# MATH 15910 - Problem Set 3

## Sohini Banerjee

October 18, 2023

### 1

We can show a < b implies  $a \le b-1$  by proving the contrapositive. Negate  $a \le b-1$ , so take a > b-1. Assume for contradiction that a < b. This means that b-1 < a < b (transitivity for order), or that b < a+1 < b+1 (addition property for order). Since a is an integer, a+1 is also an integer, so there must be an integer between b and b+1. Denote this as integer x such that b < x < b+1, which is equivalent to b+(-b) < x+(-b) < b+1+(-b) (addition property for order), or b+(-b) < x+(-b) < b+(-b)+1 (commutativity for addition). This means (b+(-b)) < x+(-b) < (b+(-b))+1 (associativity for addition), so 0 < x+(-b) < 1 (additive inverse for addition). x+(-b) is an integer (addition is  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}$ ), so this means there must exist an integer between 0 and 1. This is a contradiction (by proposition shown in class that for any positive integer  $n, n \ge 1$ ). Therefore, the contrapositive of if a < b, then  $a \le b-1$  is true. Thus, if a < b, then  $a \le b-1$ 

### $\mathbf{2}$

### 2.1

If a > 0 and b < 0, then  $a \cdot b < a \cdot 0$  (multiplicative property for order). This means  $a \cdot b < 0$  (by proposition shown in class that  $x \cdot 0 = 0$ ). Thus, if a > 0 and b < 0, then  $a \cdot b < 0$ .

### 2.2

If a<0 and b<0, then (-a)>0 and (-b)>0 (by proposition shown in class that x<0 if and only if (-x)>0). This means  $(-a)\cdot(-b)>(-a)\cdot0$  (multiplicative property for order), or  $(-a)\cdot(-b)>0$  (by proposition shown in class that  $x\cdot0=0$ ). We know that (-b)=-(b) (by proposition shown in class that  $x\cdot(-1)=(-x)$ ). This is equivalent to  $-(-a)\cdot b>0$  (associativity for multiplication). We must show that -(-a)=a. We know that -a+(-(-a))=0 (additive inverse for addition), so a+(-a)+(-(-a))=a+0 (cancellation for addition). This means that 0+(-(-a))=a+0 (additive inverse for addition),

which means -(-a) = a (identity element for addition). Since -(-a) = a, we know that  $-(-a) \cdot b > 0$  means  $a \cdot b > 0$ . Thus, if a < 0 and b < 0, then  $a \cdot b > 0$ .

# 3

#### 3.1

Let a=1. We know that for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there exists an element (-a) such that a+(-a)=0. We can write 1+1=0 as a+a=0, or a+(-a)=0 (additive inverse for addition). This means a+a=a+(-a), so (-a)+a+a=(-a)+a+(-a) (cancellation for addition). This means ((-a)+a)+a=((-a)+a)+(-a) (associativity for addition) and that 0+a=0+(-a) (additive inverse for addition). This is equivalent to a=(-a) (identity element for addition). If a>0, then (-a)<0 and if a<0, then (-a)>0 (by proposition shown in class). Since a=-a, an element cannot be both greater or less than 0, so a=0 (trichotomy for order). However, this is a contradiction because we assumed a=1. Thus,  $1+1\neq 0$ .

#### 3.2

We must show that if a > b, then  $a^2 > b^2$  and if  $a^2 > b^2$ , then a > b. Starting with the former, take a > b. Since a > 0 and b > 0, we know  $a \cdot a > a \cdot b$  and  $a \cdot b > b \cdot b$  (multiplicative property for order). Since  $a \cdot a > a \cdot b$  and  $a \cdot b > b \cdot b$ , then  $a \cdot a > b \cdot b$  (transitivity for order), so  $a^2 > b^2$ . For the latter, we can show that  $a^2 > b^2$  implies a > b by proving the contrapositive. The negation of a > b has two cases. First, take a = b. Then,  $a \cdot a = a \cdot b$  (multiplication is  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}$ ), or  $a^2 = a \cdot b$ . Similarly,  $b \cdot a = b \cdot b$  (multiplication is  $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}$ ), or  $a \cdot b = b^2$ . Since  $a \cdot b = a^2$  and  $a \cdot b = b^2$ , then  $a^2 = b^2$  (transitivity), so  $a^2 > b^2$  is false. Second, take a < b. Then,  $a \cdot a < a \cdot b$  (multiplicative property for order), or  $a^2 < a \cdot b$ . Similarly,  $b \cdot a < b \cdot b$  (multiplicative property for order), or  $a \cdot b < b^2$ . Since  $a^2 < a \cdot b$  and  $a \cdot b < b^2$ , then  $a^2 < b^2$  (transitivity for order), so  $a^2 > b^2$  is false. If a = b or a < b, then  $a^2 > b^2$  is false, proving the contrapositive. Thus, we have shown that if a > b, then  $a^2 > b^2$  and if  $a^2 > b^2$ , then a > b, so a > b if and only if  $a^2 > b^2$ .

# 4

### 4.1

Let  $P(n) = \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$ .  $P(1) = 1^2 = \frac{1(1+1)(2\cdot 1+1)}{6} = 1$ . Thus, the base case n=1 is true. Assume P(n) is true for  $n\epsilon\mathbb{Z}_+$ , meaning  $\sum_{i=1}^n i^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$ . We can add  $(n+1)^2$  to both sides, giving us  $\sum_{i=1}^n i^2 + (n+1)^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} + (n+1)^2$ . So,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} i^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} + (n+1)^2$ . We can simplify the RHS as follows:  $= \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)+6(n+1)(n+1)}{6}$ 

```
=\frac{(n+1)(n(2n+1)+6(n+1))}{6}
=\frac{(n+1)(2n^2+n+6n+6)}{6}
=\frac{(n+1)(2n^2+4n+3n+6)}{6}
=\frac{(n+1)(2n(n+2)+3(n+2))}{6}
=\frac{(n+1)(n+2)(2n+3)}{6}
=\frac{(n+1)(n+2)(2(n+1)+1)}{6}
```

This implies that P(n+1) is true. Thus, mathematical induction shows that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$  holds for any  $n\epsilon \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

### 4.2

Let  $P(n)=(1+a)^n\geq 1+n\cdot a.$   $P(1)=(1+a)^1\geq 1+1\cdot a,$  which is true because  $1+a\geq 1+a.$  Thus, the base case n=1 is true. Assume P(n) is true for  $n\epsilon\mathbb{Z}_+,$  meaning  $P(n)=(1+a)^n\geq 1+n\cdot a.$  We must show that P(n+1) is also true.  $P(n+1)=(1+a)^{n+1}\geq 1+(n+1)\cdot a.$  We can rewrite  $(1+a)^{n+1}$  as  $(1+a)^n(1+a).$  Using the assumption that P(n) is true,  $(1+a)^n(1+a)\geq (1+n\cdot a)(1+a).$   $(1+n\cdot a)(1+a)=1+a+n\cdot a+n\cdot a^2=1+(n+1)\cdot a+n\cdot a^2\geq 1+(n+1)\cdot a.$  So,  $(1+a)^n(1+a)\geq (1+n\cdot a)(1+a)\geq 1+(n+1)\cdot a.$  Thus,  $(1+a)^{n+1}\geq 1+(n+1)\cdot a.$  Thus, mathematical induction shows that  $(1+a)^n\geq 1+n\cdot a$  holds for any  $n\epsilon\mathbb{Z}_+.$