
CSS.414.1: POLYNOMIAL METHODS IN COMBINATORICS

Instructor: Mrinal Kumar

TIFR 2024, Aug-Dec

SCRIBE: SOHAM CHATTERJEE

SOHAMCHATTERJEE999@GMAIL.COM

WEBSITE: SOHAMCH08.GITHUB.IO

CONTENTS

SECTION 1	INTRODUCTION AND TARGETS	PAGE 3
SECTION 2	JOINTS PROBLEM	PAGE 4
SECTION 3	COMBINATORIAL NULLSTELLENSATZ	PAGE 4
3.1	Chevally-Waring Theorem	4
SECTION 4	SUM SETS	PAGE 4
4.1	Sum Sets over Finite Fields	4
4.1.1	Cauchy-Davenport Theorem	4
4.2	Restricted Sum Sets	4
4.2.1	Erdős-Heilbronn Conjecture	4
SECTION 5	ARITHMETIC PROGRESSION FREE SETS IN \mathbb{F}_3^n	PAGE 4
5.1	3AP Free sets in \mathbb{F}_q	4
SECTION 6	3-TENSORS AND SLICE RANK	PAGE 4
6.1	Rank	4
6.2	Generalization to 3-Dimension	4
6.3	Slice Rank of Diagonal 3D Tensor	4
SECTION 7	KAKEYA AND NIKODYM PROBLEM	PAGE 4
7.1	Lower Bound on Nikodym Sets	4
7.2	Lower Bound on Kakeya Sets	4
7.2.1	Hasse Derivative	4
SECTION 8	RAZBOROV SMOLENSKY LOWER BOUND	PAGE 4
8.1	Two Parts of Proving Lower Bound	5
8.2	Approximating Boolean Function with Polynomials	5
8.3	Degree-Error Trade of to Approximate MAJORITY	8

1 Introduction and Targets

The content of this course will be the followings:

- Polynomial Methods in Combinatorics/Geometry

1. Kakeya/Nikodym Problem over finite fields
2. Joints Problem
3. Combinatorial Nullstellensatz (CN)
4. CN proof of Cauchy-Devenport, Erdős-Heilbronn Conjecture

- Polynomial Methods in Algebraic Algorithms

1. Noisy Polynomial Interpolation (Sudan, Guruswami-Sudan)
2. Multiplicative noise (Von zur Gathen-Shparlinski)
3. Coppersmith's Problem (Given an univariate $f(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, compute all 'small' integer roots modulo a composite)

- Polynomial Methods in Circuit Complexity

1. Razborov-Smolensky (Lower Bound for constant depth AND, OR, NOT, $\text{mod } p$ gates)
2. Algorithmic consequences (all pairs shortest paths)
3. Upper bounds on matrix rigidity (Alman-Williams '2015, Dvir-Edelman '2017)

- Polynomial in Property Testing: Polischuk-Speilman Lemma/Variants

- Weil Bounds (Stepanov, Schmidt Bombieri)

- Rational Approximations of Algebraic Numbers (Thue[1907] - Siegel - Roth[1954])

2 Joints Problem

3 Combinatorial Nullstellensatz

3.1 Chevally-Waring Theorem

4 Sum Sets

4.1 Sum Sets over Finite Fields

4.1.1 Cauchy-Davenport Theorem

4.2 Restricted Sum Sets

4.2.1 Erdős-Heilbronn Conjecture

5 Arithmetic Progression Free Sets in \mathbb{F}_3^n

5.1 3AP Free sets in \mathbb{F}_q

6 3-Tensors and Slice Rank

6.1 Rank

6.2 Generalization to 3-Dimension

6.3 Slice Rank of Diagonal 3D Tensor

7 Kakeya and Nikodym Problem

Definition 7.0.1: Kakeya Sets

In a finite field \mathbb{F}_q , $K \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is a Kakeya Set if $\forall a \in \mathbb{F}_q^n, \exists b \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ such that

$$L_{a,b} = \{b + at : t \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \subseteq K$$

i.e. informally it has a line in every direction

Now notice that we can take the whole \mathbb{F}_q^n as the Kakeya Set. We can also remove a point from \mathbb{F}_q^n and it will still be a Kakeya Set. Having defined the Kakeya sets the biggest question which is studied is:

Question 7.1

How small can a Kakeya Set be?

7.1 Lower Bound on Nikodym Sets

7.2 Lower Bound on Kakeya Sets

7.2.1 Hasse Derivative

8 Razborov Smolensky Lower Bound

The result we will discuss the result that majority is strictly harder than the parity for AC^0 , since there is no polynomial-size AC^0 circuit to compute majority even if we are given parity gates. The result is Razborov's, and the proof technique uses ideas due to both Razborov and Smolensky.

Consider the class AC^0 of polynomial size circuits with constant depth with unbounded fan-in. We consider the class $AC^0(\oplus)$ where we give the parity gates \oplus which outputs 1 if an odd number of its inputs are 1. The main theorem which we will prove in this section is:

Theorem 8.1 Razborov-Smolensky

For any $d \in \mathbb{N}$ any any depth d $AC^0(\oplus)$ circuit for MAJORITY has size $\geq 2^{\Omega(n^{\frac{1}{2d}})}$

8.1 Two Parts of Proving Lower Bound

The proof of the above theorem requires two lemmas:

Lemma 8.1.1

$\forall \epsilon > 0$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$ the following is true:

If $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ can be computed by a size s depth d $AC^0(\oplus)$ circuit then \exists a polynomial g in n variables and $\deg O(\log \frac{s}{\epsilon})^d$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}_{a \in \{0,1\}^n} [f(a) = g(a)] \geq 1 - \epsilon$$

Lemma 8.1.2

For all polynomials $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with $\deg p = t$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{a \in \{0,1\}^n} [g(a) = \text{MAJ}(a)] \leq \frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

Now first we will show that with these two lemmas we can prove Razborov-Smolensky Lower Bound for MAJORITY function.

Proof of Theorem 8.1: Suppose MAJ has a $AC^0(\oplus)$ circuit of size $< 2^{n^{\frac{1}{2d}-\delta}}$

$\xRightarrow{\text{Lemma 8.1.1}} \exists$ polynomial g of degree $n^{\frac{1}{2d}-\delta}$ that approximates MAJ with error 0.1.

$\xRightarrow{\text{Lemma 8.1.2}} \forall$ polynomial g of deg $n^{\frac{1}{2d}-\delta}$ the error is $\geq 1 - \left[\frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{n^{\frac{1}{2d}-\delta}}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right] \geq \frac{1}{2} - \left[\frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{n^{\frac{1}{2d}-\delta}}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right] \geq \frac{1}{2} - o(1)$

But $\frac{1}{2} - o(1) < 0.1$ is contradiction. ■

Alternate Proof Theorem 8.1: Suppose C be an $AC^0(\oplus)$ circuit of size s and depth d computing MAJORITY

$\xRightarrow{\text{Lemma 8.1.1}} \exists$ polynomial g of degree $O(\log \frac{s}{\epsilon})^d$ with error probability $\leq \epsilon$.

$\xRightarrow{\text{Lemma 8.1.2}} \forall$ polynomial g of deg $O(\log \frac{s}{\epsilon})^d$ the error is $\geq \frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{(\log \frac{s}{\epsilon})^d}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$.

Hence from these two results and setting $\epsilon = 0.1$ we have

$$\frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{(\log \frac{s}{\epsilon})^d}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \geq 1 - \epsilon \implies (\log 10s)^d \geq \sqrt{n} \implies s \geq 2^{\Omega(\frac{1}{2d})}$$
■

Now that we proved our main objective theorem we will focus on proving the 2 lemmas in the following two sections.

8.2 Approximating Boolean Function with Polynomials

We first state and prove a lemma showing that every $AC^0(\oplus)$ circuit can be approximated by a low degree polynomial i.e. [Lemma 8.1.1](#). But to prove that we will show a more stronger lemma and then the lemma follows as a simple corollary of this stronger result.

Lemma 8.2.1

For all $AC^0(\oplus)$ circuits C of size s of depth d and $\forall \epsilon > 0$ there exists a distribution \mathcal{D} of polynomials $p(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that for all $a \in \{0, 1\}^n$

$$\mathbb{P}_{p \in \mathcal{D}} [p(a) = C(a)] \geq 1 - \epsilon$$

where \mathcal{D} is supported on polynomials of degree $\leq (\log \frac{s}{\epsilon})^d$

First we will show that this lemma implies [Lemma 8.1.1](#).

Proof of Lemma 8.1.1: Consider the $|\{0, 1\}^n| \times |\text{supp } \mathcal{D}|$ table for each $a \in \{0, 1\}^n$, a represents a row in the table. In the table at $(a, i)^{th}$ entry put 1 if i^{th} polynomial p in \mathcal{D} satisfies $p(a) = C(a)$. For rest of the positions put 0.

Lemma 8.2.1

$\implies \forall \epsilon > 0$ there exists a distribution \mathcal{D} such that for all $a \in \{0, 1\}^n$ such that $\mathbb{P}_{p \in (\mathcal{D})} [p(a) = C(a)] \geq 1 - \epsilon$. Hence in the table for each $a \in \{0, 1\}^n$, at least $1 - \epsilon$ many fraction of $|\text{supp}(\mathcal{D})|$ entries in a^{th} row have 1. Therefore there are total at least $(1 - \epsilon) \cdot |\{0, 1\}^n| \cdot |\text{supp}(\mathcal{D})|$ many 1's in total in the table.

Hence by pigeon hole principle there is at least one column which has at least $(1 - \epsilon) \cdot |\{0, 1\}^n|$ many 1's. Therefore there is a polynomial $p \in \text{supp}(\mathcal{D})$ which agrees with C in at least $1 - \epsilon$ fraction of total inputs. Hence

$$\mathbb{P}_{a \in \{0, 1\}^n} [p(a) = C(a)] \geq 1 - \epsilon$$

■

Now we will prove the [Lemma 8.2.1](#). Now before diving into the proof first let's see how can we approximate the gates in $AC^0(\oplus)$ circuits with low-degree polynomials. That way we can approximate any $AC^0(\oplus)$ circuit with low-degree polynomial.

So to for a $\neg x_i$ gate we can have the polynomial $1 - x_i$. For a $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k x_i$ we can use the polynomial $\sum_{i=1}^k x_i$. So only \wedge and \vee gates are remaining. Now notice if we have a low degree polynomial for \wedge we also have a low degree polynomial for \vee since

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i = \neg \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^n (\neg x_i) \right)$$

So we will try to find a polynomial approximating an \wedge gate of degree $\leq (\log \frac{1}{\epsilon})^d$. We can't approximate \wedge by outputting 0 every time since the desired correctness probability must hold for all inputs x . Multiplying a random constant-size subset of the bits will not work either, for the same reason.

Naive way to have a polynomial for $\bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i$ would be $1 - \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - x_i)$. But with this the degree becomes very large.

Idea. Check parity of random subset of $[n]$. So we take a random subset $S \subseteq [n]$ then we take the polynomial $p_S = \sum_{i \in S} x_i$.

Lemma 8.2.2

If S is a random subset of $[n]$ then

$$\mathbb{P}_{S \subseteq [n]} \left[p_S(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i \right] \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

Proof: If $\bar{x} = (0, \dots, 0)$ then we have $p_S(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i$. Suppose $\bar{x} \neq (0, \dots, 0)$. Then only way $p_S(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq \bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i$ is when S has an even number of 1 bits. So let $T \subseteq [n]$ such that $i \in T \iff x_i = 1$. Then $p_S(\bar{x}) = 0 \iff |S \cap T| \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Now $|S \cap T| \pmod{2}$ can be either 1 or 0. Since S is picked uniform at random the probability therefore the probability that $|S \cap T| \pmod{2} = 0$ is $\frac{1}{2}$. Therefore $\mathbb{P}_{S \subseteq [n], S \neq \emptyset} \left[p_S(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq \bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i \right] \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Hence we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{S \subseteq [n]} \left[p_S(x_1, \dots, x_n) \neq \bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i \right] \geq \frac{1}{2}$$

■

Hence we if we pick a subset $S \subseteq [n]$ uniformly at random then with probability $\geq \frac{1}{2}$ we can approximate an \vee gate or an \wedge gate with a polynomial of degree 1. To have error $\frac{1}{2^k}$ we can chose k subsets of $[n]$ uniformly at random S_1, \dots, S_k . Then construct the polynomial

$$p_{S_1, \dots, S_k}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^k (1 - p_{S_i}) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \sum_{j \in S_i} x_j\right)$$

This has error probability $\frac{1}{2^k}$. So we can approximate \vee gate or \wedge gate with $\frac{1}{2^k}$ error probability with a degree k polynomial.

Proof of Lemma 8.2.1: So like the above discussion we replace each gate with polynomials starting with leaf and then we proceed to the top:

- For $\neg x_i$ gate replace by $1 - x_i$
- For $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n x_i$ gate replace by $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i$
- For $\bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i$ gate uniformly pick k subsets S_1, \dots, S_k of $[n]$ then construct the polynomial

$$p_{\vee}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \sum_{j \in S_i} x_j\right)$$

then the error probability becomes $\frac{1}{2^k}$ by Lemma 8.2.2. For $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n x_i$ use the formula $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n x_i = \neg \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^n (\neg x_i) \right)$ use the process for \vee gates. So

$$p_{\wedge}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \sum_{j \in S_i} (1 - x_j)\right)$$

Here will choose k later so that we have the necessary total error.

The total polynomial for the circuit is constructed by composing of polynomials with each gate's S_j for $j \in [k]$ sampled from the input wires.

Now degree increases by a factor of k for each \wedge gate or \vee gate. Since the circuit has depth d , there can be \vee gates or \wedge gates in at most all depths. Hence degree of the final polynomial becomes $O(k^d)$.

For the error let ϵ_l denote the errors for each gate at depth l . Then for each gate g at depth $l - 1$ we have error for g is $\leq \frac{1}{2^k} + |fanin(g)|\epsilon_l$.

Claim: $\epsilon_d \leq \frac{s}{2^k}$

Proof: We will prove this by induction. For base case $d = 1$ this is trivial. Let this is true for $d - 1$. For d consider all the children of the root gate v . Then

$$\epsilon_d \leq \frac{1}{2^k} + \sum_{u \in \text{Child}(v)} \frac{|C_u|}{2^k} = \frac{1 + \sum_{u \in \text{Child}(v)} |C_u|}{2^k} = \frac{|C_v|}{2^k}$$

Hence by mathematical induction we have $\epsilon_d \leq \frac{s}{2^k}$ ■

Hence the total error is $\frac{s}{2^k}$. We want the error to be at most ϵ . Therefore

$$\frac{s}{2^k} \leq \epsilon \implies k = \log \frac{s}{\epsilon}$$

Hence the degree of the final polynomial approximating the circuit is $(\log \frac{s}{\epsilon})^d$. Therefore the support of \mathcal{D} has the polynomials of degree $\leq (\log \frac{s}{\epsilon})^d$ ■

8.3 Degree-Error Trade of to Approximate MAJORITY

Now we will prove the [Lemma 8.1.2](#). But before that we first make some observations.

Note:-

The polynomial which approximates MAJORITY can be made multilinear without changing its evaluation in $\{0, 1\}^n$ just by replacing x_i^k by x_i for each variable and for each power.

Now we will show that if MAJ has an approximating polynomial of low-degree then every n -variable boolean function $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ has an approximating polynomial of low degree.

Theorem 8.3.1 Versatility of MAJORITY

$\forall f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}, \exists g, h \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that

$$\forall x, f(x) = g(x) \cdot \text{MAJ}(x) + h(x), \text{ where } \deg g, \deg h \leq \frac{n}{2}$$

Before proving this theorem first let's see what results we get from this theorem.

Lemma 8.3.2

Let $f \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$, $f(x) = \text{MAJ}(x)$. Then $\deg f \geq \frac{n}{2}$.

Proof: Suppose $\exists p \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that $\deg p < \frac{n}{2}$ and for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ we have $p(x) = \text{MAJ}(x)$.

[Lemma 8.3.1](#) $\implies \forall f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ such that $f(x) = g(x) \cdot \text{MAJ}(x) + g(x)$ for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$. Then the polynomial $f(x) = g(x) \cdot p(x) + h(x)$ for all $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$. Then $\deg f \leq n - 1$. Hence all boolean function of n -variables can be computed by a polynomial of degree $\leq n - 1$.

But number of boolean functions over n -variables are 2^{2^n} . Number of polynomials of n -variables of degree $< n$ is $\leq 2^{2^n - 1}$. Hence there exists a boolean function which can not be computed by polynomial of degree $< n$. Contradiction. ■

Therefore $\deg(\text{MAJ}) \geq \frac{n}{2}$. Now we will prove [Lemma 8.1.2](#) using the above theorem.

Proof of Lemma 8.1.2: Let $p \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be a polynomial of degree t . Let $S \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n$ be the set of inputs where p and MAJ agree.

[Lemma 8.3.1](#) $\implies \forall f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ there exists $g, h \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $\deg g, \deg h \leq \frac{n}{2}$ such that $\forall z \in \{0, 1\}^n$

$$f(a) = g(a)\text{MAJ}(a) + h(a)$$

Hence every function $f|_S : S \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ can be computed by the polynomial $g(x) \cdot p(x) + h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ which has degree $\leq \frac{n}{2} + t$.

Let \mathcal{F} be the vector space of all functions $f|_S : S \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ for all $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ and let \mathcal{P} be the vector space of all polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degree at most $\frac{n}{2} + t$. By the above argument we get that $\forall f|_S \in \mathcal{F}, \exists p_f \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $f|_S$ is computed by \mathcal{P} . Hence $\dim \mathcal{F} \leq \dim \mathcal{P}$. Now

$$\dim \mathcal{P} = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{2}+t} \binom{n}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{2}} \binom{n}{i} + \sum_{i=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{\frac{n}{2}+t} \binom{n}{i} = \frac{1}{2} 2^n + \sum_{i=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{\frac{n}{2}+t} \binom{n}{i} \leq 2^{n-1} + t \frac{2^n}{\sqrt{n}} = 2^n \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} \right)$$

Now $\dim \mathcal{F} = |S|$. Hence

$$|S| \leq 2^n \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \implies \frac{|S|}{2^n} \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Therefore for any polynomial $p \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with degree t we have $\mathbb{P}_{a \in \{0, 1\}^n} [p(a) = \text{MAJ}(a)] \leq \frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$. ■

Observation. Now let for any $f : \{0, 1\}^n \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$, $S_0 = \text{MAJ}^{-1}(0)$ and $S_1 = \text{MAJ}^{-1}(1)$. Suppose we can compute the polynomials $u, v \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $\deg u, \deg v \leq \frac{n}{2}$ such that u, f agree on S_0 and v, f agree on S_1 i.e. $f|_{S_0}$ can be computed by u and $f|_{S_1}$ can be computed by v . Then $\forall x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ we have

$$f(x) = u(x)(1 - \text{MAJ}(x)) + v(x)\text{MAJ}(x)$$

Hence by the observation we can conclude that computing the polynomial for f on S_0 or S_1 is enough. Now we will prove the **Versatility of MAJORITY Theorem**.

Proof of Theorem 8.3.1: So assume $S_0 = \text{MAJ}^{-1}(0)$ and $S_1 = \text{MAJ}^{-1}(1)$. We want to show that these are interpolating sets for polynomials of degree $\leq \frac{n}{2}$ i.e. $\deg f|_{S_0}, \deg f|_{S_1} \leq \frac{n}{2}$.

Now we will show the process to find $u \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $\deg u \leq \frac{n}{2}$ where u agrees with f in S_0 . We will follow the same process to find the polynomial $v \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with $\deg v \leq \frac{n}{2}$ where v agrees with f in S_1 . Now for any $S \subseteq [n]$ we denote $x^S := \prod_{i \in S} x_i$. Then

$$u(\bar{x}) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n], |S| \leq \frac{n}{2}} c_S x^S \quad \forall S \subseteq [n], |S| \leq \frac{n}{2}, c_S \in \{0, 1\}$$

We have to compute the coefficients of u . Now $u(a) = f(a)$ for all $a \in S_0$. Therefore we have a system of linear equations.

We take a matrix M with rows and columns indexed by subsets $S \subseteq [n]$ where $|S| \leq \frac{n}{2}$ and they are ordered so that the size is non-decreasing and lexicographically and use this same ordering for both the rows and columns. Now for $S \subseteq [n]$, $|S| \leq \frac{n}{2}$ the S^{th} column indicates the monomial x^S and the S^{th} row indicates the binary number $a \in \{0, 1\}^n$ where $a_i = 1 \iff i \in S$. Naturally for any $S, T \subseteq [n]$ with $|S|, |T| \leq \frac{n}{2}$ we have $M(S, T) = 1 \iff S \subseteq T$. We have the coefficient vector C indexed same as rows of M as the variable vector and we have the column vector F_0 of values of f at every point of S_0 . Then we have the equation $MC = F_0$.

To have a solution to exist we need $\det M \neq 0$. We will show M is a lower triangular matrix with all diagonal entries 1. This is true because $M(S, T) = 1 \iff S \subseteq T$ therefore in the diagonal all entries are 1 and up the triangle it has 0's. So we have $\det M \neq 0$. And therefore there is a unique solution for u .

Similarly we find v . And then we have $f(a) = u(a)(1 - \text{MAJ}(a)) + v(a)\text{MAJ}(a) = (v - u)(a) \cdot \text{MAJ}(a) + u(a)$. ■