Course Title: Introduction to Blockchain Technologies

Course Code (CEN-429)

Assignment No 1

Assignment Title: Blockchain Fundamentals and Security Analysis



Name: Muhammad Sohail

Roll no: 01-132212-029

Department/Program: BCE-08

Assignment Title: Blockchain Fundamentals and Security Analysis

Objective

- Understand UTXOs and balance retrieval in Bitcoin and Ethereum.
- Explore SHA-256 hashing and its impact on data integrity.
- Develop a simple blockchain and analyze tampering effects.
- Implement a peer-to-peer blockchain network and test data consistency.
- Evaluate proof-of-work's role in blockchain security.

Introduction:

Blockchain is a decentralized ledger ensuring secure and tamper-proof transactions. It relies on cryptography, consensus mechanisms, and distributed validation. Security analysis helps identify threats like 51% attacks and smart contract vulnerabilities.

Tasks

1. Fetching UTXOs and Balances

1. Use accounting-models/get-utxo.py to fetch UTXOs for "1Dorian4RoXcnBv9hnQ4Y2C1an6NJ4UrjX". What is the total balance in Satoshis?

Answer: The total balance in Satoshis account is: 370010 Satoshis (0.0037001 BTC)

2. Use accounting-models/get-eth-balance.py to fetch the balance of "0xEeC84548aAd50A465963bB501e39160c58366692". What is the total balance in Weis?

```
Balance of Weis account is: 196549219251000
Balance in ETH: 0.000196549219251 ETH
```

2. Hashing with SHA-256

3. Use dissecting-blockchain/sha256.py to compute the SHA-256 hash of "CEN-429—Blockchain for Fintech". Write the hash value.

SHA-256 Hash: 3cda54b8aba369653621940d99a4e243c86ef4bcc831e81f9e5fb04e9ca5c858

└─\$ python **hashing.py** SHA-256 Hash: 3cda54b8aba369653621940d99a4e243c86ef4bcc831e81f9e5fb04e9ca5c858

4. Modify the text to **"COMP1830-Blockchain for Fintech!"** and compute its SHA-256 hash. Write the new hash value.

Modified SHA-256 Hash:

52c7ab834474b736205fb3b3c49421dffb2b5d03ffc203c3923315a3b72f2ae1

Modified SHA-256 Hash: 52c7ab834474b736205fb3b3c49421dffb2b5d03ffc203c3923315a3b72f2ae1

3. Creating a Blockchain

- 5. Use dissecting-blockchain/block.py to create a blockchain of 4 blocks (including the genesis block) with these data values:
 - o "Blockchain"
 - o "Is"
 - o "Awesome"

What is the hash of the last block?

Original last block hash:

ba25c2e0ac375c0852519c54305f36377858c695fdab3fa30d01f0a821d90bdd

6. Modify the 3rd block's data to **"Is very"** and check blockchain validity. What should the correct final hash be?

Corrected last block hash:

48b762ca8d36e148cebf2b0eb1f66b5f1b2ddb2ec81ad8f882262145fa9ebc89

Output of Screenshot:

4. Peer-to-Peer Blockchain Network

7. Use dissecting-blockchain/peer.py to launch 3 peers. Explain their functionality.

Answer:

Each peer in the network functions as an independent node that maintains a copy of the blockchain. Peers communicate via API endpoints, allowing them to share and update their blockchain data. The `/chain` endpoint returns the current blockchain, while `/receive_chain` validates and updates the local chain if a longer, valid one is received. The `/add_peer` endpoint registers new peers, helping nodes discover and connect with each other. This setup enables decentralized consensus without a central authority, ensuring that the longest valid chain is accepted across the network.

Output showing three peers:

First peer terminal output of flask server running on port 5000

First peer server output:

Second peer terminal output of flask server running on port 5001

Second peer server output:

```
[
{
    "data": "Genesis Block",
    "hash": "26fdf7eb8ff0322bbca6332e0b245bef13a3cc99f83a54bfef863e61d6a7658b",
    "index": 0,
    "previous_hash": "0",
    "timestamp": 1741644992.6846368
}
]
```

Third peer terminal output of flask server running on port 5003

```
(venv)-(alpha@ red)-[~/blockchain_assign]
$ python dissecting-blockchain/peer.py 5002

* Serving Flask app 'peer'
* Debug mode: on
WARNING: This is a development server. Do not use it in a production deployment. Use a production WSGI server instead.
* Running on http://127.0.0.1:5002
Press CTRL+C to quit

* Restarting with stat
* Debugger is active!
* Debugger PIN: 561-511-120
127.0.0.1 - - [11/Mar/2025 03:16:46] "GET /chain HTTP/1.1" 200 -
127.0.0.1 - - [11/Mar/2025 03:17:01] "GET /chain HTTP/1.1" 200 -
```

Third peer server output:

8. Create a blockchain of 4 blocks (as in Q5) and broadcast it to the network.

```
(venv)-(alpha® red) - [~/blockchain_assign]
$ python dissecting-blockchain/broadcast.py
Sent to http://127.0.0.1:5000, Response: {
    "message": "Blockchain updated"
}
Sent to http://127.0.0.1:5001, Response: {
    "message": "Blockchain updated"
}
Sent to http://127.0.0.1:5002, Response: {
    "message": "Blockchain updated"
}
```

Server output after 4 blocks are broadcasted to peers

9. Modify the second block's data to **"Is Very"** and broadcast it. Do the peers accept the tampered data?

Answer: Yes the peer will accept the tampered data since the chain "Blockchain is very awesome" is longer than the original chain "Blockchain is awesome" Screenshot output of original chain:

screenshot output of the peers not accepting the tampered data:

10. Can you force the peers to accept tampered data without restarting them? How long does it take without a consensus mechanism?

Answer: Yes, you can force peers to accept tampered data without restarting them if they lack validation. Broadcasting a modified full chain via /receive_chain can overwrite their blockchain. Without a consensus mechanism, this happens almost instantly, making the system vulnerable.

Each peer stores its own blockchain, syncs with others via /receive_chain, and registers new peers using /add_peer. Peers accept a longer valid chain but reject shorter or equallength chains. Without a consensus mechanism, the longest chain always wins, making it vulnerable to attacks if a malicious node controls the majority.

Here is a screenshot output of sending the data with one extra block

```
# Create the blockchain
blockchain = [Block(0, "0", "Genesis Block")]
blockchain.append(Block(1, blockchain[-1].hash, "Blockchain"))
blockchain.append(Block(2, blockchain[-1].hash, "Is very"))
blockchain.append(Block(3, blockchain[-1].hash, "Awesome"))
blockchain.append(Block(4, blockchain[-1].hash, "Awesome"))
```

```
-(venv)-(alpha⊗ red)-[~/blockchain assign]
  — $ python dissecting-blockchain/modified_chain.py
Sent to http://127.0.0.1:5000, Response: {
    "message": "Blockchain updated"
Sent to http://127.0.0.1:5001, Response: {
    "message": "Blockchain updated"
"data": "Genesis Block",
"hash": "b254209fe749a6f2ef0061527ccf78266b6727f67bd73bd8e67db65600b9f6c1",
"index": 0,
"previous hash": "0"
"timestamp": 1741650354.1812093
"data": "Blockchain",
"hash": "b20a2972496c0e399a1beca67e9a0d95f598814043d05f1b05ab338b4b407828",
"index": 1,
previous hash": "b254209fe749a6f2ef0061527ccf78266b6727f67bd73bd8e67db65600b9f6c1",
"timestamp": 1741650354.1812418
"data": "Is very"
"hash": "78cbc75333287ac1cb55d36c72ebb87236f1c05db6605cbab8ca84fe20279d81",
"index": 2,
previous hash": "b20a2972496c0e399albeca67e9a0d95f598814043d05f1b05ab338b4b407828",
"timestamp": 1741650354.1812503
"data": "Awesome",
"hash": "58e7a6b5cf2a80f5b0ebcd3dc6c33251da5186842f503322aed272b95def6dac",
"index": 3,
previous hash": "78cbc75333287aclcb55d36c72ebb87236flc05db6605cbab8ca84fe20279d81",
"timestamp": 1741650354.1812546
"data": "Awesome"
"hash": "411f021b215977e327b11816bea9ca451f4626b7b8736e8c0e637a7e5d867ea4",
"index": 4.
previous_hash": "58e7a6b5cf2a80f5b0ebcd3dc6c33251da5186842f503322aed272b95def6dac",
"timestamp": 1741650354.1812577
```

5. Proof-of-Work and Blockchain Security

11. Use dissecting-blockchain/pow_peer.py to launch 3 peers and repeat the attack from Q9.

```
(venv)-(alpha@ red) - [~/blockchain_assign]
$ python dissecting-blockchain/broadcast.py
Sent to http://127.0.0.1:5000, Response: {
    "message": "Received chain is not longer"
}
Sent to http://127.0.0.1:5001, Response: {
    "message": "Received chain is not longer"
}
Sent to http://127.0.0.1:5002, Response: {
    "message": "Received chain is not longer"
}
```

12. Compare the attack duration in Q9 (without proof-of-work) vs. Q11 (with proof-of-work). What do you observe?

Answer: Without proof of work the chain will accept the tampered data if its chain is longer and will reject the data if the chain is not longer.

- 13. Write a Python script that:
- Creates a 10-block blockchain.
- Performs the attack from Q9 and Q11 on the second block while maintaining validity.

import requests

```
import json
import time
import hashlib
# List of peer nodes
PEERS = ["http://127.0.0.1:5000", "http://127.0.0.1:5001", "http://127.0.0.1:5002"]
# Block structure
class Block:
  def __init__(self, index, previous_hash, data, timestamp=None):
    self.index = index
    self.previous_hash = previous_hash
    self.data = data
    self.timestamp = timestamp or time.time()
    self.hash = self.compute_hash()
  def compute_hash(self):
    block_string = f"{self.index}{self.previous_hash}{self.data}{self.timestamp}"
    return hashlib.sha256(block_string.encode()).hexdigest()
# Create blockchain with 10 blocks
blockchain = [Block(0, "0", "Genesis Block")]
for i in range(1, 10):
```

```
# Perform attack: Modify the second block's data
blockchain[1].data = "Tampered Data"
blockchain[1].hash = blockchain[1].compute_hash()
# Recalculate hashes for all subsequent blocks
for i in range(2, len(blockchain)):
  blockchain[i].previous_hash = blockchain[i - 1].hash
  blockchain[i].hash = blockchain[i].compute_hash()
# Convert blockchain to JSON
blockchain_json = json.dumps([block.__dict__ for block in blockchain])
# Broadcast the modified blockchain
for peer in PEERS:
  try:
    response = requests.post(f"{peer}/receive_chain", json={"chain": blockchain_json})
    print(f"Sent to {peer}, Response: {response.text}")
  except requests.exceptions.RequestException as e:
    print(f"Failed to send to {peer}: {e}")
```

blockchain.append(Block(i, blockchain[-1].hash, f"Block {i}"))

The script ensures that the tampered data is accepted because the entire blockchain remains valid by updating the hashes.

Outputs:

```
(venv)-(alpha® red) - [~/blockchain_assign]
$ python dissecting-blockchain/ten_blocks.py
Sent to http://127.0.0.1:5000, Response: {
   "message": "Blockchain updated"
}
Sent to http://127.0.0.1:5001, Response: {
   "message": "Blockchain updated"
}
Sent to http://127.0.0.1:5002, Response: {
   "message": "Blockchain updated"
}
```

```
← → C ① 127.0.0.1:5001/chain
 🔡 | 💽 Military Histor... 💆 Goodreads | M... 🔥 FreeCourseSit... 🐰 LCM+L - Home 🔞 Home | Interne...
retty-print 🗌
   "data": "Genesis Block",
"hash": "3873f61010c84a54a86c38d50ceeb0aefc7db137f91eb21b488b56a17dc5d4f5",
"index": 0,
    "previous_hash": "0",
"timestamp": 1741651560.196175
   "data": "Tampered Data",
"hash": "a6albbfa49c5ae8d75c854d4cda5c19639c9bb9babaac5886cbbe7210c88f9f1",
"index": 1,
    previous_hash": "3873f61010c84a54a86c38d50ceeb0aefc7db137f91eb21b488b56a17dc5d4f5",
    "timestamp": 1741651560.1962106
   "data": "Block 2",
"hash": "2ae731124535f0fb62377388338dbe0a66420c92b58483554ace502f41f35e43",
"index": 2,
    Index . 2,
"previous_hash": "a6a1bbfa49c5ae8d75c854d4cda5c19639c9bb9babaac5886cbbe7210c88f9f1",
"timestamp": 1741651560.1962206
   "data": "Block 3",
"hash": "eed3511e4cf6dfe80fc917c4da17f82662d9203cf68001ec7f280fd98e2b3226",
"index": 3,
"previous_hash": "2ae731124535f0fb62377388338dbe0a66420c92b58483554ace502f41f35e43",
    "timestamp": 1741651560.1962252
   "data": "Block 4",
   uata : Buck 4 ,
"hash": "d950f2679780daa17ad5e5531173ca437d4facad16b99f5b1a51ca667c47778d",
"index": 4,
    Index . 7,
"previous_hash": "eed3511e4cf6dfe80fc917c4da17f82662d9203cf68001ec7f280fd98e2b3226",
"timestamp": 1741651560.1962292
   "data": "Block 5",
"hash": "92e7a6e20373c93a06f6a43880966533113462a868ddd54bad00ad0fdfe11d45",
"index": 5,
    "previous_hash": "d950f2679780daa17ad5e5531173ca437d4facad16b99f5b1a51ca667c47778d",
"timestamp": 1741651560.1962326
```

ify the proof-of-work algorithm to change the number of required leading zeros in the hash.

14. M

How does reducing the prefix affect performance?

How does increasing the prefix affect performance?

Output:

```
(veny)-(alpha@ red) - [~/blockchain_assign]
$ python dissecting-blockchain/modified_pof.py
Difficulty: 2, Hash: 00b606adc19d37dda5780cf1116b75be9e3b9deacd71070f9c7e76f402294549, Time Taken: 0.0006 seconds
Difficulty: 4, Hash: 00003c6ccdc626c318d9151bf56a8f226f4f85a3f051cf484a90f76ca379e131, Time Taken: 0.1497 seconds
Difficulty: 6, Hash: 00000098037954f7f6bc71937a35f06522d89af46bddbc17b9edad0309c98451, Time Taken: 56.8148 seconds
```

Effects of Changing the Prefix Length

Reducing the Prefix (e.g., from 4 to 2 zeros)

- Performance: Faster block mining since fewer computations are needed.
- Security: Weaker security, as an attacker can manipulate blocks more easily.
- Increasing the Prefix (e.g., from 4 to 6 zeros)

Increasing the Prefix (e.g., from 4 to 6 zeros)

- Performance: Slower block mining due to increased computational effort.
- Security: Stronger security, as altering a block requires significantly more work.
- This demonstrates the trade-off between security and efficiency in proof-of-work blockchains.