

A computational way station for the development of network meta-analysis reporting

Charles T. Gray^{*1} | Gavin Stewart^{2,3} | Matthew J. Grainger³

This is sample for present address text this is
sample for present address text

t this is sample abstract text this is sample abstract text this is sample abstract text
this is sample abstract text this is sample abstract text this is sample abstract text
this is sample abstract text this is sample abstract text this is sample abstract text
this is sample abstract text this is sample abstract text this is sample abstract text
this is sample abstract text this is sample abstract text this is sample abstract text this
is sample abstract text this is sample abstract text this is sample abstract text this is
sample abstract text this is sample abstract text this is sample abstract text.

keyword1, keyword2, keyword3, keyword4

1 | NETWORK META-ANALYSIS REPORTING

Pairwise analyses between treatment and control, exposed and unexposed, intervention and no intervention, are conventionally undertaken with meta-analysis. Network meta-analysis provides a means of comparing three or more treatments or interventions, including control or placebo. In particular

⁰**Abbreviations:** ANA, anti-nuclear antibodies; APC, antigen-presenting cells; IRF, interferon regulatory factor

2 | COMPUTATIONAL WAYSTATIONS FOR OPEN AND INCLUSIVE SCIENTIFIC METHOD DEVELOPMENT

2.1 | How different stakeholders might contribute

3 | CURRENT SOLUTIONS

4 | CALL TO ARM-BASED ANALYSIS

4.1 | Gaps in the toolchain

4.2 | Improving visualisations


4.3 | Sensitivity analyses

References

1. Hirt C, Amsden A, Cook J. An arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian computing method for all flow speeds. *J Comput Phys* 1974; 14(3): 227–253.
2. Benson D. Computational methods in Lagrangian and Eulerian hydrocodes. *Comput Method Appl M* 1992; 99(2–3): 235–394.
3. Dukowicz J. Conservative rezoning (remapping) for general quadrilateral meshes. *J Comput Phys* 1984; 54(3): 411–424.
4. Margolin L, Shashkov M. Second-order sign-preserving conservative interpolation (remapping) on general grids. *J Comput Phys* 2003; 184(1): 266–298.
5. Kenamond M, Burton D. Exact intersection remapping of multi-material domain-decomposed polygonal meshes. In: Talk at Multimat 2013, International Conference on Numerical Methods for Multi-Material Fluid Flows. The organization. ; September 2–6, 2013; San Francisco. LA-UR-13-26794.
6. Burton D, Kenamond M, Morgan N, Carney T, Shashkov M. An intersection based ALE scheme (xALE) for cell centered hydrodynamics (CCH). In: Talk at Multimat 2013, International Conference on Numerical Methods for Multi-Material Fluid Flows. The Organization. ; September 2–6, 2013; San Francisco. LA-UR-13-26756.2.
7. Berndt M, Breil J, Galera S, Kucharik M, Maire P, Shashkov M. Two-step hybrid conservative remapping for multimaterial arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian methods. *J Comput Phys* 2011; 230(17): 6664–6687.
8. Kucharik M, Shashkov M. One-step hybrid remapping algorithm for multi-material arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian methods. *J Comput Phys* 2012; 231(7): 2851–2864.
9. Breil J, Alcin H, Maire P. A swept intersection-based remapping method for axisymmetric ReALE computation. *Int J Numer Meth Fl* 2015; 77(11): 694–706. Fld.3996.
10. Barth T. Numerical methods for gasdynamic systems on unstructured meshes. In: Kroner D, Rohde C, Ohlberger M., eds. *An Introduction to Recent Developments in Theory and Numerics for Conservation Laws, Proceedings of the International School on Theory and Numerics for Conservation Laws* Lecture Notes in Computational Science and Engineering. Berlin: Springer. 1997. ISBN 3-540-65081-4.
11. Liska R, Shashkov M, Vachal P, Wendroff B. Optimization-based synchronized flux-corrected conservative interpolation (remapping) of mass and momentum for arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian methods. *J Comput Phys* 2010; 229(5): 1467–1497.
12. Kucharik M, Shashkov M, Wendroff B. An efficient linearity-and-bound-preserving remapping method. *J Comput Phys* 2003; 188(2): 462–471.
13. Blanchard G, Loubere R. High-Order Conservative Remapping with a posteriori MOOD stabilization on polygonal meshes. Details on how published; 2015. <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01207156>, the HAL Open Archive, hal-01207156. Accessed January 13, 2016.

14. Lauritzen P, Erath C, Mittal R. On simplifying ‘incremental remap’-based transport schemes. *J Comput Phys* 2011; 230(22): 7957–7963.
15. Klima M, Kucharik M, Shashkov M. Local error analysis and comparison of the swept- and intersection-based remapping methods. *Commun Comput Phys* 2017; 21(2): 526–558.
16. Dukowicz J, Baumgardner J. Incremental remapping as a transport/advection algorithm. *J Comput Phys* 2000; 160(1): 318–335.
17. Kucharik M, Shashkov M. Flux-based approach for conservative remap of multi-material quantities in 2D arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian simulations. In: Fořt J, Fürst J, Halama J, Herbin R, Hubert F., eds. *Finite Volumes for Complex Applications VI Problems & Perspectives*. 1 of *Springer Proceedings in Mathematics*. Springer. 2011 (pp. 623–631).
18. Kucharik M, Shashkov M. Conservative multi-material remap for staggered multi-material arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian methods. *J Comput Phys* 2014; 258: 268–304.
19. Loubere R, Shashkov M. A subcell remapping method on staggered polygonal grids for arbitrary-Lagrangian-Eulerian methods. *J Comput Phys* 2005; 209(1): 105–138.
20. Margolin L, Shashkov M. Second-order sign-preserving remapping on general grids. Tech. Rep. Technical Report LA-UR-02-525, Los Alamos National Laboratory; The address: 2002.
21. Mavriplis D. Revisiting the least-squares procedure for gradient reconstruction on unstructured meshes. In: AIAA 2003-3986. 16th AIAA Computational Fluid Dynamics Conference. The organization. ; June 23–26, 2003; Orlando, Florida.
22. Scovazzi G, Love E, Shashkov M. Multi-scale Lagrangian shock hydrodynamics on Q1/P0 finite elements: Theoretical framework and two-dimensional computations. *Comput Method Appl M* 2008; 197(9–12): 1056–1079.
23. Caramana E, Shashkov M. Elimination of artificial grid distortion and hourglass-type motions by means of Lagrangian subzonal masses and pressures. *J Comput Phys* 1998; 142(2): 521–561.
24. Hoch P. An arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian strategy to solve compressible fluid flows. Tech. Rep. Technical Report, CEA; The address: 2009. HAL: hal-00366858. <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/docs/00/36/68/58/PDF/ale2d.pdf>. Accessed January 13, 2016.
25. Shashkov M. *Conservative Finite-Difference Methods on General Grids*. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press . 1996. ISBN 0-8493-7375-1.
26. Knupp P. Winslow smoothing on two-dimensional unstructured meshes. *Eng Comput* 1999; 15: 263–268.
27. Kamm J. Evaluation of the Sedov-von Neumann-Taylor blast wave solution. Tech. Rep. Technical Report LA-UR-00-6055, Los Alamos National Laboratory; The address: 2000.
28. Taylor G, Green A. Mechanism of the production of small eddies from large ones. *P Roy Soc Lond A Mat* 1937; 158(895): 499–521. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1937.0036>, <http://rspa.royalsocietypublishing.org/content/158/895/499>.

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHY

[illegible]

biography text.

How to cite this article: Williams K., B. Hoskins, R. Lee, G. Masato, and T. Woollings (2016), A regime analysis of Atlantic winter jet variability applied to evaluate HadGEM3-GC2, *Q.J.R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 2017;00:1–6.