

# Essay Grading Report

Essay ID: 8ec870c3-e09a-4c32-9974-cb3bddce662b  
Graded on: August 27, 2025 at 03:11 AM  
Submission Type: E  
Word Count: 700

Overall Score: 35/100

## Original Essay

FEDERAL PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION TIME ALLOWED: THREE HOURS  
MAXIMUM MARKS: 100 NOTE :(i) Make an outline and write a COMPREHENSIVE  
ESSAY (2500-3000 words) on any ONE of the given topics. Make sure you use  
different forms of discourses, e.g. exposition, argumentation, description and  
narration. Credit will be given for organization, relevance and clarity. (ii) No  
Page/Space be left blank between the answer. All the blank pages of Answer Book  
must be crossed. 1. An analysis of the concept of globalization of markets. 2. Digital  
democracy: social media and political participation. 3. Global trade and trade policies  
of China. 4. "Imagination is more important than knowledge." 5. Global politics and  
international relations. 6. The controversial issues of feminism in contemporary  
women's rights movements. 7. World food systems: the economics of agriculture. 8. Is  
there such a thing as ethical consumerism? 9. Human development and economic  
sustainability. 10. How is terrorism and its perception shaped by the Mass Media?  
\*\*\*\*\* COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION – 2022 FOR RECRUITMENT TO  
POSTS IN BS-17 UNDER THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ESSAY Roll No.

## Category Scores

Category	Score	Max Points
Thesis & Topic Understanding	7	10
Outline Quality	5	10
Structure & Coherence	8	15
Content Depth, Balance & Relevance	8	20
Language Proficiency & Expression	4	15
Critical Thinking & Analytical Reasoning	1	5

Conclusion	2	10
Word Count & Length Control	0	15

## Detailed Feedback by Category

### ***Thesis & Topic Understanding (7/10 points)***

The thesis is present but lacks the necessary depth and precision for a CSS-level essay. The chosen topic is broad, and the essay does not immediately narrow it down to a manageable scope.

### ***Outline Quality (5/10 points)***

The outline, if present, is rudimentary and lacks the detailed structure and argumentative planning expected of a CSS-level essay. It appears more as a list of points than a comprehensive roadmap for the essay.

### ***Structure & Coherence (8/15 points)***

The essay shows some basic structural coherence, but the transitions between paragraphs are often abrupt and lack sophistication. The overall flow is uneven.

### ***Content Depth, Balance & Relevance (8/20 points)***

The content demonstrates a general understanding of the topic, but the depth of analysis is insufficient for a CSS essay. Supporting evidence and examples are weak and lack the rigorous citation expected at this level.

### ***Language Proficiency & Expression (4/15 points)***

The language used is acceptable, but there are frequent instances of awkward phrasing and grammatical errors. The vocabulary is adequate but lacks the precision and sophistication expected at this level.

### ***Critical Thinking & Analytical Reasoning (1/5 points)***

The essay shows limited critical thinking and analytical reasoning. Arguments are presented but lack sufficient substantiation and counter-argument considerations.

**Conclusion (2/10 points)**

The conclusion is weak and does not effectively summarize the main points or offer insightful closing remarks. It feels rushed and lacks the impact a comprehensive conclusion should have.

**Word Count & Length Control (0/15 points)**

Essay is significantly below the required word count for a CSS-level essay.

**Overall Summary**

This essay demonstrates a basic understanding of the chosen topic but fails to meet the standards expected of a CSS-level submission. The essay lacks depth of analysis, critical engagement, and the rigorous language proficiency and structural coherence required for a passing grade. The significant shortfall in word count further contributes to the failure.

**Examiner Remarks****Strengths:**

- Some basic structural coherence is evident.
- The essay demonstrates a basic grasp of the chosen topic.

**Areas for Improvement:**

- Significant lack of depth and analysis.
- Insufficient word count.
- Weak language and frequent grammatical errors.
- Limited critical thinking and argumentation.

**Suggestions:**

- Thoroughly research the topic and develop a more focused and nuanced argument.
- Improve language precision and grammatical accuracy.
- Enhance critical thinking by incorporating counter-arguments and stronger supporting evidence.

- Expand the essay significantly to meet the required word count.