

# Practical Algorithm Design

## Assignment 1

### Question 3

#### Tabular comparison of Timsort, Introsort and Hayate-Shiki sort:

Timsort	Introsort	Hayate-Shiki
It is a hybrid sorting algorithm	It is a hybrid sorting algorithm	It is a parallel sorting algorithm
It combines Merge Sort and Insertion Sort for sorting	It combines Quick Sort, Heap Sort and Insertion Sort for sorting	It uses Merge Sort and Insertion Sort for sorting
It is a stable sorting algorithm	The algorithm is not stable	It's a stable sorting algorithm
It works well for real-world data	This algorithm is good for general-purpose sorting	It is an optimized algorithm for multi-code CPUs.
It performs well with ordered data	High performance on average data	Used with large datasets with many cores
It uses recursion	It uses recursion	It avoids recursion and unnecessary swaps, and performances insertion sort to secure the length of the parts
It exploits the existing order in the data by finding and merging sorted runs	It switches from quicksort to heapsort when the recursion depth exceeds a certain level, and switches to insertion sort when the sub-array size is small	It places values in the external area according to some rules, and then merges the parts that are already sorted
It is based on the idea of natural runs	It is based on the idea of introspection	It is based on the idea of external sorting
The runs are sequences of data that are already sorted or nearly sorted	The introspection means that the algorithm monitors its own performance and switches to a different strategy when needed	It means that the algorithm uses an external memory area to store and manipulate data
It identifies these runs and merges them efficiently using a minrun parameter that determines the optimal run length	It starts with quicksort and moves to heapsort when the depth exceeds a certain level, and moves to insertion sort when the sub-array is small	It uses a $2N$ continuous band as an external area

Best case – $O(n)$	Best case – $O(n)$	Best case – $O(n)$
Average Case – $O(n \log n)$	Average Case – $O(n \log n)$	Average Case – $O(n \log n)$
Worst Case – $O(n \log n)$	Worst Case – $O(n \log n)$	Worst Case – $O(n \log n)$
Space Complexity – $O(n)$	Space Complexity – $O(\log n)$	Space Complexity – $O(n)$

## What makes each of them special?

Different sorting algorithms have different has its own advantages and disadvantages. This depends on the various factors such as size of the data, memory and performance requirements, and many more. Timsort, Introsort and Hayate-Shiki sort are different from the other sorting algorithms because they are designed to improve the efficiency and reliability of sorting which makes them special form others:

### 1. Timsort

- **Hybrid Algorithm:** Timsort combines the advantages of both Merge Sort and Insertion Sort because of its hybrid approach. It is best suitable for real-world situations.
- **Stable Sorting:** It is a stable sorting algorithm.
- **Optimizations:** It incorporates built-in optimizations to improve its efficiency, making it well-suited for sorting both small and large datasets.
- **Default in Python:** It is the default sorting algorithm in Python, making it widely used and trusted in the Python programming ecosystem.

### 2. Introsort

- **Hybrid Algorithm:** Same as Timsort, Introsort is a hybrid sorting algorithm that combines the strengths of various sorting techniques, including Quicksort, Heap Sort, and Insertion Sort.
- **Predictable Performance:** Introsort provides predictable performance. It adapts its sorting strategy based on the input data, which ensures that it performs well in various scenarios, including worst-case scenarios.
- **Worst-Case Guarantees:** It guarantees worst-case time complexity, which is essential for applications where you need to ensure a maximum time for sorting.
- **Standard Library Usage:** It is often used as the sorting algorithm in the C++ Standard Template Library (STL) due to its balance of performance and reliability.

### 3. Hayate-Shiki Sort

- **Parallel Sorting:** Hayate-Shiki sort is designed specifically for parallel processing on multi-core CPUs. It leverages the capability of modern hardware architectures to speed up sorting tasks.
- **Hybrid Approach:** Similar to Tim Sort, Hayate-Shiki uses a hybrid approach, combining elements of Merge Sort and Insertion Sort for efficiency.
- **Optimized for Hardware:** It is optimized to take full advantage of multi-core processors, making it an excellent choice for sorting large datasets using parallelism.
- **Large-Scale Dataset:** Hayate-Shiki is particularly well-suited for sorting large-scale data efficiently.

### What would you choose?

I would rather choose "**Timsort**" instead of Intro Sort and Hayate-Shiki Sort. Because it is a stable algorithm and it uses Merge Sort and Insertion Sort together to sort the data. It is mostly used with the real-world data. Because the real-world data is usually not entirely random and often contain sorted parts. Timsort greatly outperforms non-adaptive Merge Sort on practically sorted data. Moreover, it is unbelievably fast for nearly sorted data sequence. The worst case is  $O(n \log n)$ . Timsort and Introsort are top competitors for general-purpose sorting. Hayate-Shiki sort could be used if you have access to multi-core processors and require the highest sorting performance.

### Do you have any other algorithm that you can add to your table?

Yes, I have a few algorithms that I would like to add to the table. They are Merge Sort, Heap Sort and Quick Sort. Each algorithm has a different and unique way to sort data. Though there are many sorting algorithms out there but these are the few which have the best run time complexity when compared to others.

**Quick Sort** because it is an effective in-place sorting technique for small to medium-sized data sets. In addition to not requiring more memory, it has a smaller constant factor than Merge Sort. When the pivot element is improperly chosen, it could be slow in the worst-case scenario.

**Heap Sort** is an effective in-place sorting technique for tiny data sets. It doesn't need more memory and has an  $O(1)$  constant space complexity. It is unstable, though, and does not maintain the order of equal elements.

**Merge Sort** is a reliable sorting algorithm that is simple to use and effective for handling vast amounts of data. Additionally, it is a wise decision when memory is not a concern. However, during the first merge step, it needs more RAM to hold the sub-arrays.

The optimum sorting algorithm ultimately depends on the particular use case and limitations. Merge Sort might be a wise choice for big data sets if stability and memory are not an issue. Heap Sort is an excellent option for tiny data sets when space complexity is critical but stability is not necessary. Quick Sort would be a viable option for small to medium-sized data sets if space complexity and stability must be achieved.