# CSS.414.1: POLYNOMIAL METHODS IN COMBINATORICS

Instructor: Mrinal Kumar
TIFR 2024, Aug-Dec

SCRIBE: SOHAM CHATTERJEE

SOHAMCHATTERJEE999@GMAIL.COM Website: sohamch08.github.io

# CONTENTS

SECTION 1	Introduction and Targets	PAGE 3
Section 2	JOINTS PROBLEM	PAGE 4
SECTION 3	Combinatorial Nullstellensatz	PAGE 4
3.1	Chevally-Warning Theorem	4
SECTION 4	Sum Sets	Page 4
4.1	Sum Sets over Finite Fields	4
	4.1.1 Cauchy-Davenport Theorem	4
4.2	Restricted Sum Sets	4
	4.2.1 Erdös-Heilbronn Conjecture	4
Section 5	Arithmetic Progression Free Sets in $\mathbb{F}_3^n$	Page 4
5.1	3AP Free sets in $\mathbb{F}_q$	4
SECTION 6	3-Tensors and Slice Rank	Page 4
6.1	Rank	
6.2	Generalization to 3-Dimension	4 4
6.3	Slice Rank of Diagonal 3D Tensor	4
SECTION 7	Kakeya and Nikodym Problem	PAGE 4
7.1	Lower Bound on Nikodym Sets	4
7.2	Lower Bound on Kakeya Sets	4
	7.2.1 Hasse Derivative	4
SECTION 8	RAZBOROV SMOLENSKY LOWER BOUND	Page 4
8.1	Two Parts of Proving Lower Bound	5
8.2	Approximating Boolean Function with Polynomials	5
8.3	Degree-Error Trade of to Approximate Majority	8

### 1 Introduction and Targets

The content of this course will be the following	gs:
--	-----

- Polynomial Methods in Combinatorics/Geometry
  - 1. Kakeya/Nikodym Problem over finite fields
  - 2. Joints Problem
  - 3. Combinatorial Nullstellensatz (CN)
  - 4. CN proof of Cauchy-Devenport, Erdös-Heilbronn Conjecture
- Polynomial Methods in Algebraic Algorithms
  - 1. Noisy Polynomial Interpolation (Sudan, Guruswami-Sudan)
  - 2. Multiplicative noise (Von zur Gathen-Shparlinski)
  - 3. Coppersmith's Problem (Given an univariate  $f(x)\mathbb{Z}[x]$ , compute all 'small' integer roots modulo a composite)
- Polynomial Methods in Circuit Complexity
  - 1. Razborov-Smolensky (Lower Bound for constant depth AND, OR, NOT, mod p gates)
  - 2. Algorithmic consequences (all pairs shortest paths)
  - 3. Upper bounds on matrix rigidity (Alman-Williams '2015, Dvir-Edelman '2017)
- Polynomial in Property Testing: Polischuk-Speilman Lemma/Variants
- Weil Bounds (Stepanov, Schmidtm Bombieri)
- Rational Approximations of Algebraic Numbers (Thue[1907] Siegel Roth[1954])

- 2 Joints Problem
- 3 Combinatorial Nullstellensatz
- 3.1 Chevally-Warning Theorem
- 4 Sum Sets
- 4.1 Sum Sets over Finite Fields
- 4.1.1 Cauchy-Davenport Theorem
- 4.2 Restricted Sum Sets
- 4.2.1 Erdös-Heilbronn Conjecture
- 5 Arithmetic Progression Free Sets in  $\mathbb{F}_3^n$
- 5.1 3AP Free sets in  $\mathbb{F}_q$
- 6 3-Tensors and Slice Rank
- 6.1 Rank
- 6.2 Generalization to 3-Dimension
- 6.3 Slice Rank of Diagonal 3D Tensor
- 7 Kakeya and Nikodym Problem

#### **Definition 7.0.1: Kakeya Sets**

In a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q, K\subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$  is a Kakeya Set if  $\forall~a\in \mathbb{F}^n,\, \exists~b\in \mathbb{F}^n$  such that

$$L_{a,b} = \{b + at : t \in \mathbb{F}_q\} \subseteq K$$

i.e. informally it has a line in every direction

Now notice that we can take the whole  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  as the Kakeya Set. We can also remove a point from  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$  and it will still be a Kakeya Set. Having defined the Kakeya sets the biggest question which is studied is:

#### Question 7.1

How small can a Kakeya Set be?

- 7.1 Lower Bound on Nikodym Sets
- 7.2 Lower Bound on Kakeya Sets
- 7.2.1 Hasse Derivative

## 8 Razborov Smolensky Lower Bound

The result we will discuss the result that majority is strictly harder than the parity for  $AC^0$ , since there is no polynomialsize  $AC^0$  circuit to compute majority even if we are given parity gates. The result is Razborov's, and the proof technique uses ideas due to both Razborov and Smolensky. Consider the class  $AC^0$  of polynomial size circuits with constant depth with unbounded fan-in. We consider the class  $AC^0(\oplus)$  where we are give the parity gates  $\oplus$  which outputs 1 if an odd number of its inputs are 1. The main theorem which we will prove in this section is:

#### Theorem 8.1 Razborov-Smolensky

For any  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  any any depth d AC $^0(\oplus)$  circuit for MAJORITY has size  $\geq 2^{\Omega(n^{\frac{1}{2d}})}$ 

#### 8.1 Two Parts of Proving Lower Bound

The proof of the above theorem requires two lemmas:

#### Lemma 8.1.1

 $\forall \ \epsilon > 0 \ {\rm and} \ d \in \mathbb{N}$  the following is true:

If  $f:\{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$  can be computed by a size s depth d  $AC^0(\oplus)$  circuit then  $\exists$  a polynomial g in n variables and  $\deg O\left(\log \frac{s}{c}\right)^d$  such that

$$\underset{a \in \{0,1\}^n}{\mathbb{P}}[f(a) = g(a)] \ge 1 - \epsilon$$

#### Lemma 8.1.2

For all polynomials  $p(x_1,...,x_n)$  with deg p = t,

$$\mathbb{P}_{a \in \{0,1\}^n}[g(a) = \operatorname{Maj}(a)] \le \frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

Now first we will show that with these two lemmas we can prove Razborov-Smolensky Lower Bound for Majority function.

**Proof of Theorem 8.1:** Suppose MAJ has a  $AC^0(\oplus)$  circuit of size  $< 2^{n^{\frac{1}{2d}-\delta}}$ 

 $\xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 8.1.1}} \exists \text{ polynomial } g \text{ of degree } n^{\frac{1}{2d} - \delta} \text{ that approximates MAJ with error 0.1.}$ 

**Alternate Proof Theorem 8.1:** Suppose C be an  $AC^0(\oplus)$  circuit of size s and depth d computing Majority  $\underbrace{\text{Lemma 8.1.1}}_{\text{Lemma 8.1.1}} \exists \text{ polynomial } g \text{ of degree } O\left(\log \frac{s}{\epsilon}\right)^d \text{ with error probability } \leq \epsilon.$ 

$$\xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 8.1.2}} \forall \text{ polynomial } g \text{ of deg } O \left(\log \frac{s}{\epsilon}\right)^d \text{ the error is } \geq \frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{\left(\log \frac{s}{\epsilon}\right)^d}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

Hence from these two results and setting  $\epsilon = 0.1$  we have

$$\frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{\left(\log \frac{s}{\epsilon}\right)^d}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \ge 1 - \epsilon \implies (\log 10s)^d \ge \sqrt{n} \implies s \ge 2^{\Omega\left(\frac{1}{2d}\right)}$$

Now that we proved our main objective theorem we will focus on proving the 2 lemmas in the following two sections.

#### 8.2 Approximating Boolean Function with Polynomials

We first state and prove a lemma showing that every  $AC^0(\oplus)$  circuit can be approximated by a low degree polynomial i.e. Lemma 8.1.1. But to prove that we will show a more stronger lemma and then the lemma follows as a simple corollary of this stronger result.

#### Lemma 8.2.1

For all AC<sup>0</sup>( $\oplus$ ) circuits *C* of size *s* of depth *d* and  $\forall \epsilon > 0$  there exists a distribution  $\mathscr{D}$  of polynomials  $p(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  such that for all  $a \in \{0, 1\}^n$ 

$$\underset{p \in \mathcal{D}}{\mathbb{P}} [p(a) = C(a)] \ge 1 - \epsilon$$

where  $\mathscr{D}$  is supported on polynomials of degree  $\leq \left(\log \frac{s}{\epsilon}\right)^d$ 

First we will show that this lemma implies Lemma 8.1.1.

**Proof of Lemma 8.1.1:** Consider the  $|\{0,1\}^n| \times |\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{D}|$  table for each  $a \in \{0,1\}^n$ , a represents a row in the table. In the table at  $(a,i)^{th}$  entry put 1 if  $i^{th}$  polynomial p in  $\mathcal{D}$  satisfies p(a) = C(a). For rest of the positions put 0.

 $\xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 8.2.1}} \forall \ \epsilon > 0 \text{ there exists a distribution } \mathscr{D} \text{ such that for all } a \in \{0,1\}^n \text{ such that } \underset{p \in (\mathscr{D})}{\mathbb{P}} [p(a) = C(a)] \ge 1 - \epsilon. \text{ Hence } (a) \le 1 - \epsilon.$ 

in the table for each  $a \in \{0,1\}^n$ , at least  $1 - \epsilon$  many fraction of  $|\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{D})|$  entries in  $a^{th}$  row have 1. Therefore there are total at least  $(1 - \epsilon) \cdot |\{0,1\}^n| \cdot |\operatorname{supp}(\mathcal{D})|$  many 1's in total in the table.

Hence by pigeon hole principle there is at least one column which has at least  $(1 - \epsilon) \cdot |\{0, 1\}^n|$  many 1's. Therefore there is a polynomial  $p \in \text{supp}(\mathcal{D})$  which agrees with C in at least  $1 - \epsilon$  fraction of total inputs. Hence

$$\mathbb{P}_{a \in \{0,1\}^n}[p(a) = C(a)] \ge 1 - \epsilon$$

Now we will prove the Lemma 8.2.1. Now before diving into the proof first let's see how can we approximate the gates in  $AC^0(\oplus)$  circuits with low-degree polynomials. That way we can approximate any  $AC^0(\oplus)$  circuit with low-degree polynomial.

So to for a  $\neg x_i$  gate we can have the polynomial  $1 - x_i$ . For a  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k x_i$  we can use the polynomial  $\sum_{i=1}^k x_i$ . So only  $\land$  and  $\lor$  gates are remaining. Now notice if we have a low degree polynomial for  $\land$  we also have a low degree polynomial for  $\lor$  since

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \neg \left( \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} (\neg x_i) \right)$$

So we will try to find a polynomial approximating an  $\land$  gate of degree  $\le \left(\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}\right)^d$ . We can't approximate  $\land$  by outputting 0 every time since the desired correctness probability must hold for all inputs x. Multiplying a random constant-size subset of the bits will not work either, for the same reason.

Naive way to have a polynomial for  $\bigvee_{i=1}^{n} x_i$  would be  $1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - x_i)$ . But with this the degree becomes very large.

**Idea.** Check parity of random subset of [n]. So we take a random subset  $S \subseteq [n]$  then we take the polynomial  $p_S = \sum_{i \in S} x_i$ .

#### Lemma 8.2.2

If S is a random subset of [n] then

$$\mathbb{P}_{S\subseteq[n]}\left[p_S(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i\right]\geq \frac{1}{2}$$

**Proof:** If  $\overline{x} = (0, ..., 0)$  then we have  $p_S(x_1, ..., x_n) = \bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i$ . Suppose  $\overline{x} \neq (0, ..., 0)$ . Then only way  $p_S(x_1, ..., x_n) \neq \bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i$  is when S has an even number of 1 bits. So let  $T \subseteq [n]$  such that  $i \in T \iff x_i = 1$ . Then  $p_S(\overline{x}) = 0 \iff |S \cap T| \equiv 0 \mod 2$ . Now  $|S \cap T| \mod 2$  can be either 1 or 0. Since S is picked uniform at random the probability therefore the probability that  $|S \cap T| \mod 2 = 0$  is  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore  $\sum_{S \subseteq [n], S \neq \emptyset} \left[ p_S(x_1, ..., x_n) \neq \bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i \right] \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Hence we have

$$\mathbb{P}_{S\subseteq[n]}\left[p_S(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\neq\bigvee_{i=1}^nx_i\right]\geq\frac{1}{2}$$

Hence we if we pick a subset  $S \subseteq [n]$  uniformly at random then with probability  $\geq \frac{1}{2}$  we can approximate an  $\vee$  gate or an  $\wedge$  gate with a polynomial of degree 1. To have error  $\frac{1}{2^k}$  we can chose k subsets of [n] uniformly at random  $S_1, \ldots, S_k$ . Then construct the polynomial

$$p_{S_1,\dots,S_k}(x_1,\dots,x_n) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 - p_{S_i}\right) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \sum_{j \in S_i} x_j\right)$$

This has error probability  $\frac{1}{2^k}$ . So we can approximate  $\vee$  gate or  $\wedge$  gate with  $\frac{1}{2^k}$  error probability with a degree k polynomial.

**Proof of Lemma 8.2.1:** So like the above discussion we replace each gate with polynomials starting with leaf and then we proceed to the top:

- For  $\neg x_i$  gate replace by  $1 x_i$
- For  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} x_i$  gate replace by  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i$
- For  $\bigvee_{i=1}^{n} x_i$  gate uniformly pick k subsets  $S_1, \ldots, S_k$  of [n] then construct the polynomial

$$p_{\vee}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \sum_{j \in S_i} x_j\right)$$

then the error probability becomes  $\frac{1}{2^k}$  by Lemma 8.2.2. For  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n x_i$  use the formula  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n x_i = \neg \left(\bigvee_{i=1}^n (\neg x_i)\right)$  use the process for  $\lor$  gates. So

$$p_{\wedge}(x_1,\ldots,x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(1 - \sum_{j \in S_i} (1 - x_j)\right)$$

Here will choose *k* later so that we have the necessary total error.

The total polynomial for the circuit is constructed by composing of polynomials with each gate's  $S_j$  for  $j \in [k]$  sampled from the input wires.

Now degree increases by a factor of k for each  $\land$  gate or  $\lor$  gate. Since the circuit has depth d, there can be  $\lor$  gates or  $\land$  gates in at most all depths. Hence degree of the final polynomial becomes  $O(k^d)$ .

For the error let  $\epsilon_l$  denote the errors for each gate at depth l. Then for each gate g at depth l-1 we have error for g is  $\leq \frac{1}{2^k} + |fanin(g)|\epsilon_l$ .

Claim:  $\epsilon_d \leq \frac{s}{2^k}$ 

**Proof:** We will prove this by induction. For base case d = 1 this is trivial. Let this is true for d - 1. For d consider all the children of the root gate v. Then

$$\epsilon_d \leq \frac{1}{2^k} + \sum_{u \in \text{Child}(v)} \frac{|C_u|}{2^k} = \frac{1 + \sum_{u \in \text{Child}(v)} |C_u|}{2^k} = \frac{|C_v|}{2^k}$$

Hence by mathematical induction we have  $\epsilon_d \leq \frac{s}{d}$ 

Hence the total error is  $\frac{s}{2^k}$ . We want the error to be at most  $\epsilon$ . Therefore

$$\frac{s}{2^k} \le \epsilon \implies k = \log \frac{s}{\epsilon}$$

Hence the degree of the final polynomial approximating the circuit is  $\left(\log \frac{s}{\epsilon}\right)^d$ . Therefore the support of  $\mathscr{D}$  has the polynomials of degree  $\leq \left(\log \frac{s}{\epsilon}\right)^d$ 

#### 8.3 Degree-Error Trade of to Approximate Majority

Now we will prove the Lemma 8.1.2. But before that we first make some observations.

Note:-

The polynomial which approximates MAJORITY can be made multilinear without changing its evaluation in  $\{0,1\}^n$  just by replacing  $x_i^k$  by  $x_i$  for each variable and for each power.

Now we will show that if Maj has an approximating polynomial of low-degree then every n-variable boolean function  $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$  has an approximating polynomial of low degree.

**Theorem 8.3.1** Versatility of MAJORITY

 $\forall f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}, \exists g,h \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1,\ldots,x_n] \text{ such that}$ 

$$\forall x, f(x) = g(x) \cdot \text{MAJ}(x) + h(x), \text{ where } \deg g, \deg h \leq \frac{n}{2}$$

Before proving this theorem first let's see what results we get from this theorem.

Lemma 8.3.2

Let  $f \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that for all  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , f(x) = MAJ(x). Then deg  $f \ge \frac{n}{2}$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $\exists p \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that  $\deg p < \frac{n}{2}$  and for all  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$  we have  $p(x) = \operatorname{Maj}(x)$ .

Lemma 8.3.1  $\Rightarrow$  ∀  $f: \{0,1\}^n \rightarrow \{0,1\}$  such that  $f(x) = g(x) \cdot \text{MaJ}(x) + g(x)$  for all  $x \in \{0,1\}^n$ . Then the polynomial  $f(x) = g(x) \cdot p(x) + h(x)$  for all  $x \in \{0,1\}^n$ . Then deg  $f \le n-1$ . Hence all boolean function of n-variables can be computed by a polynomial of degree  $\le n-1$ .

But number of boolean functions over n-variables are  $2^{2^n}$ . Number of polynomials of n-variables of degree < n is  $\le 2^{2^n} - 1$ . Hence there exists a boolean function which can not be computed by polynomial of degree < n. Contradiction.

Therefore  $deg(Maj) \ge \frac{n}{2}$ . Now we will prove Lemma 8.1.2 using the above theorem.

**Proof of Lemma 8.1.2:** Let  $p \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a polynomial of degree t. Let  $S \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n$  be the set of inputs where p and MAJ agree.

 $\xrightarrow{\text{Lemma 8.3.1}} \forall \ f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\} \text{ there exists } g,h \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1,\ldots,x_n] \text{ with deg } g,\deg g \leq \tfrac{n}{2} \text{ such that } \forall \ z \in \{0,1\}^n$ 

$$f(a) = g(a)MAJ(a) + h(a)$$

Hence every function  $f|_S: S \to \{0,1\}^n$  can be computed by the polynomial  $g(x) \cdot p(x) + h(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  which has degree  $\leq \frac{n}{2} + t$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the vector space of all functions  $f|_S: S \to \{0,1\}$  for all  $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$  and let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the vector space of all polynomials in  $\mathbb{F}_2[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$  of degree at most  $\frac{n}{2}+t$ . By the above argument we get that  $\forall f|_S \in \mathcal{F}, \exists p_f \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $f|_S$  is computed by  $\mathcal{P}$ . Hence  $\dim \mathcal{F} \leq \dim \mathcal{P}$ . Now

$$\dim \mathcal{P} = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{2}+t} \binom{n}{i} = \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{n}{2}} \binom{n}{i} + \sum_{i=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{\frac{n}{2}+t} \binom{n}{i} = \frac{1}{2} 2^n + \sum_{i=\frac{n}{2}+1}^{\frac{n}{2}+t} \binom{n}{i} \le 2^{n-1} + t \frac{2^n}{\sqrt{n}} = 2^n \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$$

Now dim  $\mathcal{F} = |S|$ . Hence

$$|S| \le 2^n \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \implies \frac{|S|}{2^n} \le \frac{1}{2} + \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Therefore for any polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with degree t we have  $\Pr_{a \in \{0,1\}^n}[p(a) = \text{MAJ}(a)] \leq \frac{1}{2} + O\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ .

**Observation.** Now let for any  $f: \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}$ ,  $S_0 = MAJ^{-1}(0)$  and  $S_1 = MAJ^{-1}(1)$ . Suppose we can compute the polynomials  $u,v \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$  with  $\deg u,\deg v \leq \frac{n}{2}$  such that u,f agree on  $S_0$  and v,f agree on  $S_1$  i.e.  $f|_{S_0}$  can be computed by u and  $f|_{S_0}$  can be computed by v. Then  $\forall x \in \{0,1\}^n$  we have

$$f(x) = u(x)(1 - MAJ(x)) + v(x)MAJ(x)$$

Hence by the observation we can conclude that computing the polynomial for f on  $S_0$  or  $S_1$  is enough. Now we will prove the Versatility of MAJORITY Theorem.

**Proof of Theorem 8.3.1:** So again assume  $S_0 = \text{MaJ}^{-1}(0)$  and  $S_1 = \text{MaJ}^{-1}(1)$ . We want to show that these are interpolating sets for polynomials of degree  $\leq \frac{n}{2}$  i.e.  $\deg f|_{S_0}$ ,  $\deg f|_{S_1} \leq \frac{n}{2}$ .

Now we will show the process to find  $u \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with  $\deg u \leq \frac{n}{2}$  where u agrees with f in  $S_0$ . We will follow the same process to find the polynomial  $v \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  with  $\deg v \leq \frac{n}{2}$  where v agrees with f in  $S_1$ . Now for any  $S \subseteq [n]$  we denote  $x^S := \prod_{i \in S} x_i$ . Then

$$u(\overline{x}) = \sum_{S \subseteq [n], |S| \le \frac{n}{2}} c_S x^S \quad \forall S \subseteq [n], |S| \le \frac{n}{2}, \ c_S \in \{0, 1\}$$

We have to compute the coefficients of u. Now u(a) = f(a) for all  $a \in S_0$ . Therefore we have a system of linear equations.

We we take a matrix M with rows and columns indexed by by subsets  $S \subseteq [n]$  where  $|S| \le \frac{n}{2}$  and they are ordered so that the size is non-decreasing and lexicographically and use this same ordering for both the rows and columns. Now for  $S \subseteq [n]$ ,  $|S| \le \frac{n}{2}$  the  $S^{th}$  column indicates the monomial  $x^S$  and the  $S^{th}$  row indicates the binary number  $a \in \{0, 1\}^n$  where  $a_i = 1 \iff i \in S$ . Naturally for any  $S, T \subseteq [n]$  with  $|S|, |T| \le \frac{n}{2}$  we have  $M(S, T) = 1 \iff S \subseteq T$ . We have the coefficient vector C indexed same as rows of M as the variable vector and we have the column vector  $F_0$  of values of f at every point of  $S_0$ . Then we have the equation  $MC = F_0$ .

To have a solution to exists we need det  $M \neq 0$ . We will show M is an lower triangular matrix with all diagonal entries is 1. This is true because  $M(S,T) = 1 \iff S \subseteq T$  therefore in the diagonal all entries are 1 and up the triangle it has 0's.. So we have det  $M \neq 0$ . And therefore there is an unique solution for u.

Similarly we find v. And then we have  $f(a) = u(a)(1 - \cdot \text{MAJ}(a)) + v(a)\text{MAJ}(a) = (v - u)(a) \cdot \text{MAJ}(a) + u(a)$ .