

Problem 1

- (a) Prove that if A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are events, then

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) = S_1 - S_2 + S_3 - \dots + (-1)^{n-1} S_n$$

where

$$S_1 = \sum_i \mathbb{P}(A_i)$$

$$S_2 = \sum_{i < j} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j)$$

$$S_3 = \sum_{i < j < k} \mathbb{P}(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k)$$

...

$$S_n = \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n)$$

This is also known as the *inclusion-exclusion* principle.

- (b) *Bonferroni inequalities* state that the sum of the first terms in the right-hand side of the identity we proved above is alternately an upper bound and a lower bound for the left-hand side. i.e., for odd $k \leq n$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \leq S_1 - S_2 + \dots + S_k$$

and for even $k \leq n$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) \geq S_1 - S_2 + \dots - S_k$$

Note that from what we showed above Bonferroni inequality holds with equality for $k = n$.

Prove Bonferroni inequalities. Observe that the case of $k = 1$ is what you know as the *union bound* or Boole's inequality.

Solution:

- (a) We will prove it using induction on n . For base case $t = 1$. Then $\mathbb{P}[A_1] = S_1 = \sum_i \mathbb{P}[A_i] = \mathbb{P}[A_1]$. Hence for base case it holds. Now let this is true for $t = n$. For $t = n + 1$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} A_i\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(A_{k+1} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i\right) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i\right) + \mathbb{P}(A_{k+1}) - \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k (A_i \cap A_{k+1})\right)$$

Now using inductive hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k (A_i \cap A_{k+1})\right) &= \sum_{t=1}^k (-1)^{t-1} \sum_{J \subseteq [k], |J|=t} \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcap_{i \in J} (A_i \cap A_{k+1})\right] \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^k (-1)^{t-1} \sum_{J \subseteq [k], |J|=t} \mathbb{P}\left[A_{k+1} \cap \left(\bigcap_{i \in J} A_i\right)\right] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i\right) + \mathbb{P}(A_{k+1}) - \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k (A_i \cap A_{k+1})\right) \\
&= \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^k A_i\right) + \mathbb{P}(A_{k+1}) - \left[\sum_{t=1}^k (-1)^{t-1} \sum_{J \subseteq [k], |J|=t} \mathbb{P}\left[A_{k+1} \cap \left(\bigcap_{i \in J} A_i\right)\right] \right] \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^k (-1)^{t-1} \sum_{T \subseteq [k], |T|=t} \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcap_{i \in T} A_i\right] + \mathbb{P}[A_{k+1}] + \sum_{t=1}^k (-1)^t \sum_{J \subseteq [k], |J|=t} \mathbb{P}\left[A_{k+1} \cap \left(\bigcap_{i \in J} A_i\right)\right] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \mathbb{P}[A_i] + \sum_{t=1}^k (-1)^t \sum_{T \subseteq [k], |T|=t+1} \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcap_{i \in T} A_i\right] + \sum_{t=1}^k (-1)^t \sum_{J \subseteq [k], |J|=t} \mathbb{P}\left[A_{k+1} \cap \left(\bigcap_{i \in J} A_i\right)\right] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \mathbb{P}[A_i] + \sum_{t=1}^k (-1)^t \left(\sum_{T \subseteq [k], |T|=t+1} \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcap_{i \in T} A_i\right] + \sum_{J \subseteq [k], |J|=t} \mathbb{P}\left[A_{k+1} \cap \left(\bigcap_{i \in J} A_i\right)\right] \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \mathbb{P}[A_i] + \sum_{t=1}^k (-1)^t \sum_{T \subseteq [k+1], |T|=t+1} \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcap_{i \in T} A_i\right] \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{t-1} \sum_{T \subseteq [k+1], |T|=t} \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcap_{i \in T} A_i\right]
\end{aligned}$$

(b)

□

Problem 2

Prove or disprove the following:

- The conditional independence of A and B given C implies A and B are independent.
- Independence of A and B implies the conditional independence of A and B given C .

If you disproved either of the claims above, for which events C is it then the case that the following statement holds: for all events A and B , the events A and B are conditionally independent given C if and only if A and B are independent.

Solution:

1. We will disprove both of the statements by constructing a counter example.

- Consider we have two decks of cards. Now in the from the first deck we pick a card. If it is a face card then we pick a card uniformly from all non-face cards in the second deck. And if the picked card from the first deck is a non-face card then we pick a card uniformly at random from all non-numbered cards in the second deck. Here the aces comes into both non-numbered cards and non-face cards. So now let
 - A be the event of picking 'King' in the first deck
 - B be the event of picking 'Ace' in the second deck
 - C be the event of picking 'Jack' in the first deck

Now $\mathbb{P}[A | C] = 0$ and $\mathbb{P}[B | C] = \frac{4}{40} = \frac{1}{10}$ and

$$\mathbb{P}[A \cap B | C] = \mathbb{P}[\text{Picking ('King','Ace')} | \text{Picking 'Jack' in first deck}] = 0 = \mathbb{P}[A | C] \mathbb{P}[B | C]$$

So A, B are independent conditioned on C . Now $\mathbb{P}[A] = \frac{4}{52} = \frac{1}{13}$, $\mathbb{P}[B] = \frac{12}{52} \frac{4}{40} + \frac{40}{52} \frac{4}{16} = \frac{3}{130} + \frac{5}{26} = \frac{14}{65}$.

$$\mathbb{P}[A \cap B] = \frac{4}{52} \frac{4}{40} = \frac{3}{130} \neq \mathbb{P}[A] \mathbb{P}[B]$$

- Let us have two unbiased 6-faced dice. We throw both the dice. Let

- A be the event that first dice outcome is 2
- B be the event that second dice outcome is 5.
- C be the event that the sum of first dice outcome and second dice outcome is 6

Then $\mathbb{P}[A] = \mathbb{P}[B] = \frac{1}{6}$. And $\mathbb{P}[A \cap B] = \frac{1}{36}$ since $(2, 5)$ is one outcome of all 36 possible outcomes. Hence $\mathbb{P}[A \cap B] = \mathbb{P}[A]\mathbb{P}[B]$. So A, B are independent events. Certainly $\mathbb{P}[C] > 0$. Then $\mathbb{P}[A | C], \mathbb{P}[B | C] \neq 0$. But the $\mathbb{P}[A \cap B | C] = 0$ since $2 + 5 \neq 6$. Hence $\mathbb{P}[A \cap B | C] \neq \mathbb{P}[A | C]\mathbb{P}[B | C]$.

2.

□

Problem 3

Let A_1, A_2, \dots be a sequence of events. Define

$$B_n = \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m \quad C_n = \bigcap_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m$$

Clearly $C_n \subseteq A_n \subseteq B_n$. Also, the sequences $\{B_n\}$ and $\{C_n\}$ are decreasing respectively. Let

$$B = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m \quad C = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m$$

The events B and C are denoted by $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n$ respectively. Show that

- $B = \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega \in A_n \text{ for infinitely many values of } n\}$.
- $C = \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega \in A_n \text{ for all but finitely many values of } n\}$.

We say that a sequence $\{A_n\}$ converges to a limit A if B and C are the same set A . We denote this by $A_n \rightarrow A$. Suppose this is the case, then show that

- A is an event.
- $\mathbb{P}(A_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(A)$.

Solution:

- Let $\omega \in B$. Then $\omega \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m$. Hence $\omega \in \bigcup_{m \geq n} A_m$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\omega \in A_k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let k_1 be the least number such that $\omega \in A_{k_1}$. Then we also have $\omega \in B_{k_1+1}$. So we have some $k_2 \geq k_1 + 1$ such that $\omega \in A_{k_2}$. Then $\omega \in B_{k_2+1}$. So there exists $k_3 \geq k_2 + 1$ such that $\omega \in A_{k_3}$. Continuing like this at i^{th} step we have some $k_{i+1} \geq k_i + 1$ such that $\omega \in A_{k_{i+1}}$ and so on. So now we got an strictly increasing infinite sequence of positive integers $\{k_1, k_2, k_3, \dots, k_i, \dots\}$ such that $\omega \in A_{k_j}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $\omega \in \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega \in A_n \text{ for infinitely many values of } n\}$. Hence

$$B \subseteq \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega \in A_n \text{ for infinitely many values of } n\}$$

Now let $\omega \in \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega \in A_n \text{ for infinitely many values of } n\}$. Let $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the strictly increasing sequence of positive integers such that $\omega \in A_{s_n}$. Hence for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\omega \in B_m$ because $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s_n > m$ and $\omega \in A_{s_n} \implies \omega \in B_m$. Therefore $\omega \in \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} B_m$. Therefore we have

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : \omega \in A_n \text{ for infinitely many values of } n\} \subseteq B$$

Hence we have $B = \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega \in A_n \text{ for infinitely many values of } n\}$.

- (b) Let $\omega \in \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega \in A_n \text{ for all but finitely many values of } n\}$. Hence there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\omega \in A_n$ for all $n > n_0$. Therefore $\omega \in C_n$ for all $n > n_0$. Since $C = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ we have $\omega \in C$. So we have

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : \omega \in A_n \text{ for all but finitely many values of } n\} \subseteq C$$

Now suppose $\omega \in C$. So $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\omega \in C_n$. Since $C_n = \bigcap_{m \geq n} A_m$ we have $\omega \in A_m$ for all $m \geq n$. Hence $\omega \in A_m$ for all but finitely many values of n . So $\omega \in \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega \in A_n \text{ for all but finitely many values of } n\}$. Hence we get

$$C \subseteq \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega \in A_n \text{ for all but finitely many values of } n\}$$

Therefore we get $C = \{\omega \in \Omega : \omega \in A_n \text{ for all but finitely many values of } n\}$.

- (c) For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ B_n is the countable union of events. So B_n is an event for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. And similarly $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, C_n is the countable intersection of events. Therefore C_n is also an event. Now since B is just countable intersection of all B_n 's and each B_n is event we have that B is also an event. And similarly since C is just the countable union of all C_n 's and each C_n is an event we have that C is also an event. Now given that $B = C = A$. Therefore A is also an event.
- (d) Since for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have that $C_n \subseteq A_n \subseteq B_n$. Therefore

$$\mathbb{P}[C_n] \leq \mathbb{P}[A_n] \leq \mathbb{P}[B_n]$$

Hence we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[C_n] \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[A_n] \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[B_n]$$

Now we will analyze $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[B_n]$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[C_n]$. Now we have

$$B_1 \supseteq B_2 \supseteq B_3 \supseteq \dots \supseteq B_n \supseteq \dots \quad \text{and} \quad C_1 \subseteq C_2 \subseteq C_3 \subseteq \dots \subseteq C_n \subseteq \dots$$

$$\mathbb{P}[B] = \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \bigcap_{n=1}^k B_n\right] = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcap_{n=1}^k B_n\right] = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[B_k]$$

Similarly we have

$$\mathbb{P}[C] = \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \bigcup_{n=1}^k C_n\right] = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{n=1}^k C_n\right] = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[C_k]$$

Hence we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[B_n] = \mathbb{P}[B]$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[C_n] = \mathbb{P}[C]$. Since $B = C$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[B_n] = \mathbb{P}[B] = \mathbb{P}[C] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[C_n]$$

And since $A = B = C$ we have $\mathbb{P}[B] = \mathbb{P}[A] = \mathbb{P}[C]$. Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[C_n] \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[A_n] \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[B_n] \implies \mathbb{P}[A] = \mathbb{P}[B] \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[A_n] \leq \mathbb{P}[C] = \mathbb{P}[A]$$

Therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}[A_n] = \mathbb{P}[A]$

□

Problem 4

10% of the surface of a sphere is colored white, the rest is black. Show that, irrespective of the manner in which the colors are distributed, it is possible to inscribe a cube in S with all its vertices black.

Hint: For a given distribution of colors, select the cube “uniformly randomly” (you should make this more

concrete). First note that it is enough to prove that there is a non-zero probability with which all the vertices of this random cube are colored black (why?). Now try to use the union bound from Problem 1(b) above to show this.

Solution: To show that there exists a cube in S with all its vertices black it is enough to show that if a random cube is chosen in S the probability of all vertices black is greater than 0. Now we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\substack{C: \text{cube} \\ C \text{ is in } S}} [\text{All vertices of } C \text{ is black}] = 1 - \mathbb{P}_{\substack{C: \text{cube} \\ C \text{ is in } S}} [\text{At least one of the vertices of } C \text{ is white}]$$

So its is enough to show that $\mathbb{P}_{\substack{C: \text{cube} \\ C \text{ is in } S}} [\text{At least one of the vertices of } C \text{ is white}] < 1$. Now we also have

$$\mathbb{P}_{\substack{C: \text{cube} \\ C \text{ is in } S}} [\text{At least one of the vertices of } C \text{ is white}] = \mathbb{P}_{\substack{X_i \in S \\ \forall i \in [8]}} [\exists i \in [8] X_i \text{ is colored white} \mid X_1, \dots, X_8 \text{ forms a cube}]$$

Now by Union Bound we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{\substack{X_i \in S \\ \forall i \in [8]}} [\exists i \in [8] X_i \text{ is colored white} \mid X_1, \dots, X_8 \text{ forms a cube}] \\ \leq \sum_{j=1}^8 \mathbb{P}_{\substack{X_i \in S \\ \forall i \in [8]}} [X_j \text{ is colored white} \mid X_1, \dots, X_8 \text{ forms a cube}] \end{aligned}$$

So now showing

$$\sum_{j=1}^8 \mathbb{P}_{\substack{X_i \in S \\ \forall i \in [8]}} [X_j \text{ is colored white} \mid X_1, \dots, X_8 \text{ forms a cube}] < 1$$

is enough. Now for any $j \in [8]$,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\substack{X_i \in S \\ \forall i \in [8]}} [X_j \text{ is colored white} \mid X_1, \dots, X_8 \text{ forms a cube}] = \mathbb{P}_{\substack{X_i \in S \\ \forall i \in [8]}} [X_j \text{ is colored white}] = \frac{1}{10}$$

The last equality because X_j is colored white if it is a point picked from the 10% area of the sphere which is colored white and the probability of that is $\frac{1}{10}$. Therefore we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^8 \mathbb{P}_{\substack{X_i \in S \\ \forall i \in [8]}} [X_j \text{ is colored white} \mid X_1, \dots, X_8 \text{ forms a cube}] = \sum_{j=1}^8 \frac{1}{10} = \frac{8}{10} < 1$$

Therefore we have $\mathbb{P}_{\substack{C: \text{cube} \\ C \text{ is in } S}} [\text{At least one of the vertices of } C \text{ is white}] < 1 \implies \mathbb{P}_{\substack{C: \text{cube} \\ C \text{ is in } S}} [\text{All vertices of } C \text{ is black}] > 0$. Which means there exists a cube in S with all vertices black

□