| MOB Midterm Project - Rubric | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Performance | | | Score | Instructor / TA Comments | | |
| Арр | Exceed (2) | Pass (1) | Doesn't pass (0) | N/A | | |
| App readiness | App is usable and has a clear, easily understandable user interface. App does not exhibit major bugs for common or 'error' use cases. | App meets App Store guidelines. App is usable, though with minor usability quirks that may confuse users. App is stable for common use cases, though not for 'error' use cases. | complete, has serious usability or stability | | | |
| Execution & scope | App meets all required features, plus some bonus features. Team actively tracked all work items on task tracker. | features cut. Team tracked most tasks via a task tracker. | App differs significantly from requirements document. Team did not track tasks. | | | |
| Presentation & communication (Optional) | Topic presented with clear description of team's implementation and alternate implementations. Team was able to efficiently communicate and answer questions on the tradeoffs of each approach, how each approach might work, and made an elegant argument for their chosen implementation. | description of the team's implementation. Team gave rough overview of other possible implementations. Team was able to answer some questions about their product. Team did not adequately describe why they chose the | Topic presented very minimally. Unclear description of problem to be solved or possible solutions to that problem. Unable to answer audience questions about app imlementation. Did not present alternate implementations to their own, or discuss tradeoffs. | Team did not give | | |
| Technical | | | | | | |
| Stability & performance | Manual inspection of code does not have obvious major or minor bugs, and correclty uses all APIs. No significant performance issues. Edge cases caught and errors handled and surfaced correctly No obvious crashes on manual code inspection. | for the most part correctty uses Apple's | | | | |
| Style and readability | Code has consistent style throughout codebase in a manner that is consistent with other iOS APIs. Commented well in all unclear areas. Variables and function names clear and consistent. | few outliers. A few comments peppered throughout the codebase. Variables and function names make sense and are | Code is inconsistently styled throughout codebase, or styled in a non-Swift/non-iOS manner. Commented out code abounds. No comments about unclear code. Variable and function names inconsistent and unclear. | | | |
| OO and app design | No tightly coupled classes. All classes do one thing and do it well. Elegant use of iOS design patterns. No classes are extremely large. Extensive use of inerhetance/OO concepts. Extremely minimal duplication of code. | quite large. Moderate use of iOS design patterns. Some use of inheritance/OO | Tightly coupled classes about. Most classes have multiple, divergent responsibilities and are quite large. Poor or no use of iOS design patterns. No use of inheritance/OO concepts. Duplicated code abounds. | | | |
| | | | | TOTAL | | |