

## Quality of life

### Head to head comparisons

			Forest plot results				Funnel plot and regression test for asymmetry																																																																																																																																																								
Comparator <sup>†</sup>	Measure		Q	df	p	Tau-sq	I-sq		z	p	Estimates																																																																																																																																																				
Duloxetine	Placebo	Change score	<table><thead><tr><th>Study</th><th>Estimate</th><th>95% CI</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>arnold 2004.2</td><td>1.36</td><td>[1.03, 1.79]</td></tr><tr><td>arnold 2005.2</td><td>1.61</td><td>[1.06, 2.17]</td></tr><tr><td>arnold 2005.3</td><td>1.68</td><td>[1.29, 2.18]</td></tr><tr><td>arnold 2010.1.2</td><td>1.29</td><td>[1.03, 1.81]</td></tr><tr><td>chappell 2008.2</td><td>1.17</td><td>[0.84, 1.47]</td></tr><tr><td>gab 2010.2</td><td>1.10</td><td>[0.84, 1.44]</td></tr><tr><td>goldstein 2005.2</td><td>1.10</td><td>[0.84, 1.45]</td></tr><tr><td>goldstein 2005.3</td><td>1.28</td><td>[0.97, 1.67]</td></tr><tr><td>goldstein 2009.1</td><td>1.21</td><td>[0.97, 1.51]</td></tr><tr><td>murakami 2010.2</td><td>1.16</td><td>[0.95, 1.42]</td></tr><tr><td>rowbotham 2010.2.2</td><td>1.05</td><td>[0.68, 1.47]</td></tr><tr><td>russell 2008.2</td><td>17.68</td><td>[12.06, 25.03]</td></tr><tr><td>russell 2008.3</td><td>1.55</td><td>[1.17, 2.05]</td></tr><tr><td>russell 2010.2</td><td>0.70</td><td>[0.22, 1.02]</td></tr><tr><td>shimizu 2010.2</td><td>1.07</td><td>[0.89, 1.36]</td></tr><tr><td>skjarevik 2009.3</td><td>1.15</td><td>[0.88, 1.51]</td></tr><tr><td>skjarevik 2009.4</td><td>1.25</td><td>[0.84, 1.65]</td></tr><tr><td>skjarevik 2010.2.2</td><td>1.44</td><td>[1.18, 1.79]</td></tr><tr><td>smits 2011.2</td><td>0.85</td><td>[0.63, 1.14]</td></tr><tr><td>van 2010.1.2</td><td>1.40</td><td>[1.16, 1.64]</td></tr><tr><td>volmer 2014.2</td><td>1.08</td><td>[0.84, 1.40]</td></tr><tr><td>wernicke 2008.2</td><td>1.41</td><td>[1.07, 1.85]</td></tr><tr><td>wernicke 2008.3</td><td>1.41</td><td>[1.07, 1.88]</td></tr></tbody></table>				Study	Estimate	95% CI	arnold 2004.2	1.36	[1.03, 1.79]	arnold 2005.2	1.61	[1.06, 2.17]	arnold 2005.3	1.68	[1.29, 2.18]	arnold 2010.1.2	1.29	[1.03, 1.81]	chappell 2008.2	1.17	[0.84, 1.47]	gab 2010.2	1.10	[0.84, 1.44]	goldstein 2005.2	1.10	[0.84, 1.45]	goldstein 2005.3	1.28	[0.97, 1.67]	goldstein 2009.1	1.21	[0.97, 1.51]	murakami 2010.2	1.16	[0.95, 1.42]	rowbotham 2010.2.2	1.05	[0.68, 1.47]	russell 2008.2	17.68	[12.06, 25.03]	russell 2008.3	1.55	[1.17, 2.05]	russell 2010.2	0.70	[0.22, 1.02]	shimizu 2010.2	1.07	[0.89, 1.36]	skjarevik 2009.3	1.15	[0.88, 1.51]	skjarevik 2009.4	1.25	[0.84, 1.65]	skjarevik 2010.2.2	1.44	[1.18, 1.79]	smits 2011.2	0.85	[0.63, 1.14]	van 2010.1.2	1.40	[1.16, 1.64]	volmer 2014.2	1.08	[0.84, 1.40]	wernicke 2008.2	1.41	[1.07, 1.85]	wernicke 2008.3	1.41	[1.07, 1.88]	433.86	23	0.00	0.47	96.48	<table><thead><tr><th>Study</th><th>Estimate</th><th>95% CI</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>arnold 2004.2</td><td>1.36</td><td>[1.03, 1.79]</td></tr><tr><td>arnold 2005.2</td><td>1.61</td><td>[1.06, 2.17]</td></tr><tr><td>arnold 2005.3</td><td>1.68</td><td>[1.29, 2.18]</td></tr><tr><td>arnold 2010.1.2</td><td>1.29</td><td>[1.03, 1.81]</td></tr><tr><td>chappell 2008.2</td><td>1.17</td><td>[0.84, 1.47]</td></tr><tr><td>gab 2010.2</td><td>1.10</td><td>[0.84, 1.44]</td></tr><tr><td>goldstein 2005.2</td><td>1.10</td><td>[0.84, 1.45]</td></tr><tr><td>goldstein 2005.3</td><td>1.28</td><td>[0.97, 1.67]</td></tr><tr><td>goldstein 2009.1</td><td>1.21</td><td>[0.97, 1.51]</td></tr><tr><td>murakami 2010.2</td><td>1.16</td><td>[0.95, 1.42]</td></tr><tr><td>rowbotham 2010.2.2</td><td>1.05</td><td>[0.68, 1.47]</td></tr><tr><td>russell 2008.2</td><td>17.68</td><td>[12.06, 25.03]</td></tr><tr><td>russell 2008.3</td><td>1.55</td><td>[1.17, 2.05]</td></tr><tr><td>russell 2010.2</td><td>0.70</td><td>[0.22, 1.02]</td></tr><tr><td>shimizu 2010.2</td><td>1.07</td><td>[0.89, 1.36]</td></tr><tr><td>skjarevik 2009.3</td><td>1.15</td><td>[0.88, 1.51]</td></tr><tr><td>skjarevik 2009.4</td><td>1.25</td><td>[0.84, 1.65]</td></tr><tr><td>skjarevik 2010.2.2</td><td>1.44</td><td>[1.18, 1.79]</td></tr><tr><td>smits 2011.2</td><td>0.85</td><td>[0.63, 1.14]</td></tr><tr><td>van 2010.1.2</td><td>1.40</td><td>[1.16, 1.64]</td></tr><tr><td>volmer 2014.2</td><td>1.08</td><td>[0.84, 1.40]</td></tr><tr><td>wernicke 2008.2</td><td>1.41</td><td>[1.07, 1.85]</td></tr><tr><td>wernicke 2008.3</td><td>1.41</td><td>[1.07, 1.88]</td></tr></tbody></table>	Study	Estimate	95% CI	arnold 2004.2	1.36	[1.03, 1.79]	arnold 2005.2	1.61	[1.06, 2.17]	arnold 2005.3	1.68	[1.29, 2.18]	arnold 2010.1.2	1.29	[1.03, 1.81]	chappell 2008.2	1.17	[0.84, 1.47]	gab 2010.2	1.10	[0.84, 1.44]	goldstein 2005.2	1.10	[0.84, 1.45]	goldstein 2005.3	1.28	[0.97, 1.67]	goldstein 2009.1	1.21	[0.97, 1.51]	murakami 2010.2	1.16	[0.95, 1.42]	rowbotham 2010.2.2	1.05	[0.68, 1.47]	russell 2008.2	17.68	[12.06, 25.03]	russell 2008.3	1.55	[1.17, 2.05]	russell 2010.2	0.70	[0.22, 1.02]	shimizu 2010.2	1.07	[0.89, 1.36]	skjarevik 2009.3	1.15	[0.88, 1.51]	skjarevik 2009.4	1.25	[0.84, 1.65]	skjarevik 2010.2.2	1.44	[1.18, 1.79]	smits 2011.2	0.85	[0.63, 1.14]	van 2010.1.2	1.40	[1.16, 1.64]	volmer 2014.2	1.08	[0.84, 1.40]	wernicke 2008.2	1.41	[1.07, 1.85]	wernicke 2008.3	1.41	[1.07, 1.88]	1.83	0.07	-0.94 (-2.45 to 0.56)
Study	Estimate	95% CI																																																																																																																																																													
arnold 2004.2	1.36	[1.03, 1.79]																																																																																																																																																													
arnold 2005.2	1.61	[1.06, 2.17]																																																																																																																																																													
arnold 2005.3	1.68	[1.29, 2.18]																																																																																																																																																													
arnold 2010.1.2	1.29	[1.03, 1.81]																																																																																																																																																													
chappell 2008.2	1.17	[0.84, 1.47]																																																																																																																																																													
gab 2010.2	1.10	[0.84, 1.44]																																																																																																																																																													
goldstein 2005.2	1.10	[0.84, 1.45]																																																																																																																																																													
goldstein 2005.3	1.28	[0.97, 1.67]																																																																																																																																																													
goldstein 2009.1	1.21	[0.97, 1.51]																																																																																																																																																													
murakami 2010.2	1.16	[0.95, 1.42]																																																																																																																																																													
rowbotham 2010.2.2	1.05	[0.68, 1.47]																																																																																																																																																													
russell 2008.2	17.68	[12.06, 25.03]																																																																																																																																																													
russell 2008.3	1.55	[1.17, 2.05]																																																																																																																																																													
russell 2010.2	0.70	[0.22, 1.02]																																																																																																																																																													
shimizu 2010.2	1.07	[0.89, 1.36]																																																																																																																																																													
skjarevik 2009.3	1.15	[0.88, 1.51]																																																																																																																																																													
skjarevik 2009.4	1.25	[0.84, 1.65]																																																																																																																																																													
skjarevik 2010.2.2	1.44	[1.18, 1.79]																																																																																																																																																													
smits 2011.2	0.85	[0.63, 1.14]																																																																																																																																																													
van 2010.1.2	1.40	[1.16, 1.64]																																																																																																																																																													
volmer 2014.2	1.08	[0.84, 1.40]																																																																																																																																																													
wernicke 2008.2	1.41	[1.07, 1.85]																																																																																																																																																													
wernicke 2008.3	1.41	[1.07, 1.88]																																																																																																																																																													
Study	Estimate	95% CI																																																																																																																																																													
arnold 2004.2	1.36	[1.03, 1.79]																																																																																																																																																													
arnold 2005.2	1.61	[1.06, 2.17]																																																																																																																																																													
arnold 2005.3	1.68	[1.29, 2.18]																																																																																																																																																													
arnold 2010.1.2	1.29	[1.03, 1.81]																																																																																																																																																													
chappell 2008.2	1.17	[0.84, 1.47]																																																																																																																																																													
gab 2010.2	1.10	[0.84, 1.44]																																																																																																																																																													
goldstein 2005.2	1.10	[0.84, 1.45]																																																																																																																																																													
goldstein 2005.3	1.28	[0.97, 1.67]																																																																																																																																																													
goldstein 2009.1	1.21	[0.97, 1.51]																																																																																																																																																													
murakami 2010.2	1.16	[0.95, 1.42]																																																																																																																																																													
rowbotham 2010.2.2	1.05	[0.68, 1.47]																																																																																																																																																													
russell 2008.2	17.68	[12.06, 25.03]																																																																																																																																																													
russell 2008.3	1.55	[1.17, 2.05]																																																																																																																																																													
russell 2010.2	0.70	[0.22, 1.02]																																																																																																																																																													
shimizu 2010.2	1.07	[0.89, 1.36]																																																																																																																																																													
skjarevik 2009.3	1.15	[0.88, 1.51]																																																																																																																																																													
skjarevik 2009.4	1.25	[0.84, 1.65]																																																																																																																																																													
skjarevik 2010.2.2	1.44	[1.18, 1.79]																																																																																																																																																													
smits 2011.2	0.85	[0.63, 1.14]																																																																																																																																																													
van 2010.1.2	1.40	[1.16, 1.64]																																																																																																																																																													
volmer 2014.2	1.08	[0.84, 1.40]																																																																																																																																																													
wernicke 2008.2	1.41	[1.07, 1.85]																																																																																																																																																													
wernicke 2008.3	1.41	[1.07, 1.88]																																																																																																																																																													
Duloxetine	Placebo	Post-intervention	<table><thead><tr><th>Study</th><th>Estimate</th><th>95% CI</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>chappell 2009.2.2</td><td>1.41</td><td>[1.08, 1.84]</td></tr><tr><td>gilron 2016.2</td><td>1.59</td><td>[1.02, 2.50]</td></tr><tr><td>rowbotham 2010.2.2</td><td>1.06</td><td>[0.73, 1.56]</td></tr><tr><td>vanaken 2011.2</td><td>1.09</td><td>[0.61, 1.98]</td></tr></tbody></table>				Study	Estimate	95% CI	chappell 2009.2.2	1.41	[1.08, 1.84]	gilron 2016.2	1.59	[1.02, 2.50]	rowbotham 2010.2.2	1.06	[0.73, 1.56]	vanaken 2011.2	1.09	[0.61, 1.98]	2.52	3	0.47	0.00	0.00	<table><thead><tr><th>Study</th><th>Estimate</th><th>95% CI</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>chappell 2009.2.2</td><td>1.41</td><td>[1.08, 1.84]</td></tr><tr><td>gilron 2016.2</td><td>1.59</td><td>[1.02, 2.50]</td></tr><tr><td>rowbotham 2010.2.2</td><td>1.06</td><td>[0.73, 1.56]</td></tr><tr><td>vanaken 2011.2</td><td>1.09</td><td>[0.61, 1.98]</td></tr></tbody></table>	Study	Estimate	95% CI	chappell 2009.2.2	1.41	[1.08, 1.84]	gilron 2016.2	1.59	[1.02, 2.50]	rowbotham 2010.2.2	1.06	[0.73, 1.56]	vanaken 2011.2	1.09	[0.61, 1.98]	-0.49	0.63	0.44 (-2.29 to 1.17)																																																																																																																		
Study	Estimate	95% CI																																																																																																																																																													
chappell 2009.2.2	1.41	[1.08, 1.84]																																																																																																																																																													
gilron 2016.2	1.59	[1.02, 2.50]																																																																																																																																																													
rowbotham 2010.2.2	1.06	[0.73, 1.56]																																																																																																																																																													
vanaken 2011.2	1.09	[0.61, 1.98]																																																																																																																																																													
Study	Estimate	95% CI																																																																																																																																																													
chappell 2009.2.2	1.41	[1.08, 1.84]																																																																																																																																																													
gilron 2016.2	1.59	[1.02, 2.50]																																																																																																																																																													
rowbotham 2010.2.2	1.06	[0.73, 1.56]																																																																																																																																																													
vanaken 2011.2	1.09	[0.61, 1.98]																																																																																																																																																													
Milnacipran	Placebo	Change score	<table><thead><tr><th>Study</th><th>Estimate</th><th>95% CI</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>arnold 2010.2.2</td><td>122.40</td><td>[96.15, 155.83]</td></tr><tr><td>baleman 2011.2</td><td>1.00</td><td>[0.55, 1.83]</td></tr><tr><td>baleman 2011.3</td><td>1.10</td><td>[0.68, 1.78]</td></tr><tr><td>baleman 2011.4</td><td>1.10</td><td>[0.68, 1.78]</td></tr><tr><td>branco 2010.2</td><td>0.90</td><td>[0.26, 0.35]</td></tr><tr><td>clauw 2008.2</td><td>1.26</td><td>[1.10, 1.45]</td></tr><tr><td>clauw 2008.3</td><td>1.19</td><td>[1.03, 1.36]</td></tr></tbody></table>				Study	Estimate	95% CI	arnold 2010.2.2	122.40	[96.15, 155.83]	baleman 2011.2	1.00	[0.55, 1.83]	baleman 2011.3	1.10	[0.68, 1.78]	baleman 2011.4	1.10	[0.68, 1.78]	branco 2010.2	0.90	[0.26, 0.35]	clauw 2008.2	1.26	[1.10, 1.45]	clauw 2008.3	1.19	[1.03, 1.36]	1761.06	6	0.00	3.69	99.69	<table><thead><tr><th>Study</th><th>Estimate</th><th>95% CI</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>arnold 2010.2.2</td><td>122.40</td><td>[96.15, 155.83]</td></tr><tr><td>baleman 2011.2</td><td>1.00</td><td>[0.55, 1.83]</td></tr><tr><td>baleman 2011.3</td><td>1.10</td><td>[0.68, 1.78]</td></tr><tr><td>baleman 2011.4</td><td>1.10</td><td>[0.68, 1.78]</td></tr><tr><td>branco 2010.2</td><td>0.90</td><td>[0.26, 0.35]</td></tr><tr><td>clauw 2008.2</td><td>1.26</td><td>[1.10, 1.45]</td></tr><tr><td>clauw 2008.3</td><td>1.19</td><td>[1.03, 1.36]</td></tr></tbody></table>	Study	Estimate	95% CI	arnold 2010.2.2	122.40	[96.15, 155.83]	baleman 2011.2	1.00	[0.55, 1.83]	baleman 2011.3	1.10	[0.68, 1.78]	baleman 2011.4	1.10	[0.68, 1.78]	branco 2010.2	0.90	[0.26, 0.35]	clauw 2008.2	1.26	[1.10, 1.45]	clauw 2008.3	1.19	[1.03, 1.36]	-0.19	0.85	0.87 (-2.25 to 3.99)																																																																																																
Study	Estimate	95% CI																																																																																																																																																													
arnold 2010.2.2	122.40	[96.15, 155.83]																																																																																																																																																													
baleman 2011.2	1.00	[0.55, 1.83]																																																																																																																																																													
baleman 2011.3	1.10	[0.68, 1.78]																																																																																																																																																													
baleman 2011.4	1.10	[0.68, 1.78]																																																																																																																																																													
branco 2010.2	0.90	[0.26, 0.35]																																																																																																																																																													
clauw 2008.2	1.26	[1.10, 1.45]																																																																																																																																																													
clauw 2008.3	1.19	[1.03, 1.36]																																																																																																																																																													
Study	Estimate	95% CI																																																																																																																																																													
arnold 2010.2.2	122.40	[96.15, 155.83]																																																																																																																																																													
baleman 2011.2	1.00	[0.55, 1.83]																																																																																																																																																													
baleman 2011.3	1.10	[0.68, 1.78]																																																																																																																																																													
baleman 2011.4	1.10	[0.68, 1.78]																																																																																																																																																													
branco 2010.2	0.90	[0.26, 0.35]																																																																																																																																																													
clauw 2008.2	1.26	[1.10, 1.45]																																																																																																																																																													
clauw 2008.3	1.19	[1.03, 1.36]																																																																																																																																																													
Milnacipran	Placebo	Post-intervention	<table><thead><tr><th>Study</th><th>Estimate</th><th>95% CI</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>ahmed 2016.2</td><td>1.27</td><td>[0.62, 2.60]</td></tr><tr><td>mathay 2013.2</td><td>1.65</td><td>[1.05, 2.60]</td></tr></tbody></table>				Study	Estimate	95% CI	ahmed 2016.2	1.27	[0.62, 2.60]	mathay 2013.2	1.65	[1.05, 2.60]	0.37	1	0.54	0.00	0.00	<table><thead><tr><th>Study</th><th>Estimate</th><th>95% CI</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>ahmed 2016.2</td><td>1.27</td><td>[0.62, 2.60]</td></tr><tr><td>mathay 2013.2</td><td>1.65</td><td>[1.05, 2.60]</td></tr></tbody></table>	Study	Estimate	95% CI	ahmed 2016.2	1.27	[0.62, 2.60]	mathay 2013.2	1.65	[1.05, 2.60]																																																																																																																																	
Study	Estimate	95% CI																																																																																																																																																													
ahmed 2016.2	1.27	[0.62, 2.60]																																																																																																																																																													
mathay 2013.2	1.65	[1.05, 2.60]																																																																																																																																																													
Study	Estimate	95% CI																																																																																																																																																													
ahmed 2016.2	1.27	[0.62, 2.60]																																																																																																																																																													
mathay 2013.2	1.65	[1.05, 2.60]																																																																																																																																																													
Amitriptyline	Placebo	Post-intervention	<table><thead><tr><th>Study</th><th>Estimate</th><th>95% CI</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>goldenberg 1996.2</td><td>1.35</td><td>[0.72, 2.52]</td></tr><tr><td>heymann 2001.2</td><td>1.72</td><td>[1.07, 2.77]</td></tr></tbody></table>				Study	Estimate	95% CI	goldenberg 1996.2	1.35	[0.72, 2.52]	heymann 2001.2	1.72	[1.07, 2.77]	0.37	1	0.54	0.00	0.00	<table><thead><tr><th>Study</th><th>Estimate</th><th>95% CI</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>goldenberg 1996.2</td><td>1.35</td><td>[0.72, 2.52]</td></tr><tr><td>heymann 2001.2</td><td>1.72</td><td>[1.07, 2.77]</td></tr></tbody></table>	Study	Estimate	95% CI	goldenberg 1996.2	1.35	[0.72, 2.52]	heymann 2001.2	1.72	[1.07, 2.77]																																																																																																																																	
Study	Estimate	95% CI																																																																																																																																																													
goldenberg 1996.2	1.35	[0.72, 2.52]																																																																																																																																																													
heymann 2001.2	1.72	[1.07, 2.77]																																																																																																																																																													
Study	Estimate	95% CI																																																																																																																																																													
goldenberg 1996.2	1.35	[0.72, 2.52]																																																																																																																																																													
heymann 2001.2	1.72	[1.07, 2.77]																																																																																																																																																													

<sup>†</sup> Comparators that are not placebo are in bold.

Forest and funnel plots were created using the R package metafor.