Species Distribution Modeling in R

Sode A.I., Olajide A.Y., Nakhwala L., Opara A., Opoku M., Barasa C.W.

2024-09-25

Introduction

This tutorial presents the workflow to build a Species Distribution Model (SDM) for *Bidens bipinnata*, the most abundant introduced species in Fogo Island in Cabo Verde (West Africa).

We used **RMarkdown**, a simple formatting syntax for authoring HTML, MS Word, and PDF documents (see http://rmarkdown.rstudio.com). So, when you open the RMarkdown file and click the **Knit** button, a document will be generated that includes both content and the output of any embedded R code chunks within the document.

The tutorial is built on the blog post of Olivier 2024. However, we made major changes to the environmental data processing section as these data have different resolutions and projection systems.

In the next section, we will describe the data we used for building the distribution model for *Bidens bipinnata* in Fogo Island.

Description of the data

Two data types have been used to build the SDM for *B. bipinnata*: occurrence and environmental data. The **occurrence data** are constituted of the species' geographical coordinates (longitude and latitude). These data are the subset of the Fogo species data we used in the second Module of our learning materials. The **environmental data** stand for descriptors of the environment. They can include abiotic measurements such as temperature, precipitation, soil types, and land cover as well as biotic factors, such as the presence or absence of other species (like predators, competitors, or food sources). In this tutorial, we will focus on climate data and land cover.

Data preparation

Loading required R packages

```
library(terra)
## terra 1.7.78
library(geodata)
library(predicts)
```

Occurrence data

First, we load the occurrence data of *B. bipinnata* observed in Fogo Island.

```
obs_data <- read.csv(file = "occ_data/Bidens_bipinnata.csv")
head(obs_data)

## species longitude latitude
## 1 Bidens bipinnata 781245.0 1643415
## 2 Bidens bipinnata 781200.6 1643406
## 3 Bidens bipinnata 781107.8 1643438
## 4 Bidens bipinnata 781091.3 1643488
## 5 Bidens bipinnata 781051.6 1643561
## 6 Bidens bipinnata 781117.0 1643610</pre>
```

After loading the data, we get an overview about it.

```
summary(obs_data)
##
      species
                        longitude
                                          latitude
                      Min. :771175
                                              :1642888
##
   Length:132
                                       Min.
##
   Class :character
                      1st Qu.:778956
                                       1st Qu.:1649060
##
   Mode :character
                      Median :781090
                                       Median :1658847
##
                      Mean
                             :781810
                                       Mean
                                              :1655529
##
                      3rd Qu.:784493
                                       3rd Qu.:1661021
##
                      Max. :791290
                                              :1662071
                                       Max.
```

We drop NAs (if applicable) and make sure they went way before proceeding.

```
obs_data <- obs_data[!is.na(obs_data$latitude), ]</pre>
summary(obs_data)
##
                                           latitude
      species
                         longitude
##
    Length:132
                       Min. :771175
                                        Min.
                                               :1642888
##
    Class :character
                       1st Qu.:778956
                                        1st Qu.:1649060
   Mode :character
                                        Median :1658847
##
                       Median :781090
##
                       Mean
                              :781810
                                        Mean
                                               :1655529
##
                       3rd Qu.:784493
                                        3rd Qu.:1661021
##
                       Max. :791290
                                        Max. :1662071
```

After removing NAs, we create a spatial vector object using the UTM coordinate system. This will help us later when we need to overlay the species locations onto Fogo Island map.


Then we project the vector data into *long/lat* reference system to match it with the projection system of Fogo Island map and bioclimatic layers. Note that the climate data available in long/lat projection system will be downloaded in the next section.

```
obs_points <- project(obs_points, "+proj=longlat +datum=WGS84")</pre>
```

Before proceeding, we should load Fogo Island map to visualize the observed species locations.

```
# Load the map of Cabo Verde available as a shapefile.
map_fogo <- vect("gadm_cpv/fogo_island.shp")</pre>
```

We can then plot the observed data on the map.



Though our focus in this module is not mapping, readers can add to the map above a legend, title and any other formatting elements relevant for its understanding.

Environmental data

Bioclimatic data

After we processed the field data, we are going to download the climate data from the Worldclim website https://www.worldclim.org/.

```
# the path where the data should be stored
data_path <- "env_data/climate/wc2.1_country"</pre>
```

For simplicity purpose, we consider only three bioclimatic variables: annual temperature (bio1), temperature seasonality (bio4) and annual precipitation (bio12). However, we recommend learners to explore variables selection techniques or use expert knowledge to come up with the potential environmental variables that could influence the distribution of the species of interest.

```
bioclim_data <- c(bioclim_data$wc2.1_30s_bio_1,
bioclim_data$wc2.1_30s_bio_12, bioclim_data$wc2.1_30s_bio_4)</pre>
```

We extract bioclimatic variables using the geographic extent of Fogo Island. For other applications in which environmental variables are available beyond the study region, a study extent slightly larger than the study region is recommended. In this specific application, bioclimatic data are not available in the ocean around Fogo Island. So there no need to include this area in the analysis.


```
bioclim_crop <- crop(bioclim_data, map_fogo)</pre>
bioclim_crop
## class
                : SpatRaster
## dimensions : 29, 28, 3 (nrow, ncol, nlyr)
## resolution : 0.008333333, 0.008333333 (x, y)
## extent
                : -24.50833, -24.275, 14.80833, 15.05 (xmin, xmax, ymin,
ymax)
## coord. ref. : lon/lat WGS 84 (EPSG:4326)
## source(s)
               : memory
## varname : CPV_wc2.1_30s_bio ## names : wc2.1_30s_bio_1, wc2.1_30s_bio_1, wc2.1_30s_bio_4
## min values :
                         11.03333,
                                                  229,
                                                              138,8017
## max values :
                         24.40417,
                                                              177.9364
                                                  598,
```

We can see that the resolution of the bioclimatic data is 0.008333333 (approximately 1 kilometer at the Ecuador).

Land cover data

Moreover, temperature and precipitation are well known to influence species distribution at large scale. However, at small scale like Fogo Island, other abiotic measurements like land cover may influence the species distribution. So, we have to load our land cover variable we created from the Module 2 to use it as a covariate in the species distribution model we are building for *B. bipinnata*.

```
landcov <- rast("env_data/landcover_model2.tif")
plot(landcov)</pre>
```



Since all the environmental variables should have the same projection system and resolution, we project the land cover variable into *long/lat* system and crop it to Fogo Island extent. However, we should be aware that the good practice is to project vector layers instead of raster layers due to the lack of precision associated with raster projection. So we recommend projecting a raster layer in another reference system if it is really necessary.

In the next chunk of code, we project the land cover map and extract its extent from Fogo Island map so that all environmental data have the same extent. Note that the mask = TRUE argument helps remove the Ocean around Fogo Island as there is no bioclimatic data available in that region.

```
landcov_11 <- project(landcov, "+proj=longlat +datum=WGS84", method ="near")
landcov_11 <- crop(landcov_11, map_fogo, mask = TRUE)
landcov_11</pre>
```

```
## class : SpatRaster
## dimensions : 2619, 2483, 1 (nrow, ncol, nlyr)
## resolution : 9.163383e-05, 9.163383e-05 (x, y)
## extent : -24.50488, -24.27735, 14.81239, 15.05238 (xmin, xmax, ymin, ymax)
## coord. ref. : +proj=longlat +datum=WGS84 +no_defs
## source(s) : memory
## name : class
## min value : 1
## max value : 6
```

As we can see, the resolution of the land cover map is different from the one of bioclimatic data. So, we have to *resample* the land cover raster to the same resolution as the climatic data using the *near* interpolation method recommended for *categorical variable*. Note that the resolution of bioclimatic data is lower than the original resolution of the land cover data. However, 30 arc-second resolution used in this study is the highest resolution available for bioclimatic data on the Worldclim website.


```
landcov_res <- resample(landcov_ll, bioclim_crop$wc2.1_30s_bio_1, method =</pre>
"near")
landcov_res
## class
               : SpatRaster
## dimensions : 29, 28, 1 (nrow, ncol, nlyr)
## resolution : 0.008333333, 0.008333333 (x, y)
## extent : -24.50833, -24.275, 14.80833, 15.05 (xmin, xmax, ymin,
ymax)
## coord. ref. : +proj=longlat +datum=WGS84 +no_defs
## source(s)
               : memory
               : CPV_wc2.1_30s_bio
## varname
## name
               : class
## min value
                     1
## max value
```

After resampling the land cover map, we convert the raster into a factor before proceeding as we are dealing with a categorical variable.

```
landcov_res <- as.factor(landcov_res)</pre>
```

Then, we merge the four environmental variables into a raster stack and visualize them on a quick map.

```
bioclim_kept      <- c(bioclim_crop, landcov_res)
names(bioclim_kept) <- c("bio1", "bio4", "bio12", "landcover")
plot(bioclim_kept)</pre>
```




Creating pseudo-absence points

In order to evaluate species distribution models with presence-only data, and really understand the factors influencing where *B.bipinnata* occur, we need to include some absence or "background" points for coercing presence-only data for use with presence/absence approaches.

we then create a set of 200 background points (i.e. pseudo-absences) at random, and add these to our data. For a large study extent and environmental data, one can use 1,000 or even 5,000 pseudo-absence points. We encourage learners to play with different numbers of background points and compare the results.

```
## x y
## [1,] -24.37917 14.91250
## [2,] -24.41250 14.82917
## [3,] -24.30417 15.01250
## [4,] -24.47917 14.90417
## [5,] -24.31250 14.99583
## [6,] -24.35417 14.84583
```

After creating the background points, we can map them together with the observed species locations. On the resulting map, the background points are highlighted in grey color while the species occurrence locations are shown in *oliverdrab* color.



Now, we can create a single dataset for both occurrence and pseudo-absence data. We create an additional column to indicate each type of points.

```
# Presence-only data
presence <- as.data.frame(geom(obs_points)[, c("x", "y")])</pre>
colnames(presence) <- c("longitude", "latitude")</pre>
# Add column indicating presence
presence$pa <- 1</pre>
# Convert background data to a data frame
absence <- as.data.frame(background)</pre>
colnames(absence) <- c("longitude", "latitude")</pre>
# Add column indicating absence
absence$pa <- 0
# Join data into single data frame
all_points <- rbind(presence, absence)</pre>
# check the results
head(all_points)
     longitude latitude pa
## 1 -24.38655 14.85031 1
## 2 -24.38697 14.85024 1
## 3 -24.38782 14.85054 1
## 4 -24.38797 14.85099 1
```

```
## 5 -24.38833 14.85165 1
## 6 -24.38772 14.85209 1
```

Adding climate data

We use the extract() function, which takes geographic coordinates and raster layers as input, and extract values in the raster data for each of the geographic coordinates.

Now, we need to join the extracted data with points and drop out the longitude/latitude columns which are no longer relevant for the SDM implementation.

```
# Add the point and climate datasets together
points_climate <- cbind(all_points, bioclim_extract)

# Identify columns that are Latitude & Longitude
drop_cols <- which(colnames(points_climate) %in% c("longitude", "latitude"))
drop_cols

## [1] 1 2

# Remove the geographic coordinates from the data frame
points_climate <- points_climate[, -drop_cols]</pre>
```

Note that before proceeding, one can standardize numeric covariates to have the same scale, in case the model includes many covariates. We encourage learners to think about this aspect in their future projects. In the next section, we will generate the training and test data for our SDM.

Training and testing data

After preparing our dataset for the model building, we are going to split it into training and test samples. So, we will use 80% of the data for training the model and 20% for testing it.

Take a look at each split

```
table(fold)

## fold

## 1 2 3 4 5

## 66 67 66 67 66
```

We can use any observations in the fold 1 as test sample and the remaining folds as training set. A more robust approach is the *K-fold cross-validation* that we used in the Module 2 for

land cover classification. We encourage readers to test this approach and compare results with those we obtained here.

```
testing <- points_climate[fold == 1, ]
training <- points_climate[fold != 1, ]</pre>
```

Model building

Now, it is time to build our SDM. Several SDM approaches are available to handle presence-absence or presence-background data including generalized linear models (GLMs) and its variants, Maximum Entropy (Maxent), tree-based methods (e.g. Random Forest), etc.

In this study, we use the generalized linear model which is also known as binary logistic regression model, a popular modeling approach used in machine learning. The column pa is the response variable while "."indicates to the glm() function that all the the remaining columns should be considered as covariates in the model (i.e. bio1, bio4, bio12 and land cover).

```
# Build a model using training data
glm_model <- glm(pa ~ ., data = training, family = binomial())</pre>
```

After building the model, we can now view the results and look at the significance of covariates. So we run the analysis of variance (ANOVA) on the model object.


```
anova(glm_model)
## Analysis of Deviance Table
##
## Model: binomial, link: logit
##
## Response: pa
##
## Terms added sequentially (first to last)
##
##
             Df Deviance Resid. Df Resid. Dev Pr(>Chi)
##
## NULL
                               265
                                       357.72
## bio1
              1
                  0.8278
                               264
                                       356.89 0.362921
                                       348.08 0.003004 **
## bio4
              1
                  8.8051
                               263
## bio12
              1
                 0.6003
                               262
                                       347.48 0.438465
## landcover 5 17.1837
                               257
                                       330.30 0.004164 **
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

The ANOVA table show that the temperature seasonality and land cover variables have a significant effect on the probability of presence of *B. bipinnata*. The result aligns with those obtained from the analyses carried out in the Module 2 on land cover classification where we notice a significant variation of the amount of introduced species among land cover.

After we have built our model, we can use it to predict the habitat suitability across the entire Fogo Island map.

```
# Get predicted values from the model
glm_predict <- predict(bioclim_kept, glm_model, type = "response")
# Print predicted values
plot(glm_predict, main = "Probability of occurrence of Bidens bipinnata")</pre>
```

Probability of occurrence of Bidens bipinnata



We can also predict the species distribution in the future using future climatic data. For more details see Oliver (2024).

Model evaluation

We now take that model, and evaluate it using the observation data and the pseudo-absence points we reserved for model testing. We then use this test to establish a cutoff of occurrence probability to determine the boundaries of the *B. bipinnata* range. In the following code, p argument stands for presence data while astands for absence/background data in the pa evaluate() function.


We determine a minimum threshold as the cutoff for converting the habitat suitability map predicted by the model into presence and absence.

```
# Determine minimum threshold for "presence"
glm_threshold <- glm_eval@thresholds$max_spec_sens</pre>
```

Finally, we can use that threshold to paint a map with sites predicted to be suitable for *Bidens bipinnata* in Fogo Island. Raster cells with 0 are set to NA while those with 1 are colored on the final map.

```
# Plot base map
plot(map_fogo,
     axes = TRUE,
     col = "grey95",
     main = "Habitat suitability for Bidens bipinnata")
# Only plot areas where probability of occurrence is greater than the
threshold
plot(glm_predict > glm_threshold, # this generates a raster with 0 and 1
     add = TRUE,
     legend = FALSE,
     col = c(NA, "olivedrab")) # we provide different colors: 0 (NA) and 1
("olivedrab"):
# And add those observations
points(x = geom(obs_points)[,"x"],
       y = geom(obs_points)[,"y"],
       col = "black",
       pch = "+",
       cex = 0.75)
# Redraw the Fogo Island borders
plot(map_fogo, add = TRUE, border = "grey5")
```

Habitat suitability for Bidens bipinnata



Conclusion and perspectives

This tutorial presented a step-by-step workflow for building and evaluating the Species Distribution Model in R using *Bidens bipinnata* plant species as a case study. It implemented the generalized linear model (GLM) to predict the probability of species occurrence in Fogo Island. The tutorial used environmental data with different resolutions and projection systems to show learners how to handle such complexity within spatial data used for building SDM.

However, other algorithms including Generalized Additive Models (GAMs), Maxent and tree-based approaches are well known in the literature to implement SDMs using background points as absence data. We encourage readers to test different algorithms and select the best one based on performance metrics like the area under the ROC curve (AUC), accuracy, precision, etc. They can also use the *k-fold cross-validation* technique for building and testing the model.

Recent developments on SDM suggested modeling a species distribution as an *Inhomogeneous Poisson Process (IPP)* which is implemented in the recent version of Maxent software. Technical aspects related to this modeling framework are beyond the scope of this tutorial and we recommend learners to read the paper of Phillips et al. (2017) and references therein to have an idea about the IPP framework.

References

Phillips, S.J., Anderson, R.P., Dudík, M., Schapire, R.E., Blair, M.E., 2017. Opening the black box: an open-source release of Maxent. Ecography 40, 887–893.https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.03049.

Oliver, J., 2024. learn-r - A very brief introduction to species distribution models in R [WWW Document]. URL https://jcoliver.github.io/learn-r/011-species-distribution-models.html9 (accessed 9.25.24).