# Artifacts of "End-to-end Scheduling of Real-time Task Pipelines on Multiprocessors"

#### Constraint Solvers

- 1. CoPi: Our heuristic constraint solver algorithm.
- 2. GEKKO: The APOPT Solver: https://machinelearning.byu.edu/

Most experiments compare the above two. We have also experimented with two other MINLP solvers but only for the first experiment:

- 1. pyomo: http://www.pyomo.org/
- 2. scipy: https://docs.scipy.org/doc/scipy/reference/optimize.html

## Requirements

Please follow the installation procedure of GEKKO, pyomo, scipy, pickle (for reading and writing dataset). We believe that the code should work for python version 3.5 and 3.6. We have run all the experiments with python 3.6. If not sure, please use virtualenv to install python 3.6.

#### Algorithms and Files

The CoPi algorithms are at the root location of the source directory. The MINLP solver implementations are in ilp/.

CoPi files are: 1. copi\_e2e.py: For only E2E Delay Constraint implementation. 2. copi\_all.py: For all the constraints. 3. multi\_pipeline.py: For the multiprocessor experiments with CoPi.

MINLP Solvers: 1. ilp/ilp\_gekko.py: GEKKO constraint optimization solution. 2. ilp/ilp\_pyomo.py: pyomo constraint optimization solution. 3. ilp/ilp\_scipy.py: scipy constraint optimization solution.

**Datasets** Random pipelines are generated using UUnifast algorithm. All the dataset files are pickle files. 1. dataset\_\* files are for uniprocessor and runtime experiments. 2. pipelines\_\* files are for multiprocessor experiments.

Helper function files: 1. utility.py: Some helper functions for utilization, RMS bound and other calculations. 2. pipeline.py: Most functions related to a pipeline are here. For example, end-to-end delay, loss-rate, etc.

Figures The figures are provided in the Figures directory.

All the figures could be generated as PDF files by:

```
cd Figures make
```

# **Experiments**

The next subsections correspond to the subsections in the Evaluation section of the paper.

## Uniprocessor Acceptance Ratio Experiments

## Only for End-to-end Constraint

1. Run all the experiments for CoPi:

```
./run_exp1_copi.sh
```

2. Run all the experiments for GEKKO (APOPT):

```
./run_exp1_gekko.sh
```

3. Run all the experiments for pyomo (IPOPT):

```
./run_exp1_pyomo.sh
```

4. Run all the experiments for scipy (trust-constr):

```
./run_exp1_scipy.sh
```

**Result** All the data will be written to accepted\_sets\_<solver>.txt in space separated format for  $LBG = \{11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18\}$ . For example, accepted\_sets\_copi.txt

Paper results are summarized in Figures/accept.csv. (3rd, 5th, 6th, 7th lines are respectively CoPi, GEKKO, scipy and pyomo.)

#### Both End-to-end and Loss-rate Constraints

1. Generate CoPi data points by the following script:

```
./run_loss_rate_copi.sh
```

The accepted\_lr\_copi.txt will have the number of accepted (schedulable) pipelines in space separated format for  $\{0, 25, 50, 75\}\%$  loss-rate

2. Generate GEKKO data points by the following script:

```
./run_gekko_lr.sh
```

All the data will be appended to accepted\_sets\_gekko.txt.

3. Generate GEKKO (with BAC) data points by the following script:

```
./run_gekko_lr_bac.sh
```

All the data will be appended to accepted\_sets\_gekko.txt.

Paper results are summarized in Figures/accept\_models\_lr.csv. 3rd, 4th, 5th lines are respectively: GEKKO, CoPi, GEKKO (with BAC)

#### Solver Runtime Overhead

1. CoPi's runtime vs pipeline length experiment (Figure 9c):

```
./time_measure_copi_pipelength.sh
```

The results will be available in scheduled\_times\_copi.txt and failed\_times\_copi.txt in space separated format.

Paper results are summarized in Figures/runtime\_pipe\_length.csv. (2nd line, 3rd line: schedulable and unschedulable).

2. Run CoPi experiments for Figure 9a and 9b:

```
./run_solver_exp2_copi.sh
```

3. Run GEKKO experiments for Figure 9a and 9b:

```
./run_solver_exp2_gekko.sh
```

Paper results are summarized in Figures/lbg\_time\_accept.csv and Figures/lbg\_time\_failed.csv

#### CoPi Performance Insight

```
CoPi's AR vs. pipeline length and NLBG
```

```
./run_perform_insight.sh
```

#### **Multiprocessor Experiments**

Multiprocessor experiments are run by the following command:

Run the processor based experiments with the following script:

```
./run_multiproc_2cores.sh
./run_multiproc_4cores.sh
```

<sup>./</sup>run\_multiproc\_8cores.sh

Results will be written to accepted\_multiproc\_<number of cores>\_<number of tasks in a pipeline>.

 $Paper\ results\ are\ summarized\ in\ Figures/accept\_multiprocessor\_2core.csv, Figures/accept\_multiprocessor\_8core.csv, Figures/accept\_multiprocessor\_8core.csv$ 

python multi\_pipeline.py -p <number of pipelines> -t <number of tasks in each Pipeline> -c

# **Utilization Experiments and Results:**

./run\_util.sh

The result is written to multi\_util\_result.txt.

# Migration Experiments:

Lower level python script:

./run\_mig.sh

The result is written to migrations\_result.txt.