

# CMSC 27100 - Problem Set 4

Sohini Banerjee

October 30, 2023

## 1

Let  $\phi(n) = 1$  if and only if for all real numbers  $x \neq 1$  and a non-negative integer  $n$ ,  $\sum_{i=0}^n x^i = \frac{x^{n+1}-1}{x-1}$ . First, we show that the base case  $n = 0$  holds. The LHS is  $\sum_{i=0}^0 x^i = x^0 = 1$ . The RHS is  $\frac{x^{0+1}-1}{x-1} = \frac{x-1}{x-1} = 1$ .  $1 = 1$  and thus,  $\phi(0) = 1$  and the base case is true.

The inductive hypothesis is that we assume for all real numbers  $x \neq 1$ ,  $\phi(n) = 1$  for a non-negative integer  $n$ , which means  $\sum_{i=0}^n x^i = \frac{x^{n+1}-1}{x-1}$ . We must show that this implies  $\phi(n+1) = 1$ .

- $\sum_{i=0}^n x^i = \frac{x^{n+1}-1}{x-1}$  (by inductive hypothesis)
- $\sum_{i=0}^n x^i + x^{n+1} = \frac{x^{n+1}-1}{x-1} + x^{n+1}$  (adding  $x^{n+1}$  to both sides)
- $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} x^i = \frac{x^{n+1}-1+(x-1)x^{n+1}}{x-1}$
- $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} x^i = \frac{x^{n+1}-1+x^{n+2}-x^{n+1}}{x-1}$
- $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} x^i = \frac{x^{n+2}-1}{x-1}$
- $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} x^i = \frac{x^{(n+1)+1}-1}{x-1}$

This shows that  $\phi(n) = 1$  implies  $\phi(n+1) = 1$  for non-negative integers  $n$ . Thus,  $\sum_{i=0}^n x^i = \frac{x^{n+1}-1}{x-1}$  for all non-negative integers  $n$  and all real numbers  $x \neq 1$ .

## 2

Let  $\phi(n) = 1$  if and only if for an integer  $n \geq 2$ ,  $n! \cdot 2^n < (2n)!$ . First, we show that the base case  $n = 2$  holds. The LHS is  $2! \cdot 2^2 = 2 \cdot 4 = 8$ . The RHS is  $(2 \cdot 2)! = 4! = 24$ .  $8 < 24$ , and thus,  $\phi(2) = 1$  and the base case is true.

The inductive hypothesis is we assume  $\phi(n) = 1$  for an integer  $n \geq 2$ , which means  $n! \cdot 2^n < (2n)!$ . We must show that this implies  $\phi(n+1) = 1$ .

- $n! \cdot 2^n < (2n)!$  (by inductive hypothesis)

- $n! \cdot 2^n < (2n)! \cdot (2n+1)$  (because  $(2n)! \cdot (2n+1) > (2n)!$  since  $n \geq 2$ )
- $(n+1) \cdot n! \cdot 2^n \cdot 2 < (2n)! \cdot (2n+1) \cdot 2 \cdot (n+1)$  (multiplying both sides by  $2 \cdot (n+1)$ )
- $(n+1) \cdot n! \cdot 2^n \cdot 2 < (2n)! \cdot (2n+1) \cdot (2n+2)$
- $(n+1) \cdot n! \cdot 2^n \cdot 2 < (2n+2)!$
- $(n+1)! \cdot 2^{n+1} < (2 \cdot (n+1))!$

This shows that  $\phi(n) = 1$  implies  $\phi(n+1) = 1$  for integers  $n \geq 2$ . Thus,  $n! \cdot 2^n < (2n)!$  for all integers  $n \geq 2$ .

### 3

Let  $\phi(n) = 1$  if and only if an integer  $n > 1$  can be written as a product of primes.  $\phi(2) = 1$  because 2 is a prime number. Thus, we have shown that the base case holds, as 2 is the smallest integer  $n > 1$ . The inductive hypothesis is we assume  $\phi(k) = 1$  for  $2 \leq k < n$ . If  $n$  is prime, we are done, since it can be written as product of primes, namely itself. So, assume  $n$  is not prime. Then, we can write  $n = a \cdot b$  for  $1 < a, b < n$ . The inductive hypothesis demonstrates the following:

- Since  $a < n$ ,  $\phi(a) = 1$ . This means there exists a set of prime numbers  $c_1, \dots, c_x$  such that  $c_1 \cdot \dots \cdot c_x = a$  and  $c_i \leq a < n$ .
- Since  $b < n$ ,  $\phi(b) = 1$ . This means there exists a set of prime numbers  $d_1, \dots, d_y$  such that  $d_1 \cdot \dots \cdot d_y = b$  and  $d_j \leq b < n$ .

So, since  $a = c_1 \cdot \dots \cdot c_x$  and  $b = d_1 \cdot \dots \cdot d_y$  where  $c_i$  and  $d_j$  are prime numbers, we can rewrite  $n = a \cdot b = c_1 \cdot \dots \cdot c_x \cdot d_1 \cdot \dots \cdot d_y$ . This means  $n$  can be written as a product of primes. This shows that for  $2 \leq k < n$ ,  $\phi(k) = 1$  implies  $\phi(n) = 1$ . Thus, all integers  $n > 1$  can be written as a product of primes.

With weak induction, we have to show that if  $n-1$  has a prime factorization, then  $n$  has a prime factorization. This is a problem because for a non-prime number  $n$ , the two factors  $1 < a, b < n$  are not necessarily equal to  $n-1$ , so we cannot conclude that  $\phi(n-1)$  implies  $\phi(n)$ . Specifically, an integer having a prime factorization does not clearly imply that the subsequent integer also has a prime factorization, which is what weak induction requires. Instead, we need strong induction because knowing that all  $2 \leq k < n$  allows us to conclude that the two factors  $a$  and  $b$  of  $n$  have the strong induction hypothesis applied to it, allowing us to conclude that  $n$  has a prime factorization since it is the product of  $a$  and  $b$ .

## 4

Wilson's theorem states that for all  $p$  where  $p$  is a prime number,  $(p-1)! \cong_p -1$ . We know that 71 is a prime number because  $Div(71) = \{1, 71\}$ . So,  $(71-1)! \cong_{71} -1$ , or  $70! \cong_{71} -1$ . This means  $70 \cdot 69 \cdot 68 \cdot 67! \cong_{71} -1$ . So,  $70 \pmod{71} \cdot 69 \pmod{71} \cdot 68 \pmod{71} \cdot 67! \cong_{71} -1$ .

- $70 \cong_{71} -1$
- $69 \cong_{71} -2$
- $68 \cong_{71} -3$

This means  $(-1)(-2)(-3)(67!) \cong_{71} -1$ , so  $-6 \cdot 67! \cong_{71} -1$  or  $6 \cdot 67! \cong_{71} 1$ . The multiplicative inverse for 6  $\pmod{71}$  is 12 because  $12 \cdot 6 = 72$  and  $72 \pmod{71} = 1$ , so  $12 \cdot 6 \cong_{71} 1$ . Again, we know that  $12 \cdot 6 \cdot 67! \cong_{71} 12 \cdot 1$ , and since  $12 \cdot 6 \cong_{71} 1$ , then  $67! \cong_{71} 12$ . Thus, the remainder of  $67!$  when divided by 71 is 12.