# CSS.413.1 Topics in Coding Theory

Instructor: Mrinal Kumar TIFR 2025, Aug-Nov

SCRIBE: SOHAM CHATTERJEE

SOHAM.CHATTERJEE@TIFR.RES.IN WEBSITE: SOHAMCH08.GITHUB.IO

# Contents

SECTION 1	TARGE 18	FAGE 3	
SECTION 2	Basics of Coding Theory	PAGE 4	
SECTION 3	DECODING OF REED-SOLOMON CODES	Page 5	
Section 4	LOCALLY DECODABLE CODES AND LOCALLY CORRECTABLE CODES	PAGE 6	
Section 5	Local Correction of Reed-Müller Codes	Page 7	
Section 6	Multiplicity Codes	Page 8	
6.1	Construction	8	
6.2	Rate and Distance of Multiplicity Codes	8	
6.3	List Decoding of Univariate Multiplicity Codes up to Capacity	9	
	<ul><li>6.3.1 Polynomial List Size up to Capacity</li><li>6.3.2 Constant List Size up to Capacity</li></ul>	9 10	
6.4	Local Correction of Multiplicity Codes	10	
SECTION 7	Matching Vector Codes	Page 11	
7.1	Local Decoding	11	
SECTION 8	References	Page 12	

Page 3 1 Targets

### 1 Targets

The content of this course will be the followings:

- Introduction to Coding Theory: Definitions, Basic Properties, Linear Codes
- Reed Solomon Codes, Reed Muller Codes
- Decoding algorithms for Reed Solomon Codes:
  - Barlekamp-Welch Algorithm
  - Sudan's List Decoding Algorithm
  - Guruswami-Sudan List Decoding Algorithm upto the Johnson Bound
- Univariate Multiplicity Codes Decoding upto the List Decoding Capacity
- Bounds on the list size
- Local Decoding (LDC), Local Correction (LCC) of Codes
- Local Correction of Reed Muller Codes
- High Variate Locally correctable/decodable codes
- Local Decoding with constant queries Matching Vector Codes
- Private Information Retrieval Definitions, constructions
- Lower Bounds for LDCs Lower Bound for 2-query/4-query/Kalz-Trevisan/Alrabiah-Guruswami
- Local Testing of Codes:
  - Low-Degree Testing
  - Polischuk-Speilman Test
  - Friedl-Sudan Test
  - Arora-Sudan Test
  - Raz-Safra Test
- Applications: Explicit constructions
  - Combinatorial Designs
  - Subspace Designs
  - Derandomization
  - Hardness vs Randomness

2 Basics of Coding Theory

# 3 Decoding of Reed-Solomon Codes

4 Locally Decodable Codes and Locally Correctable Codes

### 5 Local Correction of Reed-Müller Codes

### 6 Multiplicity Codes

Multiplicity codes are a family of recently-introduced algebraic error-correcting codes based on evaluations of polynomials and their derivatives. Specifically, a codeword of a multiplicity code is obtained by evaluating a polynomial of degree at most k, along with all its derivatives of order < s, at n points of a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ . These codes were introduced by Kopparty, Saraf and Yekhanin in [KSY14]. Notice that when s = 1 this is basically the Reed-Solomon code when m = 1 and Reed-Muller code when m > 1.

#### 6.1 Construction

Let  $s, k, m \in \mathbb{Z}_0$  and let q be a prime power. Let  $\Sigma = \mathbb{F}_q^{\binom{s+m-1}{m}}$ . For  $P(X_1, \dots, X_m) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_m]$  we define the order s evaluations of P at  $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q$  to be the vector  $(P^{(\mathbf{i})}(\mathbf{a}))_{w(\mathbf{i} < s)} \in \Sigma$  where  $wt(\mathbf{i}) = \sum_{j=1}^m i_j$ . Let E be a subset of n points in  $\mathbb{F}_q^m$ .

#### **Definition 6.1.1: Multiplicity Codes**

The multiplicity code of order-s evaluations of degree k polynomials in m variables over all points in  $E^m$  is the code over alphabet  $\Sigma$ , and has length n and for each polynomial  $P(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$  with  $\deg(P) \leq k$  the corresponding codeword is

$$\operatorname{Enc}_{s,k,m,q}(P) = (P^{(< s)}(\mathbf{a}))_{\mathbf{a} \in E} \in \Sigma^{n^m}$$

Our current interest is in the case m = 1. So

$$\operatorname{Enc}_{s,k,1}(P) = \begin{pmatrix} f(a_1) \\ f^{(1)}(a_1) \\ \vdots \\ f^{(s-1)}(a_1) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} f(a_2) \\ f^{(1)}(a_2) \\ \vdots \\ f^{(s-1)}(a_2) \end{bmatrix}, \cdots, \begin{bmatrix} f(a_n) \\ f^{(1)}(a_n) \\ \vdots \\ f^{(s-1)}(a_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

**Remark:** The above encoding in not the encoding

$$\operatorname{Enc}_{s,k,1} = \left( f(a_1), f^{(1)}(a_1), \cdots, f^{(s-1)}(a_1), f(a_2), f^{(1)}(a_2), \cdots, f^{(s-1)}(a_2), \cdots, f^{(s-1)}(a_n), f^{(1)}(a_n), \cdots, f^{(s-1)}(a_n) \right)$$

Each alphabet of the codeword is a vector of size s. The same holds for the multivariate case

The above operation of treating a vector as a single alphabet is called *folding*.

#### 6.2 Rate and Distance of Multiplicity Codes

We will now calculate the rate and the distance of the code. The block length is  $n^m$ . Since we are evaluating all the derivatives of order < s, the alphabet size is  $q^{\binom{s+m-1}{m}}$ . So the number of codewords is  $\left(q^{\binom{s+m-1}{m}}\right)^{n^m} = q^{n^m\binom{s+m-1}{m}}$ . The number of polynomials in m variables of degree at most k is  $q^{\binom{k+m}{m}}$ . So the rate of the code is

$$R = \frac{\binom{k+m}{m}}{n^m \binom{s+m-1}{m}} \approx \left(\frac{k}{ns}\right)^m$$

Now using the Multiplicity Schwartz-Zippel lemma we can calculate the distance of the code. We have the relative distance to be  $\delta = 1 - \frac{k}{ns}$ .

#### Theorem 6.2.1

The rate and the distance of the multiplicity code are  $R = \frac{\binom{k+m}{m}}{n^m\binom{s+m-1}{m}} \approx \left(\frac{k}{ns}\right)^m$  and  $\delta = 1 - \frac{k}{ns}$  respectively.

We usually think m and s to be large constant. So as multiplicity code achieves the Singleton bound asymptotically.

Page 9 6 Multiplicity Codes

#### 6.3 List Decoding of Univariate Multiplicity Codes up to Capacity

Since we are interested in univariate multiplicity codes, we will set m=1. So we have three parameters k, s, n and the field size q. Therefore, as we have calculated before the rate and distance of the univariate multiplicity code are  $R=\frac{k+1}{ns}\approx\frac{k}{sn}$  and  $\delta=1-\frac{k}{ns}$  respectively.

#### 6.3.1 Polynomial List Size up to Capacity

#### **Theorem 6.3.1** [Kop15, GW11]

For every  $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ , there exists  $s_0 \approx \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$  such that the univariate multiplicity code with multiplicity parameter  $s > s_0$  can be efficiently list decodable from  $\left(1 - \frac{k}{ns} - \epsilon\right)$  fraction of errors.

We will give the proof in [GW11]. It uses polynomial method based arguments. This proof has two steps.

Step 1: Interpolation

Step 2: Reconstruction of close enough codewords

So assume the received word is  $w = (\alpha_0, \beta_{i,0}, \beta_{i,1}, \dots, \beta_{i,s-1})_{i=1}^n$  and also consider the parameter  $t = \sqrt{s} \approx \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ . With this we will show the proof of the above theorem.

#### **Proof:** Step 1: Interpolation

In step 1 we will look for an m+1 variate polynomial  $Q(X, Y_1, \dots, Y_t)$  which is linear in  $Y_i$ 's i.e.

$$Q(X, Y_1, ..., Y_m) = A_0(X) + A_1(X)Y_1 + ... + A_t(X)Y_t$$

Let f is a close enough polynomial. Then define  $R_f(X) = Q(X, f(X), f^{(1)}(X), \dots, f^{(t-1)}(X))$ . Then we want  $R_f(X) \equiv 0$ . And also we want whenever f and the received word agree on some point  $R_f(X)$  has a zero of high multiplicity at that point. So let f agrees with the received word at  $\alpha$ . Then

$$R_f(\alpha_i) = Q(\alpha_i, f(\alpha_i), f^{(1)}(\alpha_i), \dots, f^{(t-1)}(\alpha_i)) = Q(\alpha_i, \beta_{i,0}, \beta_{i,1}, \dots, \beta_{i,t-1}) = 0$$

Now

$$R_f^{(1)}(X) = \frac{dA_0}{dX}(X) + \frac{d}{dX} \left( \sum_{i=1}^t A_i(X) f^{(i-1)}(X) \right) = \frac{dA_0}{dX}(X) + \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{dA_i}{dX}(X) \cdot f^{(i-1)}(X) + A_i(X) \cdot f^{(i)}(X)$$

Therefore

$$R_f^{(1)}(\alpha_i) = \frac{dA_0}{dX}(\alpha_0) + \sum_{i=1}^t \frac{dA_j}{dX}(\alpha_i) \cdot \beta_{i,j-1} + A_j(\alpha) \cdot \beta_{i,j}$$

So we want as many derivatives of  $R_f$  to be zero as possible.

**Observation 1.** In  $R_f^{(k)}(X)$  we needed the evaluations of  $\beta_{i,0}, \ldots, \beta_{i,t-1}, \beta_{i,t}, \ldots, \beta_{i,t+i-1}$ .

Since we have evaluations till  $(s-1)^{th}$  order derivative we can only take derivative of  $R_f$  upto order (s-t). So we want  $R_f^{(k)}(\alpha_i) \equiv 0$  for all  $k \in \{0, \dots, s-t\}$ . And Q follows the following properties:

- $deg(A_i) \leq D$
- For all  $i \in [n]$ ,  $R_f^{(k)}(\alpha_i) \equiv 0$  for all  $k \in \{0, \dots, s-t\}$ . To make it simple define the operator  $\Psi$  as

$$\Psi(Q) := A_0^{(1)}(X) + \sum_{i=0}^t (A_i^{(1)}(X)Y_i + A_i(X)Y_{i+1})$$

and 
$$\Psi^i(Q) = \Psi(\Psi^{i-1}(Q)), \Psi^0(Q) = Q$$
. Then  $\forall i \in [n], \forall j \in \{0, \dots, s-t\}, \Psi^j(Q)(\alpha, \overline{\beta}_i) = 0$ .

**Observation 2.** Each point of agreement of f is a root of  $R_f$  of multiplicity at least s - t + 1.

Now for step 1 to return a Q successfully we need the number of variables to be more than the number of equations. The number of variables is (t + 1)(D + 1). The number of equations is n(s - t + 1). So we need

$$(t+1)(D+1) > n(s-t+1) \iff D+1 > \frac{n(s-t)}{t+1}$$

Hence enough to take  $D = \frac{n(s-t+1)}{t+1}$ . Then step 1 returns a nonzero Q.

**Observation 3.** If f has agreement  $> \frac{D+k}{s-t+1}$  with the received word then  $R_f(X) \equiv 0$  as  $\deg(R_f) \leq D+k$  and each point of agreement is a zero of multiplicity at least s-t+1.

So the number of agreement  $> \frac{D+k}{s-t+1} = \frac{\frac{n(s-t+1)}{t+1}+k}{s-t+1} = \frac{n}{t+1} + \frac{k}{s} \cdot \frac{s}{s-t+1} \approx \epsilon n + \frac{k}{s}$  since we take s to be constant.

#### Step 2: Reconstruction of close enough codewords

Find all degree k, f(X) such that

Step 2.1:  $Q(X, f(X), f^{(1)}(X), \dots, f^{(t-1)}(X)) \equiv 0$  [This step looks like solving a differential equation]

Step 2.2: *f* has large agreement with the received word.

For the step 2.1 let  $f_1, \ldots, f_l$  are the solutions. Then any linear combination of them is also a solution. Hence the space of solutions of f is a vector space over the field. We need to argue that the dimension of this space is at small. Let S be the set of all  $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$  such that  $\deg(f) \leq k$  and  $Q(X, \overline{f}(X)) \equiv 0$ . Then by Lemma 6.3.2 we have  $\dim S \leq t$ . Since s is constant, t is also constant. So the number of solutions is at most  $q^t$ . Hence the list size is at most  $q^t = \operatorname{poly}(n)$ .

#### Lemma 6.3.2

S is a subspace of dimension at most t-1.

**Proof:** WLOG we can assume  $A_i(0) \neq 0$  for all  $i \in \{0, ..., t\}$  otherwise we can do a random shift to make it nonzero. Let  $f \in S$ , then

$$Q(X, f(X), f^{(1)}(X), \dots, f^{(t-1)}(X)) = A_0(X) + A_1(X) \cdot f(X) + A_2(X)f^{(1)}(X) + \dots + A_t(X) \cdot f^{(t-1)}(X) \equiv 0$$

Let  $A_i(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{D} A_{i,j} X^j$  for all  $i \in \{0, ..., t\}$  and  $f(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} f_j X^j$ . Therefore  $f^{(i)}(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-i} \frac{(i+j)!}{j!} f_{i+j} X^j$ . Then the coefficient of  $X^i$  in  $Q(X, f(X), f^{(1)}(X), ..., f^{(t-1)}(X))$  is

$$A_{0,i} + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i} A_{1,j} \cdot f_{i-j}\right) + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i} A_{2,j} \cdot (i+1-j)f_{i+1-j}\right) + \dots + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{i} A_{t,j} \cdot \frac{(t-1+i-j)!}{(i-j)!} f_{t-1+i-j}\right) = A_{0,i} + \sum_{l=1}^{t} \sum_{j=0}^{i} A_{l,i-j} \cdot \frac{(l-1+j)!}{j!} f_{l-1+j}$$

Since f is a solution this coefficient is 0. Notice that in the above linear equation coefficient of  $X^i$  depends on  $f_j$  for all j < i + t. Hence we can determie  $f_{i+t-1}$  uniquely if we have  $f_0, \ldots, f_{i+t-2}$  by using the coefficient of  $X^i$  to be zero. The coefficient of  $X^0$  needs  $f_0, \ldots, f_{t-1}$ . So once we fix  $f_0, \ldots, f_{t-2}$  we can determine uniquely all the other coefficients. Hence the dimension of S is at most t - 1.

#### 6.3.2 Constant List Size up to Capacity

#### Theorem 6.3.3 [KRZSW18]

The list size above is of constant size only depends on  $\epsilon$  and independent of the block length.

#### 6.4 Local Correction of Multiplicity Codes

Page 11 7 Matching Vector Codes

## 7 Matching Vector Codes

### 7.1 Local Decoding

### 8 References

- [GW11] Venkatesan Guruswami and Carol Wang. *Optimal Rate List Decoding via Derivative Codes*, pages 593–604. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.
- [Kop15] Swastik Kopparty. List-Decoding Multiplicity Codes. *Theory of Computing*, 11(1):149–182, 2015.
- [KRZSW18] Swastik Kopparty, Noga Ron-Zewi, Shubhangi Saraf, and Mary Wootters. Improved Decoding of Folded Reed-Solomon and Multiplicity Codes. In 2018 IEEE 59th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), pages 212–223. IEEE, October 2018.
- [KSY14] Swastik Kopparty, Shubhangi Saraf, and Sergey Yekhanin. High-rate codes with sublinear-time decoding. *Journal of the ACM*, 61(5):1–20, September 2014.