

# Preconditions and Postconditions

# Tools for Test Generation: Random Numbers

Random number generation. Getting a random integer:

```
*Lecture2> :t randomR  
randomR :: (RandomGen g, Random a) => (a, a) -> g -> (a, g)
```

```
getRandomInt n = getStdRandom (randomR (0,n))
```

```
*Lecture2> getRandomInt 20  
15
```

```
*Lecture2> :t getRandomInt  
getRandomInt :: Int -> IO Int
```

# Randomly flipping the value of an Int

```
randomFlip x = do  
  b <- getRandomInt 1  
  if b==0 then return x else return (-x)
```

```
*Lecture2> :t randomFlip  
randomFlip :: Int -> IO Int
```

# Random integer list

```
genIntList :: IO [Int]
genIntList = do
  k <- getRandomInt 20
  n <- getRandomInt 10
  getIntL k n
```

```
getIntL :: Int -> Int -> IO [Int]
getIntL _ 0 = return []
getIntL k n = do
  x <- getRandomInt k
  y <- randomFlip x
  xs <- getIntL k (n-1)
  return (y:xs)
```

What is the role of k? What is the role of n?

[More on monads](#)

# Some output lines

```
*Lecture2> genIntList  
[-2,-10,-1,9,0,4,-5,-9,-11,9]  
*Lecture2> genIntList  
[]  
*Lecture2> genIntList  
[1,2,11,13,8,12,6]  
*Lecture2> genIntList  
[-14,0,5,-7,-10,-6,-1,-3]  
*Lecture2> genIntList  
[-5,-1]  
*Lecture2> genIntList  
[-10,17,6,-13,-18,8,-12,-18,10,-15]  
*Lecture2> genIntList  
[-14,7,6,-14,7,13]
```

# Test Properties, Preconditions and Postconditions

Let **a** be some type. Then  $a \rightarrow \text{Bool}$  is the type of **a** properties.

An **a** property is a function for **classifying a** objects.

Properties can be used for testing:

Let  $f$  be a function of type  $a \rightarrow a$ .

A **precondition** for  $f$  is a property of the input.

A **postcondition** for  $f$  is a property of the output.

# Hoare Statements, or Hoare Triples

$\{p\} f \{q\}$

Read: if the input  $x$  of  $f$  satisfies  $p$ , then the output  $f x$  satisfies  $q$

Intuitively, special case of specifications for design by contract software development.

$\{\text{even}\}(\lambda x \mapsto x+1) \{\text{odd}\}$

$\{\text{odd}\}(\lambda x \mapsto x+1) \{\text{even}\}$

$\{\top\}(\lambda x \mapsto 2x) \{\text{even}\}$

$\{\perp\}(\lambda x \mapsto 2x) \{\text{odd}\}$

Hoare

Formalizing inter-process  
communication

Quicksort

1980 Turing Award

Null pointer :)





# Testing Quicksort

```
quicksort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
quicksort [] = []
quicksort (x:xs) =
  quicksort [ a | a <- xs, a <= x ]
  ++ [x]
  ++ quicksort [ a | a <- xs, a > x ]
```

**Property:** Quicksort turns *any* finite list of items into an *ordered* list of items.

Precondition?

# Testing Quicksort

```
quicksort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
quicksort [] = []
quicksort (x:xs) =
  quicksort [ a | a <- xs, a <= x ]
  ++ [x]
  ++ quicksort [ a | a <- xs, a > x ]
```

**Property:** Quicksort turns *any* finite list of items into an *ordered* list of items.

**Precondition:** the property `isTrue` that holds of any list

Postcondition ?

# Testing Quicksort

```
quicksort :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
quicksort [] = []
quicksort (x:xs) =
  quicksort [ a | a <- xs, a <= x ]
  ++ [x]
  ++ quicksort [ a | a <- xs, a > x ]
```

**Property:** Quicksort turns *any* finite list of items into an *ordered* list of items.

**Precondition:** the property `isTrue` that holds of any

```
isTrue :: a -> Bool
isTrue _ = True
```

**Postcondition:** the property `prop_ordered` that should hold for any

```
prop_ordered :: Ord a => [a] -> Bool
prop_ordered [] = True
prop_ordered (x:xs) = all (>= x) xs && prop_ordered xs
```

# A Hoare triple for Quicksort

$\{ \text{isTrue } xs \} \text{ ys} = \text{quicksort } xs \{ \text{prop\_ordered } ys \}$

And we are ready for automated testing.

# Build your own Quickcheck

## Automated test generation

```
testR :: Int -> Int -> ([Int] -> [Int])
      -> ([Int] -> [Int] -> Bool) -> IO ()
testR k n f r =   if k == n then print (show n ++ " tests passed")
                  else do
                      xs <- genIntList
                      if r xs (f xs) then
                          do print ("pass on: " ++ show xs)
                             testR (k+1) n f r
                      else error ("failed test on: " ++ show xs)
```

## Example running 100 tests with a given postcondition

```
testPost :: ([Int] -> [Int]) -> ([Int] -> Bool) -> IO ()
testPost f p = testR 1 100 f (\_ -> p)
```

# Quickcheck on Quicksort

## Our own version

```
*Lecture2> testPost quicksort prop_ordered
"pass on: [-8,-9,-11,5,-11,1,-3,7]"
"pass on: [0]"
...
"pass on: [0,-8,-1,4,4,-3,-8]"
"100 tests passed"
```

## The original version

```
*Lecture2> quickCheck (prop_ordered . quicksort)
+++ OK, passed 100 tests.
```

# Another Quicksort

Let's write a slightly different implementation:

```
quicksrt :: Ord a => [a] -> [a]
quicksrt [] = []
quicksrt (x:xs) =
  quicksrt [ a | a <- xs, a < x ]
  ++ [x]
  ++ quicksrt [ a | a <- xs, a > x ]
```

Passes the tests using the ordered property:

```
*Lecture2> quickCheck (prop_ordered . quicksrt)
+++ OK, passed 100 tests.
```

# Quicksort versus Quicksrt with properties

Is there a postcondition property that quicksort has, but quicksrt lacks?

```
samelength :: [Int] -> [Int] -> Bool  
samelength xs ys = length xs == length ys
```

As testPost expects properties with a different type, we write a new test function:

```
testRl :: ([Int] -> [Int]) -> ([Int] -> [Int] -> Bool) -> IO ()  
testRl f r = testR 1 100 f r
```

And we test with:

```
*Lecture2> testRl quicksrt samelength  
"pass on: [1,4,2,-1,-6,-14,-10]"  
*** Exception: failed test on: [5,0,3,-3,-1,0,-4,-4,2,-3]
```

Or

```
*Lecture2> quickCheck (\ xs -> samelength xs (quicksrt xs))  
*** Failed! Falsifiable (after 7 tests and 1 shrink):  
[-3,-3]
```



# Precondition strengthening

If  $\{p\} \vdash \{q\}$  holds

And  $p'$  is a *stronger* property than  $p$

Then  $\{p'\} \vdash \{q\}$  holds.

What makes a property  $p'$  stronger than property  $p$ ?

Revisit isTrue property: A stronger property is being different from the empty list.

$\{ \text{not.null xs} \} \text{ys} = \text{quicksort xs} \{ \text{sorted ys} \}$

# Postcondition weakening

If  $\{p\} f \{q\}$  holds

And  $q'$  is a *weaker* property than  $q$

Then  $\{p\} f \{q'\}$  holds.

# Implementing Hoare Logic tests

To actually run Hoare tests, we need a domain of test instances and a way to generate them.

```
infix 1 -->
```

```
(-->) :: Bool -> Bool -> Bool
```

```
p --> q = (not p) || q
```

```
forall :: [a] -> (a -> Bool) -> Bool
```

```
forall = flip all
```

```
hoareTest :: (a -> Bool) -> (a -> a) -> (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> Bool
```

```
hoareTest precondition f postcondition =
```

```
  all (\x -> precondition x --> postcondition (f x))
```

```
hoareTest odd succ even [0..100]
```

# Recognizing the Relevant Test Cases

The *relevant* test cases are the cases that satisfy the precondition.

The following function keeps track of the proportion of relevant tests:

```
hoareTestR :: Fractional t =>
  (a -> Bool)
  -> (a -> a) -> (a -> Bool) -> [a] -> (Bool,t)
hoareTestR precondition f postcond testcases = let
  a = fromIntegral (length $ filter precondition testcases)
  b = fromIntegral (length testcases)
  in
  (all (\x ->
    precondition x --> postcond (f x)) testcases,a/b)
```

Some output:

```
*Lecture2> hoareTest odd succ even [0..100]
True
*Lecture2> hoareTestR odd succ even [0..100]
(True,0.49504950495049505)
*Lecture2> hoareTestR (\_ -> True) succ even [0..100]
(False,1.0)
```

# The Hoare rule for while statements

From  $\{p\} f \{q\}$  we derive  $\{p\} \text{ while } c \text{ f } \{p \cdot \&\& \cdot \text{not}.c\}$

Property  $p$  is a **loop invariant**. Further reading on Hoare logic [Mike Gordon's notes](#)

```
invarTest :: (a -> Bool) -> (a -> a) -> [a] -> Bool
invarTest invar f = hoareTest invar f invar
```

```
*Lecture2> invarTest odd (succ.succ) [0..100]
True
```

And the counting version:

```
invarTestR :: Fractional t =>
  (a -> Bool) -> (a -> a) -> [a] -> (Bool,t)
invarTestR invar f = hoareTestR invar f invar
```

```
*Lecture2> invarTestR odd (succ.succ) [0..100]
(True,0.49504950495049505)
```

# Again, QuickCheck

The predefined operator `==>` behaves like `-->`

- it allows us to check for precondition failures.

Let's take a simple example:

```
f1,f2 :: Int -> Int
f1 = \n -> sum [0..n]
f2 = \n -> (n*(n+1)) `div` 2
```

```
test = verboseCheck (\n -> n >= 0 ==> f1 n == f2 n)
```

# Hoare triples limitation

We cannot express *relations* between inputs and outputs of functions.

- For instance, preserving parity for `succ.succ`

`parity n = mod n 2`

A relational version of Hoare tests:

```
testRel :: (a -> a -> Bool) -> (a -> a) -> [a] -> Bool
testRel spec f = all (\x -> spec x (f x))
```

An invariant relation version (what is the main difference?):

```
testInvar :: Eq b => (a -> b) -> (a -> a) -> [a] -> Bool
testInvar specf = testRel (\ x y -> specf x == specf y)
```

```
*Lecture2> testInvar parity (succ.succ) [0..100]
True
```

# Stronger and Weaker as Predicates on Test Properties

*Stronger than* and *weaker than* are relations on the class of test properties.

- We assume a finite domain given by  $[a]$

```
stronger, weaker :: [a] ->  
  (a -> Bool) -> (a -> Bool) -> Bool  
stronger xs p q = forall xs (\ x -> p x --> q x)  
weaker  xs p q = stronger xs q p
```

Use  $\top$  for the property that *always* holds. This is the *weakest possible property*.

- Implementation:  $\_ \rightarrow \text{True}$ . Remember the `isTrue` property.

Use  $\perp$  for the property that *never* holds. This is the strongest property.

- Implementation:  $\_ \rightarrow \text{False}$ .

*Everything* satisfies  $\_ \rightarrow \text{True}$ .

*Nothing* satisfies  $\_ \rightarrow \text{False}$ .



# Manipulating Properties

- Negating a property

`neg :: (a -> Bool) -> a -> Bool`

`neg p = \ x -> not (p x)`

**or**

`(not.) or \ p -> not . p or \ p x -> not (p x)`

- Conjunctions and Disjunctions of Properties

`infixl 2 .&&.`

`infixl 2 .||.`

`(.&&.) :: (a -> Bool) -> (a -> Bool) -> a -> Bool`

`p .&&. q = \ x -> p x && q x`

`(.||.) :: (a -> Bool) -> (a -> Bool) -> a -> Bool`

`p .||. q = \ x -> p x || q x`

What is the difference between `&&` and `.&&.`?

# Useful bits of code

```
compar :: [a] -> (a -> Bool) -> (a -> Bool) -> String
compar xs p q = let pq = stronger xs p q
                 qp = stronger xs q p
                 in
                 if pq && qp then "equivalent"
                 else if pq then "stronger"
                 else if qp then "weaker"
                 else "incomparable"
```

```
compar [0..10] even (even Lecture2..&&. (>3))
compar [0..10] even (even Lecture2..||. (>3))
```

# Importance of Precondition Strengthening for Testing

If you strengthen the requirements on your inputs, your testing procedure gets *weaker*.

Reason: the set of *relevant tests* gets smaller.

Note: the precondition specifies the *relevant tests*.

Preconditions should be *as weak as possible* (given a function and a postcondition).

# Importance of Postcondition Weakening for Testing

If you weaken the requirements on your output, your testing procedure gets *weaker*.

Reason: the requirements that you use for checking the output get less severe.

Note: the postcondition specifies the *strength of your tests*.

Postconditions should be *as strong as possible* (given a function and a precondition).

# Function composition, pre- and post-conditions

If  $\{p\} f \{q\}$  and  $\{q\} g \{r\}$  hold

Then  $\{p\} g \circ f \{r\}$  holds.

→ derive useful specifications for compositions from specifications for their parts.

Flipped order:

infixl 2 #

$(\#) :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c)$

$(\#) = \text{flip } (\cdot)$

We can write  $\{p\} f \# g \{r\}$  holds. (read  $f$  followed by  $g$ )