

# MATH311: Homework 4 (due Mar. 21)

손량(20220323)

Last compiled on: Wednesday 22<sup>nd</sup> March, 2023, 02:38

## 1 Problem #6

First, let's prove that  $E'$  is closed. Consider a limit point  $p$  of  $E'$ . We need to show that  $p \in E'$ . Let  $r > 0$ , then we need to find a point  $q \in E$  such that  $p \neq q$  and  $d(p, q) < r$ . Since  $p$  is a limit point of  $E'$ , there exists a point  $t \in E'$  such that  $p \neq t$  and  $d(p, t) < r$ . There exists  $q \in E$  with  $q \neq t$  and  $d(t, q) < s$ , where  $s = \min(r - d(p, t), d(p, t))$  since  $t \in E'$ . By triangular inequality,  $d(p, q) \leq d(p, t) + d(t, q) < d(p, t) + s \leq r$  and  $d(p, q) \geq d(p, t) - d(t, q)$ . Since  $s \leq d(p, t)$  by definition,  $d(p, t) - d(t, q) > d(p, t) - s \geq 0$ , so  $d(p, q) > 0$ . Thus, by definition of limit points, every limit point  $p$  of  $E'$  is a limit point of  $E$ , so  $(E')' \subset E'$  and  $E'$  is closed.

Let's show that  $E' = (\bar{E})'$ . Consider  $p \in E'$ . For all  $r > 0$ , there exists a point  $q \in E$  such that  $p \neq q$  and  $d(p, q) < r$  since  $p \in E'$ . Since  $\bar{E} = E \cup E'$ ,  $q \in \bar{E}$  and it is evident that  $p \in (\bar{E})'$ , by the definition of limit points. Thus,  $E' \subset (\bar{E})'$  holds. Now consider  $s \in (\bar{E})'$ . Let  $r' > 0$ , we need to find a point  $t \in E$  such that  $s \neq t$  and  $d(s, t) < r'$  to show that  $s$  is a limit point of  $E$ . There exists a point  $u \in \bar{E}$  such that  $s \neq u$  and  $d(s, u) < r'$  since  $s \in (\bar{E})'$ . Since  $\bar{E} = E \cup E'$ ,  $u \in E$  or  $u \in E'$ . If  $u \in E$ , we can take  $t = u$  and done. If  $u \notin E$ , then  $u \in E'$  and there exists  $t \in E$  with  $t \neq u$  and  $d(t, u) < r''$ , where  $r'' = \min(r' - d(s, u), d(s, u))$ . By triangular inequality,  $d(s, t) \leq d(s, u) + d(t, u) < d(s, u) + r'' < r'$  and  $d(s, t) \geq d(s, u) - d(t, u)$ . Since  $r'' \leq d(s, u)$  by definition,  $d(s, u) - d(t, u) > d(s, u) - r'' \geq 0$ , so  $d(s, t) > 0$ , so we get the desired  $t$ . Thus,  $(\bar{E})' \subset E'$  also holds, and  $E$  and  $\bar{E}$  has the same limit points.

$E$  and  $E'$  generally does not share the same limit points. Consider  $E = \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ , then  $E' = \emptyset$  since for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $B_r(x) \cap E = \emptyset$  if  $0 < r < d(0, x)$  and  $x$  cannot be a limit point of  $E$ .

## 2 Problem #9

### 2.1 Proof for (d)

From (c), we can see that  $E^\circ$  is the union of all open sets contained in  $E$ . Thus, its complement is the intersection of all closed sets contained in  $E^C$ , by theorem 2.22. Then, by theorem 2.27,  $(E^\circ)^C$  is the closure of  $E^C$ .

### 2.2 Solution for (e)

If  $E$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$  and  $r > 0$ , there exists  $y \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $x - r < y < x$  because  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus,  $x \in E'$  so  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q} \subset E'$ , and  $\bar{E} = E \cup E' \supset \mathbb{Q} \cup (\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\bar{E} \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\bar{E} = \mathbb{R}$  holds. Then,  $\bar{E}$  is an open set, so  $x$  is an interior point of  $\bar{E}$  but it isn't for  $E$  since  $x \notin E$ , and we can conclude that it is generally false.

### 2.3 Solution for (f)

If  $E = \{0\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then  $\bar{E} = \{0\}$  since  $E' = \emptyset$ , but  $E^\circ = \emptyset$  so  $\bar{E}^\circ = \emptyset$ . Thus, it is generally false.

### 3 Problem #16

$E^C$  can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E^C &= \{p \in \mathbb{Q}; p^2 \leq 2\} \cup \{p \in \mathbb{Q}; p^2 \geq 3\} \\ &= \{p \in \mathbb{Q}; -\sqrt{2} \leq p \leq \sqrt{2}\} \cup \{p \in \mathbb{Q}; p \geq \sqrt{3}\} \cup \{p \in \mathbb{Q}; p \leq -\sqrt{3}\} \end{aligned}$$

Let's show that  $E^C$  is open. Suppose  $x \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus E$ . If  $x^2 \leq 2$ , then  $x^2 < 2$ , so  $-\sqrt{2} < x < \sqrt{2}$  since there is no rational number whose square is 2. Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $y, z \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $-\sqrt{2} < y < x$  and  $x < z < \sqrt{2}$ . Let  $r = \min(x - y, z - x)$ , and consider  $w \in (x - r, x + r)$ . If  $r = x - y$ , then  $w \in (y, 2x - y)$ , and  $2x - y \leq z$  so  $w \in (y, z)$ , thus  $-\sqrt{2} < w < \sqrt{2}$  and  $w^2 < 2$  holds. Otherwise, then  $w \in (2x - z, z)$ , and  $2x - z \geq y$  so  $w \in (y, z)$ , thus  $-\sqrt{2} < w < \sqrt{2}$  and  $w^2 < 2$  also holds. If  $x^2 \geq 3$ , then  $x^2 > 3$ , so  $x > \sqrt{3}$  or  $x < -\sqrt{3}$  since there is no rational number whose square is 3. If  $x > \sqrt{3}$  then there exists  $t \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\sqrt{3} < t < x$  since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $s = x - t$ , and consider  $w \in (x - s, x + s)$ . Since  $x - s = t > \sqrt{3}$ ,  $w^2 > t^2 > 3$  holds. If  $x < -\sqrt{3}$  then there exists  $u \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $x < u < -\sqrt{3}$  since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $v = u - x$ , and consider  $w \in (x - v, x + v)$ . Since  $x + v = u < -\sqrt{3}$ ,  $w^2 > u^2 > 3$  holds. Thus,  $E^C$  is open in  $\mathbb{Q}$ , so  $E$  is a closed in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

For all  $p \in E$ ,  $d(p, 0) = |p| < 2$  so  $E$  is bounded.

Consider a collection of sets  $\{G_n\} = \{p \in \mathbb{Q}; 2 < p^2 < 3 - 1/n\}$ . We can write

$$G_n = [(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3 - 1/n}) \cap \mathbb{Q}] \cup [(-\sqrt{3 - 1/n}, -\sqrt{2}) \cap \mathbb{Q}]$$

and since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a open set,  $G_n$  is open by (a) and (c) of theorem 2.24. Thus,  $\{G_n\}$  is an open cover of  $E$ .  $G_n$  cover  $E$  since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , but no finite collection of  $\{G_n\}$  covers  $E$ . Thus,  $E$  is not compact. Since  $E$  can be written as

$$E = [(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) \cap \mathbb{Q}] \cup [(-\sqrt{3}, -\sqrt{2}) \cap \mathbb{Q}]$$

and by (a) and (c) of theorem 2.24,  $E$  is also open.

### 4 Problem #22

By corollary of theorem 2.13,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable and  $\mathbb{Q}^k$  is also countable by theorem 2.13. For all  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{Q}^k$  or  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}^k$ . Let  $r > 0$ . If  $x \notin \mathbb{Q}^k$ , then there exists some  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k) \in \mathbb{Q}^k$  such that  $x_i < y_i < x_i + \sqrt{r^2/k}$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then,  $0 < y_i - x_i < \sqrt{r^2/k}$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ) holds. We can write

$$d(x, y) = \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2 + \dots + (x_k - y_k)^2} < \sqrt{k \cdot \left(\sqrt{\frac{r^2}{k}}\right)^2} = r$$

From this, we can conclude that  $x$  is a limit point of  $\mathbb{Q}^k$ , so  $\mathbb{Q}^k$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  by the definition of dense sets. Thus,  $\mathbb{R}^k$  contains a countable dense subset  $\mathbb{Q}^k$ , so it is separable.

## 5 Problem #26

Using the hint, let  $E$  be a set of  $x_n$  where  $x_n \notin G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \dots \cup G_n$ . There are infinitely many finite unions of  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  and every point is in some set of  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  by definition, so  $E$  cannot be finite. Now consider a limit point  $z$  of  $E$ , there exists  $G_n$  where  $z \in G_n$  by definition. Since  $G_n$  is open, there exists  $r > 0$  where  $B_r(z) \subset G_n$ . Then,  $x_m \notin B_r(z)$  if  $m \geq n$ , since  $x_m \notin G_1 \cup \dots \cup G_m$ , so  $z$  cannot be a limit point of  $E$ , which is a contradiction.

## 6 Problem #29

Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  be such open subset. Consider a collection  $\{G_\alpha\}$  consisting of  $(\alpha - r, \alpha + r)$ , where  $\alpha, r \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $r > 0$ . For all  $x \in E$ , there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $(x - r, x + r) \subset E$  since  $E$  is open. Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ , there exists  $s \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $0 < s < r$ , and  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $x < \beta < x + s/2$ . Then,  $(\beta - s/2, \beta + s/2) \subset (x - s, x + s) \subset (x - r, x + r)$  and  $x \in (\beta - s/2, \beta + s/2)$  holds, and  $(\beta - s/2, \beta + s/2) \subset \{G_\alpha\}$  so  $\{G_\alpha\}$  is a base of  $\mathbb{R}$ . By definition, every open set in  $\mathbb{R}$  is the union of a subcollection of  $\{G_\alpha\}$ . Fix a subcollection  $\{V_\alpha\}$  of  $\{G_\alpha\}$ , where  $E = \cup_\alpha V_\alpha$ . Let  $I_x$  be the union of sets  $A \in \{V_\alpha\}$  such that  $A$  intersects an open interval in  $\{V_\alpha\}$  that contains  $x$ .  $I_x$  is also a segment and  $I_x \subset E$ . If  $y \in E$  then  $I_x = I_y$  or they are disjoint. The collection  $\{I_x\}$  where  $x \in E$  covers  $E$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is separable, it can be reduced to a countable subcover and we get the desired result.