All Notes

Automata Theory – Unit 1

Regular Languages and Finite Automata

✓ 1. Closure Properties of Regular Languages

Regular languages are **closed** under the following operations:

Union

If L1L_1 and L2L_2 are regular, then L1∪L2L_1 \cup L_2 is also regular.

Intersection

If L1L_1 and L2L_2 are regular, then L1∩L2L_1 \cap L_2 is also regular.

Proof idea: Construct product automaton.

Complement

If LL is regular, then L\overline{L} is also regular.

Proof idea: Take a DFA for LL and swap accepting and non-accepting states.

Concatenation

If L1L_1 and L2L_2 are regular, then L1·L2L_1 \cdot L_2 is also regular.

Kleene Star

If LL is regular, then L*L^* is also regular.

2. Myhill-Nerode Theorem (Minimization of DFA)

Theorem:

A language $L\subseteq \Sigma *L$ \subseteq \Sigma^* is regular if and only if the number of equivalence classes of the relation $\equiv L$ \equiv_L is finite.

Applications:

- Provides a method to prove non-regularity.
- Foundation for DFA minimization.

3. Regular Expressions and Finite Automata Equivalence

Theorem: Every language defined by a regular expression can be accepted by some finite automaton, and vice versa.

Regular Expression →\rightarrow NFA: Use Thompson's Construction.

- NFA →\rightarrow DFA: Use **subset construction**.
- DFA →\rightarrow Regular Expression: Use **state elimination method**.

4. Applications of Finite Automata

- Lexical analysis in compilers
- Pattern matching tools like grep, awk
- · Text search algorithms
- String validation

5. Mealy and Moore Machines

Mealy Machine

- Output depends on current state and input symbol.
- Output function: λ:Q×Σ→Γ\lambda: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow \Gamma

★ Moore Machine

- Output depends only on current state.
- Output function: λ:Q→Γ\lambda: Q \rightarrow \Gamma

Theorem: For every Mealy machine, there is an equivalent Moore machine and vice versa.

Example Problems

- 1. Construct DFA for the language of all strings over {0,1}\{0,1\} ending in 01.
- 2. Construct NFA and convert it into DFA.
- 3. Convert regular expression to NFA and then to DFA.
- 4. Minimize given DFA using equivalence partitioning.

Automata Theory – Unit 2

Non-determinism and Kleene's Theorem

✓ 1. Nondeterministic Finite Automaton (NFA)

An NFA is a 5-tuple:

 $M=(Q,\Sigma,\delta,q0,F)M=(Q, \Sigma, \S$

Where:

- QQ: Finite set of states
- Σ\Sigma: Input alphabet
- δ:Q×Σ→2Q\delta: Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow 2^Q: Transition function (can go to multiple states)
- q0∈Qq_0 \in Q: Start state
- F⊆QF \subseteq Q: Set of accepting states

Characteristics:

- · Multiple transitions allowed for the same input
- Acceptance if any path reaches a final state

2. Epsilon-NFA (ε\varepsilon-NFA)

An extension of NFA that allows **epsilon transitions** (ε\varepsilon):

- $\delta:Q\times(\Sigma\cup\{\epsilon\})\to 2Q\delta: Q \times (\sum \{\epsilon\})\to 2Q\de$
- Input can be consumed without reading a symbol

3. DFA and NFA Equivalence Theorem

Theorem: For every NFA, there exists a DFA that accepts the same language.

Subset Construction Algorithm:

- 1. Each state of DFA is a subset of NFA states
- 2. Start state of DFA is ε \varepsilon-closure of NFA's start
- 3. Use δ\delta to generate transitions for each subset

4. Kleene's Theorem – Part I (with Proof)

Statement: A language is regular **iff** it is accepted by a finite automaton.

▶ Direction 1: Regular Expression → FA

Use Thompson's Construction to convert regex to ε\varepsilon-NFA

▶ Direction 2: FA → Regular Expression

Use state elimination method to extract regex from DFA/NFA

✓ 5. Kleene's Theorem – Part II (Intro Only)

Statement: A language is regular **iff** it can be described by a regular expression.

Used to confirm the **equivalence** between:

- Languages accepted by FA
- · Languages generated by regular expressions

6. Minimal Finite Automata Theorem

Every regular language has a unique minimum state DFA (up to isomorphism).

DFA Minimization Steps:

- 1. Remove unreachable states
- 2. Merge **equivalent states** using partition refinement (Myhill-Nerode relation)

Example Problems

- 1. Convert ε\varepsilon-NFA to NFA
- Convert NFA to DFA using subset construction
- 3. Minimize given DFA
- 4. Use Kleene's Theorem to convert FA to regex

Automata Theory – Unit 3

Context-Free Grammar (CFG)

✓ 1. Context-Free Grammar (CFG) – Definition

A CFG is a 4-tuple:

 $G=(V,\Sigma,R,S)G=(V, Sigma, R, S)$

Where:

- VV: Set of variables (non-terminals)
- Σ\Sigma: Set of terminals
- RR: Set of production rules of the form A→αA \rightarrow \alpha, where A∈VA \in V, α∈(V∪Σ)*\alpha \in (V \cup \Sigma)^*
- S∈VS \in V: Start symbol

2. Chomsky Hierarchy

- 1. **Type 0**: Recursively enumerable languages (Turing Machines)
- 2. Type 1: Context-sensitive languages
- 3. **Type 2**: Context-free languages (PDA)
- 4. **Type 3**: Regular languages (FA)

3. Derivation Trees and Ambiguity

- Derivation Tree / Parse Tree: Tree representation of derivations from CFG
- Ambiguous Grammar: A grammar is ambiguous if a string has more than one parse tree



Try leftmost and rightmost derivations to detect ambiguity.

4. Closure Properties of CFLs

Context-Free Languages (CFLs) are **closed** under:

- Union
- Concatenation
- Kleene Star

CFLs are not closed under:

- Intersection
- Complement

✓ 5. Grammar Construction

✓ Union:

For CFGs G1G_1 and G2G_2, construct new grammar:

Where S1S_1 and S2S_2 are start symbols of G1G_1 and G2G_2.

Concatenation:

S→S1S2S \rightarrow S 1 S 2

Kleene Star:

S→SS | εS \rightarrow SS \ | \ \varepsilon

6. Simplified Forms of CFG

- Removing Useless Symbols
- Removing ε\varepsilon-productions
- Removing Unit Productions: A→BA \rightarrow B

7. Chomsky Normal Form (CNF)

All productions are of the form:

- A→BCA \rightarrow BC, where B,C∈VB, C \in V
- A→aA \rightarrow a, where a∈Σa \in \Sigma
- S→εS \rightarrow \varepsilon (only allowed if ε∈L(G)\varepsilon \in L(G))

Used in parsing algorithms like CYK.

8. Greibach Normal Form (GNF)

All productions are of the form:

 $A \rightarrow a\alpha$, where $a \in \Sigma, \alpha \in V*A \rightarrow a\$ \rightarrow a\alpha, \text{ where } a \in \Sigma, \alpha \in V^*

Used in Top-Down Parsing.

9. Backus-Naur Form (BNF)

A metalanguage for describing syntax:

- <non-terminal>::=<expression><non-terminal> ::= <expression>
- Common in language design and compilers

Example Problems

- 1. Construct CFG for palindromes over {a,b}\{a, b\}
- 2. Convert given CFG to CNF
- 3. Check if a grammar is ambiguous
- 4. Simplify a CFG by removing useless, null, and unit productions

Automata Theory – Unit 4

Pushdown Automata (PDA) and Parsing

1. Pushdown Automaton (PDA) – Definition

A PDA is a 7-tuple:

 $M=(Q,\Sigma,\Gamma,\delta,q0,Z0,F)M=(Q, \Sigma, \Sig$

Where:

- · QQ: Finite set of states
- Σ\Sigma: Input alphabet

- Г\Gamma: Stack alphabet
- δ:Q×(Σ∪{ε})×Γ→2Q×Γ∗\delta: Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\varepsilon\}) \times \Gamma \rightarrow 2^{Q} \times \Gamma^*}: Transition function
- q0∈Qq 0 \in Q: Start state
- Z0∈ΓZ 0 \in \Gamma: Initial stack symbol
- F⊆QF \subseteq Q: Set of accepting states

Acceptance Criteria:

- By Final State
- By Empty Stack

2. Deterministic and Non-deterministic PDA

- DPDA (Deterministic PDA):
 - o At most one move per configuration.
 - No ambiguity in input + top stack symbol + state.
- NPDA (Non-deterministic PDA):
 - May have multiple valid transitions for same input configuration.

Note:

- All DPDA languages are CFLs.
- But not all CFLs are accepted by DPDAs (strict subset).

3. CFG and PDA Equivalence Theorem

Theorem: For every CFG, there exists a PDA that accepts the same language, and vice versa.

ightharpoonup CFG ightharpoonup PDA:

Push variables and simulate derivations.

▶ PDA → CFG:

Use transitions to generate corresponding productions.

4. Applications of PDA

- · Parsing in compilers
- Syntax checking
- Modeling recursive function calls

5. Top-Down Parsing (Predictive Parsing)

- Based on Leftmost derivation
- LL(k) parsers (Lookahead)

- Uses First and Follow sets
- Requires non-ambiguous, left-factored, non-left-recursive grammars

6. Bottom-Up Parsing (Shift-Reduce Parsing)

- · Based on Rightmost derivation in reverse
- Builds parse tree from leaves to root
- LR parsers (LALR, SLR, Canonical LR)

Example Problems

- 1. Construct PDA for {anbn|n≥0}\{ a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0 \}
- 2. Design PDA that accepts palindromes over {a,b}\{a,b\}
- 3. Convert CFG to PDA
- 4. Parse a given string using LL(1) or LR(0) method

Automata Theory – Unit 5

Context-Free Languages (CFLs)

✓ 1. Definition

A language is **Context-Free** if it can be generated by a **Context-Free Grammar (CFG)**:

 $G=(V,\Sigma,R,S)G=(V, Sigma, R, S)$

2. CFL vs Regular Language

- Every regular language is a CFL.
- Not every CFL is regular (e.g., {anbn|n≥0}\{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 0\})

3. Closure Properties of CFLs

CFLs are closed under:

- Union
- Concatenation
- Kleene Star

CFLs are not closed under:

- Intersection
- Complement



Intersection with regular languages is closed.

CFL∩REGULAR=CFLCFL \cap REGULAR = CFL

4. Pumping Lemma for CFLs

Used to prove a language is not a CFL.

Statement:

If LL is a CFL, then $\exists p \in \mathbb{N} = \mathbb{P}[x] \leq p$, such that for any $z \in \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{P}[x] \leq p$, $z \in \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{P}[x] \leq p$, $z \in \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{P}[x] \leq p$.

z=uvwxyz = uvwxy

Such that:

- 1. |vwx|≤p|vwx| \leq p
- 2. vx≠εvx \neq \varepsilon
- 3. ∀i≥0, uviwxiy∈L\forall i \geq 0,\ uv^i w x^i y \in L

Use this lemma to show contradiction for non-CFLs.

5. CFL Properties with Examples

Operation	Closure	Example
Union	Yes	{anbn}∪{anb2n}\{a^n b^n\} \cup \{a^n b^{2n}\}
Concatenation	Yes	{anbn}-{bncn}\{a^n b^n\} \cdot \{b^n c^n\}
Kleene Star	Yes	(anbn)*(a^n b^n)^*
Intersection	No	{anbncn}=L1∩L2\{a^n b^n c^n\} = L_1 \cap L_2
Complement	No	By DeMorgan's Law: $A \cup B^=A^\cap B^\setminus A \setminus B$ = \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}

Example Problems

1. Prove {anbncn|n≥0}\{a^n b^n c^n \mid n \geq 0\} is **not** a CFL using pumping lemma

- 2. Show $\{wwR|w\in\{a,b\}*\}\setminus\{ww^R \mid w \mid x_a,b\}^*\}$ is CFL (palindrome)
- 3. Test closure under union for two given CFLs

Automata Theory – Unit 6

Turing Machine (TM)

1. Turing Machine – Definition

A Turing Machine is a 7-tuple:

 $M=(Q,\Sigma,\Gamma,\delta,q0,B,F)M=(Q, \Sigma, \Sgma, \Sgm$

Where:

- QQ: Finite set of states
- Σ\Sigma: Input alphabet (does not include blank symbol BB)
- Γ\Gamma: Tape alphabet (includes BB)
- δ:Q×Γ→Q×Γ×{L,R}\delta: Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\}: Transition function
- q0∈Qq_0 \in Q: Start state
- B∈ΓB \in \Gamma: Blank symbol
- F⊆QF \subseteq Q: Set of accepting (final) states

2. TM as Language Acceptor

- TM accepts a language by entering a final state.
- Alternatively, by halting in a valid configuration.

3. Computing a Partial Function with TM

TMs can **compute functions** by writing output on tape:

 $f:\Sigma*\to\Sigma*$ (partial)f: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^* \text{ (partial)}

If TM halts on input ww, then output is the tape content.

4. Combining Turing Machines

Used to construct complex machines from simpler ones:

• Sequence of TMs: Output of one becomes input of next.

- Conditional branching (based on symbol/state).
- Subroutines (modular design).

5. Variants of Turing Machines

All these variants are **equivalent in power** (can simulate each other):

Variant	Description
Multi-tape TM	Multiple tapes with individual heads
Multi-track TM	One tape, multiple tracks
Non-deterministic TM (NTM)	May have multiple transitions
Off-line TMs	Read-only input tape, working tape
Semi-infinite TM	Infinite in only one direction
TM with stay option	Head can stay in place (move: L/R/S)

6. Universal Turing Machine (UTM)

- A UTM takes as input (M,w)\langle M, w \rangle, where MM is encoded TM and ww is input string.
- Simulates TM MM on input ww.

Key Idea: TMs can encode other TMs \rightarrow foundation for **programmable computers**.

7. Applications of Turing Machines

- Defining computability and decidability
- Models for general-purpose computing
- Basis for modern computer architecture

Example Problems

- 1. Design TM to accept {anbn|n≥1}\{a^n b^n \mid n \geq 1\}
- 2. Create TM that computes binary addition
- 3. Construct UTM that simulates another TM