

# Functional and Imperative Programming

# Variable assignment in an environment

Components:

- Variable environment, mimics computer memory, we limit to integers

```
type Var = String
```

```
type Env = Var -> Integer
```

- Datatype for expressions

```
data Expr = I Integer | V Var
```

```
    | Add Expr Expr
```

```
    | Subtr Expr Expr
```

```
    | Mult Expr Expr
```

```
    deriving (Eq,Show)
```

- Evaluation of an expression in an environment

```
eval :: Expr -> Env -> Integer
```

```
eval (I i) _ = i
```

```
eval (V name) env = env name
```

```
eval (Add e1 e2) env = (eval e1 env) + (eval e2 env)
```

```
eval (Subtr e1 e2) env = (eval e1 env) - (eval e2 env)
```

```
eval (Mult e1 e2) env = (eval e1 env) * (eval e2 env)
```

# Variable assignment in an environment

- Environment initialisation

```
initEnv :: Env  
initEnv = \_ -> undefined  
-- initEnv = const undefined
```

- Environment update

```
update :: Eq a => (a -> b) -> (a,b) -> a -> b  
update f (x,y) = \ z -> if x == z then y else f z
```

```
updates :: Eq a => (a -> b) -> [(a,b)] -> a -> b  
updates = foldl update
```

## Assignment:

```
assign :: Var -> Expr -> Env -> Env  
assign var expr env = update env (var, eval expr env)
```

```
*Lecture4> assign "b" (I 9) (assign "a" (I 1) initEnv) "a"
```

# Recap: 4 ingredients of imperative programming

1. Variable Assignment:  $\langle \text{var} \rangle := \langle \text{expr} \rangle$
2. Conditional Execution: if  $\langle \text{bexpr} \rangle$  then  $\langle \text{statement1} \rangle$  else  $\langle \text{statement2} \rangle$
3. Sequential Composition:  $\langle \text{statement1} \rangle ; \langle \text{statement2} \rangle$
4. Iteration: while  $\langle \text{expr} \rangle$  do  $\langle \text{statement} \rangle$

# Implementation of WHILE Language in Haskell

- Conditions

```
data Condition = Prp Var
               | Eq Expr Expr
               | Lt Expr Expr
               | Gt Expr Expr
               | Ng Condition
               | Cj [Condition]
               | Dj [Condition]
               deriving (Eq,Show)
```

- Statements

```
data Statement = Ass Var Expr
               | Cond Condition Statement Statement
               | Seq [Statement]
               | While Condition Statement
               deriving (Eq,Show)
```

# Implementation of WHILE Language in Haskell

- Condition evaluation

```
evalc :: Condition -> Env -> Bool
evalc (Eq e1 e2) env = eval e1 env == eval e2 env
evalc (Lt e1 e2) env = eval e1 env <  eval e2 env
evalc (Gt e1 e2) env = eval e1 env >  eval e2 env
evalc (Ng c) env = not (evalc c env)
evalc (Cj cs) env = and (map (\ c -> evalc c env) cs)
evalc (Dj cs) env = or  (map (\ c -> evalc c env) cs)
```

- Statement execution

```
exec :: Statement -> Env -> Env
exec (Ass v e) env = assign v e env
exec (Cond c s1 s2) env =
  if evalc c env then exec s1 env else exec s2 env
exec (Seq ss) env = foldl (flip exec) env ss
exec w@(While c s) env =
  if not (evalc c env) then env
  else exec w (exec s env)
```

# The return of Fibonacci

```
fib n
x := 0; y := 1;
while n > 0 do { z := x; x := y; y := z+y; n := n-1 }
```

```
fibonacci :: Integer -> Integer
fibonacci n = fibon (0,1,n) where
  fibon = whiler
    (\ (_,_,n) -> n > 0)
    (\ (x,y,n) -> (y,x+y,n-1))
    (\ (x,_,_) -> x)
  while = until . (not.)
  whiler p f r = r . while p f
```

Q: show the correctness of the imperative version of the Fibonacci algorithm

```
fib :: Statement
fib = Seq [Ass "x" (I 0), Ass "y" (I 1),
  While (Gt (V "n") (I 0))
    (Seq [Ass "z" (V "x"),
      Ass "x" (V "y"),
      Ass "y" (Add (V "z") (V "y")),
      Ass "n" (Subtr (V "n") (I 1))])] ]
```

```
run :: [(Var,Integer)] -> Statement -> [Var] -> [Integer]
run xs program vars =
  exec program (updates initEnv xs) $$
    \ env -> map (\c -> eval c env) (map V vars)
```

Try it out:

```
runFib n = run [("n",n)] fib ["x"]
```

**Loop invariant corresponds to induction step.**

# While loops as fixpoints

- Fixpoint = an element of the domain that is mapped to itself by the function.

```
fp :: Eq a => (a -> a) -> a -> a  
fp f = until (\ x -> x == f x) f
```

- Naturally, Fibonacci

```
fbo n = (0,1,n) $$  
      fp (\ (x,y,k) -> if k == 0 then (x,y,k) else (y,x+y,k-1))
```

- Approximate square roots (Babylonian method)

```
bab a = \ x -> ((x + a/x)/2)  
sr a = fp (bab a) a
```

Q: How would you test `sr a`?



# While loops as fixpoints

- Fixpoint = an element of the domain that is mapped to itself by the function.

```
fp :: Eq a => (a -> a) -> a -> a
fp f = until (\ x -> x == f x) f
```

- Naturally, Fibonacci

```
fbo n = (0,1,n) $$
      fp (\ (x,y,k) -> if k == 0 then (x,y,k) else (y,x+y,k-1))
```

- Approximate square roots (Babylonian method)

```
bab a = \ x -> ((x + a/x)/2)
sr a = fp (bab a) a
```

Q: How would you test `sr a`?

Hint: use `iterate f x = [x, f x, f (f x), ...]`

`iterateFix :: Eq a => (a -> a) -> a -> [a]`

`iterateFix f = apprx . iterate f` where

`apprx (x:y:zs) = if x == y then [x] else x: apprx (y:zs)`

\*Lecture4>iterateFix (bab 4) 1

# Haskell fix operator

Special fixpoint operator to implement recursion:

```
fix :: (a -> a) -> a  
fix f = f (fix f)
```

Or:

```
fix f = let x = f x in x
```

Fibonacci with fixpoint (check in pencil that `fbx` computes a fixed point,  $h = g h$ ):

```
fbx n = (0,1,n) $$  
    fix (\ f (x,y,k) -> if k == 0 then x else f (y,x+y,k-1)) -- fix g
```

Fibonacci without fixpoint:

```
fb n = fbb (0,1,n) where  
    fbb (x,y,n) = if n == 0 then x else fbb (y,x+y,n-1)
```

Fibonacci curried without fixpoint:

```
fb n = fbc 0 1 n where  
    fbc x y n = if n == 0 then x else fbc y (x+y) (n-1)
```

Fibonacci curried with fixpoint:

```
fbxc n = fbxcHelper 0 1 n where  
    fbxcHelper = fix (\ f x y k -> if k == 0 then x else f y (x+y) (k-1))
```

# Haskell Fix

Q: what is the difference between `fp` and `fix`?

# Haskell Fix

Q: what is the difference between `fp` and `fix`?

A: `fix` is unconditional

`fp' :: Eq a => (a -> a) -> a -> a`

`fp' f = fix (\ g x -> if x == f x then x else g (f x))`

Q: define `until` using `fix`

# Haskell Fix

Q: what is the difference between `fp` and `fix`?

A: `fix` is unconditional

```
fp' :: Eq a => (a -> a) -> a -> a
```

```
fp' f = fix (\ g x -> if x == f x then x else g (f x))
```

Q: define `until` using `fix`

A:

```
until' :: (a -> Bool) -> (a -> a) -> a -> a
```

```
until' p f = fix
```

```
  (\ g x -> if p x then x else g (f x))
```

# Haskell Fix

Q: what is the difference between `fp` and `fix`?

A: `fix` is unconditional

```
fp' :: Eq a => (a -> a) -> a -> a
```

```
fp' f = fix (\ g x -> if x == f x then x else g (f x))
```

Q: define `until` using `fix`

A:

```
until' :: (a -> Bool) -> (a -> a) -> a -> a
```

```
until' p f = fix
```

```
  (\ g x -> if p x then x else g (f x))
```

Q: define `while` using `fix`

# Haskell Fix

Q: what is the difference between `fp` and `fix`?

A: `fix` is unconditional

```
fp' :: Eq a => (a -> a) -> a -> a
```

```
fp' f = fix (\ g x -> if x == f x then x else g (f x))
```

Q: define `until` using `fix`

A:

```
until' :: (a -> Bool) -> (a -> a) -> a -> a
```

```
until' p f = fix  
    (\ g x -> if p x then x else g (f x))
```

Q: define `while` using `fix`

A:

```
while' :: (a -> Bool) -> (a -> a) -> a -> a
```

```
while' p f = fix  
    (\ g x -> if not (p x) then x else g (f x))
```

# Quickcheck Example: The Pebble Game

```
data Color = W | B deriving (Eq,Show)
```

```
drawPebble :: [Color] -> [Color]
```

```
drawPebble [] = []
```

```
drawPebble [x] = [x]
```

```
drawPebble (W:W:xs) = drawPebble (B:xs)
```

```
drawPebble (B:B:xs) = drawPebble (B:xs)
```

```
drawPebble (W:B:xs) = drawPebble (W:xs)
```

```
drawPebble (B:W:xs) = drawPebble (W:xs)
```

Try out:

```
*Lecture4> drawPebble [W,W,B,B]
```

```
[B]
```

How do we go about generating random pebble tests?



# Color Instance of Arbitrary

```
instance Arbitrary Color where
  arbitrary = oneof [return W, return B]
```

Now Quickcheck can “use” Color when generating testcases  
Let us check some samples (sampleF is our own adaptation):

```
sampleF f g =
  do cases <- sample' g
  sequence_ (map (print.f) cases)
```

```
*Lecture4> sample $ (arbitrary :: Gen [Color])
[]
[W]
[W,B]
```

```
*Lecture4> sampleF drawPebbleList $ (arbitrary :: Gen [Color])
([],[])
([B],[B])
([B],[B,W,W])
```

# Testing DrawPebble with Quickcheck

- Parity Invariant

```
numberW :: [Color] -> Int
numberW = length . (filter (== W))
```

```
parityW :: [Color] -> Int
parityW xs = mod (numberW xs) 2
```

```
prop_invariant xs =
  parityW xs == parityW (drawPebble xs)
```

```
*Lecture4> quickCheck prop_invariant
+++ OK, passed 100 tests.
```

- Length Invariant

```
prop_length xs = length xs == length (drawPebble xs)
```

```
*Lecture4> quickCheck prop_length
*** Failed! Falsifiable (after 3 tests)
```

<http://www.cse.chalmers.se/~rjmh/QuickCheck/manual.html>

# Recap properties of relations

Let  $R$  be a relation on set  $A$ ,  $xRy$  means  $(x,y) \in R$

- Irreflexive:  $\nexists x \in A$ , such that  $xRx$
- Reflexive:  $\forall x \in A$ ,  $xRx$
- Antisymmetric:  $\forall x, y \in A$ ,  $xRy \wedge yRx \Rightarrow x=y$
- Asymmetric: both irreflexive and antisymmetric
- Symmetric:  $\forall x, y \in A$ ,  $xRy \Leftrightarrow yRx$
- Transitive:  $\forall x, y, z \in A$ ,  $xRy \wedge yRz \Rightarrow xRz$ 
  - Transitive closure: smallest transitive relation on  $A$  that contains  $R$
- Linear:  $\forall x, y \in A$ ,  $xRy \vee yRx \vee x=y$

Composition of relations: let  $S, R$  be relations  $S.R = \{(x,z) \mid \exists y \in Y \ xRy \wedge ySz\}$

- $R^{i+1} = R.R^i$
- Is associative
- The inverse is  $R^{-1}.S^{-1}$