


# SOFA: a modular yet efficient physical simulation architecture

Francois.Faure@imag.fr



# Outline

# A complex physical simulation




Material, internal forces, constraints, contact detection and modeling, ODE solution, visualization, interaction, etc.

# Simulation platforms

- ▶ Current platforms (ODE, Havok, Novodex, etc.) provide :
  - ▶ limited number of material types
  - ▶ limited number of geometry types
  - ▶ no control on collision detection algorithms
  - ▶ no control on interaction modeling
  - ▶ few (if any) control of the numerical models and methods.
  - ▶ no control on the main loop
  - ▶ few (if any) parallelism
- ▶ We need much more !
  - ▶ models, algorithms, scheduling, visualization, etc.

# Animation of a simple body

## ► a liver





- inside : soft material
- surface : stiffer material

A specialized program :

```
f = M*g  
f += F1(x,v)  
f += F2(x,v)  
a = f/M  
a = C(a)  
v += a * dt  
x += v * dt  
display(x)
```

# A generic approach

- ▶ Behavior model : all internal laws
- ▶ Others : interaction with the world
- ▶ Mappings : relations between the models (uni- or bi-directional)





# Outline

# Components

Data :

- ▶ sample points and associated values :  
 $x, v, a, f$
- ▶ constraints : fixed points  
*other : oscillator, collision plane, etc.*







# Components

Data :



- ▶ sample points and associated values :  
 $x, v, a, f$
- ▶ constraints : fixed points
- ▶ force field : tetrahedron FEM  
*other : triangle FEM, springs, Lennard-Jones, SPH, etc.*



# Components

Data :



- ▶ sample points and associated values :  
 $x, v, a, f$
- ▶ constraints : fixed points
- ▶ force field : tetrahedron FEM
- ▶ force field : triangle FEM



# Components

Data :


- ▶ sample points and associated values :  
 $x, v, a, f$
- ▶ constraints : fixed points
- ▶ force field : tetrahedron FEM
- ▶ force field : triangle FEM
- ▶ mass : uniform  
*other : diagonal, sparse symmetric matrix*



# Components

Data :


- ▶ sample points and associated values :  
 $x, v, a, f$
- ▶ constraints : fixed points
- ▶ force field : tetrahedron FEM
- ▶ force field : triangle FEM
- ▶ mass : uniform
- ▶ ODE solver : explicit Euler  
*other : Runge-Kutta, implicate Euler, static solution, etc.*



# Outline



# Layered body

- independent DOFs (blue)





# Layered body

- ▶ independent DOFs (blue)
- ▶ visual samples (salmon)



# Layered body



- ▶ independent DOFs (blue)
- ▶ visual samples (salmon)
- ▶ visual mapping





# Layered body


- ▶ independent DOFs (blue)
- ▶ visual samples (salmon)
- ▶ visual mapping
- ▶ collision samples (green)
- ▶ collision mapping



# Layered body


- ▶ independent DOFs (blue)
- ▶ visual samples (salmon)
- ▶ visual mapping
- ▶ collision samples (green)
- ▶ collision mapping
- ▶ apply displacements

1.  $v_{visual} = J_{visual}v$
2.  $v_{collision} = J_{collision}v_{visual}$



# Layered body

- ▶ independent DOFs (blue)
- ▶ visual samples (salmon)
- ▶ visual mapping
- ▶ collision samples (green)
- ▶ collision mapping
- ▶ apply displacements
  1.  $v_{visual} = J_{visual} v$
  2.  $v_{collision} = J_{collision} v_{visual}$
- ▶ apply forces
  1.  $f_{visual} = J_{collision}^T f_{collision}$
  2.  $f = J_{visual}^T f_{visual}$



# More on mappings



- ▶ Map a set of degrees of freedom (the parent) to another (the child).
- ▶ Typically used to attach a geometry to control points.
- ▶ Child degrees of freedom (DOF) are not independent : their positions are totally defined by their parent's.
- ▶ Displacements are propagated top-down (parent to child) :  
$$v_{child} = Jv_{parent}$$
- ▶ Forces are propagated bottom-up

# The physics of mappings

Example : line mapping


# Examples of mappings

- ▶ RigidMapping can be used to attach points to a rigid body
  - ▶ to attach a visual model




# Examples of mappings

- ▶ RigidMapping can be used to attach points to a rigid body
  - ▶ to attach collision surfaces



# Examples of mappings


- ▶ RigidMapping can be used to attach points to a rigid body
- ▶ BarycentricMapping can be used to attach points to a deformable body
  - ▶ to attach a visual model






# Examples of mappings

- ▶ RigidMapping can be used to attach points to a rigid body
- ▶ BarycentricMapping can be used to attach points to a deformable body
  - ▶ to attach collision surfaces




# Examples of mappings

- ▶ RigidMapping can be used to attach points to a rigid body
- ▶ BarycentricMapping can be used to attach points to a deformable body
- ▶ More advanced mapping can be applied to fluids



# Examples of mappings

- ▶ RigidMapping can be used to attach points to a rigid body
- ▶ BarycentricMapping can be used to attach points to a deformable body
- ▶ More advanced mapping can be applied to fluids



# On the physical consistency of mappings

- ▶ Conservation of energy :

Necessary condition :  $v_{child} = Jv_{parent} \Rightarrow f_{parent}^+ = J^T f_{child}$

- ▶ Conservation of momentum :



Mass is modeled at one level only. There is no transfer of momentum.

- ▶ Constraints on displacements (e.g. incompressibility, fixed points) are not easily applied *at the child level*


# Outline

# Two bodies in contact

Use extended trees (Directed Acyclic Graphs) to model trees with loops.




# ODE solution of interacting bodies



- Soft interactions : independent processing, no synchronization required


# ODE solution of interacting bodies



- ▶ Soft interactions : independent processing, no synchronization required
- ▶ Stiff interactions : unified implicit solution, synchronized objects




# ODE solution of interacting bodies



- ▶ Soft interactions : independent processing, no synchronization required
- ▶ Stiff interactions : unified implicit solution, synchronized objects
- ▶ Hard interaction constraints : work in progress (*Christian Duriez, ALCOVE*)

# Outline


# Actions



- ▶ No global state vector
- ▶ Action = graph traversal + global vector ids + call of abstract top-down and bottom up methods


## Example : clearing a global vector

- ▶ The solver triggers an action starting from its parent system and carrying the necessary symbolic information
- ▶ the action is propagated through the graph and calls the appropriate methods at each DOF node




## Example : applying interaction forces

- ▶ The solver triggers the appropriate action
- ▶ the action is propagated through the graph and calls the appropriate methods at each Contact node



## Example : accumulating the forces

- ▶ The solver triggers the appropriate action
- ▶ the action is propagated through the graph and calls the appropriate (bottom-up) methods at each Force and Mapping node



# Efficient implicit integration

- ▶ Large time steps for stiff internal forces and interactions
- ▶ solve  $(\alpha M + \beta h^2 K) \Delta v = h(f + hKv)$  Iteratively using a conjugate gradient solution

Actions :

- ▶ propagateDx
- ▶ computeDf
- ▶ vector operations
- ▶ dot product (only global value directly accessed by the solver)

Ongoing work : building global vectors and matrices also

# Efficiency


- ▶ No global state vector
  - ▶ they are scattered over the DOF components
  - ▶ each DOF component can be based on its own types (e.g. Vec3, Frame, etc. )
  - ▶ symbolic values are used to represent global state vectors
- ▶ Action = graph traversal + global vector ids + call of abstract top-down and bottom up methods
  - ▶ Displacements are propagated top-down
  - ▶ Interactions forces are evaluated after displacement propagation
  - ▶ Forces are accumulated bottom-up
  - ▶ Branches can be processed in parallel
  - ▶ virtual functions applied to components



# Outline

# Current stage

About 60 man.month, 20000 C++ code lines



# Efficient coupling

High modularity :

- ▶ Abstract components : DOF, Force, Constraint, Solver, Topology, Mass, CollisionModel, VisualModel, etc.
- ▶ Arbitrary DOF types can coexist in the same scene

Efficiency :

- ▶ global vectors and matrices are avoided
- ▶ parallel processing is allowed

Implementation :

- ▶ currently 20000 C++ lines
- ▶ Windows, Linux
- ▶ Qt or FLTK user interfaces
- ▶ XML file format

## Ongoing work

- ▶ More people : ETHZ, ...
- ▶ More algorithms : cutting (*Hervé Delingette, ASCLEPIOS*), interfaces (*François Faure, EVASION*),...
- ▶ More schedulers : asynchronous simulation/rendering/haptic feedback (*Jeremie Allard, Cimit*)
- ▶ More brute force : parallelization on PC cluster (*Everton Hermann, LIG/LJK*)
- ▶ More visual performance : coupling to a good render engine (*Pierre-Jean Bensusan, ALCOVE*)
- ▶ More documentation (*everybody...*)
- ▶ Licensing

[www.sofa-framework.org](http://www.sofa-framework.org)