

Deterministic List Decoding of Reed Solomon Codes

Soham Chatterjee

January 29, 2026

Introduction

Introduction to Coding Theory

An Error-Correcting code or simply code, $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \Sigma^n$ for some fixed finite set of alphabets Σ . You have a set of messages \mathcal{M} and encode them to \mathcal{C} .

- Blocklength: n
- Dimension of Code: $k = \log |\mathcal{C}|$
- Rate of Code: $R(\mathcal{C}) = \frac{k}{n \log |\Sigma|}$

The distance between two codewords $c_1 \neq c_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ is the hamming distance between them, $\Delta(c_1, c_2)$.

- Distance of Code: $\Delta(\mathcal{C}) = \min_{c_1 \neq c_2 \in \mathcal{C}} \Delta(c_1, c_2)$
- Relative Distance: $\delta(\mathcal{C}) = \frac{\Delta(\mathcal{C})}{n}$

Introduction to Coding Theory

Goal: Construct codes such that

- Codewords to be “far apart” from each other \implies High Distance
- Redundancy to be low \implies High Rate

Relation between Rate and Distance

For any code \mathcal{C}

$$k \leq n - d + 1$$

Asymptotically $R + \delta \leq 1$ as n becomes very large.

Codes achieving this bound are called **Maximum Distance Separable (MDS)** codes.

- Reed Solomon Codes are MDS codes.

Unique Decoding

Let $\Delta(C) = d$. For any $v \in \Sigma^n$ there is at most one codeword $c \in C$ such that $\Delta(v, c) \leq (d - 1)/2$.

Unique Decoding Problem: Given a received word $v \in \Sigma^n$, find the unique codeword $c \in C$ such that $\Delta(v, c) < d/2$ if it exists.

- If we go more than $d/2$ distance, multiple codewords may lie in the radius of hamming ball.

List Decoding

Definition (ρ, L) -List Decodable

\mathcal{C} is called (ρ, L) -list decodable if for every $v \in \Sigma^n$,

$$|\{c \in \mathcal{C} \mid \Delta(c, v) \leq \rho n\}| \leq L$$

We denote the list for v by $L(v)$.

List Decoding Problem: Given a received word $v \in \Sigma^n$

- Combinatorial List Decoding: If $|L(v)| = \text{poly}(n)$
- Algorithmic List Decoding: Find all codewords in $L(v)$ in $\text{poly}(n)$ time.

Theorem (Johnson Bound)

For a code \mathcal{C} with rate R the list size remains polynomial in n for
 $\rho \leq 1 - \sqrt{R}$

We will talk in terms of agreement.

$1 - t$ fraction of errors $\implies t$ fraction of agreement

Reed Solomon Codes

Reed Solomon Codes

Fix the following

- Alphabets: finite field \mathbb{F}_q of size q
- Subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$, $|S| = n$. $S = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$

$RS[n, k]_q$ encodes every message polynomial $f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ with $\deg(f) < k$ to

$$(f(\alpha_1), f(\alpha_2), \dots, f(\alpha_n))$$

- Rate $R = \frac{k}{n}$
- Distance $d = n - k + 1$

Reed Solomon Decoding History

Want: unique and list decoding in $\text{poly}(n, \log |\mathbb{F}_q|)$ time.

Deterministic unique decoding upto $(n - k + 1)/2$ errors is possible using Berlekamp-Welch algorithm in $\text{poly}(n, \log |\mathbb{F}|)$ time.

Johnson Bound gives polynomial list size for more than $\sqrt{n(k - 1)}$ agreement

- Sudan (1997) gave randomized list decoding for more than $\sqrt{2n(k - 1)}$ agreement in $\text{poly}(n, \log q)$ time.
- Guruswami-Sudan (1999) improved it to more than $\sqrt{n(k - 1)}$ agreement using randomization in $\text{poly}(n, \log q)$ time.

Their Deterministic variant has polynomial dependence on field characteristic

Framework of Sudan and Guruswami-Sudan

Let $w = \{(\alpha_j, \beta_j)\}_{j \in [n]}$ denote the received word. Both algorithms share the same two-step structure:

- **Interpolation:** Find a nonzero polynomial $Q(X, Y) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X, Y]$ of $(1, k - 1)$ -degree at most D that vanishes at each (α_j, β_j) with multiplicity at least m .
- **Factorization:** Factorize $Q(X, Y)$ over \mathbb{F}_q ; for each factor of the form $Y - f(X)$, output f if $\deg f < k$ and f agrees with w on at least t evaluations.

Sudan	Guruswami–Sudan
$m = 1, D, t \approx \sqrt{2n(k - 1)}$	$m = \sqrt{n(k - 1)}, D \approx m\sqrt{n(k - 1)}, t = \sqrt{n(k - 1)}$

Both algorithms we denote Q be the polynomial from interpolation step.

Factorization Barrier

Sudan and Guruswami–Sudan algorithms rely on the factorization of bivariate polynomials over finite fields

- Barlekamp, Cantor-Zassenhaus, LLL, Kaltofen runs in polynomial time but randomized.
- Their deterministic variants have polynomial dependence on field characteristic.

Large field characteristic (super polynomial in n) is a problem.

Want: Do the factorization step deterministically in $\text{poly}(n, \log q)$ time.

Derandomization of Sudan

Newton Iteration

Let $P(X, Y) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X, Y]$ and $f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ such that

- $P(X, f(X)) \equiv 0$ and
- $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} P(\alpha, f(\alpha)) \neq 0$.
- $Y_t = f(X) \pmod{X^t}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$

Newton Iteration gives an efficient way to compute Y_{t+1} from Y_t as follows:

$$Y_{t+1} = Y_t - \frac{P(X, Y_t)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} P(X, Y_t)}$$

Sudan Derandomization

Let f is in the list. And let $j \in [n]$ such that $f(\alpha_j) = \beta_j$. Suppose Q is the polynomial from interpolation step.

If $\partial_Y Q(\alpha_j, f(\alpha_j)) \neq 0$: Then Newton Iteration from Y_0 till Y_k gives f .

Else $\partial_Y Q(\alpha_j, f(\alpha_j)) = 0$ for all j in agreement.

Observe: $\partial_Y(Q(X, f(X)))$ has more than $\sqrt{2n(k - 1)}$ roots but degree at most $\sqrt{2n(k - 1)}$. Implying $\partial_Y(Q(X, f(X))) \equiv 0$

So recurse on $\partial_Y(Q(X, f(X)))$.

Sudan Derandomization

Algorithm:

1. Check for each $j \in [n]$ if $\partial_Y Q(\alpha_j, \beta_j) \neq 0$. If yes, do Newton Iteration from there.
2. Else compute $\partial_Y(Q(X, Y))$ and continue from step 1 with $\partial_Y Q(X, Y)$ instead of Q .

Theorem

There is a deterministic algorithm that, for every finite field \mathbb{F} and parameters $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ runs in time $\text{poly}(n, \log |\mathbb{F}|)$ list decodes Reed Solomon code $RS[n, k]$ from agreement more than $\sqrt{2n(k - 1)}$.

Derandomization of Guruswami-Sudan

Local Splitting

Let $P(X, Y) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X, Y]$ with no-pure X -factors. Let $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{F}_q^2$ any point.

Suppose we are given the factorization $P = \prod_{i=1}^s P_i$ into irreducibles (with multiplicity)

Eg: $P(X, Y) = (Y^2 + X)(Y^2 + X + 1)^2$ then

$$P_1 = Y^2 + X, \quad P_2 = Y^2 + X + 1, \quad P_3 = Y^2 + X + 1$$

We partition $[s]$ into four sets which defines four types of factors at (α, β) :

$$A(\alpha, \beta), \quad B(\alpha, \beta), \quad C(\alpha, \beta), \quad D(\alpha, \beta)$$

Local Splitting

Let $P(X, Y) = (Y^2 + X + 1)(Y^2 + X)(Y^2 + X^2 + Y)(XY + 1)$ and $(\alpha, \beta) = (0, 0)$

- $A(\alpha, \beta) = \{i \in [s] \mid P_i(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0, \deg(P_i(\alpha, Y)) \geq 1\}$
So $Y^2 + X + 1 \in A(0, 0)$
- $B(\alpha, \beta) = \{i \in [s] \mid P_i(\alpha, Y) = \gamma(Y - \beta)^m, m \geq 1, \gamma \neq 0\}$
So $Y^2 + X \in B(0, 0)$
- $C(\alpha, \beta) = \{i \in [s] \mid P_i(\alpha, Y) = (Y - \beta)^m \hat{P}_i(Y), m \geq 1, \hat{P}_i(\beta) \neq 0, \deg \hat{P}_i \geq 1\}$
So $Y^2 + X^2 + Y \in C(0, 0)$
- $D(\alpha, \beta) = \{i \in [s] \mid P_i(\alpha, \beta) \neq 0, \deg(P_i(\alpha, Y)) = 0\}$
So $XY + 1 \in D(0, 0)$

I will use A, B, C, D to denote these. Define $P_A = \prod_{i \in A} P_i$ and similarly P_B, P_C, P_D .

Observe: If P is monic in Y then D is empty.

A Nice Observation

$w = \{(\alpha_j, \beta_j)\}_{j \in [n]}$ denote the received word.

Q is the polynomial from Interpolation step of Guruswami-Sudan algorithm. Let f is in the list.

For any $j \in [n]$:

If $f(\alpha_j) = \beta_j$: Then $Y - f(X) \mid P_B$

If $f(\alpha_j) \neq \beta_j$: Then $Y - f(X) \mid P_A$

Derandomization

Remark

We will assume Q is monic in Y and has no pure X -factors.

If only we had: An efficient $\text{poly}(n, \log q)$ time algorithm SPLIT to find P_A, P_B from $P, (\alpha, \beta)$ then:

Algorithm:

1. $S \leftarrow \{Q\}$
2. Choose $j \in [n]$ and for all $g \in S$ compute $(g_A, g_B) = \text{SPLIT}(g, (\alpha_j, \beta_j))$
3. Remove g from S and put g_A, g_B in S .
4. Continue from step 2 till S stabilizes.
5. Do some interpolations to recover list

Recover List from Stable Set

Observe: If f is in the list there is one factor $g \in S$, $Y - f(X) \mid g$.

Lemma

For all $j \in [n]$,

$$g(\alpha_j, \beta_j) = 0 \iff f(\alpha_j) = \beta_j$$

So go over all $g \in S$, find $j \in [n]$ such that $g(\alpha_j, \beta_j) = 0$, do interpolation to find appropriate f .

But stabilization can take long time !!

Simple potential function:

$$\Phi(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{\deg_Y(Q)} (i-1) \times \#(\text{polynomials with } Y\text{-deg} = i \text{ in } S)$$

You will notice $\Phi(S)$ decreases by at least 1 in each update of S .

Finally

Final Algorithm:

1. $S \leftarrow \{Q\}$
2. Choose $j \in [n]$ and for all $g \in S$ compute $(g_A, g_B) = \text{SPLIT}(g, (\alpha_j, \beta_j))$
3. Remove g from S and put g_A, g_B in S .
4. Continue from step 2 till S stabilizes.
5. Go over all $g \in S$ and do interpolation on the set $\{j \in [n] \mid g(\alpha_j, \beta_j) = 0\}$ and recover list

Theorem

There is a deterministic algorithm that, for every finite field \mathbb{F} and parameters $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ runs in time $\text{poly}(n, \log |\mathbb{F}|)$ list decodes Reed Solomon code $\text{RS}[n, k]$ from agreement more than $\sqrt{n(k - 1)}$.

Splitting Algorithm

Thank You