
CSS.413.1 TOPICS IN CODING THEORY

Instructor: Mrinal Kumar

TIFR 2025, Aug-Nov

SCRIBE: SOHAM CHATTERJEE

SOHAM.CHATTERJEE@TIFR.RES.IN

WEBSITE: SOHAMCH08.GITHUB.IO

CONTENTS

SECTION 1

TARGETS _____ PAGE 3 _____

SECTION 2

BASICS OF CODING THEORY _____ PAGE 4 _____

SECTION 3

DECODING OF REED-SOLOMON CODES _____ PAGE 5 _____

SECTION 4

LOCALLY DECODABLE CODES AND LOCALLY CORRECTABLE CODES _____ PAGE 6 _____

SECTION 5

LOCAL CORRECTION OF REED-MÜLLER CODES _____ PAGE 7 _____

SECTION 6

MULTIPLICITY CODES _____ PAGE 8 _____

6.1	Construction	8
6.2	Rate and Distance of Multiplicity Codes	8
6.3	List Decoding of Univariate Multiplicity Codes up to Capacity	9
6.3.1	Polynomial List Size up to Capacity	9
6.3.2	Constant List Size up to Capacity	10
6.4	Local Correction of Multiplicity Codes	10

SECTION 7

REFERENCES _____ PAGE 11 _____

1 Targets

The content of this course will be the followings:

- Introduction to Coding Theory: Definitions, Basic Properties, Linear Codes
- Reed Solomon Codes, Reed Muller Codes
- Decoding algorithms for Reed Solomon Codes:
 - Barlekamp-Welch Algorithm
 - Sudan's List Decoding Algorithm
 - Guruswami-Sudan List Decoding Algorithm upto the Johnson Bound
- Univariate Multiplicity Codes – Decoding upto the List Decoding Capacity
- Bounds on the list size
- Local Decoding (LDC), Local Correction (LCC) of Codes
- Local Correction of Reed Muller Codes
- High Variate Locally correctable/decodable codes
- Local Decoding with constant queries – Matching Vector Codes
- Private Information Retrieval – Definitions, constructions
- Lower Bounds for LDCs – Lower Bound for 2-query/4-query/Kalz-Trevisan/Alrabiah-Guruswami
- Local Testing of Codes:
 - Low-Degree Testing
 - Polischuk-Speilman Test
 - Friedl-Sudan Test
 - Arora-Sudan Test
 - Raz-Safra Test
- Applications: Explicit constructions
 - Combinatorial Designs
 - Subspace Designs
 - Derandomization
 - Hardness vs Randomness

2 Basics of Coding Theory

3 Decoding of Reed-Solomon Codes

4 Locally Decodable Codes and Locally Correctable Codes

5 Local Correction of Reed-Müller Codes

6 Multiplicity Codes

Multiplicity codes are a family of recently-introduced algebraic error-correcting codes based on evaluations of polynomials and their derivatives. Specifically, a codeword of a multiplicity code is obtained by evaluating a polynomial of degree at most k , along with all its derivatives of order $< s$, at n points of a finite field \mathbb{F}_q^m . These codes were introduced by Kopparty, Saraf and Yekhanin in [KSY14]. Notice that when $s = 1$ this is basically the Reed-Solomon code when $m = 1$ and Reed-Muller code when $m > 1$.

6.1 Construction

Let $s, k, m \in \mathbb{Z}_0$ and let q be a prime power. Let $\Sigma = \mathbb{F}_q^{\binom{s+m-1}{m}}$. For $P(X_1, \dots, X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ we define the order s evaluations of P at $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q$ to be the vector $(P^{(\mathbf{i})}(\mathbf{a}))_{w(\mathbf{i}) < s} \in \Sigma$ where $w(\mathbf{i}) = \sum_{j=1}^m i_j$. Let E be a subset of n points in \mathbb{F}_q^m .

Definition 6.1.1: Multiplicity Codes

The multiplicity code of order- s evaluations of degree k polynomials in m variables over all points in E^m is the code over alphabet Σ , and has length n and for each polynomial $P(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ with $\deg(P) \leq k$ the corresponding codeword is

$$\text{Enc}_{s,k,m,q}(P) = (P^{(<s)}(\mathbf{a}))_{\mathbf{a} \in E} \in \Sigma^{n^m}$$

Our current interest is in the case $m = 1$. So

$$\text{Enc}_{s,k,1}(P) = \left(\begin{bmatrix} f(a_1) \\ f^{(1)}(a_1) \\ \vdots \\ f^{(s-1)}(a_1) \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} f(a_2) \\ f^{(1)}(a_2) \\ \vdots \\ f^{(s-1)}(a_2) \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} f(a_n) \\ f^{(1)}(a_n) \\ \vdots \\ f^{(s-1)}(a_n) \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

Remark: The above encoding is not the encoding

$$\text{Enc}_{s,k,1} = \left(f(a_1), f^{(1)}(a_1), \dots, f^{(s-1)}(a_1), f(a_2), f^{(1)}(a_2), \dots, f^{(s-1)}(a_2), \dots, f(a_n), f^{(1)}(a_n), \dots, f^{(s-1)}(a_n) \right)$$

Each alphabet of the codeword is a vector of size s . The same holds for the multivariate case

The above operation of treating a vector as a single alphabet is called *folding*.

6.2 Rate and Distance of Multiplicity Codes

We will now calculate the rate and the distance of the code. The block length is n^m . Since we are evaluating all the derivatives of order $< s$, the alphabet size is $q^{\binom{s+m-1}{m}}$. So the number of codewords is $\left(q^{\binom{s+m-1}{m}}\right)^{n^m} = q^{n^m \binom{s+m-1}{m}}$. The number of polynomials in m variables of degree at most k is $q^{\binom{k+m}{m}}$. So the rate of the code is

$$R = \frac{\binom{k+m}{m}}{n^m \binom{s+m-1}{m}} \approx \left(\frac{k}{ns}\right)^m$$

Now using the Multiplicity Schwartz-Zippel lemma we can calculate the distance of the code. We have the relative distance to be $\delta = 1 - \frac{k}{ns}$.

Theorem 6.2.1

The rate and the distance of the multiplicity code are $R = \frac{\binom{k+m}{m}}{n^m \binom{s+m-1}{m}} \approx \left(\frac{k}{ns}\right)^m$ and $\delta = 1 - \frac{k}{ns}$ respectively.

We usually think m and s to be large constant. So as multiplicity code achieves the Singleton bound asymptotically.

6.3 List Decoding of Univariate Multiplicity Codes up to Capacity

Since we are interested in univariate multiplicity codes, we will set $m = 1$. So we have three parameters k, s, n and the field size q . Therefore, as we have calculated before the rate and distance of the univariate multiplicity code are $R = \frac{k+1}{ns} \approx \frac{k}{sn}$ and $\delta = 1 - \frac{k}{ns}$ respectively.

6.3.1 Polynomial List Size up to Capacity

Theorem 6.3.1 [Kop15, GW11]

For every $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exists $s_0 \approx \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$ such that the univariate multiplicity code with multiplicity parameter $s > s_0$ can be efficiently list decodable from $\left(1 - \frac{k}{ns} - \epsilon\right)$ fraction of errors.

We will give the proof in [GW11]. It uses polynomial method based arguments. This proof has two steps.

Step 1: Interpolation

Step 2: Reconstruction of close enough codewords

So assume the received word is $w = (\alpha_0, \beta_{i,0}, \beta_{i,1}, \dots, \beta_{i,s-1})_{i=1}^n$ and also consider the parameter $t = \sqrt{s} \approx \frac{1}{\epsilon}$. With this we will show the proof of the above theorem.

Proof: Step 1: Interpolation

In step 1 we will look for an $m + 1$ variate polynomial $Q(X, Y_1, \dots, Y_t)$ which is linear in Y_i 's i.e.

$$Q(X, Y_1, \dots, Y_m) = A_0(X) + A_1(X)Y_1 + \dots + A_t(X)Y_t$$

Let f is a close enough polynomial. Then define $R_f(X) = Q(X, f(X), f^{(1)}(X), \dots, f^{(t-1)}(X))$. Then we want $R_f(X) \equiv 0$. And also we want whenever f and the received word agree on some point $R_f(X)$ has a zero of high multiplicity at that point. So let f agrees with the received word at α . Then

$$R_f(\alpha_i) = Q(\alpha_i, f(\alpha_i), f^{(1)}(\alpha_i), \dots, f^{(t-1)}(\alpha_i)) = Q(\alpha_i, \beta_{i,0}, \beta_{i,1}, \dots, \beta_{i,t-1}) = 0$$

Now

$$R_f^{(1)}(X) = \frac{dA_0}{dX}(X) + \frac{d}{dX} \left(\sum_{i=1}^t A_i(X) f^{(i-1)}(X) \right) = \frac{dA_0}{dX}(X) + \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{dA_i}{dX}(X) \cdot f^{(i-1)}(X) + A_i(X) \cdot f^{(i)}(X)$$

Therefore

$$R_f^{(1)}(\alpha_i) = \frac{dA_0}{dX}(\alpha_0) + \sum_{j=1}^t \frac{dA_j}{dX}(\alpha_i) \cdot \beta_{i,j-1} + A_j(\alpha) \cdot \beta_{i,j}$$

So we want as many derivatives of R_f to be zero as possible.

Observation 1. In $R_f^{(k)}(X)$ we needed the evaluations of $\beta_{i,0}, \dots, \beta_{i,t-1}, \beta_{i,t}, \dots, \beta_{i,t+i-1}$.

Since we have evaluations till $(s-1)^{th}$ order derivative we can only take derivative of R_f upto order $(s-t)$. So we want $R_f^{(k)}(\alpha_i) \equiv 0$ for all $k \in \{0, \dots, s-t\}$. And Q follows the following properties:

- $\deg(A_i) \leq D$
- For all $i \in [n]$, $R_f^{(k)}(\alpha_i) \equiv 0$ for all $k \in \{0, \dots, s-t\}$. To make it simple define the operator Ψ as

$$\Psi(Q) := A_0^{(1)}(X) + \sum_{i=0}^t (A_i^{(1)}(X)Y_i + A_i(X)Y_{i+1})$$

and $\Psi^i(Q) = \Psi(\Psi^{i-1}(Q))$, $\Psi^0(Q) = Q$. Then $\forall i \in [n], \forall j \in \{0, \dots, s-t\}$, $\Psi^j(Q)(\alpha, \bar{\beta}_i) = 0$.

Observation 2. Each point of agreement of f is a root of R_f of multiplicity at least $s-t+1$.

Now for step 1 to return a Q successfully we need the number of variables to be more than the number of equations. The number of variables is $(t+1)(D+1)$. The number of equations is $n(s-t+1)$. So we need

$$(t+1)(D+1) > n(s-t+1) \iff D+1 > \frac{n(s-t)}{t+1}$$

Hence enough to take $D = \frac{n(s-t+1)}{t+1}$. Then step 1 returns a nonzero Q .

Observation 3. If f has agreement $> \frac{D+k}{s-t+1}$ with the received word then $R_f(X) \equiv 0$ as $\deg(R_f) \leq D+k$ and each point of agreement is a zero of multiplicity at least $s-t+1$.

So the number of agreement $> \frac{D+k}{s-t+1} = \frac{\frac{n(s-t+1)}{t+1} + k}{s-t+1} = \frac{n}{t+1} + \frac{k}{s} \cdot \frac{s}{s-t+1} \approx \epsilon n + \frac{k}{s}$ since we take s to be constant.

Step 2: Reconstruction of close enough codewords

Find all degree k , $f(X)$ such that

Step 2.1: $Q(X, f(X), f^{(1)}(X), \dots, f^{(t-1)}(X)) \equiv 0$ [This step looks like solving a differential equation]

Step 2.2: f has large agreement with the received word.

For the step 2.1 let f_1, \dots, f_t are the solutions. Then any linear combination of them is also a solution. Hence the space of solutions of f is a vector space over the field. We need to argue that the dimension of this space is at small. Let S be the set of all $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ such that $\deg(f) \leq k$ and $Q(X, f(X)) \equiv 0$. Then by Lemma 6.3.2 we have $\dim S \leq t$. Since s is constant, t is also constant. So the number of solutions is at most q^t . Hence the list size is at most $q^t = \text{poly}(n)$. ■

Lemma 6.3.2

S is a subspace of dimension at most $t-1$.

Proof: WLOG we can assume $A_i(0) \neq 0$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, t\}$ otherwise we can do a random shift to make it nonzero. Let $f \in S$, then

$$Q(X, f(X), f^{(1)}(X), \dots, f^{(t-1)}(X)) = A_0(X) + A_1(X) \cdot f(X) + A_2(X) f^{(1)}(X) + \dots + A_t(X) \cdot f^{(t-1)}(X) \equiv 0$$

Let $A_i(X) = \sum_{j=0}^D A_{i,j} X^j$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, t\}$ and $f(X) = \sum_{j=0}^k f_j X^j$. Therefore $f^{(i)}(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-i} \frac{(i+j)!}{j!} f_{i+j} X^j$. Then the coefficient of X^i in $Q(X, f(X), f^{(1)}(X), \dots, f^{(t-1)}(X))$ is

$$A_{0,i} + \left(\sum_{j=0}^i A_{1,j} \cdot f_{i-j} \right) + \left(\sum_{j=0}^i A_{2,j} \cdot (i+1-j) f_{i+1-j} \right) + \dots + \left(\sum_{j=0}^i A_{t,j} \cdot \frac{(t-1+i-j)!}{(i-j)!} f_{t-1+i-j} \right) = A_{0,i} + \sum_{l=1}^t \sum_{j=0}^i A_{l,i-j} \cdot \frac{(l-1+j)!}{j!} f_{l-1+j}$$

Since f is a solution this coefficient is 0. Notice that in the above linear equation coefficient of X^i depends on f_j for all $j < i+t$. Hence we can determine f_{i+t-1} uniquely if we have f_0, \dots, f_{i+t-2} by using the coefficient of X^i to be zero. The coefficient of X^0 needs f_0, \dots, f_{t-1} . So once we fix f_0, \dots, f_{t-2} we can determine uniquely all the other coefficients. Hence the dimension of S is at most $t-1$. ■

6.3.2 Constant List Size up to Capacity

Theorem 6.3.3 [KRZSW18]

The list size above is of constant size only depends on ϵ and independent of the block length.

6.4 Local Correction of Multiplicity Codes

7 References

- [GW11] Venkatesan Guruswami and Carol Wang. *Optimal Rate List Decoding via Derivative Codes*, pages 593–604. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.
- [Kop15] Swastik Kopparty. List-Decoding Multiplicity Codes. *Theory of Computing*, 11(1):149–182, 2015.
- [KRZSW18] Swastik Kopparty, Noga Ron-Zewi, Shubhangi Saraf, and Mary Wootters. Improved Decoding of Folded Reed-Solomon and Multiplicity Codes. In *2018 IEEE 59th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS)*, pages 212–223. IEEE, October 2018.
- [KSY14] Swastik Kopparty, Shubhangi Saraf, and Sergey Yekhanin. High-rate codes with sublinear-time decoding. *Journal of the ACM*, 61(5):1–20, September 2014.