TABLE OF CONTENTS

摘	要	i
AE	SSTRACT	ii
誌	謝詞	.iii
TA	BLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIS	ST OF FIGURES	vi
LIS	ST OF TABLES	viii
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Motivation	2
	1.3 Research Framework	3
2.	LITERATURE REVIEW	5
	2.1 Probabilistic Traveling Salesman Problem (PTSP)	
	2.1.1 Problem Definition and Related Models	
	2.1.2 Methodology and Complexities	7
	2.2 Dynamic Traveling Salesman Problem/ Dynamic Vehicle Routing Problem	n
	(DTSP/DVRP)	9
	2.2.1 Introduction	9
	2.2.2 Courier mail services	.10
	2.2.3. Double-horizon (DH) based heuristic	.11
3.	MODEL CONSTRUCTION	.13
	3.1 Problem Statement	.13
	3.2 Model Framework	.15
	3.2.1 A multi-objective <i>a priori</i> PTSP model	.16
	3.2.2 Expected values in PTSP	.18

4. TWO-STAGE HEURISTIC METHOD	21
4.1 The Local Search Techniques	21
4.1.1 The 2-p-opt local search	22
4.1.2 The 1-shift local search	23
4.2 Stage I - Initial Feasible Route Construction	25
4.2.1 The Slack-time Maximizing (STM) Method	25
4.2.2 The Backtracking Method	28
4.3 StageII - Route Improvement	31
5. COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS	33
5.1 Algorithms Comparisons	33
5.2 The Simulation Results of Different Parameter Settings	34
5.3 The Impacts of Different Proportions of Immediate Requests to All	
Customers	39
5.4 The Impacts of Different Widths of Time Windows	42
5.5 The Impacts of Different Request Probabilities	44
6. CONCLUSIONS	47
7. REFERENCES	49

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 1.1 Framework of this study4
Fig. 2.1 Simple graphical example of a PTSP
Fig. 2.2 Intersection of the optimal PTSP tour
Fig. 2.3 The two time horizons
Fig. 3.1 Simplified framework of common carrier network
Fig. 3.2 Hierarchical objectives
Fig. 3.3 Four instances of a four-nodes example
Fig. 4.1 The two-stage heuristic method
Fig. 4.2 A simple example of 2-p-opt neighborhood
Fig. 4.3 The flowchart of the 2-p-opt local search
Fig. 4.4 A simple example of 1-shift neighborhood
Fig. 4.5 The flowchart of the STM method
Fig. 4.6 The flowchart of the Backtracking method
Fig. 4.7 A simple illustration of the Backtracking method
Fig. 4.8 The flowchart of route improvement (Stage II) heuristic
Fig. 5.1 The calculating time comparison of GENIUS and the proposed algorithm36
Fig. 5.2 Average total traveling time under different degree of dynamisms41
Fig. 5.3 Differences between average numbers of accepted immediate requests under
different average widths of time windows
Fig. 5.4 Differences between average total traveling times under different average
widths of time windows44
Fig. 5.5 Differences between average numbers of accepted immediate requests
different request probability of regular customers45
Fig. 5.6 Differences between average total traveling times under different request

