jmath\alpha}\cos\psi \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

When the direct link is absent, ϕ has no impact on the singular value because $\mathrm{sv}(e^{\jmath\phi}\mathbf{A})=\mathrm{sv}(\mathbf{A})$. For a fair comparison, we enforce symmetry with $\beta=\pi/2$. Fig. 1 illustrates all possible channel singular values achieved by diagonal and symmetry unitary RIS. Despite using the same number of elements and parameters, BD RIS provides much wider dynamic ranges of $\sigma_1(\mathbf{H})$ and $\sigma_2(\mathbf{H})$ than diagonal RIS. Larger gaps are expected when the symmetry constraint can be relaxed.

We then analyze the channel shaping *capability* of BD RIS under specific setups.

1) Rank-Deficient Channel: In rank-deficient channels, BD RIS $\mathbf{\Theta}^{\mathrm{B}}$ cannot achieve a higher Degree of Freedom (DoF) than diagonal RIS $\mathbf{\Theta}^{\mathrm{D}}$. This is because $\mathrm{sv}(\mathbf{\Theta}^{\mathrm{B}})=\mathrm{sv}(\mathbf{\Theta}^{\mathrm{D}})=\mathbf{1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{rank}(\mathbf{H})&\leq\mathrm{rank}(\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{D}})+\mathrm{rank}(\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{F}})\\ &\leq\mathrm{rank}(\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{D}})+\min\big(\mathrm{rank}(\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{B}}),\mathrm{rank}(\mathbf{\Theta}),\mathrm{rank}(\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{F}})\big).\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Note BD RIS can still provide a higher indirect Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) as shown in Fig. 4 and 5.

2) Rank-1 Indirect Channel: The indirect channel is rank-1 iff the forward or backward channel is rank-1. Let $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{F}}=\sigma^{\mathrm{F}}\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{F}}\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{F}^{\mathsf{H}}}$ without loss of generality. In this case, the channel Gram matrix can be written as Hermitian-plus-rank-1:

$$\mathbf{G}\triangleq\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{H}}=\mathbf{Y}+\mathbf{z}\mathbf{z}^{\mathsf{H}}, \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbf{Y}\triangleq\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{D}}(\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{F}}\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{F}^{\mathsf{H}}})\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{D}}=\mathbf{T}\mathbf{T}^{\mathsf{H}}$ and $\mathbf{z}\triangleq\sigma^{\mathrm{F}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{F}}+\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{D}}\mathbf{v}^{\mathrm{F}}$. Regardless of RIS size and structure[4], its n-th ($n\geq2$) eigenvalues are bounded by the Cauchy interlacing formula [45]

$$\lambda_1(\mathbf{Y})\geq\lambda_2(\mathbf{G})\geq\lambda_2(\mathbf{Y})\geq\ldots\geq\lambda_{N-1}(\mathbf{Y})\geq\lambda_N(\mathbf{G})\geq\lambda_N(\mathbf{Y}). \tag{4}$$

The equivalent singular value inequality is

$$\sigma_1(\mathbf{T})\geq\sigma_2(\mathbf{H})\geq\sigma_2(\mathbf{T})\geq\ldots\geq\sigma_{N-1}(\mathbf{T})\geq\sigma_N(\mathbf{H})\geq\sigma_N(\mathbf{T}). \tag{5}$$

(5) implies that, if the indirect channel is rank-1, then the RIS can at most enlarge the n-th ($n\geq2$) channel singular value to the $(n-1)$-th singular value of $\mathbf{T}$. Note that the largest channel singular value is unbounded with a sufficiently large RIS.

3) Fully-Connected RIS Without Direct Link: Denote the singular value decomposition of forward / backward channels as $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{B/F}}=\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{B/F}}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{B/F}}\mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{B/F}^{\mathsf{H}}}$. The composite channel is

$$\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{B}}\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{F}}=\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{B}}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{B}}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{F}}\mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{F}^{\mathsf{H}}}, \tag{6}$$

where $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{B}^{\mathsf{H}}}\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{F}}$.

Proposition 1. *In this case, the singular value bounds on $\mathbf{H}$ are equivalent to the singular value bounds on $\mathbf{BF}$, where $\mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{F}$ are arbitrary matrices with singular values $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{B}}$ and $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{F}}$.*

Proof. We first observe that singular value control problem can be solved w.r.t. unitary $\mathbf{X}$ and retrieved by $\mathbf{\Theta}=\mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{B}}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{F}^{\mathsf{H}}}$. Also, $\mathrm{sv}(\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{B}}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{B}}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{F}}\mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{F}^{\mathsf{H}}})=\mathrm{sv}(\bar{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathrm{B}}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{B}}\bar{\mathbf{V}}^{\mathrm{B}^{\mathsf{H}}}\bar{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathrm{F}}\mathbf{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{F}}\bar{\mathbf{V}}^{\mathrm{F}^{\mathsf{H}}})=\mathrm{sv}(\mathbf{BF})$ where $\bar{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathrm{B/F}}$ and $\bar{\mathbf{V}}^{\mathrm{B/F}}$ are arbitrary unitary matrices. $\square$

The problem now becomes, given $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{B}}$ and $\mathbf{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{F}}$, what can we say about the singular value of $\mathbf{BF}$. One comprehensive answer is Horn's inequality [46]: for all admissible triples (I,J,K),

$$\prod_{k\in K}\sigma_k(\mathbf{BF})\leq\prod_{i\in I}\sigma_i(\mathbf{B})\prod_{j\in J}\sigma_j(\mathbf{F}). \tag{7}$$

It gives upper bound on the largest singular value and lower bound on the smallest singular value:

$$\sigma_1(\mathbf{BF})\leq\sigma_1(\mathbf{B})\sigma_1(\mathbf{F}) \tag{8}$$

$$\sigma_N(\mathbf{BF})\geq\sigma_N(\mathbf{B})\sigma_N(\mathbf{F}). \tag{9}$$

[4] A similar conclusion was made for diagonal RIS in [44].



Fig. 2. Singular value Pareto frontier. $(N^{\mathrm{T}}, N^{\mathrm{S}}, N^{\mathrm{R}}) = (4, 64, 2)$, $(\Lambda^{\mathrm{D}},\Lambda^{\mathrm{F}},\Lambda^{\mathrm{B}})=(0,-17.5,-17.5)$dB.



Fig. 3. Singular value bounds for rank-1 indirect channel. $(N^{\mathrm{T}},N^{\mathrm{S}},N^{\mathrm{R}})=(4,32,4)$, $(\Lambda^{\mathrm{D}},\Lambda^{\mathrm{F}},\Lambda^{\mathrm{B}})=(0,-17.5,-17.5)$dB.

Another useful result is introduced in [47]: for all $p>0$,

$$\sum_n \sigma_n^p(\mathbf{BF}) \leq \sum_n \sigma_n^p(\mathbf{B})\sigma_n^p(\mathbf{F}). \tag{10}$$

When $p=2$, it implies the channel energy is upper bounded by the sum of element-wise power product of the forward and backward channels, as illustrated in Fig. 5(a). Interestingly, (8)–(10) are simultaneously tight when $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{\Theta}=\mathbf{V}^{\mathrm{B}}\mathbf{U}^{\mathrm{F}^{\mathsf{H}}}$. This solution was claimed in [27] to achieve channel capacity, but it is not true at moderate SNR.

Finally, we characterize the *Pareto frontier* of channel singular values via optimization approach.

$$\max_{\mathbf{\Theta}}/\min \quad J_1 = \sum_n \rho_n \sigma_n(\mathbf{H}) \tag{11a}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{\Theta}_g^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{\Theta}_g = \mathbf{I}, \quad \forall g, \tag{11b}$$

where ρ_n is the weight of n-th singular value. The complex derivative of (11a) w.r.t. RIS block g is

$$\frac{\partial J_1}{\partial \mathbf{\Theta}_g^*} = \mathbf{H}_g^{\mathrm{B}^{\mathsf{H}}}\mathbf{U}\mathrm{diag}(\boldsymbol{\rho})\mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{H}_g^{\mathrm{F}^{\mathsf{H}}}, \tag{12}$$

where $\mathbf{U}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ are left and right singular matrix of $\mathbf{H}$. (11) can be solved by RCG Algorithm 1 with (26) replaced by (12).

The Pareto frontier and evolving trend of channel singular values are shown in Fig. 2 and 3. Clearly, BD RIS with a larger group size can redistribute the channel singular values to a wider range.

B. Channel Power Maximization

Consider a BD RIS with N^{S} elements, which is divided into G groups of equal L elements.

$$\max_{\mathbf{\Theta}} \quad \left\| \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{D}} + \sum\nolimits_g \mathbf{H}_g^{\mathrm{B}}\mathbf{\Theta}_g\mathbf{H}_g^{\mathrm{F}} \right\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 \tag{13a}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{\Theta}_g^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{\Theta}_g = \mathbf{I}, \quad \forall g \in \mathcal{G} \triangleq \{1,\ldots,G\}. \tag{13b}$$

For *symmetric* BD-RIS, the problem has been solved in

- Matteo's paper [21]: SISO and equivalent[5];
- Ignacio's paper [22]: SISO and directless MISO/SIMO.

Remark 1. *The difficulty of* (13) *is that the RIS needs to balance the additive (direct-indirect) and multiplicative (forward-backward) eigenspace alignment. Interestingly, it has the same form as the* weighted orthogonal Procrustes problem *[48]:*

$$\min_{\mathbf{\Theta}} \quad \|\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{B}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 \tag{14a}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{\Theta}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{\Theta} = \mathbf{I}. \tag{14b}$$

There exists no trivial solution to (14)*. One lossy transformation, by moving* $\mathbf{\Theta}$ *to one side [49], formulates a standard orthogonal Procrustes problem:*

$$\min_{\mathbf{\Theta}} \quad \|\mathbf{A}^{\dagger}\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{\Theta}\mathbf{B}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 \tag{15a}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \mathbf{\Theta}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{\Theta} = \mathbf{I}. \tag{15b}$$

(15) *has a global optimal solution* $\mathbf{\Theta}^\star = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{V}^{\mathsf{H}}$*, where* $\mathbf{U}$ *and* $\mathbf{V}$ *are left and right singular matrix of* $\mathbf{A}^{\dagger}\mathbf{C}\mathbf{B}^{\mathsf{H}}$ *[45]. This low-complexity solution will be compared with the one proposed later.*

Inspired by [50], we propose an iterative algorithm to solve (13). The idea is to successively approximate the quadratic objective with a sequence of affine functions and solve the resulting subproblems in closed form.

Proposition 2. *Start from any* $\mathbf{\Theta}^{(0)}$*, the sequence*

$$\mathbf{\Theta}_g^{(r+1)} = \mathbf{U}_g^{(r)}\mathbf{V}_g^{(r)}, \quad \forall g \tag{16}$$

converges to a stationary point of (13)*, where* $\mathbf{U}_g^{(r)}$ *and* $\mathbf{V}_g^{(r)}$ *are left and right singular matrix of*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{M}_g^{(r)} = {} & \mathbf{H}_g^{\mathrm{B}^{\mathsf{H}}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{D}}\mathbf{H}_g^{\mathrm{F}^{\mathsf{H}}} + \sum_{g'<g}\mathbf{H}_{g'}^{\mathrm{B}^{\mathsf{H}}}\mathbf{H}_{g'}^{\mathrm{B}}\mathbf{\Theta}_{g'}^{(r+1)}\mathbf{H}_{g'}^{\mathrm{F}}\mathbf{H}_{g'}^{\mathrm{F}^{\mathsf{H}}} \\ & + \sum_{g'\geq g}\mathbf{H}_{g'}^{\mathrm{B}^{\mathsf{H}}}\mathbf{H}_{g'}^{\mathrm{B}}\mathbf{\Theta}_{g'}^{(r)}\mathbf{H}_{g'}^{\mathrm{F}}\mathbf{H}_{g'}^{\mathrm{F}^{\mathsf{H}}}.\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Proof. To be added. □

Fig. 4 shows that, apart from adding reflecting elements N^{S}, increasing the group size L also improves the channel power. This behavior is more pronounced for a large RIS. For example, the gain of pairwise connection is $2.8\,\%$ for $N^{\mathrm{S}}=16$ and $28\,\%$ for $N^{\mathrm{S}}=256$. It implies that the channel shaping capability of BD RIS scales with group size L.

Fig. 5b and 5a compare the average channel power without and with direct link. "Cascaded" means the sum of element-wise product of first $N=\min(N^{\mathrm{T}},N^{\mathrm{S}},N^{\mathrm{R}})$ eigenvalues (i.e.,

[5] Single-stream MIMO with given precoder and combiner.



Fig. 4. Average channel power versus RIS elements N^{S} and group size L. $(N^{\mathrm{T}},N^{\mathrm{R}})=(8,4)$, $(\Lambda^{\mathrm{D}},\Lambda^{\mathrm{F}},\Lambda^{\mathrm{B}})=(65,54,46)$dB.



(a) Without Direct Link

(b) With Direct Link

Fig. 5. Average channel power versus RIS group size L. $(N^{\mathrm{T}},N^{\mathrm{S}},N^{\mathrm{R}})=(8,256,4)$, $(\Lambda^{\mathrm{D}},\Lambda^{\mathrm{F}},\Lambda^{\mathrm{B}})=(65,54,46)$dB.

element-wise power product) of the forward and backward channels. We observe that diagonal RIS wastes substantial cascaded power and struggles to align the direct-indirect eigenspace. When the direct link is absent, only $2.6\,\%$ of available power is utilized by diagonal RIS while $100\,\%$ power is recycled by fully-connected RIS. When the direct link is present, the proposed BD RIS design can balance the direct-indirect and forward-backward eigenspace alignment for an optimal channel boost. It is worth noting that, when L is sufficiently large, the composite channel power surpasses the power sum of direct and cascaded channels, thanks to the constructive *amplitude superposition* of direct and cascaded channels. This again emphasizes the advantage of in-group connection of BD RIS.

C. Rate Maximization

The problem is formulated w.r.t. precoder (instead of transmit covariance matrix) for reference:

$$\max_{\mathbf{W},\boldsymbol{\Theta}} \quad R=\log\det\left(\mathbf{I}+\frac{\mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{W}}{\eta}\right) \tag{18a}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \|\mathbf{W}\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 \leq P, \tag{18b}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}_g^{\mathsf{H}}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_g=\mathbf{I}, \quad \forall g. \tag{18c}$$

(18) is jointly non-convex and solved by Alternating Optimization (AO). For a given $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$, the optimal precoder is given by

$$\mathbf{W}^{\star}=\mathbf{V}\mathbf{S}^{\star 1/2}, \tag{19}$$

where $\mathbf{V}$ is right singular matrix of $\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{S}^{\star}$ is a diagonal matrix of the water-filling power allocation. For a given $\mathbf{W}$, we update $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$ by RCG method along the geodesics [51].

Remark 2. *A geodesic refers to the shortest path between two points in a Riemannian manifold. Unitary constraint* (18c) *translates to a Stiefel manifold where the geodesics have simple expressions described by the exponential map [52].*

For general optimization problems with block unitary constraint, the adapted RCG method at iteration r for block g is summarized below, where $f\big(\boldsymbol{\Theta}_g^{(r)}\big)$ is the objective function also evaluated over $\big\{\{\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{g'}^{(r+1)}\}_{g'<g},\{\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{g'}^{(r)}\}_{g'>g}\big\}$.

1) Compute the Euclidean gradient

$$\nabla_g^{\mathrm{E}(r)}=\frac{\partial f\big(\boldsymbol{\Theta}_g^{(r)}\big)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_g^{*}}; \tag{20}$$

2) Translate to the Riemannian gradient

$$\nabla_g^{\mathrm{R}(r)}=\nabla_g^{\mathrm{E}(r)}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_g^{(r)\mathsf{H}}-\boldsymbol{\Theta}_g^{(r)}\nabla_g^{\mathrm{E}(r)\mathsf{H}}; \tag{21}$$

3) Determine the weight factor

$$\gamma_g^{(r)}=\frac{\operatorname{tr}\Big(\big(\nabla_g^{\mathrm{R}(r)}-\nabla_g^{\mathrm{R}(r-1)}\big)\nabla_g^{\mathrm{R}(r)\mathsf{H}}\Big)}{\operatorname{tr}\Big(\nabla_g^{\mathrm{R}(r-1)}\nabla_g^{\mathrm{R}(r-1)\mathsf{H}}\Big)}; \tag{22}$$

4) Compute the conjugate direction

$$\mathbf{D}_g^{(r)}=\nabla_g^{\mathrm{R}(r)}+\gamma_g^{(r)}\mathbf{D}_g^{(r-1)}; \tag{23}$$

5) Determine the Armijo step size[6]

$$\mu_g^{(r)}=\arg\max_{\mu_g} f\Big(\exp\big(\mu_g\mathbf{D}_g^{(r)}\big)\boldsymbol{\Theta}_g^{(r)}\Big); \tag{24}$$

6) Perform rotational update along local geodesics

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}_g^{(r+1)}=\exp\Big(\mu_g^{(r)}\mathbf{D}_g^{(r)}\Big)\boldsymbol{\Theta}_g^{(r)}. \tag{25}$$

Remark 3. *The adapted RCG method leverages the fact that block unitary matrices are closed under multiplication (but not necessarily under addition). Its advantage over universal manifold optimization [41], [42] is trifold:*

- *No retraction is involved;*
- *Lower computational complexity per iteration [52];*
- *Faster convergence thanks appropriate operational space.*

The complex derivative of (18a) w.r.t. RIS block g is

$$\frac{\partial R}{\partial \boldsymbol{\Theta}_g^{*}}=\frac{1}{\eta}\mathbf{H}_g^{\mathrm{B}\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{W}\left(\mathbf{I}+\frac{\mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{W}}{\eta}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{H}_g^{\mathrm{F}\mathsf{H}}. \tag{26}$$

Algorithm 1 summarizes the adapted RCG method for the RIS rate maximization subproblem.

Fig. 6a illustrates how RIS configuration influences the MIMO PC achievable rate. To ensure a $20\,\mathrm{bit/s/Hz}$ transmission, an SNR of $13.5\,\mathrm{dB}$ is required for a 8T4R system. This value decreases to $12.5\,\mathrm{dB}$ (resp. $8\,\mathrm{dB}$) when 32- (resp. 256-) element diagonal RIS is present. If tetrads can be formed in BD RIS, the SNR can be reduced by another $20\,\%$ (resp. $44\,\%$). Further increase in L yields a marginal gain and incurs $\mathcal{O}(L^2)$ connections. We thus conclude dyadic or tetradic BD RIS usually strike a good balance between performance and complexity.

[6] To double the step size, simply square the argument instead of recomputing the matrix exponential, i.e., $\exp(2\mu_g\mathbf{D}_g)=\exp^2(\mu_g\mathbf{D}_g)$.

Algorithm 1: RCG Method for RIS MIMO-PC Rate Maximization

Input: $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{D}}$, $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{F}}$, $\mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{B}}$, $\mathbf{W}$, L, η
Output: $\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{\star}$

$r \leftarrow 0$, $\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{(0)}$
Repeat
  $r \leftarrow r+1$
  For $g \leftarrow 1$ to G
    $\boldsymbol{\Theta}_g^{(r)} \leftarrow$ (26), (21)–(25)
  End For
Until $|R^{(r)} - R^{(r-1)}|/R^{(r-1)} \leq \epsilon$



(a) RIS Elements, $N^{\mathrm{T}}=8$ (b) Transmit Antenna, $N^{\mathrm{S}}=256$

Fig. 6. Average achievable rate versus group size L. $N^{\mathrm{R}}=4$, $(\Lambda^{\mathrm{D}},\Lambda^{\mathrm{F}},\Lambda^{\mathrm{B}})=(65,54,46)$dB.

IV. MIMO-IC

A. Leakage Interference Minimization

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\Theta},\{\mathbf{G}_k\},\{\mathbf{W}_k\}} \quad \sum_{j\neq k}\sum \left\|\mathbf{G}_k(\mathbf{H}_{kj}^{\mathrm{D}}+\mathbf{H}_k^{\mathrm{B}}\boldsymbol{\Theta}\mathbf{H}_j^{\mathrm{F}})\mathbf{W}_j\right\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 \tag{27a}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{\Theta}_g^{\mathsf{H}}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_g=\mathbf{I}, \quad \forall g, \tag{27b}$$

$$\mathbf{G}_k\mathbf{G}_k^{\mathsf{H}}=\mathbf{I}, \quad \mathbf{W}_k^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{W}_k=\mathbf{I}, \quad \forall k. \tag{27c}$$

The non-convex problem can be solved by Block Coordinate Descent (BCD) method. For a given $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$, it reduces to conventional linear beamforming problem, for which an iterative algorithm alternating between the original and reciprocal networks is proposed in [53], [54]. At iteration r, the combiner at receiver k is updated as

$$\mathbf{G}_k^{(r)}=\mathbf{U}_{k,N}^{(r-1)\,\mathsf{H}}, \tag{28}$$

where $\mathbf{U}_{k,N}^{(r-1)}$ is the eigenvectors corresponding to N smallest eigenvalues of interference covariance matrix $\mathbf{Q}_k^{(r-1)}=\sum_{j\neq k}\mathbf{H}_{kj}\mathbf{W}_j^{(r-1)}\mathbf{W}_j^{(r-1)\,\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{H}_{kj}^{\mathsf{H}}$. The precoder at transmitter j is updated as

$$\mathbf{W}_j^{(r)}=\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,N}^{(r)}, \tag{29}$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{U}}_{j,N}^{(r)}$ corresponds to interference covariance matrix $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_j^{(r)}=\sum_{k\neq j}\mathbf{H}_{kj}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{G}_k^{(r)\,\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{G}_k^{(r)}\mathbf{H}_{kj}$ in the reciprocal network. Once $\{\mathbf{G}_k\}$ and $\{\mathbf{W}_k\}$ are determined, we define $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{kj}^{\mathrm{D}}\triangleq\mathbf{G}_k\mathbf{H}_{kj}^{\mathrm{D}}\mathbf{W}_j$, $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_k^{\mathrm{B}}\triangleq\mathbf{G}_k\mathbf{H}_k^{\mathrm{B}}$, and $\bar{\mathbf{H}}_j^{\mathrm{F}}\triangleq\mathbf{H}_j^{\mathrm{F}}\mathbf{W}_j$. The BD RIS subproblem reduces to

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{\Theta}} \quad \sum_{j\neq k}\sum \left\|(\bar{\mathbf{H}}_{kj}^{\mathrm{D}}+\bar{\mathbf{H}}_k^{\mathrm{B}}\boldsymbol{\Theta}\bar{\mathbf{H}}_j^{\mathrm{F}})\right\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2 \tag{30a}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{\Theta}_g^{\mathsf{H}}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_g=\mathbf{I}, \quad \forall g. \tag{30b}$$



Fig. 7. Average leakage interference versus RIS elements N^{S} and group size L. Transmitters and receivers are randomly generated in a disk of radius 50 m centered at the RIS. $(N^{\mathrm{T}},N^{\mathrm{R}},N^{\mathrm{E}},K)=(8,4,3,5)$, $(\gamma^{\mathrm{D}},\gamma^{\mathrm{F}},\gamma^{\mathrm{B}})=(3,2.4,2.4)$, and reference pathloss at 1 m is -30 dB.

Proposition 3. *Start from any $\boldsymbol{\Theta}^{(0)}$, the sequence*

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}_g^{(r+1)}=\mathbf{U}_g^{(r)}\mathbf{V}_g^{(r)}, \quad \forall g \tag{31}$$

converges to a stationary point of (30)*, where $\mathbf{U}_g^{(r)}$ and $\mathbf{V}_g^{(r)}$ are left and right singular matrix of*

$$\mathbf{M}_g^{(r)}=\sum_{j\neq k}\sum\big(\mathbf{B}_{k,g}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_g^{(r)}\mathbf{H}_{j,g}^{\mathrm{F}}-\mathbf{H}_{k,g}^{\mathrm{B}}{}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{D}_{kj,g}^{(r)}\big)\mathbf{H}_{j,g}^{\mathrm{F}}{}^{\mathsf{H}}, \tag{32}$$

where $\mathbf{B}_{k,g}=\lambda_1\big(\mathbf{H}_{k,g}^{\mathrm{B}}\mathbf{H}_{k,g}^{\mathrm{B}}{}^{\mathsf{H}}\big)\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{H}_{k,g}^{\mathrm{B}}{}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{H}_{k,g}^{\mathrm{B}}$ *and*

$$\mathbf{D}_{kj,g}^{(r)}=\mathbf{H}_{jk}^{\mathrm{D}}+\sum_{g'<g}\mathbf{H}_{k,g'}^{\mathrm{B}}{}^{\mathsf{H}}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{g'}^{(r+1)}\mathbf{H}_{k,g'}^{\mathrm{F}}+\sum_{g'>g}\mathbf{H}_{k,g'}^{\mathrm{B}}{}^{\mathsf{H}}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{g'}^{(r)}\mathbf{H}_{k,g'}^{\mathrm{F}}. \tag{33}$$

Proof. To be added. □

Fig. 7 illustrates how BD RIS helps to reduce the leakage interference. In this case, a fully-connected 2^n-element BD RIS is almost as good as a diagonal 2^{n+2}-element RIS in terms of leakage interference. Interestingly, the result suggests that BD RIS can achieve a higher DoF than diagonal RIS in MIMO-IC, which is not the case in MIMO-PC (as discussed in III-A1).

B. Weighted Sum-Rate Maximization

$$\max_{\boldsymbol{\Theta},\{\mathbf{W}_k\}} \quad J_2=\sum_k\rho_k\operatorname{logdet}\left(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{H}_{kj}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{Q}_k^{-1}\mathbf{H}_{kj}\mathbf{W}_k\right) \tag{34a}$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \boldsymbol{\Theta}_g^{\mathsf{H}}\boldsymbol{\Theta}_g=\mathbf{I}, \quad \forall g, \tag{34b}$$

$$\|\mathbf{W}_k\|_{\mathrm{F}}^2\leq P_k. \quad \forall k \tag{34c}$$

where ρ_k is the weight of user k and $\mathbf{Q}_k$ is the interference-plus-noise covariance matrix

$$\mathbf{Q}_k=\sum_{j\neq k}\mathbf{H}_{kj}\mathbf{W}_j\mathbf{W}_j{}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{H}_{kj}^{\mathsf{H}}+\eta\mathbf{I}. \tag{35}$$

For a given $\boldsymbol{\Theta}$, (34) reduces to conventional linear beamforming problem, for which a closed-form iterative solution based on Weighted Sum-Rate (WSR)-Weighted MMSE (WMMSE)



Fig. 8. Average weighted sum-rate versus SNR, RIS elements N^{S} and group size L. $(N^{\mathrm{T}},N^{\mathrm{R}},N^{\mathrm{E}},K)=(8,4,3,5)$, $(\Lambda^{\mathrm{D}},\Lambda^{\mathrm{F}},\Lambda^{\mathrm{B}})=(65,54,46)$dB, $\rho_k=1$, $\forall k$.



Fig. 9. Average weighted sum-rate versus user pairs K, RIS elements N^{S} and group size L at SNR$=$15dB. $(N^{\mathrm{T}},N^{\mathrm{R}},N^{\mathrm{E}})=(4,4,3)$, $\rho_k=1$, $\forall k$.

relationship is proposed in [55]. At iteration r, the Minimum Mean-Square Error (MMSE) combiner at receiver k is

$$\mathbf{G}_k^{(r)}=\mathbf{W}_k^{(r-1)^{\mathsf{H}}}\mathbf{H}_{kk}^{\mathsf{H}}\big(\mathbf{Q}_k^{(r-1)}+\mathbf{H}_{kk}\mathbf{W}_k^{(r-1)}\mathbf{W}_k^{(r-1)^{\mathsf{H}}}\mathbf{H}_{kk}^{\mathsf{H}}\big)^{-1}, \tag{36}$$

the corresponding error matrix is

$$\mathbf{E}_k^{(r)}=\big(\mathbf{I}+\mathbf{W}_k^{(r-1)^{\mathsf{H}}}\mathbf{H}_{kk}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{Q}_k^{(r-1)}\mathbf{H}_{kk}\mathbf{W}_k^{(r-1)}\big)^{-1}, \tag{37}$$

the Mean-Square Error (MSE) weight is

$$\mathbf{\Omega}_k^{(r)}=\rho_k\mathbf{E}_k^{(r)^{-1}}, \tag{38}$$

the Lagrange multiplier is

$$\lambda_k^{(r)}=\frac{\mathrm{tr}\big(\eta\mathbf{\Omega}_k^{(r)}\mathbf{G}_k^{(r)}\mathbf{G}_k^{(r)^{\mathsf{H}}}+\sum_j\mathbf{\Omega}_k^{(r)}\mathbf{T}_{kj}^{(r)}\mathbf{T}_{kj}^{(r)^{\mathsf{H}}}-\mathbf{\Omega}_j^{(r)}\mathbf{T}_{jk}^{(r)}\mathbf{T}_{jk}^{(r)^{\mathsf{H}}}\big)}{P_k} \tag{39}$$

where $\mathbf{T}_{kj}^{(r)}=\mathbf{G}_k^{(r)}\mathbf{H}_{kj}\mathbf{W}_j^{(r)}$. The precoder at transmitter k is

$$\mathbf{W}_k^{(r)}=\Big(\sum_j\mathbf{H}_{jk}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{G}_j^{(r)^{\mathsf{H}}}\mathbf{\Omega}_k^{(r)}\mathbf{G}_j^{(r)}\mathbf{H}_{jk}+\lambda_k^{(r)}\mathbf{I}\Big)^{-1}\mathbf{H}_{kk}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{G}_j^{(r)^{\mathsf{H}}}\mathbf{\Omega}_k^{(r)}. \tag{40}$$

Once $\{\mathbf{W}_k\}$ is determined, the complex derivative of (34a) w.r.t. RIS block g is

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial J_2}{\partial\mathbf{\Theta}_g^*}=&\sum_k\rho_k\mathbf{H}_{k,g}^{\mathrm{B}}{}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{Q}_k^{-1}\mathbf{H}_{kk}\mathbf{W}_k\mathbf{E}_k\mathbf{W}_k^{\mathsf{H}}\\&\times\big(\mathbf{H}_{k,g}^{\mathrm{F}}{}^{\mathsf{H}}-\mathbf{H}_{kk}^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{Q}_k^{-1}\sum_{j\neq k}\mathbf{H}_{kj}\mathbf{W}_j\mathbf{W}_j^{\mathsf{H}}\mathbf{H}_{j,g}^{\mathrm{F}}{}^{\mathsf{H}}\big).\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

The RIS subproblem can be solved by RCG Algorithm 1 with (26) replaced by (41).

A new observation from Fig. 8 that the interference alignment capability of BD RIS scales much faster with group size than number of elements.[7]

References

[1] E. Basar, M. D. Renzo, J. D. Rosny, M. Debbah, M.-S. Alouini, and R. Zhang, "Wireless communications through reconfigurable intelligent surfaces," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 116 753–116 773, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8796365/

[2] Q. Wu and R. Zhang, "Intelligent reflecting surface enhanced wireless network via joint active and passive beamforming," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 18, pp. 5394–5409, 11 2019. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8811733/

[7]The results are not very stable and depend heavily on initialization.

[3] ——, "Beamforming optimization for wireless network aided by intelligent reflecting surface with discrete phase shifts," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 68, pp. 1838–1851, 3 2020. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8930608/

[4] Y. Yang, S. Zhang, and R. Zhang, "Irs-enhanced ofdma: Joint resource allocation and passive beamforming optimization," *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 9, pp. 760–764, 6 2020. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8964457/

[5] B. Zheng, C. You, and R. Zhang, "Double-irs assisted multi-user mimo: Cooperative passive beamforming design," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 20, pp. 4513–4526, 7 2021. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9362274/

[6] X. Jia, J. Zhao, X. Zhou, and D. Niyato, "Intelligent reflecting surface-aided backscatter communications," vol. 2020-Janua. IEEE, 12 2020, pp. 1–6. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9348003/

[7] Y. C. Liang, Q. Zhang, J. Wang, R. Long, H. Zhou, and G. Yang, "Backscatter communication assisted by reconfigurable intelligent surfaces," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 2022.

[8] R. Liu, M. Li, Y. Liu, Q. Wu, and Q. Liu, "Joint transmit waveform and passive beamforming design for ris-aided dfrc systems," *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, pp. 1–1, 5 2022.

[9] M. Hua, Q. Wu, C. He, S. Ma, and W. Chen, "Joint active and passive beamforming design for irs-aided radar-communication," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 22, pp. 2278–2294, 4 2023.

[10] Q. Wu, X. Zhou, W. Chen, J. Li, and X. Zhang, "Irs-aided wpcns: A new optimization framework for dynamic irs beamforming," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, pp. 1–1, 12 2021.

[11] Z. Feng, B. Clerckx, and Y. Zhao, "Waveform and beamforming design for intelligent reflecting surface aided wireless power transfer: Single-user and multi-user solutions," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2022.

[12] Y. Zhao, B. Clerckx, and Z. Feng, "Irs-aided swipt: Joint waveform, active and passive beamforming design under nonlinear harvester model," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 70, pp. 1345–1359, 2022.

[13] R. Karasik, O. Simeone, M. D. Renzo, and S. S. Shitz, "Beyond max-snr: Joint encoding for reconfigurable intelligent surfaces," vol. 2020-June. IEEE, 6 2020, pp. 2965–2970. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9174060/

[14] E. Basar, "Reconfigurable intelligent surface-based index modulation: A new beyond mimo paradigm for 6g," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 68, pp. 3187–3196, 5 2020. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8981888/

[15] Y. Zhao and B. Clerckx, "Riscatter: Unifying backscatter communication and reconfigurable intelligent surface," 12 2022. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2212.09121

[16] W. Tang, J. Y. Dai, M. Chen, X. Li, Q. Cheng, S. Jin, K. Wong, and T. J. Cui, "Programmable metasurface-based rf chain-free 8psk wireless transmitter," *Electronics Letters*, vol. 55, pp. 417–420, 4 2019. [Online]. Available: https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1049/el.2019.0400

[17] J. Y. Dai, W. Tang, L. X. Yang, X. Li, M. Z. Chen, J. C. Ke, Q. Cheng, S. Jin, and T. J. Cui, "Realization of multi-modulation schemes for wireless communication by time-domain digital coding metasurface," *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, vol. 68, pp. 1618–1627, 3 2020. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8901437/

[18] Q. Wu and R. Zhang, "Towards smart and reconfigurable environment: Intelligent reflecting surface aided wireless network," *IEEE*

Communications Magazine, vol. 58, pp. 106–112, 1 2020. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8910627/

[19] S. Shen, B. Clerckx, and R. Murch, "Modeling and architecture design of reconfigurable intelligent surfaces using scattering parameter network analysis," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, pp. 1–1, 11 2021. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9514409/

[20] H. Li, S. Shen, and B. Clerckx, "Beyond diagonal reconfigurable intelligent surfaces: From transmitting and reflecting modes to single-, group-, and fully-connected architectures," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 22, pp. 2311–2324, 4 2023.

[21] M. Nerini, S. Shen, and B. Clerckx, "Closed-form global optimization of beyond diagonal reconfigurable intelligent surfaces," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, pp. 1–1, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10155675/

[22] I. Santamaria, M. Soleymani, E. Jorswieck, and J. Gutiérrez, "Snr maximization in beyond diagonal ris-assisted single and multiple antenna links," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, vol. 30, pp. 923–926, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10187688/

[23] T. Fang and Y. Mao, "A low-complexity beamforming design for beyond-diagonal ris aided multi-user networks," *IEEE Communications Letters*, pp. 1–1, 7 2023. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10319662/

[24] M. Nerini, S. Shen, H. Li, and B. Clerckx, "Beyond diagonal reconfigurable intelligent surfaces utilizing graph theory: Modeling, architecture design, and optimization," 5 2023. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2305.05013

[25] Y. Zhou, Y. Liu, H. Li, Q. Wu, S. Shen, and B. Clerckx, "Optimizing power consumption, energy efficiency and sum-rate using beyond diagonal ris — a unified approach," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, pp. 1–1, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10364738/

[26] H. Li, S. Shen, and B. Clerckx, "A dynamic grouping strategy for beyond diagonal reconfigurable intelligent surfaces with hybrid transmitting and reflecting mode," *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 12 2023.

[27] G. Bartoli, A. Abrardo, N. Decarli, D. Dardari, and M. D. Renzo, "Spatial multiplexing in near field mimo channels with reconfigurable intelligent surfaces," *IET Signal Processing*, vol. 17, 3 2023. [Online]. Available: https://ietresearch.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1049/sil2.12195

[28] H. Li, S. Shen, and B. Clerckx, "Beyond diagonal reconfigurable intelligent surfaces: A multi-sector mode enabling highly directional full-space wireless coverage," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 41, pp. 2446–2460, 8 2023.

[29] H. Li, Y. Zhang, and B. Clerckx, "Channel estimation for beyond diagonal reconfigurable intelligent surfaces with group-connected architectures," 7 2023. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2307.06129

[30] H. Li, S. Shen, M. Nerini, M. D. Renzo, and B. Clerckx, "Beyond diagonal reconfigurable intelligent surfaces with mutual coupling: Modeling and optimization," 10 2023. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2310.02708

[31] H. Li, S. Shen, M. Nerini, and B. Clerckx, "Reconfigurable intelligent surfaces 2.0: Beyond diagonal phase shift matrices," 1 2023. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2301.03288

[32] M. A. ElMossallamy, H. Zhang, R. Sultan, K. G. Seddik, L. Song, G. Y. Li, and Z. Han, "On spatial multiplexing using reconfigurable intelligent surfaces," *IEEE Wireless Communications Letters*, vol. 10, pp. 226–230, 2 2021. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9200661/

[33] S. Meng, W. Tang, W. Chen, J. Lan, Q. Y. Zhou, Y. Han, X. Li, and S. Jin, "Rank optimization for mimo channel with ris: Simulation and measurement," 7 2023. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/2307.13237

[34] Y. Zheng, T. Lin, and Y. Zhu, "Passive beamforming for irs-assisted mu-mimo systems with one-bit adcs: An ser minimization design approach," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 26, pp. 1101–1105, 5 2022. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9706177/

[35] W. Huang, B. Lei, S. He, C. Kai, and C. Li, "Condition number improvement of irs-aided near-field mimo channels." IEEE, 5 2023, pp. 1210–1215. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10283534/

[36] A. H. Bafghi, V. Jamali, M. Nasiri-Kenari, and R. Schober, "Degrees of freedom of the k-user interference channel assisted by active and passive irss," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 70, pp. 3063–3080, 5 2022.

[37] S. Zheng, B. Lv, T. Zhang, Y. Xu, G. Chen, R. Wang, and P. C. Ching, "On dof of active ris-assisted mimo interference channel with arbitrary antenna configurations: When will ris help?" *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*, 12 2023.

[38] S. H. Chae and K. Lee, "Cooperative communication for the rank-deficient mimo interference channel with a reconfigurable intelligent surface," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 22, pp. 2099–2112, 3 2023.

[39] I. Santamaria, M. Soleymani, E. Jorswieck, and J. Gutiérrez, "Interference leakage minimization in ris-assisted mimo interference channels," vol. 39. IEEE, 6 2023, pp. 1–5. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10094656/

[40] H.-R. Ahn, *Asymmetric Passive Components in Microwave Integrated Circuits*. Wiley, 2006. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=X6WdLbOuSNQC

[41] P.-A. Absil, R. Mahony, and R. Sepulchre, *Optimization Algorithms on Matrix Manifolds*. Princeton University Press, 2009. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=NSQGQeLN3NcC

[42] C. Pan, G. Zhou, K. Zhi, S. Hong, T. Wu, Y. Pan, H. Ren, M. D. Renzo, A. L. Swindlehurst, R. Zhang, and A. Y. Zhang, "An overview of signal processing techniques for ris/irs-aided wireless systems," *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, vol. 16, pp. 883–917, 8 2022. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/9847080/

[43] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson, *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 2012. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=O7sgAwAAQBAJ

[44] D. Semmler, M. Joham, and W. Utschick, "High snr analysis of ris-aided mimo broadcast channels," in *2023 IEEE 24th International Workshop on Signal Processing Advances in Wireless Communications (SPAWC)*. IEEE, 9 2023, pp. 221–225. [Online]. Available: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10304487/

[45] G. H. Golub and C. F. V. Loan, *Matrix Computations*. Johns Hopkins University Press, 2013. [Online]. Available: https://jhupbooks.press.jhu.edu/title/matrix-computations

[46] R. Bhatia, "Linear algebra to quantum cohomology: The story of alfred horn's inequalities," *The American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 108, pp. 289–318, 4 2001. [Online]. Available: https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/00029890.2001.11919754

[47] L. Hogben, *Handbook of Linear Algebra*. CRC press, 2013.

[48] J. C. Gower and G. B. Dijksterhuis, *Procrustes Problems*. OUP Oxford, 2004. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=kRRREAAAQBAJ

[49] T. Bell, "Global positioning system-based attitude determination and the orthogonal procrustes problem," *Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics*, vol. 26, pp. 820–822, 9 2003. [Online]. Available: https://arc.aiaa.org/doi/10.2514/2.5117

[50] F. Nie, R. Zhang, and X. Li, "A generalized power iteration method for solving quadratic problem on the stiefel manifold," *Science China Information Sciences*, vol. 60, p. 112101, 11 2017. [Online]. Available: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/s11432-016-9021-9

[51] T. Abrudan, J. Eriksson, and V. Koivunen, "Conjugate gradient algorithm for optimization under unitary matrix constraint," *Signal Processing*, vol. 89, pp. 1704–1714, 9 2009. [Online]. Available: https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0165168409000814

[52] T. E. Abrudan, J. Eriksson, and V. Koivunen, "Steepest descent algorithms for optimization under unitary matrix constraint," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 56, pp. 1134–1147, 3 2008. [Online]. Available: http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/4436033/

[53] K. Gomadam, V. R. Cadambe, and S. A. Jafar, "A distributed numerical approach to interference alignment and applications to wireless interference networks," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 57, pp. 3309–3322, 6 2011. [Online]. Available: http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5773023/

[54] B. Clerckx and C. Oestges, *MIMO Wireless Networks: Channels, Techniques and Standards for Multi-Antenna, Multi-User and Multi-Cell Systems*. Elsevier Science, 2013. [Online]. Available: https://books.google.co.uk/books?id=drEX1J7jHUIC

[55] F. Negro, S. P. Shenoy, I. Ghauri, and D. T. Slock, "Weighted sum rate maximization in the mimo interference channel." IEEE, 9 2010, pp. 684–689. [Online]. Available: http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/5671658/