

ACM106a Set 5 Problem 1

1. 2) As one might expect by the complexities of the methods, we see that SOR performs best (approaches the solution fastest) ~~therefore~~, Gauss-Seidel is second-best, and Jacobi is slowest of the three (in terms of # of iterations).
- 3) It seems like the best ω is either $\omega=1.2$ or $\omega=1.4$.
- 4) The accuracy of the numerical solution does appear to ~~decrease~~ increase as ϵ is lowered.

ACM106a Set 5 Problem 2

2.1) We can consider the optimization $\min_{u \in C} \|Ax - u\|_2$ as projecting Ax onto x .
Therefore the proposed equality $\|Ax - R(x)x\|_2 = \min_{u \in C} \|Ax - u\|_2$ is true
if and only if $R(x)x = \text{proj}_x(Ax)$

$$\rightarrow R(x)x \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{x \cdot Ax}{x \cdot x} x \rightarrow R(x)x = \underbrace{\frac{x^* Ax}{x^* x}}_{\text{note} = R(x)} x \quad \text{which is formally true, so we are done.}$$

2.2) i) We start from

$$q_k = \frac{Aq_{k-1}}{\|Aq_{k-1}\|_2}$$

$$\rightarrow = \frac{Aq_{k-1}}{\mu_{k-1}} = \frac{A}{\mu_{k-1}} \frac{Aq_{k-2}}{\|Aq_{k-2}\|_2} = \frac{1}{\mu_{k-1}\mu_{k-2}} AAq_{k-2} = \frac{1}{\mu_{k-1}\mu_{k-2}\mu_{k-3}} AAAq_{k-3}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu_{k-1}\mu_{k-2}\dots\mu_0} A^k q_0 = \frac{1}{\mu_{k-1}\mu_{k-2}\dots\mu_0} A^k (c_1 p_1 + c_2 p_2 + \dots + c_n p_n)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu_{k-1}\mu_{k-2}\dots\mu_0} (c_1 A^k p_1 + c_2 A^k p_2 + \dots + c_n A^k p_n)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\mu_{k-1}\mu_{k-2}\dots\mu_0} (c_1 \lambda_1^k p_1 + c_2 \lambda_2^k p_2 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n^k p_n) \text{ as desired.}$$

ii) From (i), we have that $q_k = \frac{1}{\mu_{k-1}\mu_{k-2}\dots\mu_0} (c_1 \lambda_1^k p_1 + c_2 \lambda_2^k p_2 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n^k p_n)$

$$\rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|q_k\|_2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{\mu_{k-1}\mu_{k-2}\dots\mu_0} (c_1 \lambda_1^k p_1 + c_2 \lambda_2^k p_2 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n^k p_n) \right\|$$

Note that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|(c_1 \lambda_1^k p_1 + c_2 \lambda_2^k p_2 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n^k p_n)\| = \|c_1 \lambda_1^k p_1\|$ since $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| > \dots > |\lambda_n|$

$$\rightarrow 1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|c_1 \lambda_1^k p_1\|}{\mu_{k-1}\mu_{k-2}\dots\mu_0} \rightarrow 1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|c_1 \lambda_1^k| \|p_1\|}{\mu_{k-1}\mu_{k-2}\dots\mu_0} \rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|c_1 \lambda_1^k|}{\mu_{k-1}\mu_{k-2}\dots\mu_0} = 1 \text{ as desired.}$$

(cont'd on next page).

ACM106a Set 5 Problem 2 (cont'd)

$$2.2) \text{ iii) } q_k = \alpha_k p_1 + \alpha_k \frac{c_2 \lambda_1^k}{c_1 \lambda_1^k} p_2 + \dots + \alpha_k \frac{c_n \lambda_n^k}{c_1 \lambda_1^k} p_n$$

$$\rightarrow \|q_k\|_2 = |\alpha_k| \left\| p_1 + \frac{c_2 \lambda_1^k}{c_1 \lambda_1^k} p_2 + \dots + \frac{c_n \lambda_n^k}{c_1 \lambda_1^k} p_n \right\|$$

$$\rightarrow 1 = |\alpha_k| \left\| p_1 + \frac{c_2 \lambda_1^k}{c_1 \lambda_1^k} p_2 + \dots + \frac{c_n \lambda_n^k}{c_1 \lambda_1^k} p_n \right\|$$

$$\rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_k| \left\| p_1 + \frac{c_2 \lambda_1^k}{c_1 \lambda_1^k} p_2 + \dots + \frac{c_n \lambda_n^k}{c_1 \lambda_1^k} p_n \right\|$$

can do this for sufficiently large k since $\lambda_1 \gg \lambda_i$ and so on

$$\rightarrow 1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_k| \left(1 + O\left(\left|\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right|^k\right) + O\left(\left|\frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_1}\right|^k\right) + \dots + O\left(\left|\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_1}\right|^k\right) \right)$$

$$\rightarrow |\alpha_k| - 1 = O\left(\left|\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right|^k\right) \text{ as desired.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{iv) } q_k^* A q_k &= q_k^* \left[\alpha_k A p_1 + \alpha_k \frac{c_2 \lambda_1^k}{c_1 \lambda_1^k} p_2 + \dots + \alpha_k \frac{c_n \lambda_n^k}{c_1 \lambda_1^k} p_n \right] \\ &= q_k^* \left[\alpha_k \lambda_1 p_1 + \frac{c_2 \lambda_1^{k+1}}{c_1 \lambda_1^k} p_2 + \dots + \alpha_k \frac{c_n \lambda_n^{k+1}}{c_1 \lambda_1^k} p_n \right] \\ &\quad \underbrace{\qquad\qquad\qquad}_{O\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^k\right)} \\ &= \lambda_1 + O\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^k\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow |q_k^* A q_k - \lambda_1| = O\left(\left|\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right|^k\right) \text{ as desired.}$$

v) Since A is Hermitian, you instead get

$$q_k^* A q_k = \lambda_1 + O\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^{2k}\right)$$

$$\rightarrow |q_k^* A q_k - \lambda_1| = O\left(\left|\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right|^{2k}\right) \text{ as desired.}$$