

# Assignment 1

## Exercise 1

### Part 1

#### Task

Draw the structure of the project's packages and classes. You have to: (i) decide the level of abstraction you want to use in this depiction, (ii) use natural language to explain your decision, and (iii) describe what you understood from this depiction of the system

#### Diagram of the project's packages and classes



Figure 1: pacman-project-structure

#### Why was this level of abstraction used?

The graph above depicts a simplified version of a class diagram for the Java Pacman Game. Since there are a lot of dependencies between the classes drawing all of the lines between them would've caused lots of confusion and it would be very difficult to differ between the distinct lines going from one class to another. Hence to simplify, we used a color coding scheme for each package and only drew the connecting lines between the different packages.

#### Description of the system based on the diagram above

Hereby we learn, that the entire game is divided into 6 larger packages. Most of the classes are inside their respective packages, only the classes “Launcher” and “PacManConfigurationException” throw exceptions. Initially, we can see that the class “Launcher” depends on all other packages and imports classes from them. Classes in the packages “sprite” and “board” are also imported in most of the other packages. This is logical, since both the board and the actual PacMan figure (Sprite) are both fundamental parts of the implementation of a PacMan game. These two packages are the main packages of the Java PacManImplementation.

### Part 2

#### Task

Draw a call graph, starting from what you deem the most prominent entry point. You have to: (i) decide how many levels you want to have in the call graph, (ii) use natural language to explain your decision, and (iii) explain what you have understood from this call graph about the dynamic behavior of the system. Hint: this project may have several entry points; those contained in an example or test folder/class are hardly the most prominent ones

### Call graph diagram



Figure 2: pacman-project-structure

### Why was this level of abstraction used?

#### Description of the dynamic behaviour of the system based on the diagram above

The call graph above shows the methods called to create a PacMan character and its 2D map.

As seen in the diagram, the Main function first calls launch. As the name suggests, the method “launches” the game in its initial setup. The makeGame() method is a crucial component to make a new level(level design and map boundaries) in the game.

At the same time, we step into creating a single Player game inside the created level. This in turn calls the createPacMan() method and creates the new PacMan character.

## Exercise 2 - A Checkers Game - Design

### Part 1

#### Task

Following the Responsibility Driven Design, start from the game’s requirements and rules and derive classes, responsibilities, and collaborations (use CRC cards). Describe each step you make and store the final cards in your answers

#### CRC Cards




Figure 3: checkers crc cards

## Description of the steps which lead to these CRC cards

Initially, we identified the different classes by going through the requirements of the game and by looking at the rules that we should implement. We separated classes that we knew would turn out to be essential from classes which we were uncertain of whether we should implement them. We then, added responsibilities to each class as we thought would be adequate. We stumbled upon the problem, that our “Board” class had too many responsibilities. So, to avoid a confusing project structure, we tried to divide the responsibilities onto as many classes as was logical. Lastly, we identified the collaborators of the subclasses and added arrows to facilitate getting a quick and easy overview of the class structure of our project just by getting a glance at the CRC cards. This would help with the further implementation of our classes into the actual code itself.

## Part 2

### Task

Following the Responsibility Driven Design, describe the main classes you designed to be your project in terms of responsibilities and collaborations

### Description of the main classes

In our checkers game design, we have 3 main groups of classes: GameLogic, Board and Rules.

It can be easily identified that, in terms of responsibilities and collaborations, a main class we came up with is the GameLogic class. As the name already states, it dictates the flow of the game. Examples of its main responsibilities include:

Checking whether a move input is valid or if the wincondition is met or not. For this, the class collaborates with the classes Rules and WinCondition respectively.

Another main part in our design are the list of rules. The different rules are implementations of the Rule interface. Each Rule validates one part of the given ruleset, e.g. if the direction of the move is forward for a pawn. The GameLogic goes through all the rules. If they all say that the move is valid, GameLogic can execute the move.

The Board class is also a crucial component in our design. It is this class that is responsible for moving the pieces around and delete them from the board when captured. The state of the board is thus directly related to the win conditions, as one of the win conditions is to check whether any opponent pieces are left on the board.

### **Part 3**

#### **Task**

Why do you consider the other classes as less important? Following the Responsibility Driven Design, reflect if some of those non-main classes have similar/little responsibility and could be changed, merged, or removed

#### **Discussion of less important classes**

In the terms of responsibilities, the other classes such as BoardPrinter and Move do not have many responsibilities to perform. The BoardPrinter class prints the board after every move in the terminal. It can be argued that this class can be merged with the Board class itself as they both share similarities. The WinCondition can also be merged with GameLogic. However, this would lead us to our original problem of overloading the classes.

## Part 4

### Task

Draw the class diagram of the aforementioned main elements of your game (do not forget to use elements such as parametrized classes or association constrains, if necessary)

### Class diagram




Figure 4: checkers class diagram

## Part 5

### Task

Draw the sequence diagram to describe how the main elements of your game interact (consider asynchrony and constraints, if necessary)

### Sequence diagram



Figure 5: checkers-sequence-diagram