early responders to the disaster, but adds âÇlThese remarkable efforts were necessary, however, because of a lack of advance preparation by industry and government.âGLĊThe attack on the efforts to fix the problem contains two other critical observationsâGĵâGLthe government was unprepared to oversee a deepwater source control effortâĢL and âĢlunderestimates of the flow rate appear to have impeded planning for source-control effortsâGL to completely cap the leak. CThe criticisms may not be entirely fair. It is impossible for the federal government to anticipate every potential disaster that might occur. That would mean federal authorities would have to deploy tens of thousands of people to watch for and analyze everything from large fires in national parks to massive mine collapses that could kill hundreds of miners. The idea that government agencies can anticipate and solve such a huge number of potential troubles is naive. CThe second issue raised by the commission is that BP and government officials on site early could have properly measured the flow of oil from the leak. It needs to be remembered that estimates for the spill varied wildly for weeks after the catastrophe. There were even interactive maps of the effects of the spill that showed oil moving up the eastern seaboard of the US and well into

the Atlantic.CIt

Oil Spill created by the Administration. The report does praise the efforts by